NON-LINEAR DYNAMICS IN DISCRETIONARY ACCRUALS: AN ANALYSIS OF BANK LOAN-LOSS PROVISIONS

MARINA BALBOA GERMÁN LÓPEZ-ESPINOSA ANTONIO RUBIA

FUNDACIÓN DE LAS CAJAS DE AHORROS DOCUMENTO DE TRABAJO Nº 669/2011 De conformidad con la base quinta de la convocatoria del Programa de Estímulo a la Investigación, este trabajo ha sido sometido a evaluación externa anónima de especialistas cualificados a fin de contrastar su nivel técnico.

ISSN: 1988-8767

La serie **DOCUMENTOS DE TRABAJO** incluye avances y resultados de investigaciones dentro de los programas de la Fundación de las Cajas de Ahorros.

Las opiniones son responsabilidad de los autores.

Non-linear Dynamics in Discretionary Accruals: An Analysis of Bank Loan-Loss Provisions

Marina Balboa^{*} Germán López-Espinosa^{**} Antonio Rubia^{***}

Abstract

Several studies have characterized the relation between discretionary accruals and earnings before-taxes to test for the existence of earnings smoothing behavior. In this paper, we argue that the characteristic response of accruals to earnings is not linear, as the literature has shown. Instead, it is likely to be driven by non-linear patterns since both the incentives to manipulate earnings and the practical way to do so depend, in part, on the relative size of earnings. Using a sample of 9,442 US banks in the period 1999-2008, this paper shows that bank managers tend to use provisions as a smoothing devise when earnings are substantial ("cookie-jar" strategies), engage in earnings-decreasing strategies when losses are relatively large ("big-bath" accounting) and, most of the time, use provisions as an earnings-increasing tool. Thus, neglecting non-linear patterns may result in model misspecification and lead to biased conclusions.

Key words: Earnings management; Income smoothing; Multi-way cluster; Panel data; Threshold regression.

JEL Classification: C21, C22, C23, G21, M41

^{****}Corresponding author: Antonio Rubia.

^{*}University of Alicante (03080), Spain. Phone: +34965903621. marina.balboa@ua.es

^{**}University of Navarra (31080), Spain. Phone: +34948425600, ext.3165. glespinosa@unav.es

^{****}University of Alicante (03080), Spain. Phone: +34965903621. antonio.rubia@ua.es
Acknowledgements: A previous version of this paper was presented at the 2011 EAA Meeting (Roma), XIX Finance Forum, and Universitat de Girona. We thank Antonio Díez de los Ríos for his comments and suggestions. Financial support from the Spanish Department of Science and Innovation through the ECO2008-02599 project is gratefully acknowledged.

1. Introduction

Among the different forms of managerial manipulation framed into the category of earnings management, income smoothing has attracted considerable attention from regulators and academics. The central hypothesis is that managers have incentives to artificially reduce the variability of reported earnings relative to economic earnings by using the flexibility provided by the accounting standards, increasing (reducing) discretionary accruals when the firm generates large (small) operating income. The incentives to carry out these practices are manifold and include the desire to influence the risk perception of market agents, to maintain artificially favorable dividend policies, and to attain personal objectives within the context of the agency and compensation theories; see Brady and Sinkey (1988) and Healy and Wahlen (1999) for a discussion.

Several studies have analyzed the existence of these practices in the banking industry. In this sector, the loan loss provision (LLP henceforth) is widely considered as an optimal managerial tool for accounting manipulation, not only because it is the largest accrual in relation to size, but also because it offers a considerable degree of discretionary behavior; see Brady and Sinkey (1988). The basic prediction of the income-smoothing hypothesis is that LLP is positively related to before-provisions profit, which gives rise to a simple testable hypothesis in the linear regression context. Most papers have addressed this issue analyzing the significance of the estimates from pooled or panel-data regressions of LLP on earnings after controlling for a number of cross-section and/or time-series effects. The evidence from this analysis is not completely conclusive in the banking industry, since the main conclusions are sensitive to the choice of the sample and the specific econometric technique involved. For instance, Brady and Sinkey (1988), Ma (1988), Greenawalt and Sinkey (1988), Collins, Shackelford and Wahlen (1995), Bhat (1996), Kanagaretnam, Lobo and Matheu (2003), Bikker and Metzemakers (2005) and Laeven and Majnoni (2006), among others find evidence supporting this form of earnings management, while Scheiner (1981), Wetmore and Brick (1994), Beatty, Chamberlain and Magliolo (1995), Ahmed, Takeda and Thomas (1999) and Bouvatier and Lepetit (2008) do not.

Why is the evidence of artificial income smoothing so elusive? The discretionary nature of loan-provisioning makes it virtually impossible to establish a structural theory

that fully describes the dynamics of this variable. In the absence of this guidance, researchers must face a number of subjective judgments related to econometric considerations, such as which particular variables to use, how to relate them to LLP, and how to estimate parameters and conduct inference. This form of uncertainty characterizes the empirical analysis in other areas of finance and accounting, but it has given rise to a considerable lack of methodological consensus in this field that may explain, at least partially, the origin of the heterogeneous evidence. The possibility of any form of model misspecification in the empirical modeling of LLP is a major concern (Lobo and Yang, 2001), but this issue has received little attention.

In this paper, we argue that the relation between discretionary accruals and earnings may adopt a characteristically nonlinear form that depends on the size of economic income. This feature may have sheer consequences on the linear econometric analysis typically used to model accrual dynamics, for instance, to test the earningssmoothing hypothesis or characterizing pro-cyclical patterns (DeAngelo, DeAngelo and Skinner, 1994; Ball, Kothari and Robin, 2000; Kothari, Leone and Wasley, 2005). More specifically, the conditional dynamics of discretionary accruals is likely to be characterized by a nonlinear function on earnings because both the incentives to manipulate earnings and the practical way to do so depend, in part, on the relative size of this accounting variable. In other words, in a linear regression of, say, LLP on earnings, the slope coefficient that captures the marginal propensity for earningsmanagement strategies is not necessarily a constant parameter, as assumed in the standard analysis, but rather a function of earnings themselves. The standard regression analysis neglects the possibility of nonlinear responses of this kind, which may lead to biases in the main conclusions as a consequence of functional form misspecification. To exemplify this concern, assume that the estimated value of the slope coefficient in a linear regression of LLP on earnings is exactly zero. Then, it seems natural to conclude that bank executives do not use LLP to manage earnings. However, this conclusion may also be reached spuriously if bank executives do engage actively in earnings manipulation, yet apply different strategies depending on the size of earnings. For instance, if managers decrease LLP when earnings are negative, but increase them otherwise such that the global expectation is approximately zero, the estimates from the linear regression would wrongly suggest absence of earnings management in the sample

because of a statistical artifact due to model misspecification. It suffices to extend the linear model to accommodate asymmetric patterns around the zero-threshold to detect the true underlying behavior and draw sound conclusions. In practice, nonlinear responses may be characterized by multiple regimes determined by unknown thresholds and different marginal propensities. This paper discusses the convenience of a more general methodological approach to characterize the dynamics of accruals, namely, nonlinear threshold panel regressions (Hansen, 1999, 2000), and provides empirical evidence on its suitability in an analysis on the US banking sector.

There are several reasons that may explain why this class of nonlinearities may underlie the dynamics of discretionary accruals. Among these, the compensation theory offers us a reasonable justification, since the incentives for bank managers to manipulate earnings stem, in part, from the existence of variable compensations. In practice, bonuses, stock options and other mechanisms of performance-based compensation provide bank managers with heterogeneous incentives to manage earnings because their performance is usually measured in terms of accounting profits; see, among others, Healey (1985). Since the expected present value of the total compensation depends on whether the realized value of the performance measure is below, within, or above certain thresholds (or target) levels embedded in these plans, executives have different incentives to increase or decrease earnings accordingly via discretionary accruals. As a result, the characteristic response that links accruals to the distribution of earnings is sensitive to thresholds-in-earnings and not necessarily homogenous, which may give rise to nonlinear patterns.² Given that variable compensation is a widespread practice in the financial industry, common patterns in the individual behavior of managers could emerge and be detected in panel data through

_

¹ The problem discussed here can be seen as a particular case of a random coefficients regression model. For simplicity, assume that the data generation process of discretionary LLP is generated as $LLP_t = \beta_t E_t + u_t$, where E_t denotes economic earnings, u_t is a white noise process and β_t is a deterministic function of E_t , i.e., $\beta_t = f(E_t)$. If a researcher observes $(LLP_t E_t)$, but wrongly assumes the standard linear regression model, $LLP_t = \beta E_t + \varepsilon_t$, the estimate for β can be shown to be a weighted average of $\{\beta_t\}$ plus a zero-mean term uncorrelated to $\{\beta_t\}$. Consequently, the resultant estimate reflects an average that only conveys meaningful information if $\{\beta_t\}$ is constant, or approximately constant around a stationary mean. Otherwise, inference on the estimated β may lead to spurious conclusions, as discussed in the main text.

² The compensation theory provides both theoretical and empirical arguments supporting the existence of nonlinear patterns stemming from different incentives. For instance, Kirschenheiter and Melumad (2002) provide theoretical arguments sustaining that different forms of earnings manipulation can exist simultaneously. Also, Laeven and Majnoni (2006) and Bouvatier and Lepetit (2008) show asymmetric (i.e., non-linear) behaviors around the zero-earnings level. Section 2 surveys this literature.

nonlinear regressions, remarkably, even in the absence of specific data on the individual compensation plans.

Our study analyzes a panel formed by more than 9,400 banks operating in the US in the period 1999-2008. Using the standard cross-sectional and panel-data linear regression techniques, the preliminary evidence based on the standard regression analysis supports the income-smoothing hypothesis. However, in a robust analysis using different techniques to winsorize data, we observe that the statistical evidence is very sensitive to the tails of the distribution of earnings, particularly, to the set of largest positive earnings in the sample. This effect is not necessarily the consequence of outliers or measurement errors in the data, but also of neglected nonlinear patterns in the linear analysis. Using a piecewise linear specification defined on the empirical deciles of earnings, first, and then a more sophisticated nonlinear threshold regressions that allow endogenously for different regimes in earnings, we uncover a strong nonlinear relation between LLP and earnings. The overall picture that emerges suggests that, on average, bank managers tend to use the allowance as a smoothing devise when earnings are substantial ("cookie-jar" strategies), engage in earnings-decreasing strategies when losses are relatively large ("big-bath" accounting) and, most of the time, use LLP as an earnings-increasing instrument. The threshold analysis reveals three main regimes which are endogenously characterized in the period, respectively, by earnings (scaled by total assets) smaller than 1.81%, larger than 3.64%, and within these thresholds, determining whether big-bath, earnings-increasing or smoothing seems to be mainly applied. The hypothesis of overall smoothing management, therefore, is strongly rejected in favor of a more complex behavior which is consistent with the main predictions from Healey's (1985) compensation theory and supports the coexistence of several forms of earnings management.

This paper can be related to different strands of literature in applied financial accounting. It belongs to the vast body of research concerned with accrual modeling and, more specifically, with the studies that have characterized nonlinear dependences between accruals and other accounting variables. Basu (1997) reported different incremental slopes in cash flow and earnings when these are regressed on positive and negative stock returns, which shows implicit evidence of nonlinear patterns between accruals and cash flows. Indeed, Ball and Shivakumar (2006) argue that the relation

between accruals and cash flows cannot be linear because of timely loss recognition, which challenges the linear specification commonly used in accrual models; see also, Ball and Shivakumar (2005), Moreira and Pope (2007), Anderson, Woodhouse, Ramsay and Faff (2009) and references therein for recent studies. Our paper contributes to this literature by showing strong evidence of nonlinear patterns between the main accrual in the banking industry and earnings. To the best of our knowledge, the general existence of such patterns has not been discussed before, although Laeven and Majnoni (2006), Bouvatier and Leepetit (2008) and Balboa, López-Espinosa and Rubia (2010) report evidence of asymmetric responses around zero earnings. Our paper can also be related to different studies concerned with the effects of econometric misspecification in the analysis on accrual-related models. Kraft, Leone and Wasley (2006 and 2007) discussed the effects of outliers and omitted variables, respectively, when testing for the accrual anomaly. Our study provides evidence that neglecting nonlinearities may lead towards spurious conclusions on the extent and the existence of smoothing practices; e.g., linear models cannot detect the simultaneous coexistence of big-bath and smoothing techniques. Finally, this paper is related to the vast literature on earnings management and income-smoothing in the banking industry. Our study provides clear evidence that US bank managers tend to engage actively in different strategies to manage earnings by using allowances discretionarily.

The remaining sections of the paper are organized as follows. Section two reviews the literature that supports the existence of nonlinear patterns in accruals as a function of the level of earnings. Section three introduces the dataset used in this paper and analyzes the income-smoothing hypothesis by means of both pooled cross-sectional and panel- linear regressions. Section four provides evidence of nonlinear patterns on the basis of a piecewise linear analysis and nonlinear threshold regressions. Finally, Section five summarizes and concludes.

2. Motivation for nonlinear patterns in accruals

Loan-loss reserves and other accruals can exhibit nonlinear dynamics as a function of the level of earnings for several reasons. The compensation theory offers us a reasonable explanation to justify such patterns, although this is not the only

possibility. In this section, we survey the main arguments and the literature in the compensation theory and corporate finance that support a potential nonlinear relation between accruals and earnings.

Traditionally, the financial industry has offered large personal short-term performance bonuses and other variable compensation schemes to managers who are able to accomplish corporative goals. There exists substantial heterogeneity, but most executive compensation packages contain two basic elements: a fixed salary and a variable component that typically includes annual bonus tied to accounting performance, stock options and long-term incentive plans; see Murphy (1999) for a detailed survey. Empirical studies in the field have shown that although top executives are better paid in the US than in any other country (Abowd and Bognanno, 1995), the average size of the variable component relative to the total is greater as well (Murphy, 1999) and tends to reward the success in the short-term over the long-term. Hence, US top executives have strong incentives to seek short-term gains (Bebchuk, 2009) and misreport in their self-interest. The literature has indeed provided evidence on the empirical links between executives' compensation packages and their incentives to manipulate earnings; see, among others, Gao and Shrieves (2002), Cohen, Dey and Lys (2004), Cheng and Warfield (2005), Bergstresser and Philippon (2006), Shuto (2007) and Cornett, McNut and Tehranian (2009).

The fundamental premise of the compensation theory is that bank managers make corporate decisions attempting to optimize the present value of their bonus plan payments. Executives are expected (and encouraged) to use their talent and skills to achieve better payments, but in practice they can also use the accounting discretion at their disposal to manipulate artificially the reported measures of performance. The seminal paper in this area is from Healey (1985), who analyzed the effects of three compensation packages that relate annual bonus plans to accounting incentives: annual bonuses that are conditioned to the managerial ability to generate earnings above a certain lower bound; fixed bonus if the realized earnings exceed certain upper threshold

-

³ In practice, short-term bonuses can represent the largest portion in the total compensation of most top executives, a tradition that began when most firms were private partnerships and partners shared directly the annual income of the firm. While average bonuses are expected to hover around half a million dollars, they are not evenly distributed. For instance, senior banking executives can receive millions, as publicly disclosed by many financial firms.

or cap; and a variable bonus when realized earnings range between the lower and upper bounds, the so-called incentive zone; ⁴ see also Kaplan (1985), Barro and Barro (1990), Pourciau (1993), Sloan (1993), Gaver, Gaver and Austin (1995), Holthausen, Larcker and Sloan (1995), Balsam (1998) and Guidry, Leone and Rock (1999) for further studies. Depending on the particularities of the bonus plan involved, this literature shows that bank managers have very different incentives to manipulate earnings and, consequently, use LLP and other accruals in different and even opposite ways.

Contrary to the main prediction of the smoothing hypothesis, LLP and other accruals could be raised artificially when economic earnings fall below the minimum bound necessary to receive any bonus. This earnings-decreasing strategy is generally known as "big bath", "take a bath", or "saving for a better tomorrow" in the accounting literature, since the size of reported losses is increased by anticipating future discretionary accruals; see Degeorge, Patel and Zechhauser (1999). The key point of this strategy is that it implies no managerial cost at present but it increases the chances to receive bonuses in the future. On the other hand, the incentives to understate accruals are particularly strong when economic earnings are slightly below the lower bound, since managers attempt to report earnings that qualify them to perceive bonuses; see, for instance, Degeorge et al. (1999) and Koch and Wall (1999). More generally, managers tend to choose earnings-increasing alternatives when earnings fall in the incentive region, as reported by Healey (1985), as they wish to increase variable compensations. Finally, when economic earnings are so large that they exceed the upper bound of the incentive zone, managers tend to increase reserves and provisions to use them in unprofitable periods, thereby smoothing reported earnings according to a practice generally known as "cookie-jar accounting", or "saving-for-a-rainy-day"; see the evidence reported by Holthausen, Larcker and Sloan (1995). These incentives are mainly related but not limited to bonus plans, since the nonlinear payoffs embedded in stock options generate similar patterns as well; see Gao and Shrieves (2002). Consequently, the compensation theory predicts a more complex, possibly non-linear relation between LLP and earnings than the income-smoothing theory.

⁴ As discussed by Murphy (1999), the characteristic cash bonus plan found in practice is based on this retribution scheme, with the performance measure being defined explicitly by at least one measure of accounting profit.

Alternatively, the literature in corporate finance also provides arguments that may justify the existence of nonlinear patterns in discretionary accruals as a function of realized earnings because of a conflict of interest between firms' insiders and outsiders. In particular, Zingales (1994) and Shleifer and Vishny (1997) argue that insiders have incentives to conceal their private control benefits from outsiders because, if these benefits are detected, outsiders will likely take disciplinary actions. Hence, Leuz, Nanda and Wysocki (2003) point out that managers and controlling owners have incentives to manage reported earnings to mask the true performance of the firm and reduce the likelihood of an outsider intervention. For instance, bank managers would use their financial reporting discretion to overstate earnings attempting to conceal losses that would prompt outsider interference, or increase reserves to understated earnings in years of particularly good performance and use them in years of bad performance.

3. Empirical links between LLP and earnings: linear regression analysis

In this section, we apply the standard linear regression analysis on data from a panel of banks in the US to discuss preliminary the effects of potentially neglected nonlinear patterns in accruals. As in the related literature, our main focus is to detect earnings management and, more specifically, income smoothing. In Section 4 we shall apply nonlinear techniques that generalize the analysis and allow more general forms of earnings management.

3.1 Data

The data analyzed in this paper comes from Orbis Bureau Van Dijk and comprises annual banking variables from the US in the period 1999-2008, totalling 81,568 observations from 9,442 banks. We collect observations for firm-specific variables that the previous literature has pointed out as potential drivers of the discretionary and nondiscretionary components of LLP. These include variables such as Total Assets, Loans, Impaired Loans, Loans Loss Provision, Profit before Tax and Total Capital Ratio. Additionally, we observe macroeconomic data (Gross Domestic Product growth) available from the IMF database to capture the general influence of the

business cycle on the LLP dynamics, as this is expected to be a main driver of the nondiscretionary component of the allowance.

Table 1 reports the usual descriptive analysis for the main variables involved in the regression analysis. Panel A shows that LLP represent around 0.28% of total assets on average, with a standard deviation of 0.82%. In the case of impaired loans, this figure represents 0.51% of total assets. The total amount of loans relative to total assets is 63.86%, with a deviation of 15.86%. The variable of most interest in this paper, profits before taxes and LLP (PB), shows a mean value of 1.45% over total assets, but with a slight high relative standard deviation of 2.59%. Panel B shows the conditional distribution of this variable according to whether it takes negative, zero or positive values. A distinctive feature of earnings in the banking industry is the predominance of positive values. In our sample, nearly 94% of the observations correspond to positive earnings.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics

Panel A						
Variable	Observ.	Mean	25%	Median	75%	Standard Deviation
Bank variables						_
LLPTA	81,568	0.0028	0.0004	0.0014	0.0030	0.0082
ILTA	81,434	0.0051	0.0002	0.0020	0.0060	0.0102
LTA	81,568	0.6386	0.5484	0.6595	0.7517	0.1586
TCR	81,324	18.4179	11.9000	14.5000	19.4000	18.4751
SIZE	81,568	11.8984	10.9993	11.6803	12.5030	1.3342
PB	81,568	0.0145	0.0099	0.0148	0.0194	0.0259
Macroeconomic variable						
GDPG	10	2.6229	1.8137	2.5819	3.5733	1.3459
Panel B						_
$PB_{it} > 0$	76,693	0.0166	0.0110	0.0153	0.0198	0.0245
$PB_{it} < 0$	4,854	-0.0181	-0.0236	-0.0099	-0.0035	0.0251
$PB_{it} = 0$	21	0	0	0	0	0

Descriptive statistics of the following variables: LLPTA: total loan loss provisions deflated by firm's total assets; ILTA: impaired loans to total assets; LTA: loans to total assets; TCR: total capital ratio; SIZE: natural logarithm of total assets; PB: profit before tax and loan loss provision deflated by total assets; GDPG: GDP growth (it should be noted that the number of observations used in the empirical analyses is around 81,000, depending on the specified model, since this variable takes the same value each year for all the banks in the sample).

Table 2 shows the sample correlations between all the variables used in our analysis. There is a positive relation between LLP and impaired loans, as a proxy of credit risk exposure, and total loans, as a measure of credit portfolio. The linear correlations among the different explanatory variables in our analysis range from -0.39

to 0.14 and suggest mild dependences. The strongest correlation with the variable representative of loan-loss provisioning is the ratio of impaired loans to total assets (0.33), followed by the ratio of profits before taxes and LLP to total assets (0.21).

Table 2. Correlation matrix

1 40 10 21 C	orr creations and						
	LLPTA	ILTA	LTA	TCR	SIZE	GDPG	PB
LLPTA	1.00						
ILTA	0.33	1.00					
LTA	0.10	0.14	1.00				
TCR	-0.02	-0.08	-0.39	1.00			
SIZE	0.06	0.05	0.14	-0.20	1.00		
GDPG	-0.09	-0.18	-0.06	0.03	-0.07	1.00	
PB	0.21	-0.02	0.04	-0.09	0.12	0.04	1.00

Correlations between the variables included in the empirical analysis. LLPTA: total loan loss provisions deflated by firm's total assets; ILTA: impaired loans to total assets; LTA: loans to total assets; TCR: total capital ratio; SIZE: natural logarithm of total assets; GDPG: GDP growth; PB: profit before tax and loan loss provision deflated by total assets.

Values in bold are significant at the 5 percent level.

3.2 Income-smoothing hypothesis

To formally test for the earnings-smoothing hypothesis, we characterize the dynamics of LLP through a linear model that relates this variable to profits before taxes and the remaining control variables; see, among others, Ahmed, Takeda and Thomas (1999). In particular, we assume that the relative level of loan provisioning of the *i*-th bank at the *t*-th year obeys the following dynamics:

$$LLPTA_{it} = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 ILTA_{it} + \gamma_2 LTA_{it} + \gamma_3 TCR_{it} + \gamma_4 SIZE_{it} + \gamma_5 GDPG_t + \beta_E PB_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$$

$$\equiv \gamma' ControlVar_{it} + \beta_E PB_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$$
(1)

where \mathcal{E}_{it} is a noise process assumed to obey general, standard restrictions, $\gamma = (\gamma_0, ..., \gamma_5)'$, and:

- LLPTA_{it} measures total LLP deflated by the firm's Total Assets.
- $ILTA_{it}$ gauges Impaired Loans to Total Assets to proxy for the credit risk exposures.
- LTA_{it} represents Loans to Total Assets, widely considered as a proxy for the specialization of the bank and a measure of portfolio composition.
- $-GDPG_t$ is the Gross Domestic Product (GDP, hereafter) growth, intended to proxy for the general economic conditions and business cycle dynamics.

- - TCR_{it} is the Total Capital ratio and proxies for the level of solvency of banks.
- $SIZE_{it}$ is the natural logarithm of total assets to control for potential size effects.
- - $ControlVar_{it}$ is a (6x1) vector containing a constant and all the control variables described thus far. We shall use this variable to simplify mathematical expressions in the sequel.
- $-PB_{it}$ is the Profit before Tax and LLP deflated by Total Assets, the main variable of interest in our analysis.

Equation (1) is analogous to most of the empirical models used for testing income and/or capital management in the accounting literature. The variables ILTA and LTA are firm-specific proxies of the credit risk which characterizes the nondiscretionary component in LLP. These variables are expected to have a positive effect on LLP, since bank managers increase provisions when the bank's credit portfolio increases or when credit quality deteriorates. The variable GDPG proxies for the overall economic conditions as an external indicator of the credit risk. It is expected to have a negative effect on the loan loss provisioning. The variable TCR aims to gauge the discretionary use of provisions to manage regulatory capital. If bank managers have incentives to manage regulatory capital via LLP (e.g., using LLP to reduce the expected regulatory costs associated with violating capital requirements), then we should be able to observe a negative relation between LLP and capital ratios. The variable SIZE is included as a control variable. We do not have any firm prior expectation about the impact of size on LLP: on the one hand larger banks may require larger provisions (positive relation), but on the other hand larger banks may be able to diversify credit risk better (negative relation). Our main interest in this model is on the β parameter, which relates the relative level of provisioning to before-provisions profit. If the resultant estimate is significant, then LLP are discretionarily set as a linear function of earnings, thus suggesting that reported earnings result from earnings manipulation.

Equation (1) is estimated through pooled time-series cross-sectional regressions with two-way cluster-robust standard errors accounting for bank and year clusters (Petersen, 2009; Gow, Ormazabal and Taylor, 2010; Cameron, Gelbach and Miller,

2011; Thompson, 2011). The distinctive characteristic of multi-way clustered errors in the pooled regression setting is that it allows us to carry out statistical inference which is robust by design to simultaneous dependences of unknown form in both the crosssectional and time-series dimensions of the panel. Regression errors are assumed to be independent but not identically distributed across a number of clusters and can have fairly general patterns of within cluster correlation and heteroskedasticity. In a multivariate regression context, time clustering alone won't get the standard errors right for variables that have most of their variation at the firm level, and firm clustering alone won't get the standard errors right for regressors that vary mostly by time. The only way to get both standard errors right is to double cluster. Additionally, we consider paneldata with fixed-effects regressions, as indicated by the Hausman test. The main advantages of the panel-data methodology are as follows (Hsiao, 2003). It allows us to control for the unobservable individual heterogeneity, i.e., the individual characteristics that are not explicitly included in the empirical regressions. Moreover, panel data usually give the researcher a large number of data points, increasing the degrees of freedom and reducing the collinearity among explanatory variables. This, in turn, improves the efficiency of the estimates. Also, the panel data methodology allows to identify an otherwise unidentified model. The main outcomes from this analysis (estimated parameters, robust p-values of the t-statistic for individual significance, and adjusted goodness of fit) are reported in Table 3.

_

⁵ We have also considered the total capital ratio and year as clusters and also the deciles of size and total capital ratio in order to control for cross-sectional dependences. The use of deciles may be desirable when the number of clusters is too high. The results are robust to the use of all these variables and are available upon request.

Table 3. Estimation of the basic linear model

Variable	Pooled regression	Panel data	
Variable	double cluster	fixed effects	
Comptont	-0.003	0.019	
Constant _{it}	(0.02)	(0.00)	
II TA	0.248	0.258	
ILTA _{it}	(0.00)	(0.00)	
I T 4	0.004	0.001	
LTA _{it}	(0.00)	(0.34)	
TCD	2.32E-5	-1.72E-5	
TCR _{it}	(0.00)	(0.00)	
CIZE	1.27E-4	-0.001	
$SIZE_{it}$	(0.38)	(0.00)	
CDDC	-2.41E-4	-3.03E-4	
$GDPG_t$	(0.05)	(0.00)	
DD	0.068	0.048	
PB_{it}	(0.05)	(0.08)	
	·		
R2 adjusted	0.154	0.143	
Number observations	81,307	81,307	

Estimation of the basic linear model through: a) pooled regression time-series with robust errors computed on double cluster (TCR and year); b) panel data methodology with fixed effects (as indicated by the Hausman test). Dependent variable LLPTA $_{it}$: total loan loss provisions deflated by firm's total assets. Independent variables: ILTA $_{it}$: impaired loans to total assets; LTA $_{it}$: loans to total assets; TCR $_{it}$: total capital ratio; SIZE $_{it}$: natural logarithm of total assets; GDPG $_{t}$: GDP growth; PB $_{it}$: profit before tax and loan loss provision deflated by total assets. Robust p-values in brackets. PB values in bold are significant at the 5 percent level.

As expected, LLP are positively and significantly related to the variables that proxy for the credit risk conditions in the nondiscretionary component. Increments in the relative level of impaired loans and/or in the credit portfolio lead to increments of LLP. Also, and as reported in the related literature, LLP exhibits a cyclical pattern evidenced through the negative and significant dependence on the GDP growth. The analysis on the estimated coefficient related to the capital variable shows mixed evidence and is sensitive to the estimation technique. While pooled regression with cluster-robust standard errors shows a positive and significant relationship, the panel data methodology shows a negative and significant coefficient. The latter agrees with the empirical evidence in Moyer (1990), Beatty et al. (1995) and Ahmed et al. (1999), among others, and suggests that managers use LLP to reduce the expected regulatory costs associated with capital requirements. A similar result is obtained in the estimated coefficient related to the size control variable.

-

⁶ This result is also obtained under the pooled regression analysis after allowing nonlinear responses of LLP to the earnings variable (section 4).

Turning our attention to the coefficient related to the income variable, the estimate is 0.068, in the pooled regression, and 0.048, in the panel data regression. Both estimates are positive and significant, although the evidence in the panel data regression is only marginally significant at the conventional level. The main conclusion from this analysis, therefore, is that US bank managers in the period analyzed tend to engage in income-smoothing practices.

3.3 Tests for robustness of results

In an influential paper, Kraft, Leone and Wasley (2006) argue that several results in the empirical testing of accrual-related variables may be due to influential observations (outliers) in the sample. As a robustness check of the consistency of the previous results to this consideration, we consider three different approaches based on Trimmed Least Squares (TLS henceforth) that remove a fraction α % (winsorize) of the total sample, with $\alpha = \{1, 2.5, 5, 10\}$ set arbitrarily.

The first approach removes influential observations, which are identified as the largest prediction errors from equation (1). Specifically, given the estimated residuals from (1), the model is estimated again after excluding the observations corresponding to the largest α % of squared residuals. The second approach focuses on values in the tails of the distribution of earnings, as this is the main variable of interest in our analysis. We remove observations corresponding to earnings equal to or larger than the $(1-\alpha)$ % percentile of this variable, and then estimate equation (1) with the remaining observations. The third approach follows identically, this time removing observations related to the $\alpha/2$ % and $(1-\alpha)/2$ % percentiles of the empirical distribution of the earnings variable, i.e., winsorizing both tails. Table 4 and 5 report the results for the pooled regression cross-sectional analysis and the panel-data analysis, respectively. We discuss directly the results related to the earnings variable from the pooled analysis, since the main qualitative conclusions from the panel-data analysis are rather similar.

Table 4. Trimmed pooled regression with two-way cluster methodology

Panel A: Deletion of observations related to the largest $\alpha\%$ of squared residuals						
Variable	$\alpha = 1\%$	$\alpha = 2.5\%$	$\alpha = 5\%$	$\alpha = 10\%$		
Comptent	-0.001	-0.001	-0.001	-0.001		
Constant _{it}	(0.26)	(0.39)	(0.19)	(0.00)		
II TA	0.188	0.169	0.168	0.191		
$ILTA_{it}$	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)		
I T A	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.002		
LTA_{it}	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)		
TCD	9.43E-6	8.33E-6	7.28E-6	6.46E-6		
TCR _{it}	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)		
CIZE	2.95E-5	1.83E-5	2.79E-5	2.98E-5		
SIZE _{it}	(0.54)	(0.68)	(0.52)	(0.23)		
CDDC	-1.72E-4	-1.32E-4	-1.28E-4	-1.17E-4		
$GDPG_{t}$	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.03)	(0.01)		
DD	0.009	-2.30E-4	0.010	0.024		
PB_{it}	(0.06)	(0.97)	(0.17)	(0.00)		
R2 adjusted	0.247	0.266	0.293	0.386		
Number observations	80,631	79,548	77,593	73,563		
Panel B: Deletion of observ				•		
-	1.41E-4	4.94E-4	6.74E-4	9.24E-4		
Constant _{it}	(0.72)	(0.60)	(0.47)	(0.31)		
	0.221	0.228	0.230	0.228		
$ILTA_{it}$	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)		
	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.002		
LTA _{it}	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)		
	-5.23E-6	-8.30E-6	-9.80E-6	-1.18E-5		
TCR _{it}	(0.48)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.00)		
	6.44E-5	5.14E-5	4.38E-5	3.36E-5		
$SIZE_{it}$	(0.45)	(0.61)	(0.64)	(0.74)		
	-1.74E-4	-1.63E-4	-1.61E-4	-1.68E-4		
$GDPG_{t}$	(0.04)	(0.06)	(0.05)	(0.04)		
	-0.039	-0.059	-0.065	-0.074		
PB_{it}	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.01)	(0.00)		
R2 adjusted	0.218	0.249	0.256	0.259		
Number observations	80,821	79,659	77,635	73,537		
Panel C: Deletion of observe	(,				
Constant _{it}	-0.002	1.88E-4	4.13E-4	2.56E-4		
	(0.11)	(0.97)	(0.66)	(0.81)		
ILTA _{it}	0.215	0.210	0.218	0.214		
	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)		
LTA _{it}	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.002		
	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)		
TCR _{it}	1.19E-6	-6.46E-6	-1.43E-5	-2.08E-5		
- ·n	(0.00)	(0.09)	(0.00)	(0.00)		
SIZE _{it}	7.61E-5	5.28E-5	4.28E-5	3.59E-5		
- II	(0.40)	(0.57)	(0.64)	(0.67)		
GDPG _t	-2.12E-4	-1.89E-4	-1.87E-4	-1.80E-4		
:	(0.03)	(0.05)	(0.04)	(0.03)		
PB_{it}	0.042	-0.028	-0.020	0.014		
·	(0.00)	(0.01)	(0.08)	(0.07)		
R2 adjusted	0.184	0.213	0.226	0.214		
Number observations	80,810	79,723	77,725	73,688		

Trimmed pooled regression time-series with robust errors computed on double cluster (TCR and year). Dependent variable LLPTA_{it}: total loan loss provisions deflated by firm's total assets. Independent variables: ILTA_{it}: impaired loans to total assets; LTA_{it}: loans to total assets; TCR_{it}: total capital ratio; SIZE_{it}: natural logarithm of total assets; GDPG_t: GDP growth; PB_{it}: profit before tax and loan loss provision deflated by total assets. Robust *p*-values in brackets. PB values in bold are significant at the 5 percent level.

Table 5. Trimmed pooled regression with panel data fixed effects

Panel A: Deletion of observe		0 01	ed residuals	
Variable	$\alpha = 1\%$	$\alpha = 2.5\%$	$\alpha = 5\%$	$\alpha = 10\%$
Constant	0.011	0.012	0.012	0.012
Constant _{it}	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
ILTA _{it}	0.193	0.181	0.180	0.189
ILIA _{it}	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
TTA	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002
LTA_{it}	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
ECD	-7.92E-6	-8.95E-6	-1.07E-5	-1.18E-5
ΓCR_{it}	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
	-8.67E-4	-9.26E-4	-9.43E-4	-9.52E-4
$SIZE_{it}$	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
SDDC	-1.91E-4	-1.62E-4	-1.54E-4	-1.53E-4
$GDPG_t$	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
	-0.005	-0.03	7.91E-5	0.010
PB_{it}	(0.03)	(0.07)	(0.95)	(0.00)
R2 adjusted	0.271	0.296	0.329	0.390
Number observations	80,493	79,274	77,241	73,176
Panel B: Deletion of observe	,			73,170
and D. Detetion of observe		()		
Constant _{it}	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.010
Sonstant _{it}	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
LTA _{it}	0.238	0.237	0.238	0.237
LIAit	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
LTA_{it}	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.002
LIA _{it}	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
CD	-2.15E-5	-2.29E-5	-2.44E-5	-2.54E-5
CCR_{it}	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
	-7.48E-4	-7.46E-4	-7.32E-4	-7.09E-4
$SIZE_{it}$	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.64)	(0.74)
NP DC	-2.10E-4	-1.98E-4	-1.91E-4	-1.89E-4
$GDPG_{t}$	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
_	-0.035	-0.044	-0.050	-0.055
PB_{it}	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
R2 adjusted	0.217	0.222	0.225	0.225
Number observations	80,821	79,659	77,635	73,537
Panel C: Deletion of observe				
unei C. Detetion of observe	(,		
Constant _{it}	0.013	0.011	0.010	0.009
Sometime II	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
LTA _{it}	0.232	0.226	0.226	0.228
	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
LTA_{it}	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001
ıπit	(0.01)	(0.00)	(0.01)	(0.13)
·CD	-1.79E-5	-1.61E-5	-2.13E-5	-3.55E-5
CCR _{it}	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
TZE	-9.48E-4	-7.62E-4	-7.20E-4	-6.18E-4
$SIZE_{it}$	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.67)
SDDC	-2.55E-4	-2.21E-4	-2.17E-4	-1.99E-4
$GDPG_t$	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
_	-3.79E-4	-0.023	-0.014	0.025
PB_{it}	(0.96)	(0.00)	(0.01)	(0.00)
	` /		· /	· /
R2 adjusted	0.199	0.206	0.204	0.194

Trimmed panel data fixed effects regression with robust errors. Dependent variable LLPTA_{it}: total loan loss provisions deflated by firm's total assets. Independent variables: ILTA_{it}: impaired loans to total assets; LTA_{it}: loans to total assets; TCR_{it}: total capital ratio; SIZE_{it}: natural logarithm of total assets; GDPG_t: GDP growth; PB_{it}: profit before tax and loan loss provision deflated by total assets. Robust p-values in brackets. PB values in bold are significant at the 5 percent level.

The robust analysis shows that the estimates related to the profit before taxes and LLP variable (PB variable) and, hence, the overall evidence for income smoothing, are fairly sensitive to values in the tails of the distribution of earnings and large estimation errors in the linear fitting. The TLS analysis reveals that after removing the observations that raise the largest prediction errors in the linear fitting, the estimates of the slope coefficient related to PB dramatically decrease. Under the second approach (removing observations related to the top percentile of earnings), we observe that the preliminary evidence of income smoothing completely vanishes after removing even a small fraction of observations. For all the cases analyzed, the estimates of the slope coefficient on PB are negative and significant. The estimates are fairly robust to the different trimming fractions and yield a value around -0.06. This evidence suggests that a small fraction of observations of the top percentiles may largely be responsible for the overall evidence of smoothing in the sample. Finally, similar results emerge when we winsorize both tails of the distribution of PB. For the trimming fractions $\alpha = \{2.5\%, 5\%\}$, the estimates are negative and significant. On the other hand, applying a relatively large trimming factor that removes the 5 and 95 per cent levels of the distribution leads to positive values of the PB coefficient. The main conclusions from this analysis can be summarized as follows.

First, the overall evidence from the robust analysis tends to favor a negative relation between LLP and earnings such that the relative level of LLP is reduced (increased) deliberately when earnings are positive (negative). The effect of this strategy is to increase the total volatility on reported earnings, in sharp opposition to the main prediction of the earnings smoothing hypothesis. This result agrees with the recent findings in Bouvatier and Lepetit (2008), who observe similar evidence using a sample of 186 European banks. While the income-smoothing theory fails to explain this feature, the evidence may be the result of bank managers attempting to maximize the present value of their performance-based compensations, as claimed by the compensation hypothesis. For most banks in the sample, which report positive earnings, a negative relation between LLP and earnings suggests income-increasing policies. This evidence would support the claims in Watts and Zimmerman (1986), who argue that bank managers with earnings-based compensations have always the incentive to manipulate earnings upwards. For the subset of banks with negative earnings, the negative relation

implies "big-bath" or income-decreasing techniques that would attempt to increase the probability of reporting future earnings. Again, this may be consistent with strategies intended to maximize the present value of their intertemporal stream of expected wealth.

Second, the evidence supporting smoothing behaviors obtained from the standard analysis in our sample seems to be largely originated in a relatively small group of observations in the upper tail of the income distribution. When these observations are arbitrarily removed, the statistical evidence for smoothing weakens and eventually vanishes completely. However, the question that arises in this case refers to whether it is correct to conclude that bank managers do not engage in earnings smoothing practices when we delete the observations precisely for which bank managers have stronger incentives to apply these practices. Observations that do not seem to be fitted correctly by a linear model are not necessarily the consequence of "outliers" or "measurement errors" in the data, but also a symptom for model misspecification. Strictly speaking, removing observations arbitrarily is not a proper way of proceeding, since it may introduce selection bias and neglect meaningful patterns of the data. A more rigorous analysis would attempt to capture potentially neglected patterns. Since we have both theoretical reasons and previous evidence suggesting the likely existence of nonlinear patterns, we attempt to capture this in the following section.

4. Capturing nonlinear responses in loan-loss provisioning

In view of the preliminary evidence in the previous section, we extend the econometric analysis to account for potential nonlinear responses in the discretionay management of earnings as a function of the size of earnings. As a first approximation, we estimate a quadratic model on PB_{it}, to check whether nonlinear responses outperform linear considerations. The results of this approach are shown in Table 6. As can be observed, and under both methodologies, the effect of earnings on provisions is positive and significant, as showed in the linear specification. The negative and significant quadratic earnings variable implies that the effect of earnings decreases as

the level of earnings increases. Again, the overall effect of both regressors evidences the existence of income-smoothing practices.

Table 6. Estimation of a quadratic model

Variable	Pooled regression double cluster	Panel data fixed effects		
	-0.002	0.021		
Constant _{it}	(0.13)	(0.00)		
	0.253	0.266		
ILTA _{it}	(0.00)	(0.00)		
T. 77. A	0.003	-2.72E-4		
LTA _{it}	(0.00)	(0.85)		
TCD	3.00E-5	-1.29E-5		
TCR_{it}	(0.00)	(0.04)		
	-1.00E-5	-0.002		
SIZE _{it}	(0.97)	(0.00)		
CDDC	-3.10E-4	-3.43E-4		
$GDPG_t$	(0.02)	(0.00)		
DD.	0.130	0.100		
PB_{it}	(0.00)	(0.04)		
DD^2	-0.038	-0.024		
PB ² _{it}	(0.00)	(0.04)		
R2 adjusted	0.182	0.154		
Number observations	81,307	81,307		
Number observations		01,307		

Estimation of the basic linear model through: a) pooled regression time-series with robust errors computed on double cluster (TCR and year); b) panel data methodology with fixed effects (as indicated by the Hausman test). Dependent variable LLPTA_{it}: total loan loss provisions deflated by firm's total assets. Independent variables: ILTA_{it}: impaired loans to total assets; LTA_{it}: loans to total assets; TCR_{it}: total capital ratio; SIZE_{it}: natural logarithm of total assets; GDPG_t: GDP growth; PB_{it}: profit before tax and loan loss provision deflated by total assets; PB²_{it}: PB_{it}* PB_{it}. Robust *p*-values in brackets. PB values in bold are significant at the 5 percent level.

Although the quadratic approximation evidences the existence of a non-linear relationship in the propensity to manage earnings as a function of the level of earnings, it still shows an income-smoothing strategy no matter the size of earnings. Hence, this analysis does not allow determining whether different strategies, apart from that of smoothing, coexist. Thus, our basic approach now is to generalize equation (1) to allow the slope coefficient of LLP on PB to vary according to this variable, i.e., generalizing (1) by considering models within the general class of random coefficient regressions.

$$LLPTA_{it} = \gamma' ControlVar_{it} + \beta_{it}PB_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$$
 (2)

where, in our analysis, β_{it} is restricted to being a fixed function of PB_{it} , and a set of unknown parameters θ , i.e., $\beta_{it} = f(PB_{it}; \theta)$. For example, the asymmetric-response

model considered, among others, in Bouvatier and Lepetit (2008) can be embedded in this general approach setting $\beta_{it} = \beta_0 I(PB_{it} \le 0) + \beta_1 I(PB_{it} > 0)$, where $I(\square)$ is an indicator variable taking value one if the condition in parenthesis is true and zero otherwise, and $\theta = (\beta_0, \beta_1)^2$; see Section 4.2 for further details.

Throughout the following subsections, we consider different functional specifications embedded in this general approach that grow in econometric complexity. The first one is a piecewise linear model that relates LLP to different statistical classes formed by the empirical deciles of PB in our sample. This approach is very intuitive, but provides direct evidence for or against the nonlinear hypothesis through the estimates of coefficients. Piecewise linear models have been used, among others, in Ball and Shivakumar (2006) and Anderson et al. (2009). A potential shortcoming of these models is that the classes or regimes are determined arbitrarily, e.g., fixing ranks or, in our case, deciles. Therefore, our second approach to accommodate possible nonlinearities uses threshold nonlinear regressions, as these allow us to circumvent this problem by determining classes endogenously.

4.1 Piecewise linear analysis

Let $\pi_1,...,\pi_9$ be the empirical deciles of the distribution of PB_{it} , i.e., $\{\pi_s:\inf \Pr(PB_{it}\leq\pi_s)\geq 0.1s\}$, s=1,...,9. Given these values, the range of PB_{it} , can be partitioned into ten disjointed subsets, ranges, or statistical classes, which we shall denote as $R_1,...,R_{10}$, defined as $R_1=(-\infty,\pi_1],...,R_s=(\pi_{s-1},\pi_s],...,R_{10}=(\pi_9,+\infty)$, s=2,...,9. Note that the sample probability of PB_{it} being at any of these intervals is 10%. Then, define a sequence of dummy variables on the classes R_s , $\{D_{it}(R_s)\}_{s=1}^{10}$, such that $D_{it}(R_s)$ takes a value equal to one if the earnings observation of the i-th bank at time t belongs to R_s , and zero otherwise. Then, in order to capture nonlinear patterns arising from variability in β_{it} as a function of PB_{it} in (2), we can consider a piecewise linear

model defined on the deciles of PB_{it} characterized as $\beta_{it} = \sum_{s=1}^{10} \beta_s \times D_{it}(R_s)$, or, equivalently:

$$LLPTA_{i,t} = \gamma'ControlVar_{it} + \sum_{s=1}^{10} \beta_s \left(PB_{it} \times D_{it}(R_s) \right) + \varepsilon_{it}$$
(3)

The choice of deciles is entirely arbitrary but seeks to obtain a good compromise between the goals of capturing a potentially wide range of variation in the (conditional) response of LLP to PB and keeping the model parsimony in the econometric approach.⁷ Hence, the sequence of parameters $\{\beta_s\}$ captures the marginal response of LLP to earnings in each decile after controlling for the remaining variables. Because the model is linear in variables, all the coefficients involved can be estimated jointly and consistently through least-squares. Under the restriction of homogenous response across the distribution of PB, i.e., $H_0: \beta_1 = ... = \beta_{10} = \beta$, model (1) arises as a particular case, since trivially $\sum_{s=1.10} D_{it}(R_s) = 1$. Hence, the statistical suitability of the linear model can readily be tested through a standard F-type test. Furthermore, the different implications in terms of earnings-management that the varying coefficients can capture can be analyzed statistically through different restrictions. For instance, if all the estimates of β_s are positive, but differ significantly among themselves, then the analysis provides support to the income-smoothing hypothesis and, furthermore, reveals different average propensities to use LLP as a smoothing instrument depending on the size of earnings. On the other hand, if any of these parameters is significantly negative, the results would support the existence of earnings-increasing strategies for ranges formed with positive values, or earnings-decreasing behavior for the negative classes. Because our main interest is on the estimated slope coefficients $\{\beta_s\}_{s=1}^{10}$, we summarize graphically the estimated coefficients in Figure 1 and discuss the main results for the sake of


_


request.

conciseness and saving space. Complete results from this regression are available upon

⁷ The unobservable function that characterizes the link between the relative levels of LLP and PB could be approximated arbitrarily well through a piecewise linear function when the number of segments included in the expansion is arbitrarily large and the function to be approached is smooth enough. In practice, however, there exists a trade-off between the number of parameters to be estimated in a regression model and the number of segments to be included in a finite-sample analysis.

Figure 1 reveals that the sensitivity of LLP to earnings varies considerably across the deciles of the earnings variables, showing an upward trending function that takes negative values in the lower deciles and positive values in the larger. The figure suggests a strong degree of nonlinear behavior which is formally supported by joint tests of significance. The suitability of a single linear model characterized by a unique coefficient which implies $H_0: \beta_1 = ... = \beta_{10}$ is strongly rejected, and so is the hypothesis of absence of earnings management, $H_0: \beta_1 = ... = \beta_{10} = 0$. Consequently, the estimates of model (3) provide formal evidence that the dynamics of LLP are better characterized by nonlinear features rather than a constant proportional relation, and that bank managers use LLP to over-state or under-state reported earnings in their self-interest. The adjusted goodness of fit largely improves from 15.43% in the restricted model (1), to 19.63% in (3), roughly representing an increment of 30%.





_

⁸ The F-test for the joint hypothesis of a common management strategy is 424.1, largely rejected at any of the conventional levels of significance.

It is interesting to discuss in greater detail the coefficient estimates and the implications for earnings management. The slope related to the first decile of PB is largely negative ($\hat{\beta}_1 = -0.10$) and strongly significant (p-value is 0.00). Since this class is formed mainly by negative earnings (negative earnings represent around 6% of the total sample), the analysis suggests that managers tend to use LLP in this region as an earnings-decreasing tool applying "big-bath" accounting. The estimate of the slope coefficient in the second decile is again negative $(\hat{\beta}_2 = -0.14)$ and largely significant. This class comprises strictly positive, but relatively small profits. The evidence suggests that bank managers engage in earnings-increasing strategies by discretionarily reducing LLP, attempting to avoid reporting small negative earnings; see also Degeorge, Patel and Zechhauser (1999). For earnings falling into the subsequent categories, we observe a sequence of negative estimates in an upward trend $(\hat{\beta}_3 = -0.09,...,\hat{\beta}_9 = -0.02)$, which suggest that the incentives to use LLP as an earnings-increasing device decrease progressively as the size of earnings increases. All these values are statistically significant. Finally, for earnings in the top decile of the distribution, the estimate is largely positive ($\hat{\beta}_{10} = 0.09$) and highly significant. This corresponds to the incomesmoothing hypothesis and suggests that large profits tend to be smoothed by increasing the size of LLP.

Note that the results in this analysis are coherent with the evidence based on the robust analysis in the linear analysis in section 3.3, but offer us a more complete picture. While both analyses agree and suggest that the most common strategy employed by bank managers in this sample is to increase the size of reported earnings by discretionarily reducing LLP, the piecewise linear analysis reveals that different strategies coexist depending on the size of earnings. For the set of largest earnings in the sample we observe strong evidence of earnings smoothing, whereas large relative losses are artificially increased by deliberately increasing LLP in take-a-bath strategies. The statistical evidence largely rejects the hypothesis of overall smoothing in the sample and suggests a general type of managerial behaviour which is consistent with the main predictions of the compensation theory.

4.2 Threshold nonlinear regression models

Piecewise linear regression with pre-defined regimes is a fairly intuitive analysis that provides formal evidence and allows us to grasp the pattern of variable dependence that links LLP to earnings. However, a potential critique is that the overall evidence may be sensitive to the arbitrary choice of the classes that characterize the stepwise function β_{it} . We note that a similar analysis has been applied previously by Laeven and Majnoni (2006), Bouvatier and Lepetit (2008), and Balboa, López-Espinosa and Rubia (2010), who focus on asymmetric responses of LLP around the zero-earnings threshold,

$$LLPTA_{it} = \gamma' ControlVar_{it} + \beta PB_{it} + \beta^* \left[D_{it} \left(PB_{it} > 0 \right) \times PB_{it} \right] + \varepsilon_{it}$$
(4)

where $D_{ii}(PB_{ii}>0)$ is a dummy variable that takes value one if PB_{ii} is positive and zero otherwise. Like (3), this nonlinear generalization of model (1) reflects the arbitrary choice of threshold levels, although it has the advantage of being naturally motivated to capture asymmetries around gains and losses. In this section, we generalize both approaches by allowing different regimes as a function of unknown thresholds that can be estimated endogenously.

In particular, assume that there exists some unknown threshold value in the income variable, say τ , such that the propensity to manage earnings for earnings below this threshold is captured by, say, the β_1 coefficient, whereas the propensity to smooth earnings above this level is captured by β_2 , with $\beta_2 \neq \beta_1$. Hence, similar to (4), we may specify the following econometric model:

$$LLPTA_{it} = \gamma'ControlVar_{it} + \beta_1 \left[D_{it} \left(PB_{it} > \tau \right) \times PB_{it} \right] + \beta_2 \left[D_{it} \left(PB_{it} \le \tau \right) \times PB_{it} \right] + \mathcal{E}_{it}$$
(5)

Obviously, the linear model (1) arises as a particular case under the restriction $\beta_1 = \beta_2$. Similarly, this approach generalizes the basic setting considered in the previous section, since the regime is not predetermined exogenously a priori by the researcher, but rather endogenously from the data. For instance, the asymmetric model (4) is encompassed in this setting under $\tau = 0$ and a trivial parameter rearrangement.

Model (5) is a particular model of the general class of models embedded in (2) known as threshold nonlinear model, which can be further generalized towards accounting for multiple regimes. Thus, consider the set of (unknown) threshold values $\tau_1 < \tau_2 < ... < \tau_n$, n > 1, partitioning the empirical range of PB_{it} into n+1 disjoint intervals, $R_{1,\tau},...,R_{n+1,\tau}$, and assume that $D_{it}(R_{s,\tau})$, s=1,...,n+1, is a collection of dummy variables taking value one if the value of PB_{it} falls in the $R_{s,\tau}$ region, and zero otherwise. Then, model (5) is straightforward and generalized as:

$$LLPTA_{it} = \gamma' ControlVar_{it} + \sum_{s=1}^{n+1} \beta_s \left[D_{it}(R_{s,\tau}) \times PB_{it} \right] + \mathcal{E}_{it}$$
(6)

These are the so-called threshold models discussed, among others, by Tong (1983, 1990), Chan (1993), Hansen (1999, 2000), and Caner and Hansen (2004). These are fairly parsimonious and emerge as special cases of more sophisticated frameworks, such as mixture models, Markov Switching models, and smooth transition models, all of which are characterized by the possibility of nonlinear patterns. The unknown parameters θ to be estimated are the slope coefficients that characterize the conditional mean, $(\gamma', \beta_1, ..., \beta_{n+1})'$, and the threshold values $(\tau_1, ..., \tau_n)'$ that give rise to the different regimes. The vector parameter θ can be consistently estimated from a concentrated least-squares procedures, as is briefly summarized below.

For simplicity, consider the simplest case involving a single threshold parameter, τ . The unknown vector of parameters is $\theta = (\gamma_0, ..., \gamma_5, \beta_1, \beta_2, \tau)'$ and its natural estimator is the minimizer of the sum of squared residuals, which is also equivalent to the maximum-likelihood estimator when the residuals are normally distributed. If we knew the true value of τ , the remaining parameters could be estimated consistently by least-squares because, as in the previous section, the parameters are linear conditional to the regimes that characterizes τ . This property suggests an estimation strategy in which we can identify sequentially the whole set of unknown parameters by minimizing the concentrated sum of squared residuals conditional to values of the τ parameter in a wide range. More specifically, for any posited value of τ , we can generate the dummy

variable $D_{ii}(PB_{ii}>0)$, and then compute the sum of squared least-squares residuals from a least-squares fitting conditional to this choice. In practice, we can use the observed values of PB_{ii} within a certain range, say Ψ , that ensures that at least a minimal percentage of the observations (in our case, we set 1%) lies in each regime. The least-squares estimation of the parameters is the overall minimizer of the residual variance in $\tau \in \Psi$, which can be reduced to searching over values of τ equalling the distinct values of the process PB_{ii} in the sample, i.e., $\hat{\theta} = \arg\min_{(\tau, \beta_1, \beta_2)} E(\hat{\varepsilon}_{ij}^2 \mid \tau \in \Psi)$. The estimated value of τ is that for which the sum of square residuals is minimized. Multiple thresholds can be inferred similarly using sequential estimation as in the change-point literature; see Hansen (1999) for details.

For the set of parameters that characterize the conditional mean of the process, $(\gamma', \beta_1, ..., \beta_{n+1})'$, we can generally carry out standard inference based on the estimates of the covariance matrix, as in the linear case. However, testing certain restrictions, involves a more complex procedure. In particular, if we want to test the suitability of the model against a linear restricted model (i.e., whether the threshold effects are statistically significant), it should be noted that under the linear restriction $H_0: \beta_{E,1} = ... = \beta_{E,n+1}$ the threshold values involved are not identified, so the distribution of the usual tests (e.g., the Likelihood-ratio test or the *F*-test) is not standard. The relevant critical values have to be tabulated by experimental methods such as bootstrap. We shall bear this in mind when testing the convenience of the restricted linear model in this general setting.

4.3 Estimation results

We estimate model (6) with one and two threshold levels and, for completeness, we also analyzed the asymmetric model (4) as this has been considered in the previous literature. The estimated models are labelled as follows. Model I is the baseline model with constant slope coefficient in equation (1). Model II is the asymmetric model with a threshold set at the zero earnings level considered in the previous literature, i.e., equation (4). Model III generalizes this specification by allowing the threshold value to

be determined endogenously in threshold regression, i.e., equation (5). Model IV analyzes a further extension of Model III and considers two unknown thresholds effects, i.e., equation (6), setting n=2. The results from the pooled regression and the panel-data estimates are reported in Tables 7 and 8, respectively. We proceed to discuss the results from the pooled analysis, since again the results from the panel-data analysis are rather similar.

Table 7. Estimation with two-way cluster methodology

	Pooled regression double cluster				
Variable	M. I	M. II	M. III	M. IV	
Constant	-0.003	-0.003	-0.003	-0.002	
Constant _{it}	(0.02)	(0.01)	(0.05)	(0.14)	
н та	0.248	0.240	0.230	0.229	
ILTA _{it}	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	
LTA _{it}	0.004	0.003	0.004	0.004	
LIA _{it}	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	
TCR _{it}	2.32E-5	-8.45E-6	-8.71E-6	-1.48E-5	
1CK _{it}	(0.00)	(0.05)	(0.02)	(0.00)	
CI7E	1.27E-4	1.61E-4	2.63E-4	2.37E-4	
$SIZE_{it}$	(0.38)	(0.25)	(0.04)	(0.06)	
$GDPG_t$	-2.41E-4	-2.24E-4	-1.35E-4	-1.51E-4	
ODFO _t	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.23)	(0.17)	
PB_{it}	0.068				
r D _{it}	(0.05)	-	-	-	
$D_{it}(PB_{it} > 0) \times PB_{it}$		0.090			
$D_{it}(ID_{it}>0)\wedge ID_{it}$	-	(0.04)	-	-	
$D_{it}(PB_{it} \leq 0) \times PB_{it}$		-0.133			
$D_{it}(I D_{it} = 0) \land I D_{it}$	-	(0.00)	-	-	
$D_{it}(PB_{it} > \tau_{high}) \times PB_{it}$			0.097	0.097	
$D_{it} (I D_{it} > \iota_{high}) \wedge I D_{it}$	-	-	(0.04)	(0.03)	
$D_{it}(PB_{it} \leq \tau_{high}) \times PB_{it}$			-0.077		
$D_{it} \left(\mathbf{I} \ D_{it} \leq \iota_{high} \right) \wedge \mathbf{I} \ D_{it}$	-	-	(0.00)	-	
$D_{it}(PB_{it} \leq \tau_{low}) \times PB_{it}$				-0.107	
$D_{it} (I D_{it} \leq \iota_{low}) \wedge I D_{it}$	-	-	-	(0.00)	
$D_{it} \left(\tau_{low} < PB_{it} \le \tau_{high} \right) \times PB_{it}$				-0.049	
$\nu_{it} (\nu_{low} \setminus I D_{it} \leq \nu_{high}) \wedge I D_{it}$	-	-	-	(0.00)	
R2 adjusted	0.154	0.186	0.203	0.206	
Number observations	81,307	81,307	81,307	81,307	

Pooled regression time-series with robust errors computed on double cluster (TCR and year). Dependent variable LLPTA_{it}: total loan loss provisions deflated by firm's total assets. Independent variables: ILTA_{it}: impaired loans to total assets; LTA_{it}: loans to total assets; PB_{it}: profit before tax and loan loss provision deflated by total assets; TCR_{it}: total capital ratio; SIZE_{it}: natural logarithm of total assets; GDPG_t: GDP growth; $D_{it}(PB_{it} > 0) \times PB_{it}$: interaction between a dummy variable (1: PB_{it} is greater than zero; 0: otherwise) and PB_{it}; $D_{it}(PB_{it} > \tau_{high}) \times PB_{it}$: interaction between a dummy variable (1: PB_{it} is greater than the higher threshold, which is endogenously estimated with a value of 3.64%; 0: otherwise) and PB_{it}; $D_{it}(PB_{it} \le \tau_{low}) \times PB_{it}$: interaction between a dummy variable (1: PB_{it} is lower than the lower threshold, which is endogenously estimated with a value of 1.8%; 0: otherwise) and PB_{it}. The remaining interactions between the corresponding dummy variable and PB_{it} can be easily interpreted, given the former definitions. Robust *p*-values in brackets. PB values in bold are significant at the 5 percent level.

Some comments follow. First, as expected from the previous analysis, we observe that any econometric model that accommodates the possibility of nonlinear responses of LLP to the level of earnings largely over-performs the linearly restricted specification in Model I. The statistical gains in terms of adjusted goodness of fit show increments ranging from 20%, when including asymmetric effects in Model II, to nearly 34%, when including two threshold levels in Model IV. Furthermore, all the models generate negative and statistically significant estimates for the coefficients related to some of the regions analyzed in each specification, from which the hypothesis of overall earnings smoothing is rejected in favour of more general managerial strategies in all the cases analyzed.

Second, the estimates of asymmetric Model II, corresponding to equation (4), lead to similar conclusions as those in Laeven and Majnoni (2006) and Balboa et al. (2010). In particular, bank managers tend to engage in "big-bath" techniques when banks generate negative earnings (the estimated value of the negative earnings-related coefficient is -0.133), whereas banks with positive earnings would on average apply smoothing techniques (the estimated coefficient is 0.090). The likelihood ratio test testing the suitability of the unrestricted, asymmetric model against the linear restricted specification largely rejects the null hypothesis in favour of asymmetric effects.

Third, Model III, corresponding to equation (5), generalizes the study treated in Model II by allowing the model to determine endogenously the most likely position of a threshold effect. As in the change-point literature, this is identified as the sample for which there is maximum evidence against the hypothesis of parameter constancy in the whole sample, i.e., the point at which the most important parameter discontinuity (not necessarily the unique) appears in the sample. In our case, the analysis suggests that the most important change when only a single threshold is allowed is not related to whether earnings are positive or negative, but rather to whether income is large enough to be smoothed or not. More specifically, earnings (deflated by assets) exceeding a threshold of 3.64% are smoothed through a propensity coefficient estimated at 0.097. This estimate is considerably greater that the on-average value reported under Model I, and is of the same order as the estimate attached to positive earnings in Model II. The threshold corresponds to the 98th percentile of the earnings variable, thus showing that the propensity to smooth is particularly high when the size of economic earnings is also

high. For earnings not exceeding this threshold, we observe an average propensity coefficient which is negative (-0.077) and significant, thus suggesting that bank managers tend predominantly to use LLP discretionarily as an earnings-decreasing (increasing) tool when earnings are negative (positive, small and medium-sized). The bootstrap test for the linear hypothesis $H_0: \beta_{E,1} = \beta_{E,2}$ is largely rejected through the sup-Wald statistic as in Hansen (1999).

Four, Model IV generalizes the previous analysis by exploring the existence of multiple threshold effects. The results from Model IV show an improvement in the overall goodness of fit of the model. Together with the threshold identified in Model III, a new threshold value is now estimated around a level of relative earnings of 1.81%, which roughly corresponds to the 68th percentile in the empirical distribution of the earnings variable. The estimated coefficients related to the three earnings classes are -0.107 (lower class, below 1.81%), -0.049 (medium class, within 1.81% and 3.64%), and 0.097 (upper class, above 3.64%). The differences between these estimates are important enough as to strongly reject the null hypothesis of overall equality between coefficients (both vis-à-vis and overly) through bootstrap-based tests. When comparing the overall fitting of this model in relation to Model III, we observe that allowing an additional threshold value to be estimated yields a slight increment. The difference, however, is both economically and statistically significant, as determined by a bootstrapped F-test.

The overall evidence from Model IV suggests that the propensity to increase reported earnings decreases with the size of earnings and, eventually, disappears and is reverted towards smoothing when earnings are particularly large. This evidence is similar to that in Bouvatier and Lepetit (2008), although we offer a more complete picture and significant comments. The regimes are identified as a relatively large negative propensity to use LLP on the size of earnings (68% of the sample), a much smaller, but still negative and significant propensity (20% of the sample), and a large positive propensity to smooth the greatest profits (2%). Therefore, we observe that, depending on the size and sign of economic earnings, LLP can be used in very different ways to manipulate reported earnings. In our sample, and according to the empirical results from the threshold regression methodology, "big-bath", income-increasing, and

smoothing practices coexist, which is consistent with Healey's (1985) compensation theory.

Table 8. Estimation with panel data fixed effects


	Panel data fixed effects					
Variable	M. I	M. II	M. III	M. IV		
Constant	0.019	0.014	0.015	0.014		
Constant _{it}	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)		
ILTA _{it}	0.258	0.252	0.246	0.247		
ILIA _{it}	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)		
LTA _{it}	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.002		
LIA _{it}	(0.34)	(0.05)	(0.00)	(0.00)		
TCR _{it}	-1.72E-5	-3.36E-5	-2.80E-5	-3.08E-5		
r C K _{it}	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)		
SIZE _{it}	-0.001	-0.001	-0.001	-0.001		
SIZE _{it}	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)		
$GDPG_t$	-3.03E-4	-2.75E-4	-2.36E-4	-2.42E-4		
ODI O _t	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)		
PB _{it}	0.048					
T D _{it}	(0.08)	_	_	_		
$D_{it}(PB_{it}>0)\times PB_{it}$	_	0.063	_	_		
$\mathcal{L}_{it}(\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}_{it},\mathcal{L})$		(0.06)				
$D_{it}(PB_{it} \leq 0) \times PB_{it}$	_	-0.076	_	_		
, , ,		(0.00)				
$D_{it} \left(0 < PB_{it} \le \tau_{high} \right) \times PB_{it}$	-	-	-	-		
D (DD)DD			0.065	0.066		
$D_{it}\left(PB_{it} > au_{high}\right) \times PB_{it}$	-	-	(0.06)	(0.06)		
$D (DD < z) \cup DD$			-0.038	` ,		
$D_{it}\left(PB_{it} \leq au_{high}\right) \times PB_{it}$	-	-	(0.00)	-		
$D_{it}(PB_{it} \leq \tau_{low}) \times PB_{it}$				-0.054		
$D_{it} \left(I \ D_{it} \leq t_{low} \right) \times I \ D_{it}$	-	-	-	(0.00)		
$D_{it} \left(\tau_{low} < PB_{it} \le \tau_{high} \right) \times PB_{it}$				-0.016		
$D_{it} \left(\iota_{low} \setminus I \ D_{it} \leq \iota_{high} \right) \times I \ D_{it}$	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>		(0.14)		
R2 adjusted	0.154	0.156	0.157	0.158		
Number observations	81,307	81,307	81,307	81,307		

Panel data fixed effects with robust errors. Dependent variable LLPTA_{it}: total loan loss provisions deflated by firm's total assets. Independent variables: ILTA_{it}: impaired loans to total assets; LTA_{it}: loans to total assets; PB_{it}: profit before tax and loan loss provision deflated by total assets; TCR_{it}: total capital ratio; SIZE_{it}: natural logarithm of total assets; GDPG_t: GDP growth; $D_{it}(PB_{it} > 0) \times PB_{it}$: interaction between a dummy variable (1: PB_{it} is greater than zero; 0: otherwise) and PB_{it}; $D_{it}(PB_{it} > \tau_{high}) \times PB_{it}$: interaction between a dummy variable (1: PB_{it} is greater than the higher threshold, which is endogenously estimated with a value of 3.64%; 0: otherwise) and PB_{it}; $D_{it}(PB_{it} \le \tau_{low}) \times PB_{it}$: interaction between a dummy variable (1: PB_{it} is lower than the lower threshold, which is endogenously estimated with a value of 1.81%; 0: otherwise) and PB_{it}. The remaining interactions between the corresponding dummy variable and PB_{it} can be easily interpreted, given the former definitions. Robust *p*-values in brackets.

Finally, Figure 2 relates the nonlinear patterns estimated from Model IV to the dynamics suggested by regression analysis on the earnings deciles in the previous subsection. We can observe that the threshold estimates identify three regions in which,

essentially, the propensity to use LLP is, on average, remarkably negative, mild negative, and positive. Since the threshold regression assume discrete regimes, we observe that the smooth, continuous pattern that the decile-regression evidences is captured through coefficient estimates that average the different propensities, basically capturing an upward trend in the propensity to smooth.

Figure 2. Estimated coefficients in the decile pooled time-series cross-sectional regressions in equation (3) together with the estimates from Model IV. The dotted and dashed-dotted lines show, respectively, the position of the lower and upper estimated threshold values. The figures related to each regime are the values of the estimated coefficients of LLP on earnings in Model IV.



5. Concluding remarks

In this paper, we have discussed the existence of non-linear patterns that characterize the systematic response of the loan-loss provision to the profit before taxes. The central point in this paper is that discretionary accruals, such as loan-loss provisioning, may, in practice, exhibit nonlinear patterns on earnings stemming from different managerial incentives to use accruals as a tool to either increase or decrease reported earnings depending on the sign and the magnitude of this variable. This

hypothesis can be founded in the existence of thresholds embedded in performancebased compensation plans that determine the expected payments of bank managers. While extending the analysis to accommodate possible nonlinearities is straightforward, neglecting such patterns in the empirical analysis may result in misleading conclusions to the existence and the extent of earnings management strategies.

Our empirical analysis on the US banking industry reveals the existence of strong nonlinear patterns between loan-loss provisioning and earnings that suggest that bank managers engage actively in different earnings management strategies as a function of the magnitude of earnings. We find strong evidence suggesting that incomesmoothing practices are systemically applied on the largest earnings, but the hypothesis of the overall predominance of this behavior is largely rejected. According to our results, earnings-increasing behaviors are a more likely behavior than any other earnings management strategy when earnings are positive, while loan-loss provisions tend to be overstated to increase the size of reported losses when earnings are negative.

These conclusions provide indirect evidence that supports the main claims in the compensation theory. Our analysis does not use specific information on compensation plans. This is an issue for further research, since data on executive compensation would help to understand the reasons underlying the smoothing practices. However the empirical analysis of this issue would have to be limited to the sample of listed banks, thus limiting the scope of the threshold analysis. Even without those data, common patterns at the individual level emerge on average and can be identified from panel data using nonlinear regression techniques. If the analysis does not allow for the possibility of nonlinear patterns, the overall evidence from a linear regression model supports the income-smoothing hypothesis because of the strong influence of the largest observations.

A major conclusion from this paper, therefore, is that neglected nonlinear patterns can affect the results from standard analyses, which perhaps may explain some of the contradictory findings observed in the previous literature. A further generalization of the empirical analysis presented in this paper may consider so-called Smooth Transition Regression that models transition from one regime to another as a continuous process dependent on the transition variable. The evidence in this paper seems to point

out that the characteristic response of loan-loss provisioning to the size of the earnings variable may be better characterized in such terms. This interesting topic is left for future research.

References

- Abowd, J. and Bognanno, M. (1995). "International Differences in Executive and Managerial Compensation," in R. Freeman, and L. Katz, ed., *Differences and Changes in Wage Structures*, Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, 67-103.
- Ahmed, A.S., Takeda, C. and Thomas, S. (1999). "Bank Loan Loss Provisions: a Reexamination of Capital Management, Earnings Management and Signalling Effects", *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 28 (1), 1-25.
- Anderson, K. Woodhouse, K., Ramsay, A. and Faff, R. (2009). "Testing for Asymmetric Effects in the Accrual Anomaly Using Piecewise Linear Regressions: Australian Evidence", *Pacific Accounting Review*, 21 (1), 5-25.
- Balboa, M., López-Espinosa, G. and Rubia, A. (2010). "International Accouting Differences and Earnings Smoothing in the Banking Industry", Working Paper 516, Fundación de las Cajas de Ahorro.
- Ball, R., Kothari, S.P. and Robin, A. (2000). "The Effect of International Institutional Factors on Properties of Accounting Earnings", *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 29, 1-51.
- Ball, R. and Shivakumar, L. (2005). "Earnings Quality in U.K. Private Firms: Comparative Loss Recognition Timeliness", *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 39, 83-128.
- Ball, R. and Shivakumar, L. (2006). "The Role of Accruals in Asymmetrically Timely Gain and Loss Recognition", *Journal of Accounting Research*, 44 (2), 207-242.
- Balsam, S. (1998). "Discretionary Accounting Choices and CEO Compensation", Contemporary Accounting Research, 15 (3), 229-252.
- Barro, R. and Barro, J. (1990). "Pay, Performance, and Turnover of Bank CEOs", Journal of Labor Economics, 8, 448-481.
- Basu, S. (1997). "The Conservatism Principle and Asymmetric Timeliness of Earnings", *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 24, 3-37.
- Beatty, A., Chamberlain, S. and Magliolo, J. (1995). "Managing Financial Reports of Commercial Banks: The Influence of Taxes, Regulatory Capital and Earnings", *Journal of Accounting Research*, 33 (2), 231-262.

- Bebchuk, L., (2009). "Written Testimony, Hearing on Compensation Structures and Systemic Risk, June 11, 2009, Committee on Financial Services, U.S. House of Representatives", Harvard Law School.
- Bergstresser, D. and Philippon, T. (2006). "CEO Incentives and Earnings Management", *Journal of Financial Economics*, 80, 511-529.
- Bhat, V.N. (1996). "Banks and Income Smoothing: an Empirical Analysis", *Applied Financial Economics*, 6 (6), 505–510.
- Bikker, J.A. and Metzemakers, P.A.J. (2005). "Bank Provisioning Behaviour and Procyclicality", *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money*, 15 (2) 141–157.
- Bouvatier, V. and Lepetit, L. (2008). "Banks' Procyclical Behavior: Does Provisioning Matter?", *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money*, 18 (5), 513–526.
- Brady, M. and Sinkey, J.F. (1988). "Bank Loan-Loss Provisions and the Income-Smoothing Hypothesis: An Empirical Analysis, 1976-1984", *Journal of Financial Service Research*, 1 (4), 301-318.
- Cameron, A.C., Gelbach, J.B. and Miller, D.L. (2011). "Robust Inference with Multi-way Clustering", *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, 29(2), 238-249.
- Caner, M. and Hansen, B.E., (2004). "Instrumental Variable Estimation of a Threshold Model", *Econometric Theory*, 20: 813-843.
- Chan, K.S. (1993). "Consistency and Limiting Distribution of the Least Squares Estimator of a Threshold Autoregressive Model", *Annals of Statistics*, 21, 520-533.
- Cheng, Q. and Warfield, T.D., (2005). "Equity Incentives and Earnings Management", *Accounting Review*, 80, 441–476.
- Cohen, D.A., Dey, A. and Lys, T.Z. (2004). "Trends in Earnings Management and Informativeness of Earnings Announcements in the Pre- and Post-Sarbanes Oxley Periods", Working Paper. Northwestern University.
- Collins, J.H., Shackelford, D.A. and Wahlen, J.M. (1995). "Bank Differences in the Coordination of Regulatory Capital, Earnings and Taxes", *Journal of Accounting Research*, 33 (2), 263-291.
- Cornett, M.M., McNut, J.J. and Tehranian, H. (2009). "Corporate Governance and Earnings Management at Large U.S. Bank Holding Companies", *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 15, 412-430.

- DeAngelo, H., DeAngelo, L. and Skinner, D.J. (1994). "Accounting Choice in Troubled Companies", *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 17(1-2): 113-143.
- Degeorge, F., Patel, J. and Zechhauser, R.F. (1999). "Earnings Management to Exceed Thresholds", *The Journal of Business*, 72 (1), 1-34.
- Gao, P. and Shrieves, R.E. (2002). "Earnings Management and Executive Compensation: A Case of Overdose of Option and Underdose of Salary?", Working Paper. University of Tennessee.
- Gaver, J., Gaver, K. and Austin, J. (1995). "Additional Evidence on Bonus Plans and Income Management", *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 19, 3-28.
- Gow, I.D., Ormazabal, G. and Taylor, D.J. (2010). "Correcting for Cross-Sectional and Time-Series Dependence in Accounting Research", *The Accounting Review*, 85 (2), 483-512.
- Greenawalt, M.B. and Sinkey, J.F. (1988). "Bank Loan-Loss Provisions and the Income-Smoothing Hypothesis: An Empirical Analysis, 1976-1984", *Journal of Financial Services Research*, 1 (4), 301-318.
- Guidry, F., Leone, A.J. and Rock, S. (1999). "Earnings-bonus Plans and Earnings Management", *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 26, 113–142.
- Hansen, B.E. (1999). "Threshold Effects in Non-Dynamic Panels: Estimation, Testing, and Inference", *Journal of Econometrics*, 93, 345-368.
- Hansen, B.E. (2000). "Sample Splitting and Threshold Estimation", *Econometrica*, 68(3), 575-603.
- Healy, P.M. and Wahlen, J.M. (1999). "A Review of the Earnings Management Literature and Its Implications for Standard Setting", *Accounting Horizons*, 13 (4), 365-383.
- Healy, P.M., (1985). "The Effects of Bonus Schemes on Accounting Decision", *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 7 (1-3), 85-107.
- Holthausen, R.W., Larcker D.F. and Sloan, R.G. (1995). "Annual Bonus Schemes and the Manipulation of Earnings", *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 19, 29-74.
- Hsiao, C. (2003). Analysis of panel data: Second Edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom.
- Kaplan, R. (1985). "Comments on Paul Healy: Evidence on the Effect of Bonus Schemes on Accounting Procedures and Accrual Decisions", *Journal of Accounting* and Economics, 7, 109-113.

- Kanagaretnam, K., Lobo, G.J. and Mathieu, R. (2003). "Managerial Incentives for Income Smoothing Through Bank Loan Loss Provisions", *Review of Quantitative Finance and Accounting*, 20 (1), 63-80.
- Kirschenheiter, M. and Melumad, N.D. (2002). "Can "Big Bath" and Earnings Smoothing Co-Exist as Equilibrium Financial Reporting Strategies?", *Journal of Accounting Research*, 40, 761-796.
- Koch, T.W. and Wall, L.D. (1999). "The Use of Accruals to Manage Reported Earnings: Theory and Evidence", Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta, unpublished Working Paper.
- Kothari, S.P., Leone, A.J. and Wasley, C.E. (2005). "Performance Matched Discretionary Accrual Measures", *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 39(1), 163-197.
- Kraft, A., Leone, A.J. and Wasley, C. (2006). "An Analysis of the Theories and Explanations Offered for the Mispricing of Accruals and Accrual Components", *Journal of Accounting Research*, 44(2), 297-339.
- Laeven, L. and Majnoni, G. (2006). "Loan Loss Provisioning and Economic Slowdowns: Too Much, Too Late?", *Journal of Financial Intermediation*, 12 (2), 178–197.
- Leuz, C., Nanda, D. and Wysocki, P. (2003). "Investor Protection and Earnings Management: An International Comparison", *Journal of Financial Economics*, 69, 505-527.
- Lobo, G. and Yang, D., (2001). "Bank Managers' Heterogeneous Decisions on Discretionary Loan Loss Provisions", *Review of Quantitative Finance and Accounting*, 16 (3), 223-250.
- Ma, C.K. (1988). "Loan Loss Reserves and Income Smoothing: the Experience in the U.S. Banking Industry", *Journal of Business, Finance and Accounting*, 15 (4), 487-497.
- Moreira, J.A.C and Pope, P.F. (2007). "Piecewise Linear Accrual Models: do They Really Control for the Asymmetric Recognition of Gains and Losses?", Working Paper 0703. Universidade do Porto.
- Moyer, S.E. (1990). "Capital Adequacy Ratio Regulations and Accounting Choices in Commercial Banks", *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 13 (2), 123-154.

- Murphy, J.M. (1999). "Executive Compensation", Orley Ashenfelter and David Card (eds.), *Handbook of Labor Economics*, Vol. 3.
- Petersen, M.A. (2009). "Estimating Standard Errors in Finance Panel Data Sets: Comparing Approaches", *Review of Financial Studies*, 22, 435-480.
- Pourciau, S. (1993). "Earnings Management and Nonroutine Executive Changes", Journal of Accounting and Economics, 16, 317-36.
- Scheiner, J.H., (1981). "Income Smoothing: An Analysis in the Banking Industry", *Journal of Bank Research*, summer issue, 119-123.
- Shleifer, A. and Vishny, R. (1997). "A Survey of Corporate Governance", *Journal of Finance*, 52, 737-783.
- Shuto, A. (2007). "Executive Compensation and Earnings Management: Empirical Evidence From Japan", *Journal of International Accounting*, Auditing and Taxation, 16, 1-26.
- Sloan, R.G. (1993). "Accounting Earnings and Top Executive Compensation", *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 16 (1-3), 55-100.
- Thompson, S. (2011). "A Simple Formula for Standard Errors that Cluster by Both Firm and Time", *Journal of Financial Economics*, 99 (1), 1-10.
- Tong, H. (1983). "Threshold Models in Nonlinear Time Series Analysis", Lecture Notes in Statistics 21. Springer, New York.
- Tong, H. (1990). Non-linear Time Series. Vol. 6, Oxford Statistical Science Series. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York.
- Watts, R., and Zimmerman, J. (1986). Positive Accounting Theory. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Wetmore, J.L. and Brick, J.R. (1994). "Loan Loss Provisions of Commercial Banks and Adequate Disclosure: A Note", *Journal of Economics and Business*, 46 (4), 299-305.
- Zingales, L. (1994). "The Value of the Voting Right: A Study of the Milan Stock Exchange Experience", *Review of Financial Studies*, 7, 125-148

FUNDACIÓN DE LAS CAJAS DE AHORROS

DOCUMENTOS DE TRABAJO

Últimos números publicados

159/2000	Participación privada en la construcción y explotación de carreteras de peaje Ginés de Rus, Manuel Romero y Lourdes Trujillo
160/2000	Errores y posibles soluciones en la aplicación del <i>Value at Risk</i> Mariano González Sánchez
161/2000	Tax neutrality on saving assets. The spahish case before and after the tax reform Cristina Ruza y de Paz-Curbera
162/2000	Private rates of return to human capital in Spain: new evidence F. Barceinas, J. Oliver-Alonso, J.L. Raymond y J.L. Roig-Sabaté
163/2000	El control interno del riesgo. Una propuesta de sistema de límites riesgo neutral Mariano González Sánchez
164/2001	La evolución de las políticas de gasto de las Administraciones Públicas en los años 90 Alfonso Utrilla de la Hoz y Carmen Pérez Esparrells
165/2001	Bank cost efficiency and output specification Emili Tortosa-Ausina
166/2001	Recent trends in Spanish income distribution: A robust picture of falling income inequality Josep Oliver-Alonso, Xavier Ramos y José Luis Raymond-Bara
167/2001	Efectos redistributivos y sobre el bienestar social del tratamiento de las cargas familiares en el nuevo IRPF Nuria Badenes Plá, Julio López Laborda, Jorge Onrubia Fernández
168/2001	The Effects of Bank Debt on Financial Structure of Small and Medium Firms in some European Countries Mónica Melle-Hernández
169/2001	La política de cohesión de la UE ampliada: la perspectiva de España Ismael Sanz Labrador
170/2002	Riesgo de liquidez de Mercado Mariano González Sánchez
171/2002	Los costes de administración para el afiliado en los sistemas de pensiones basados en cuentas de capitalización individual: medida y comparación internacional. José Enrique Devesa Carpio, Rosa Rodríguez Barrera, Carlos Vidal Meliá
172/2002	La encuesta continua de presupuestos familiares (1985-1996): descripción, representatividad y propuestas de metodología para la explotación de la información de los ingresos y el gasto. Llorenc Pou, Joaquín Alegre
173/2002	Modelos paramétricos y no paramétricos en problemas de concesión de tarjetas de credito. Rosa Puertas, María Bonilla, Ignacio Olmeda

174/2002	Mercado único, comercio intra-industrial y costes de ajuste en las manufacturas españolas. José Vicente Blanes Cristóbal
175/2003	La Administración tributaria en España. Un análisis de la gestión a través de los ingresos y de los gastos. Juan de Dios Jiménez Aguilera, Pedro Enrique Barrilao González
176/2003	The Falling Share of Cash Payments in Spain. Santiago Carbó Valverde, Rafael López del Paso, David B. Humphrey Publicado en "Moneda y Crédito" nº 217, pags. 167-189.
177/2003	Effects of ATMs and Electronic Payments on Banking Costs: The Spanish Case. Santiago Carbó Valverde, Rafael López del Paso, David B. Humphrey
178/2003	Factors explaining the interest margin in the banking sectors of the European Union. Joaquín Maudos y Juan Fernández Guevara
179/2003	Los planes de stock options para directivos y consejeros y su valoración por el mercado de valores en España. Mónica Melle Hernández
180/2003	Ownership and Performance in Europe and US Banking – A comparison of Commercial, Cooperative & Savings Banks. Yener Altunbas, Santiago Carbó y Phil Molyneux
181/2003	The Euro effect on the integration of the European stock markets. Mónica Melle Hernández
182/2004	In search of complementarity in the innovation strategy: international R&D and external knowledge acquisition. Bruno Cassiman, Reinhilde Veugelers
183/2004	Fijación de precios en el sector público: una aplicación para el servicio municipal de suministro de agua. Mª Ángeles García Valiñas
184/2004	Estimación de la economía sumergida es España: un modelo estructural de variables latentes. Ángel Alañón Pardo, Miguel Gómez de Antonio
185/2004	Causas políticas y consecuencias sociales de la corrupción. Joan Oriol Prats Cabrera
186/2004	Loan bankers' decisions and sensitivity to the audit report using the belief revision model. Andrés Guiral Contreras and José A. Gonzalo Angulo
187/2004	El modelo de Black, Derman y Toy en la práctica. Aplicación al mercado español. Marta Tolentino García-Abadillo y Antonio Díaz Pérez
188/2004	Does market competition make banks perform well?. Mónica Melle
189/2004	Efficiency differences among banks: external, technical, internal, and managerial Santiago Carbó Valverde, David B. Humphrey y Rafael López del Paso

190/2004	Una aproximación al análisis de los costes de la esquizofrenia en españa: los modelos jerárquicos bayesianos F. J. Vázquez-Polo, M. A. Negrín, J. M. Cavasés, E. Sánchez y grupo RIRAG
191/2004	Environmental proactivity and business performance: an empirical analysis Javier González-Benito y Óscar González-Benito
192/2004	Economic risk to beneficiaries in notional defined contribution accounts (NDCs) Carlos Vidal-Meliá, Inmaculada Domínguez-Fabian y José Enrique Devesa-Carpio
193/2004	Sources of efficiency gains in port reform: non parametric malmquist decomposition tfp in- dex for Mexico Antonio Estache, Beatriz Tovar de la Fé y Lourdes Trujillo
194/2004	Persistencia de resultados en los fondos de inversión españoles Alfredo Ciriaco Fernández y Rafael Santamaría Aquilué
195/2005	El modelo de revisión de creencias como aproximación psicológica a la formación del juicio del auditor sobre la gestión continuada Andrés Guiral Contreras y Francisco Esteso Sánchez
196/2005	La nueva financiación sanitaria en España: descentralización y prospectiva David Cantarero Prieto
197/2005	A cointegration analysis of the Long-Run supply response of Spanish agriculture to the common agricultural policy José A. Mendez, Ricardo Mora y Carlos San Juan
198/2005	¿Refleja la estructura temporal de los tipos de interés del mercado español preferencia por la liquidez? Magdalena Massot Perelló y Juan M. Nave
199/2005	Análisis de impacto de los Fondos Estructurales Europeos recibidos por una economía regional: Un enfoque a través de Matrices de Contabilidad Social M. Carmen Lima y M. Alejandro Cardenete
200/2005	Does the development of non-cash payments affect monetary policy transmission? Santiago Carbó Valverde y Rafael López del Paso
201/2005	Firm and time varying technical and allocative efficiency: an application for port cargo handling firms Ana Rodríguez-Álvarez, Beatriz Tovar de la Fe y Lourdes Trujillo
202/2005	Contractual complexity in strategic alliances Jeffrey J. Reuer y Africa Ariño
203/2005	Factores determinantes de la evolución del empleo en las empresas adquiridas por opa Nuria Alcalde Fradejas y Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar
204/2005	Nonlinear Forecasting in Economics: a comparison between Comprehension Approach versus Learning Approach. An Application to Spanish Time Series Elena Olmedo, Juan M. Valderas, Ricardo Gimeno and Lorenzo Escot

205/2005	Precio de la tierra con presión urbana: un modelo para España Esther Decimavilla, Carlos San Juan y Stefan Sperlich
206/2005	Interregional migration in Spain: a semiparametric analysis Adolfo Maza y José Villaverde
207/2005	Productivity growth in European banking Carmen Murillo-Melchor, José Manuel Pastor y Emili Tortosa-Ausina
208/2005	Explaining Bank Cost Efficiency in Europe: Environmental and Productivity Influences. Santiago Carbó Valverde, David B. Humphrey y Rafael López del Paso
209/2005	La elasticidad de sustitución intertemporal con preferencias no separables intratemporalmente: los casos de Alemania, España y Francia. Elena Márquez de la Cruz, Ana R. Martínez Cañete y Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar
210/2005	Contribución de los efectos tamaño, book-to-market y momentum a la valoración de activos: el caso español. Begoña Font-Belaire y Alfredo Juan Grau-Grau
211/2005	Permanent income, convergence and inequality among countries José M. Pastor and Lorenzo Serrano
212/2005	The Latin Model of Welfare: Do 'Insertion Contracts' Reduce Long-Term Dependence? Luis Ayala and Magdalena Rodríguez
213/2005	The effect of geographic expansion on the productivity of Spanish savings banks Manuel Illueca, José M. Pastor and Emili Tortosa-Ausina
214/2005	Dynamic network interconnection under consumer switching costs Ángel Luis López Rodríguez
215/2005	La influencia del entorno socioeconómico en la realización de estudios universitarios: una aproximación al caso español en la década de los noventa Marta Rahona López
216/2005	The valuation of spanish ipos: efficiency analysis Susana Álvarez Otero
217/2005	On the generation of a regular multi-input multi-output technology using parametric output distance functions Sergio Perelman and Daniel Santin
218/2005	La gobernanza de los procesos parlamentarios: la organización industrial del congreso de los diputados en España Gonzalo Caballero Miguez
219/2005	Determinants of bank market structure: Efficiency and political economy variables Francisco González
220/2005	Agresividad de las órdenes introducidas en el mercado español: estrategias, determinantes y medidas de performance David Abad Díaz

221/2005	Tendencia post-anuncio de resultados contables: evidencia para el mercado español Carlos Forner Rodríguez, Joaquín Marhuenda Fructuoso y Sonia Sanabria García
222/2005	Human capital accumulation and geography: empirical evidence in the European Union Jesús López-Rodríguez, J. Andrés Faíña y Jose Lopez Rodríguez
223/2005	Auditors' Forecasting in Going Concern Decisions: Framing, Confidence and Information Processing Waymond Rodgers and Andrés Guiral
224/2005	The effect of Structural Fund spending on the Galician region: an assessment of the 1994-1999 and 2000-2006 Galician CSFs José Ramón Cancelo de la Torre, J. Andrés Faíña and Jesús López-Rodríguez
225/2005	The effects of ownership structure and board composition on the audit committee activity: Spanish evidence Carlos Fernández Méndez and Rubén Arrondo García
226/2005	Cross-country determinants of bank income smoothing by managing loan loss provisions Ana Rosa Fonseca and Francisco González
227/2005	Incumplimiento fiscal en el irpf (1993-2000): un análisis de sus factores determinantes Alejandro Estellér Moré
228/2005	Region versus Industry effects: volatility transmission Pilar Soriano Felipe and Francisco J. Climent Diranzo
229/2005	Concurrent Engineering: The Moderating Effect Of Uncertainty On New Product Development Success Daniel Vázquez-Bustelo and Sandra Valle
230/2005	On zero lower bound traps: a framework for the analysis of monetary policy in the 'age' of central banks Alfonso Palacio-Vera
231/2005	Reconciling Sustainability and Discounting in Cost Benefit Analysis: a methodological proposal M. Carmen Almansa Sáez and Javier Calatrava Requena
232/2005	Can The Excess Of Liquidity Affect The Effectiveness Of The European Monetary Policy? Santiago Carbó Valverde and Rafael López del Paso
233/2005	Inheritance Taxes In The Eu Fiscal Systems: The Present Situation And Future Perspectives. Miguel Angel Barberán Lahuerta
234/2006	Bank Ownership And Informativeness Of Earnings. Víctor M. González
235/2006	Developing A Predictive Method: A Comparative Study Of The Partial Least Squares Vs Maximum Likelihood Techniques. Waymond Rodgers, Paul Pavlou and Andres Guiral.
236/2006	Using Compromise Programming for Macroeconomic Policy Making in a General Equilibrium Framework: Theory and Application to the Spanish Economy. Francisco J. André, M. Alejandro Cardenete y Carlos Romero.

237/2006	Bank Market Power And Sme Financing Constraints. Santiago Carbó-Valverde, Francisco Rodríguez-Fernández y Gregory F. Udell.
238/2006	Trade Effects Of Monetary Agreements: Evidence For Oecd Countries. Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero y José Antonio Martínez-Serrano.
239/2006	The Quality Of Institutions: A Genetic Programming Approach. Marcos Álvarez-Díaz y Gonzalo Caballero Miguez.
240/2006	La interacción entre el éxito competitivo y las condiciones del mercado doméstico como determinantes de la decisión de exportación en las Pymes. Francisco García Pérez.
241/2006	Una estimación de la depreciación del capital humano por sectores, por ocupación y en el tiempo. Inés P. Murillo.
242/2006	Consumption And Leisure Externalities, Economic Growth And Equilibrium Efficiency. Manuel A. Gómez.
243/2006	Measuring efficiency in education: an analysis of different approaches for incorporating non-discretionary inputs. Jose Manuel Cordero-Ferrera, Francisco Pedraja-Chaparro y Javier Salinas-Jiménez
244/2006	Did The European Exchange-Rate Mechanism Contribute To The Integration Of Peripheral Countries?. Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero y José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
245/2006	Intergenerational Health Mobility: An Empirical Approach Based On The Echp. Marta Pascual and David Cantarero
246/2006	Measurement and analysis of the Spanish Stock Exchange using the Lyapunov exponent with digital technology. Salvador Rojí Ferrari and Ana Gonzalez Marcos
247/2006	Testing For Structural Breaks In Variance Withadditive Outliers And Measurement Errors. Paulo M.M. Rodrigues and Antonio Rubia
248/2006	The Cost Of Market Power In Banking: Social Welfare Loss Vs. Cost Inefficiency. Joaquín Maudos and Juan Fernández de Guevara
249/2006	Elasticidades de largo plazo de la demanda de vivienda: evidencia para España (1885-2000). Desiderio Romero Jordán, José Félix Sanz Sanz y César Pérez López
250/2006	Regional Income Disparities in Europe: What role for location?. Jesús López-Rodríguez and J. Andrés Faíña
251/2006	Funciones abreviadas de bienestar social: Una forma sencilla de simultanear la medición de la eficiencia y la equidad de las políticas de gasto público. Nuria Badenes Plá y Daniel Santín González
252/2006	"The momentum effect in the Spanish stock market: Omitted risk factors or investor behaviour?". Luis Muga and Rafael Santamaría
253/2006	Dinámica de precios en el mercado español de gasolina: un equilibrio de colusión tácita. Jordi Perdiguero García

254/2006	Desigualdad regional en España: renta permanente versus renta corriente. José M.Pastor, Empar Pons y Lorenzo Serrano
255/2006	Environmental implications of organic food preferences: an application of the impure public goods model. Ana Maria Aldanondo-Ochoa y Carmen Almansa-Sáez
256/2006	Family tax credits versus family allowances when labour supply matters: Evidence for Spain. José Felix Sanz-Sanz, Desiderio Romero-Jordán y Santiago Álvarez-García
257/2006	La internacionalización de la empresa manufacturera española: efectos del capital humano genérico y específico. José López Rodríguez
258/2006	Evaluación de las migraciones interregionales en España, 1996-2004. María Martínez Torres
259/2006	Efficiency and market power in Spanish banking. Rolf Färe, Shawna Grosskopf y Emili Tortosa-Ausina.
260/2006	Asimetrías en volatilidad, beta y contagios entre las empresas grandes y pequeñas cotizadas en la bolsa española. Helena Chuliá y Hipòlit Torró.
261/2006	Birth Replacement Ratios: New Measures of Period Population Replacement. José Antonio Ortega.
262/2006	Accidentes de tráfico, víctimas mortales y consumo de alcohol. José Ma Arranz y Ana I. Gil.
263/2006	Análisis de la Presencia de la Mujer en los Consejos de Administración de las Mil Mayores Empresas Españolas. Ruth Mateos de Cabo, Lorenzo Escot Mangas y Ricardo Gimeno Nogués.
264/2006	Crisis y Reforma del Pacto de Estabilidad y Crecimiento. Las Limitaciones de la Política Económica en Europa. Ignacio Álvarez Peralta.
265/2006	Have Child Tax Allowances Affected Family Size? A Microdata Study For Spain (1996-2000). Jaime Vallés-Giménez y Anabel Zárate-Marco.
266/2006	Health Human Capital And The Shift From Foraging To Farming. Paolo Rungo.
267/2006	Financiación Autonómica y Política de la Competencia: El Mercado de Gasolina en Canarias. Juan Luis Jiménez y Jordi Perdiguero.
268/2006	El cumplimiento del Protocolo de Kyoto para los hogares españoles: el papel de la imposición sobre la energía. Desiderio Romero-Jordán y José Félix Sanz-Sanz.
269/2006	Banking competition, financial dependence and economic growth Joaquín Maudos y Juan Fernández de Guevara
270/2006	Efficiency, subsidies and environmental adaptation of animal farming under CAP Werner Kleinhanß, Carmen Murillo, Carlos San Juan y Stefan Sperlich

271/2006	Interest Groups, Incentives to Cooperation and Decision-Making Process in the European Union A. Garcia-Lorenzo y Jesús López-Rodríguez
272/2006	Riesgo asimétrico y estrategias de momentum en el mercado de valores español Luis Muga y Rafael Santamaría
273/2006	Valoración de capital-riesgo en proyectos de base tecnológica e innovadora a través de la teoría de opciones reales Gracia Rubio Martín
274/2006	Capital stock and unemployment: searching for the missing link Ana Rosa Martínez-Cañete, Elena Márquez de la Cruz, Alfonso Palacio-Vera and Inés Pérez- Soba Aguilar
275/2006	Study of the influence of the voters' political culture on vote decision through the simulation of a political competition problem in Spain Sagrario Lantarón, Isabel Lillo, Ma Dolores López and Javier Rodrigo
276/2006	Investment and growth in Europe during the Golden Age Antonio Cubel and M ^a Teresa Sanchis
277/2006	Efectos de vincular la pensión pública a la inversión en cantidad y calidad de hijos en un modelo de equilibrio general Robert Meneu Gaya
278/2006	El consumo y la valoración de activos Elena Márquez y Belén Nieto
279/2006	Economic growth and currency crisis: A real exchange rate entropic approach David Matesanz Gómez y Guillermo J. Ortega
280/2006	Three measures of returns to education: An illustration for the case of Spain María Arrazola y José de Hevia
281/2006	Composition of Firms versus Composition of Jobs Antoni Cunyat
282/2006	La vocación internacional de un holding tranviario belga: la Compagnie Mutuelle de Tramways, 1895-1918 Alberte Martínez López
283/2006	Una visión panorámica de las entidades de crédito en España en la última década. Constantino García Ramos
284/2006	Foreign Capital and Business Strategies: a comparative analysis of urban transport in Madrid and Barcelona, 1871-1925 Alberte Martínez López
285/2006	Los intereses belgas en la red ferroviaria catalana, 1890-1936 Alberte Martínez López
286/2006	The Governance of Quality: The Case of the Agrifood Brand Names Marta Fernández Barcala, Manuel González-Díaz y Emmanuel Raynaud
287/2006	Modelling the role of health status in the transition out of malthusian equilibrium Paolo Rungo, Luis Currais and Berta Rivera
288/2006	Industrial Effects of Climate Change Policies through the EU Emissions Trading Scheme Xavier Labandeira and Miguel Rodríguez

289/2006	Globalisation and the Composition of Government Spending: An analysis for OECD countries Norman Gemmell, Richard Kneller and Ismael Sanz
290/2006	La producción de energía eléctrica en España: Análisis económico de la actividad tras la liberalización del Sector Eléctrico Fernando Hernández Martínez
291/2006	Further considerations on the link between adjustment costs and the productivity of R&D investment: evidence for Spain Desiderio Romero-Jordán, José Félix Sanz-Sanz and Inmaculada Álvarez-Ayuso
292/2006	Una teoría sobre la contribución de la función de compras al rendimiento empresarial Javier González Benito
293/2006	Agility drivers, enablers and outcomes: empirical test of an integrated agile manufacturing model Daniel Vázquez-Bustelo, Lucía Avella and Esteban Fernández
294/2006	Testing the parametric vs the semiparametric generalized mixed effects models María José Lombardía and Stefan Sperlich
295/2006	Nonlinear dynamics in energy futures Mariano Matilla-García
296/2006	Estimating Spatial Models By Generalized Maximum Entropy Or How To Get Rid Of W Esteban Fernández Vázquez, Matías Mayor Fernández and Jorge Rodriguez-Valez
297/2006	Optimización fiscal en las transmisiones lucrativas: análisis metodológico Félix Domínguez Barrero
298/2006	La situación actual de la banca online en España Francisco José Climent Diranzo y Alexandre Momparler Pechuán
299/2006	Estrategia competitiva y rendimiento del negocio: el papel mediador de la estrategia y las capacidades productivas Javier González Benito y Isabel Suárez González
300/2006	A Parametric Model to Estimate Risk in a Fixed Income Portfolio Pilar Abad and Sonia Benito
301/2007	Análisis Empírico de las Preferencias Sociales Respecto del Gasto en Obra Social de las Cajas de Ahorros Alejandro Esteller-Moré, Jonathan Jorba Jiménez y Albert Solé-Ollé
302/2007	Assessing the enlargement and deepening of regional trading blocs: The European Union case Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero y José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
303/2007	¿Es la Franquicia un Medio de Financiación?: Evidencia para el Caso Español Vanesa Solís Rodríguez y Manuel González Díaz
304/2007	On the Finite-Sample Biases in Nonparametric Testing for Variance Constancy Paulo M.M. Rodrigues and Antonio Rubia
305/2007	Spain is Different: Relative Wages 1989-98 José Antonio Carrasco Gallego

306/2007	Poverty reduction and SAM multipliers: An evaluation of public policies in a regional framework Francisco Javier De Miguel-Vélez y Jesús Pérez-Mayo
307/2007	La Eficiencia en la Gestión del Riesgo de Crédito en las Cajas de Ahorro Marcelino Martínez Cabrera
308/2007	Optimal environmental policy in transport: unintended effects on consumers' generalized price M. Pilar Socorro and Ofelia Betancor
309/2007	Agricultural Productivity in the European Regions: Trends and Explanatory Factors Roberto Ezcurra, Belen Iráizoz, Pedro Pascual and Manuel Rapún
310/2007	Long-run Regional Population Divergence and Modern Economic Growth in Europe: a Case Study of Spain María Isabel Ayuda, Fernando Collantes and Vicente Pinilla
311/2007	Financial Information effects on the measurement of Commercial Banks' Efficiency Borja Amor, María T. Tascón and José L. Fanjul
312/2007	Neutralidad e incentivos de las inversiones financieras en el nuevo IRPF Félix Domínguez Barrero
313/2007	The Effects of Corporate Social Responsibility Perceptions on The Valuation of Common Stock Waymond Rodgers , Helen Choy and Andres Guiral-Contreras
314/2007	Country Creditor Rights, Information Sharing and Commercial Banks' Profitability Persistence across the world Borja Amor, María T. Tascón and José L. Fanjul
315/2007	¿Es Relevante el Déficit Corriente en una Unión Monetaria? El Caso Español Javier Blanco González y Ignacio del Rosal Fernández
316/2007	The Impact of Credit Rating Announcements on Spanish Corporate Fixed Income Performance: Returns, Yields and Liquidity Pilar Abad, Antonio Díaz and M. Dolores Robles
317/2007	Indicadores de Lealtad al Establecimiento y Formato Comercial Basados en la Distribución del Presupuesto Cesar Augusto Bustos Reyes y Óscar González Benito
318/2007	Migrants and Market Potential in Spain over The XXth Century: A Test Of The New Economic Geography Daniel A. Tirado, Jordi Pons, Elisenda Paluzie and Javier Silvestre
319/2007	El Impacto del Coste de Oportunidad de la Actividad Emprendedora en la Intención de los Ciudadanos Europeos de Crear Empresas Luis Miguel Zapico Aldeano
320/2007	Los belgas y los ferrocarriles de vía estrecha en España, 1887-1936 Alberte Martínez López
321/2007	Competición política bipartidista. Estudio geométrico del equilibrio en un caso ponderado Isabel Lillo, Mª Dolores López y Javier Rodrigo
322/2007	Human resource management and environment management systems: an empirical study Ma Concepción López Fernández, Ana Ma Serrano Bedia and Gema García Piqueres

323/2007	Wood and industrialization. evidence and hypotheses from the case of Spain, 1860-1935. Iñaki Iriarte-Goñi and María Isabel Ayuda Bosque
324/2007	New evidence on long-run monetary neutrality. J. Cunado, L.A. Gil-Alana and F. Perez de Gracia
325/2007	Monetary policy and structural changes in the volatility of us interest rates. Juncal Cuñado, Javier Gomez Biscarri and Fernando Perez de Gracia
326/2007	The productivity effects of intrafirm diffusion. Lucio Fuentelsaz, Jaime Gómez and Sergio Palomas
327/2007	Unemployment duration, layoffs and competing risks. J.M. Arranz, C. García-Serrano and L. Toharia
328/2007	El grado de cobertura del gasto público en España respecto a la UE-15 Nuria Rueda, Begoña Barruso, Carmen Calderón y Mª del Mar Herrador
329/2007	The Impact of Direct Subsidies in Spain before and after the CAP'92 Reform Carmen Murillo, Carlos San Juan and Stefan Sperlich
330/2007	Determinants of post-privatisation performance of Spanish divested firms Laura Cabeza García and Silvia Gómez Ansón
331/2007	¿Por qué deciden diversificar las empresas españolas? Razones oportunistas versus razones económicas Almudena Martínez Campillo
332/2007	Dynamical Hierarchical Tree in Currency Markets Juan Gabriel Brida, David Matesanz Gómez and Wiston Adrián Risso
333/2007	Los determinantes sociodemográficos del gasto sanitario. Análisis con microdatos individuales Ana María Angulo, Ramón Barberán, Pilar Egea y Jesús Mur
334/2007	Why do companies go private? The Spanish case Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar
335/2007	The use of gis to study transport for disabled people Verónica Cañal Fernández
336/2007	The long run consequences of M&A: An empirical application Cristina Bernad, Lucio Fuentelsaz and Jaime Gómez
337/2007	Las clasificaciones de materias en economía: principios para el desarrollo de una nueva clasificación Valentín Edo Hernández
338/2007	Reforming Taxes and Improving Health: A Revenue-Neutral Tax Reform to Eliminate Medical and Pharmaceutical VAT Santiago Álvarez-García, Carlos Pestana Barros y Juan Prieto-Rodriguez
339/2007	Impacts of an iron and steel plant on residential property values Celia Bilbao-Terol
340/2007	Firm size and capital structure: Evidence using dynamic panel data Víctor M. González and Francisco González

341/2007	¿Cómo organizar una cadena hotelera? La elección de la forma de gobierno Marta Fernández Barcala y Manuel González Díaz
342/2007	Análisis de los efectos de la decisión de diversificar: un contraste del marco teórico "Agencia- Stewardship" Almudena Martínez Campillo y Roberto Fernández Gago
343/2007	Selecting portfolios given multiple eurostoxx-based uncertainty scenarios: a stochastic goal programming approach from fuzzy betas Enrique Ballestero, Blanca Pérez-Gladish, Mar Arenas-Parra and Amelia Bilbao-Terol
344/2007	"El bienestar de los inmigrantes y los factores implicados en la decisión de emigrar" Anastasia Hernández Alemán y Carmelo J. León
345/2007	Governance Decisions in the R&D Process: An Integrative Framework Based on TCT and Knowledge View of The Firm. Andrea Martínez-Noya and Esteban García-Canal
346/2007	Diferencias salariales entre empresas públicas y privadas. El caso español Begoña Cueto y Nuria Sánchez- Sánchez
347/2007	Effects of Fiscal Treatments of Second Home Ownership on Renting Supply Celia Bilbao Terol and Juan Prieto Rodríguez
348/2007	Auditors' ethical dilemmas in the going concern evaluation Andres Guiral, Waymond Rodgers, Emiliano Ruiz and Jose A. Gonzalo
349/2007	Convergencia en capital humano en España. Un análisis regional para el periodo 1970-2004 Susana Morales Sequera y Carmen Pérez Esparrells
350/2007	Socially responsible investment: mutual funds portfolio selection using fuzzy multiobjective programming Blanca Ma Pérez-Gladish, Mar Arenas-Parra , Amelia Bilbao-Terol and Ma Victoria Rodríguez-Uría
351/2007	Persistencia del resultado contable y sus componentes: implicaciones de la medida de ajustes por devengo Raúl Iñiguez Sánchez y Francisco Poveda Fuentes
352/2007	Wage Inequality and Globalisation: What can we Learn from the Past? A General Equilibrium Approach Concha Betrán, Javier Ferri and Maria A. Pons
353/2007	Eficacia de los incentivos fiscales a la inversión en I+D en España en los años noventa Desiderio Romero Jordán y José Félix Sanz Sanz
354/2007	Convergencia regional en renta y bienestar en España Robert Meneu Gaya
355/2007	Tributación ambiental: Estado de la Cuestión y Experiencia en España Ana Carrera Poncela
356/2007	Salient features of dependence in daily us stock market indices Luis A. Gil-Alana, Juncal Cuñado and Fernando Pérez de Gracia
357/2007	La educación superior: ¿un gasto o una inversión rentable para el sector público? Inés P. Murillo y Francisco Pedraja

358/2007	Effects of a reduction of working hours on a model with job creation and job destruction Emilio Domínguez, Miren Ullibarri y Idoya Zabaleta
359/2007	Stock split size, signaling and earnings management: Evidence from the Spanish market José Yagüe, J. Carlos Gómez-Sala and Francisco Poveda-Fuentes
360/2007	Modelización de las expectativas y estrategias de inversión en mercados de derivados Begoña Font-Belaire
361/2008	Trade in capital goods during the golden age, 1953-1973 Ma Teresa Sanchis and Antonio Cubel
362/2008	El capital económico por riesgo operacional: una aplicación del modelo de distribución de pérdidas Enrique José Jiménez Rodríguez y José Manuel Feria Domínguez
363/2008	The drivers of effectiveness in competition policy Joan-Ramon Borrell and Juan-Luis Jiménez
364/2008	Corporate governance structure and board of directors remuneration policies: evidence from Spain Carlos Fernández Méndez, Rubén Arrondo García and Enrique Fernández Rodríguez
365/2008	Beyond the disciplinary role of governance: how boards and donors add value to Spanish foundations Pablo De Andrés Alonso, Valentín Azofra Palenzuela y M. Elena Romero Merino
366/2008	Complejidad y perfeccionamiento contractual para la contención del oportunismo en los acuerdos de franquicia Vanesa Solís Rodríguez y Manuel González Díaz
367/2008	Inestabilidad y convergencia entre las regiones europeas Jesús Mur, Fernando López y Ana Angulo
368/2008	Análisis espacial del cierre de explotaciones agrarias Ana Aldanondo Ochoa, Carmen Almansa Sáez y Valero Casanovas Oliva
369/2008	Cross-Country Efficiency Comparison between Italian and Spanish Public Universities in the period 2000-2005 Tommaso Agasisti and Carmen Pérez Esparrells
370/2008	El desarrollo de la sociedad de la información en España: un análisis por comunidades autónomas María Concepción García Jiménez y José Luis Gómez Barroso
371/2008	El medioambiente y los objetivos de fabricación: un análisis de los modelos estratégicos para su consecución Lucía Avella Camarero, Esteban Fernández Sánchez y Daniel Vázquez-Bustelo
372/2008	Influence of bank concentration and institutions on capital structure: New international evidence Víctor M. González and Francisco González
373/2008	Generalización del concepto de equilibrio en juegos de competición política Mª Dolores López González y Javier Rodrigo Hitos
374/2008	Smooth Transition from Fixed Effects to Mixed Effects Models in Multi-level regression Models María José Lombardía and Stefan Sperlich

375/2008	A Revenue-Neutral Tax Reform to Increase Demand for Public Transport Services Carlos Pestana Barros and Juan Prieto-Rodriguez
376/2008	Measurement of intra-distribution dynamics: An application of different approaches to the European regions Adolfo Maza, María Hierro and José Villaverde
377/2008	Migración interna de extranjeros y ¿nueva fase en la convergencia? María Hierro y Adolfo Maza
378/2008	Efectos de la Reforma del Sector Eléctrico: Modelización Teórica y Experiencia Internacional Ciro Eduardo Bazán Navarro
379/2008	A Non-Parametric Independence Test Using Permutation Entropy Mariano Matilla-García and Manuel Ruiz Marín
380/2008	Testing for the General Fractional Unit Root Hypothesis in the Time Domain Uwe Hassler, Paulo M.M. Rodrigues and Antonio Rubia
381/2008	Multivariate gram-charlier densities Esther B. Del Brio, Trino-Manuel Ñíguez and Javier Perote
382/2008	Analyzing Semiparametrically the Trends in the Gender Pay Gap - The Example of Spain Ignacio Moral-Arce, Stefan Sperlich, Ana I. Fernández-Saínz and Maria J. Roca
383/2008	A Cost-Benefit Analysis of a Two-Sided Card Market Santiago Carbó Valverde, David B. Humphrey, José Manuel Liñares Zegarra and Francisco Ro- driguez Fernandez
384/2008	A Fuzzy Bicriteria Approach for Journal Deselection in a Hospital Library M. L. López-Avello, M. V. Rodríguez-Uría, B. Pérez-Gladish, A. Bilbao-Terol, M. Arenas-Parra
385/2008	Valoración de las grandes corporaciones farmaceúticas, a través del análisis de sus principales intangibles, con el método de opciones reales Gracia Rubio Martín y Prosper Lamothe Fernández
386/2008	El marketing interno como impulsor de las habilidades comerciales de las pyme españolas: efectos en los resultados empresariales Mª Leticia Santos Vijande, Mª José Sanzo Pérez, Nuria García Rodríguez y Juan A. Trespalacios Gutiérrez
387/2008	Understanding Warrants Pricing: A case study of the financial market in Spain David Abad y Belén Nieto
388/2008	Aglomeración espacial, Potencial de Mercado y Geografía Económica: Una revisión de la literatura Jesús López-Rodríguez y J. Andrés Faíña
389/2008	An empirical assessment of the impact of switching costs and first mover advantages on firm performance Jaime Gómez, Juan Pablo Maícas
390/2008	Tender offers in Spain: testing the wave Ana R. Martínez-Cañete y Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar

391/2008	La integración del mercado español a finales del siglo XIX: los precios del trigo entre 1891 y 1905 Mariano Matilla García, Pedro Pérez Pascual y Basilio Sanz Carnero
392/2008	Cuando el tamaño importa: estudio sobre la influencia de los sujetos políticos en la balanza de bienes y servicios Alfonso Echazarra de Gregorio
393/2008	Una visión cooperativa de las medidas ante el posible daño ambiental de la desalación Borja Montaño Sanz
394/2008	Efectos externos del endeudamiento sobre la calificación crediticia de las Comunidades Autónomas Andrés Leal Marcos y Julio López Laborda
395/2008	Technical efficiency and productivity changes in Spanish airports: A parametric distance functions approach Beatriz Tovar & Roberto Rendeiro Martín-Cejas
396/2008	Network analysis of exchange data: Interdependence drives crisis contagion David Matesanz Gómez & Guillermo J. Ortega
397/2008	Explaining the performance of Spanish privatised firms: a panel data approach Laura Cabeza Garcia and Silvia Gomez Anson
398/2008	Technological capabilities and the decision to outsource R&D services Andrea Martínez-Noya and Esteban García-Canal
399/2008	Hybrid Risk Adjustment for Pharmaceutical Benefits Manuel García-Goñi, Pere Ibern & José María Inoriza
400/2008	The Team Consensus–Performance Relationship and the Moderating Role of Team Diversity José Henrique Dieguez, Javier González-Benito and Jesús Galende
401/2008	The institutional determinants of CO_2 emissions: A computational modelling approach using Artificial Neural Networks and Genetic Programming Marcos Álvarez-Díaz , Gonzalo Caballero Miguez and Mario Soliño
402/2008	Alternative Approaches to Include Exogenous Variables in DEA Measures: A Comparison Using Monte Carlo José Manuel Cordero-Ferrera, Francisco Pedraja-Chaparro and Daniel Santín-González
403/2008	Efecto diferencial del capital humano en el crecimiento económico andaluz entre 1985 y 2004: comparación con el resto de España Mª del Pópulo Pablo-Romero Gil-Delgado y Mª de la Palma Gómez-Calero Valdés
404/2008	Análisis de fusiones, variaciones conjeturales y la falacia del estimador en diferencias Juan Luis Jiménez y Jordi Perdiguero
405/2008	Política fiscal en la uem: ¿basta con los estabilizadores automáticos? Jorge Uxó González y Mª Jesús Arroyo Fernández
406/2008	Papel de la orientación emprendedora y la orientación al mercado en el éxito de las empresas Óscar González-Benito, Javier González-Benito y Pablo A. Muñoz-Gallego
407/2008	La presión fiscal por impuesto sobre sociedades en la unión europea Elena Fernández Rodríguez, Antonio Martínez Arias y Santiago Álvarez García

408/2008	The environment as a determinant factor of the purchasing and supply strategy: an empirical analysis Dr. Javier González-Benito y MS Duilio Reis da Rocha
409/2008	Cooperation for innovation: the impact on innovatory effort Gloria Sánchez González and Liliana Herrera
410/2008	Spanish post-earnings announcement drift and behavioral finance models Carlos Forner and Sonia Sanabria
411/2008	Decision taking with external pressure: evidence on football manager dismissals in argentina and their consequences Ramón Flores, David Forrest and Juan de Dios Tena
412/2008	Comercio agrario latinoamericano, 1963-2000: aplicación de la ecuación gravitacional para flujos desagregados de comercio Raúl Serrano y Vicente Pinilla
413/2008	Voter heuristics in Spain: a descriptive approach elector decision José Luís Sáez Lozano and Antonio M. Jaime Castillo
414/2008	Análisis del efecto área de salud de residencia sobre la utilización y acceso a los servicios sanitarios en la Comunidad Autónoma Canaria Ignacio Abásolo Alessón, Lidia García Pérez, Raquel Aguiar Ibáñez y Asier Amador Robayna
415/2008	Impact on competitive balance from allowing foreign players in a sports league: an analytical model and an empirical test Ramón Flores, David Forrest & Juan de Dios Tena
416/2008	Organizational innovation and productivity growth: Assessing the impact of outsourcing on firm performance Alberto López
417/2008	Value Efficiency Analysis of Health Systems Eduardo González, Ana Cárcaba & Juan Ventura
418/2008	Equidad en la utilización de servicios sanitarios públicos por comunidades autónomas en España: un análisis multinivel Ignacio Abásolo, Jaime Pinilla, Miguel Negrín, Raquel Aguiar y Lidia García
419/2008	Piedras en el camino hacia Bolonia: efectos de la implantación del EEES sobre los resultados académicos Carmen Florido, Juan Luis Jiménez e Isabel Santana
420/2008	The welfare effects of the allocation of airlines to different terminals M. Pilar Socorro and Ofelia Betancor
421/2008	How bank capital buffers vary across countries. The influence of cost of deposits, market power and bank regulation Ana Rosa Fonseca and Francisco González
422/2008	Analysing health limitations in spain: an empirical approach based on the european community household panel Marta Pascual and David Cantarero

423/2008	Regional productivity variation and the impact of public capital stock: an analysis with spatial interaction, with reference to Spain Miguel Gómez-Antonio and Bernard Fingleton
424/2008	Average effect of training programs on the time needed to find a job. The case of the training schools program in the south of Spain (Seville, 1997-1999). José Manuel Cansino Muñoz-Repiso and Antonio Sánchez Braza
425/2008	Medición de la eficiencia y cambio en la productividad de las empresas distribuidoras de electricidad en Perú después de las reformas Raúl Pérez-Reyes y Beatriz Tovar
426/2008	Acercando posturas sobre el descuento ambiental: sondeo Delphi a expertos en el ámbito internacional Carmen Almansa Sáez y José Miguel Martínez Paz
427/2008	Determinants of abnormal liquidity after rating actions in the Corporate Debt Market Pilar Abad, Antonio Díaz and M. Dolores Robles
428/2008	Export led-growth and balance of payments constrained. New formalization applied to Cuban commercial regimes since 1960 David Matesanz Gómez, Guadalupe Fugarolas Álvarez-Ude and Isis Mañalich Gálvez
429/2008	La deuda implícita y el desequilibrio financiero-actuarial de un sistema de pensiones. El caso del régimen general de la seguridad social en España José Enrique Devesa Carpio y Mar Devesa Carpio
430/2008	Efectos de la descentralización fiscal sobre el precio de los carburantes en España Desiderio Romero Jordán, Marta Jorge García-Inés y Santiago Álvarez García
431/2008	Euro, firm size and export behavior Silviano Esteve-Pérez, Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero and José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
432/2008	Does social spending increase support for free trade in advanced democracies? Ismael Sanz, Ferran Martínez i Coma and Federico Steinberg
433/2008	Potencial de Mercado y Estructura Espacial de Salarios: El Caso de Colombia Jesús López-Rodríguez y Maria Cecilia Acevedo
434/2008	Persistence in Some Energy Futures Markets Juncal Cunado, Luis A. Gil-Alana and Fernando Pérez de Gracia
435/2008	La inserción financiera externa de la economía francesa: inversores institucionales y nueva gestión empresarial Ignacio Álvarez Peralta
436/2008	¿Flexibilidad o rigidez salarial en España?: un análisis a escala regional Ignacio Moral Arce y Adolfo Maza Fernández
437/2009	Intangible relationship-specific investments and the performance of r&d outsourcing agreements Andrea Martínez-Noya, Esteban García-Canal & Mauro F. Guillén
438/2009	Friendly or Controlling Boards? Pablo de Andrés Alonso & Juan Antonio Rodríguez Sanz

439/2009	La sociedad Trenor y Cía. (1838-1926): un modelo de negocio industrial en la España del siglo XIX Amparo Ruiz Llopis
440/2009	Continental bias in trade Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero & José Antonio Martínez Serrano
441/2009	Determining operational capital at risk: an empirical application to the retail banking Enrique José Jiménez-Rodríguez, José Manuel Feria-Domínguez & José Luis Martín-Marín
442/2009	Costes de mitigación y escenarios post-kyoto en España: un análisis de equilibro general para España Mikel González Ruiz de Eguino
443/2009	Las revistas españolas de economía en las bibliotecas universitarias: ranking, valoración del indicador y del sistema Valentín Edo Hernández
444/2009	Convergencia económica en España y coordinación de políticas económicas. un estudio basado en la estructura productiva de las CC.AA. Ana Cristina Mingorance Arnáiz
445/2009	Instrumentos de mercado para reducir emisiones de co2: un análisis de equilibrio general para España Mikel González Ruiz de Eguino
446/2009	El comercio intra e inter-regional del sector Turismo en España Carlos Llano y Tamara de la Mata
447/2009	Efectos del incremento del precio del petróleo en la economía española: Análisis de cointegración y de la política monetaria mediante reglas de Taylor Fernando Hernández Martínez
448/2009	Bologna Process and Expenditure on Higher Education: A Convergence Analysis of the EU-15 T. Agasisti, C. Pérez Esparrells, G. Catalano & S. Morales
449/2009	Global Economy Dynamics? Panel Data Approach to Spillover Effects Gregory Daco, Fernando Hernández Martínez & Li-Wu Hsu
450/2009	Pricing levered warrants with dilution using observable variables Isabel Abínzano & Javier F. Navas
451/2009	Information technologies and financial prformance: The effect of technology diffusion among competitors Lucio Fuentelsaz, Jaime Gómez & Sergio Palomas
452/2009	A Detailed Comparison of Value at Risk in International Stock Exchanges Pilar Abad & Sonia Benito
453/2009	Understanding offshoring: has Spain been an offshoring location in the nineties? Belén González-Díaz & Rosario Gandoy
454/2009	Outsourcing decision, product innovation and the spatial dimension: Evidence from the Spanish footwear industry José Antonio Belso-Martínez

455/2009	Does playing several competitions influence a team's league performance? Evidence from Spanish professional football Andrés J. Picazo-Tadeo & Francisco González-Gómez
456/2009	Does accessibility affect retail prices and competition? An empirical application Juan Luis Jiménez and Jordi Perdiguero
457/2009	Cash conversion cycle in smes Sonia Baños-Caballero, Pedro J. García-Teruel and Pedro Martínez-Solano
458/2009	Un estudio sobre el perfil de hogares endeudados y sobreendeudados: el caso de los hogares vascos Alazne Mujika Alberdi, Iñaki García Arrizabalaga y Juan José Gibaja Martíns
	Alaziic Wujika Alociul, maki Garcia Amzaoalaga y Juan Jose Gloaja Waltins
459/2009	Imposing monotonicity on outputs in parametric distance function estimations: with an application to the spanish educational production Sergio Perelman and Daniel Santin
460/2009	Key issues when using tax data for concentration analysis: an application to the Spanish wealth tax José Ma Durán-Cabré and Alejandro Esteller-Moré
	Jose W. Duran-Caure and Alejandro Esterier-Wore
461/2009	¿Se está rompiendo el mercado español? Una aplicación del enfoque de feldstein −horioka Saúl De Vicente Queijeiro□, José Luis Pérez Rivero□ y María Rosalía Vicente Cuervo□
462/2009	Financial condition, cost efficiency and the quality of local public services Manuel A. Muñiz \square & José L. Zafra \square \square
463/2009	Including non-cognitive outputs in a multidimensional evaluation of education production: an international comparison Marián García Valiñas & Manuel Antonio Muñiz Pérez
464/2009	A political look into budget deficits. The role of minority governments and oppositions Albert Falcó-Gimeno & Ignacio Jurado
465/2009	La simulación del cuadro de mando integral. Una herramienta de aprendizaje en la materia de contabilidad de gestión Elena Urquía Grande, Clara Isabel Muñoz Colomina y Elisa Isabel Cano Montero
466/2009	Análisis histórico de la importancia de la industria de la desalinización en España Borja Montaño Sanz
467/2009	The dynamics of trade and innovation: a joint approach Silviano Esteve-Pérez & Diego Rodríguez
468/2009	Measuring international reference-cycles Sonia de Lucas Santos, Inmaculada Álvarez Ayuso & Mª Jesús Delgado Rodríguez
469/2009	Measuring quality of life in Spanish municipalities Eduardo González Fidalgo, Ana Cárcaba García, Juan Ventura Victoria & Jesús García García
470/2009	¿Cómo se valoran las acciones españolas: en el mercado de capitales doméstico o en el europeo? Begoña Font Belaire y Alfredo Juan Grau Grau
471/2009	Patterns of e-commerce adoption and intensity. evidence for the european union-27 María Rosalía Vicente & Ana Jesús López

472/2009	On measuring the effect of demand uncertainty on costs: an application to port terminals Ana Rodríguez-Álvarez, Beatriz Tovar & Alan Wall
473/2009	Order of market entry, market and technological evolution and firm competitive performance Jaime Gomez, Gianvito Lanzolla & Juan Pablo Maicas
474/2009	La Unión Económica y Monetaria Europea en el proceso exportador de Castilla y León (1993-2007): un análisis de datos de panel Almudena Martínez Campillo y Mª del Pilar Sierra Fernández
475/2009	Do process innovations boost SMEs productivity growth? Juan A. Mañez, María E. Rochina Barrachina, Amparo Sanchis Llopis & Juan A. Sanchis Llopis
476/2009	Incertidumbre externa y elección del modo de entrada en el marco de la inversión directa en el exterior Cristina López Duarte y Marta Mª Vidal Suárez
477/2009	Testing for structural breaks in factor loadings: an application to international business cycle José Luis Cendejas Bueno, Sonia de Lucas Santos, Inmaculada Álvarez Ayuso & Mª Jesús Delgado Rodríguez
478/2009	¿Esconde la rigidez de precios la existencia de colusión? El caso del mercado de carburantes en las Islas Canarias Juan Luis Jiménez y Jordi Perdiguero
479/2009	The poni test with structural breaks Antonio Aznar & María-Isabel Ayuda
480/2009	Accuracy and reliability of Spanish regional accounts (CRE-95) Verónica Cañal Fernández
481/2009	Estimating regional variations of R&D effects on productivity growth by entropy econometrics Esteban Fernández-Vázquez y Fernando Rubiera-Morollón
482/2009	Why do local governments privatize the provision of water services? Empirical evidence from Spain Francisco González-Gómez, Andrés J. Picazo-Tadeo & Jorge Guardiola
483/2009	Assessing the regional digital divide across the European Union-27 María Rosalía Vicente & Ana Jesús López
484/2009	Measuring educational efficiency and its determinants in Spain with parametric distance functions José Manuel Cordero Ferrera, Eva Crespo Cebada & Daniel Santín González
485/2009	Spatial analysis of public employment services in the Spanish provinces Patricia Suárez Cano & Matías Mayor Fernández
486/2009	Trade effects of continental and intercontinental preferential trade agreements Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero & José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
487/2009	Testing the accuracy of DEA for measuring efficiency in education under endogeneity Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero & José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
488/2009	Measuring efficiency in primary health care: the effect of exogenous variables on results José Manuel Cordero Ferrera, Eva Crespo Cebada & Luis R. Murillo Zamorano

489/2009	Capital structure determinants in growth firms accessing venture funding Marina Balboa, José Martí & Álvaro Tresierra
490/2009	Determinants of debt maturity structure across firm size Víctor M. González
491/2009	Análisis del efecto de la aplicación de las NIIF en la valoración de las salidas a bolsa Susana Álvarez Otero y Eduardo Rodríguez Enríquez
492/2009	An analysis of urban size and territorial location effects on employment probabilities: the spanish
	case Ana Viñuela-Jiménez, Fernando Rubiera-Morollón & Begoña Cueto
493/2010	Determinantes de la estructura de los consejos de administración en España Isabel Acero Fraile□ y Nuria Alcalde Fradejas
494/2010	Performance and completeness in repeated inter-firm relationships: the case of franchising Vanesa Solis-Rodriguez & Manuel Gonzalez-Diaz
495/2010	A Revenue-Based Frontier Measure of Banking Competition Santiago Carbó, David Humphrey & Francisco Rodríguez
496/2010	Categorical segregation in social networks Antoni Rubí-Barceló
497/2010	Beneficios ambientales no comerciales de la directiva marco del agua en condiciones de escasez: análisis económico para el Guadalquivir Julia Martin-Ortega, Giacomo Giannoccaro y Julio Berbel Vecino
498/2010	Monetary integration and risk diversification in eu-15 sovereign debt markets Juncal Cuñado & Marta Gómez-Puig
499/2010	The Marshall Plan and the Spanish autarky: A welfare loss analysis José Antonio Carrasco Gallego
500/2010	The role of learning in firm R&D persistence Juan A. Mañez, María E. Rochina-Barrachina, Amparo Sanchis-Llopis & Juan A. Sanchis-Llopis
501/2010	Is venture capital more than just money? Marina Balboa, José Martí & Nina Zieling
502/2010	On the effects of supply strategy on business performance: do the relationships among generic competitive objectives matter? Javier González-Benito
503/2010	Corporate cash holding and firm value Cristina Martínez-Sola, Pedro J. García-Teruel & Pedro Martínez-Solano
504/2010	El impuesto de flujos de caja de sociedades: una propuesta de base imponible y su aproximación contable en España Lourdes Jerez Barroso y Joaquín Texeira Quirós
505/2010	The effect of technological, commercial and human resources on the use of new technology Jaime Gómez & Pilar Vargas

506/2010	¿Cómo ha afectado la fiscalidad a la rentabilidad de la inversión en vivienda en España? Un análisis para el periodo 1996 y 2007 Jorge Onrubia Fernández y María del Carmen Rodado Ruiz
507/2010	Modelización de flujos en el análisis input-output a partir de la teoría de redes Ana Salomé García Muñiz
508/2010	Export-led-growth hypothesis revisited. a balance of payments approach for Argentina, Brazil, Chile and Mexico David Matesanz Gómez & Guadalupe Fugarolas Álvarez-Ude
509/2010	Realised hedge ratio properties, performance and implications for risk management: evidence from the spanish ibex 35 spot and futures markets David G McMillan & Raquel Quiroga García
510/2010	Do we sack the manager or is it better not to? Evidence from Spanish professional football Francisco González-Gómez, Andrés J. Picazo-Tadeo & Miguel Á. García-Rubio
511/2010	Have Spanish port sector reforms during the last two decades been successful? A cost frontier approach Ana Rodríguez-Álvarez & Beatriz Tovar
512/2010	Size & Regional Distribution of Financial Behavior Patterns in Spain Juan Antonio Maroto Acín, Pablo García Estévez & Salvador Roji Ferrari
513/2010	The impact of public reforms on the productivity of the Spanish ports: a parametric distance function approach Ramón Núñez-Sánchez & Pablo Coto-Millán
514/2010	Trade policy versus institutional trade barriers: an application using "good old" ols Laura Márquez-Ramos, Inmaculada Martínez-Zarzoso & Celestino Suárez-Burguet
515/2010	The "Double Market" approach in venture capital and private equity activity: the case of Europe Marina Balboa & José Martí
516/2010	International accounting differences and earnings smoothing in the banking industry Marina Balboa, Germán López-Espinosa & Antonio Rubia
517/2010	Convergence in car prices among European countries Simón Sosvilla-Rivero & Salvador Gil-Pareja
518/2010	Effects of process and product-oriented innovations on employee downsizing José David Vicente-Lorente & José Ángel Zúñiga-Vicente
519/2010	Inequality, the politics of redistribution and the tax-mix Jenny De Freitas
520/2010	Efectos del desajuste educativo sobre el rendimiento privado de la educación: un análisis para el caso español (1995-2006) Inés P. Murillo, Marta Rahona y Mª del Mar Salinas
521/2010	Sructural breaks and real convergence in opec countries Juncal Cuñado
522/2010	Human Capital, Geographical location and Policy Implications: The case of Romania Jesús López-Rodríguez□, Andres Faiña y Bolea Cosmin-Gabriel

523/2010	Organizational unlearning context fostering learning for customer capital through time: lessons from SMEs in the telecommunications industry Anthony K. P. Wensley, Antonio Leal-Millán, Gabriel Cepeda-Carrión & Juan Gabriel Cegarra-Navarro
524/2010	The governance threshold in international trade flows Marta Felis-Rota
525/2010	The intensive and extensive margins of trade decomposing exports growth differences across Spanish regions Asier Minondo Uribe-Etxeberria & Francisco Requena Silvente
526/2010	Why do firms locate r&d outsourcing agreeements offshore? the role of ownership, location, and externalization advantages Andrea Martínez-Noya, Esteban Gárcía-Canal & Mauro f. Guillén
527/2010	Corporate Taxation and the Productivity and Investment Performance of Heterogeneous Firms: Evidence from OECD Firm-Level Data Norman Gemmell, Richard Kneller, Ismael Sanz & José Félix Sanz-Sanz
528/2010	Modelling Personal Income Taxation in Spain: Revenue Elasticities and Regional Comparisons John Creedy & José Félix Sanz-Sanz
529/2010	Mind the Remoteness!. Income disparities across Japanese Prefectures Jesús López-Rodríguez□, Daisuke Nakamura
530/2010	El nuevo sistema de financiación autonómica: descripción, estimación empírica y evaluación Antoni Zabalza y Julio López Laborda
531/2010	Markups, bargaining power and offshoring: an empirical assessment Lourdes Moreno & Diego Rodríguez
532/2010	The snp-dcc model: a new methodology for risk management and forecasting Esther B. Del Brio, Trino-Manuel Ñíguez & Javier Perote
533/2010	El uso del cuadro de mando integral y del presupuesto en la gestión estratégica de los hospitales públicos David Naranjo Gil
534/2010	Análisis de la efectividad de las prácticas de trabajo de alta implicación en las fábricas españolas Daniel Vázquez-Bustelo□□ y Lucía Avella Camarero
535/2010	Energía, innovación y transporte: la electrificación de los tranvías en España, 1896-1935 Alberte Martínez López
536/2010	La ciudad como negocio: gas y empresa en una región española, Galicia 1850-1936 Alberte Martínez López y Jesús Mirás Araujo
537/2010	To anticipate or not to anticipate? A comparative analysis of opportunistic early elections and incumbents' economic performance Pedro Riera Sagrera
538/2010	The impact of oil shocks on the Spanish economy Ana Gómez-Loscos, Antonio Montañés & María Dolores Gadea

539/2010	The efficiency of public and publicly-subsidiz ed high schools in Spain. evidence from pisa-2006 María Jesús Mancebón, Jorge Calero, Álvaro Choi & Domingo P. Ximénez-de-Embún
540/2010	Regulation as a way to force innovation: the biodiesel case Jordi Perdiguero & Juan Luis Jiménez
541/2010	Pricing strategies of Spanish network carrier Xavier Fageda, Juan Luis Jiménez & Jordi Perdiguero
542/2010	Papel del posicionamiento del distribuidor en la relación entre la marca de distribuidor y lealtad al establecimiento comercial Oscar González-Benito y Mercedes Martos-Partal
543/2010	How Bank Market Concentration, Regulation, and Institutions Shape the Real Effects of Banking Crises Ana I. Fernández, Francisco González & Nuria Suárez
544/2010	Una estimación del comercio interregional trimestral de bienes en España mediante técnicas de interpolación temporal Nuria Gallego López, Carlos Llano Verduras y Julián Pérez García
545/2010	Puerto, empresas y ciudad: una aproximación histórica al caso de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria Miguel Suárez, Juan Luis Jiménez y Daniel Castillo
546/2010	Multinationals in the motor vehicles industry: a general equilibrium analysis for a transition economy Concepción Latorre & Antonio G. Gómez-Plana
547/2010	Core/periphery scientific collaboration networks among very similar researchers Antoni Rubí-Barceló
548/2010	Basic R&D in vertical markets Miguel González-Maestre & Luis M. Granero
549/2010	Factores condicionantes de la presión fiscal de las entidades de crédito españolas, ¿existen diferencias entre bancos y cajas de ahorros? Ana Rosa Fonseca Díaz, Elena Fernández Rodríguez y Antonio Martínez Arias
550/2010	Analyzing an absorptive capacity: Unlearning context and Information System Capabilities as catalysts for innovativeness Gabriel Cepeda-Carrión, Juan Gabriel Cegarra-Navarro & Daniel Jimenez-Jimenez
551/2010	The resolution of banking crises and market discipline: international evidence Elena Cubillas, Ana Rosa Fonseca & Francisco González
552/2010	A strategic approach to network value in information markets Lucio Fuentelsaz, Elisabet Garrido & Juan Pablo Maicas
553/2010	Accounting for the time pattern of remittances in the Spanish context Alfonso Echazarra
554/2010	How to design franchise contracts: the role of contractual hazards and experience Vanesa Solis-Rodriguez & Manuel Gonzalez-Diaz

555/2010	Una teoría integradora de la función de producción al rendimiento empresarial Javier González Benito
556/2010	Height and economic development in Spain, 1850-1958 Ramón María-Dolores & José Miguel Martínez-Carrión
557/2010	Why do entrepreneurs use franchising as a financial tool? An agency explanation Manuel González-Díaz & Vanesa Solís-Rodríguez
558/2010	Explanatory Factors of Urban Water Leakage Rates in Southern Spain Francisco González-Gómez, Roberto Martínez-Espiñeira, Maria A. García-Valiñas & Miguel Á. García Rubio
559/2010	Los rankings internacionales de las instituciones de educación superior y las clasificaciones universitarias en España: visión panorámica y prospectiva de futuro. Carmen Pérez-Esparrells□ y José Mª Gómez-Sancho.
560/2010	Análisis de los determinantes de la transparencia fiscal: Evidencia empírica para los municipios catalanes Alejandro Esteller Moré y José Polo Otero
561/2010	Diversidad lingüística e inversión exterior: el papel de las barreras lingüísticas en los procesos de adquisición internacional Cristina López Duarte y Marta Mª Vidal Suárez
562/2010	Costes y beneficios de la competencia fiscal en la Unión Europea y en la España de las autonomías José Mª Cantos, Agustín García Rico, Mª Gabriela Lagos Rodríguez y Raquel Álamo Cerrillo
563/2010	Customer base management and profitability in information technology industries Juan Pablo Maicas y Francisco Javier Sese
564/2010	Expansión internacional y distancia cultural: distintas aproximaciones —hofstede, schwartz, globe Cristina López Duarte y Marta Mª Vidal Suárez
565/2010	Economies of scale and scope in service firms with demand uncertainty: An application to a Spanish port Beatriz Tovar & Alan Wall
566/2010	Fiscalidad y elección entre renta vitalicia y capital único por los inversores en planes de pensiones: el caso de España Félix Domínguez Barrero y Julio López Laborda
567/2010	Did the cooperative start life as a joint-stock company? Business law and cooperatives in Spain, 1869–1931 Timothy W. Guinnan & Susana Martínez-Rodríguez
568/2010	Predicting bankruptcy using neural networks in the current financial crisis: a study for US commercial banks Félix J. López-Iturriaga, Óscar López-de-Foronda & Iván Pastor Sanz
569/2010	Financiación de los cuidados de larga duración en España Raúl del Pozo Rubio y Francisco Escribano Sotos

570/2010	Is the Border Effect an Artefact of Geographic Aggregation? Carlos Llano-Verduras, Asier Minondo-Uribe & Francisco Requena-Silvente
571/2010	Notes on using the hidden asset or the contribution asset to compile the actuarial balance for pay-as-you-go pension systems Carlos Vidal-Meliá & María del Carmen Boado-Penas
572/2010	The Real Effects of Banking Crises: Finance or Asset Allocation Effects? Some International Evidence Ana I. Fernández, Francisco González & Nuria Suárez Carlos
573/2010	Endogenous mergers of complements with mixed bundling Ricardo Flores-Fillol & Rafael Moner-Colonques
574/2010	Redistributive Conflicts and Preferences for Tax Schemes in Europe Antonio M. Jaime-Castillo & Jose L. Saez-Lozano
575/2010	Spanish emigration and the setting-up of a great company in Mexico: bimbo, 1903-2008 Javier Moreno Lázaro
576/2010	Mantenimiento temporal de la equidad horizontal en el sistema de financiación autonómica Julio López Laborda y Antoni Zabalza
577/2010	Sobreeducación, Educación no formal y Salarios: Evidencia para España Sandra Nieto y Raúl Ramos
578/2010	Dependencia y empleo: un análisis empírico con la encuesta de discapacidades y atención a la dependencia (edad) 2008. David Cantarero-Prieto y Patricia Moreno-Mencía
579/2011	Environment and happiness: new evidence for Spain Juncal Cuñado & Fernando Pérez de Gracia
580/2011	Aanalysis of emerging barriers for e-learning models. a case of study Nuria Calvo & Paolo Rungo
581/2011	Unemployment, cycle and gender Amado Peiró, Jorge Belaire-Franch, & Maria Teresa Gonzalo
582/2011	An Analytical Regions Proposal for the Study of Labour Markets: An Evaluation for the Spanish Territory Ana Viñuela Jiménez & Fernando Rubiera Morollón
583/2011	The Efficiency of Performance-based-fee Funds Ana C. Díaz-Mendoza, Germán López-Espinosa & Miguel A. Martínez-Sedano
584/2011	Green and good?. The investment performance of US environmental mutual funds Francisco J. Climent-Diranzo & Pilar Soriano-Felipe
585/2011	El fracaso de Copenhague desde la teoría de juegos. Yolanda Fernández Fernández, Mª Ángeles Fernández López y Blanca Olmedillas Blanco
586/2011	Tie me up, tie me down! the interplay of the unemployment compensation system, fixed-term contracts and rehirings José M. Arranz & Carlos García-Serrano

587/2011	Corporate social performance, innovation intensity and their impacts on financial performance: evidence from lending decisions Andrés Guiral
588/2011	Assessment of the programme of measures for coastal lagoon environmental restoration using cost-benefit analysis. José Miguel Martínez Paz & Ángel Perni Llorente
589/2011	Illicit drug use and labour force participation: a simultaneous equations approach Berta Rivera, Bruno Casal, Luis Currais & Paolo Rungo
590/2011	Influencia de la propiedad y el control en la puesta en práctica de la rsc en las grandes empresas españolas José-Luis Godos-Díez, Roberto Fernández-Gago y Laura Cabeza-García
591/2011	Ownership, incentives and hospitals Xavier Fageda & Eva Fiz
592/2011	La liberalización del ferrocarril de mercancías en europa: ¿éxito o fracaso? Daniel Albalate del Sol, Maria Lluïsa Sort García y Universitat de Barcelona
593/2011	Do nonreciprocal preference regimes increase exports? Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero & José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
594/2011	Towards a dynamic analysis of multiple-store shopping: evidence from Spanish panel data Noemí Martínez-Caraballo, Manuel Salvador, Carmen Berné & Pilar Gargallo
595/2011	Base imponible y neutralidad del impuesto de sociedades: alternativas y experiencias Lourdes Jerez Barroso
596/2011	Cambio técnico y modelo de negocio: las compañías de transporte urbano en España, 1871-1989 Alberte Martínez López
597/2011	A modified dickey-fuller procedure to test for stationarity Antonio Aznar, María-Isabel Ayuda
598/2011	Entorno institucional, estructura de propiedad e inversión en I+D: Un análisis internacional Félix J. López Iturriaga y Emilio J. López Millán
599/2011	Factores competitivos y oferta potencial del sector lechero en Navarra Valero L. Casasnovas Oliva y Ana M. Aldanondo Ochoa
600/2011	Política aeroportuaria y su impacto sobre la calidad percibida de los aeropuertos Juan Luis Jiménez y Ancor Suárez
601/2011	Regímenes de tipo de cambio y crecimiento económico en países en desarrollo Elena Lasarte Navamuel y José Luis Pérez Rivero
602/2011	La supervivencia en las empresas de alta tecnología españolas: análisis del sector investigación y desarrollo Evangelina Baltar Salgado, Sara Fernández López, Isabel Neira Gómez y Milagros Vivel Búa
603/2011	Análisis económico y de rentabilidad del sistema financiero español, por tipo de entidades y tamaño, después de cuatro años de crisis y ante los retos de la reestructuración financiera Salvador Climent Serrano

604/2011	Does competition affect the price of water services? Evidence from Spain Germà Bel, Francisco González-Gómez & Andrés J Picazo-Tadeo
605/2011	The Effects of Remoteness in Japanese Educational Levels Jesús López-Rodríguez & Daisuke Nakamura
606/2011	The money market under information asymmetries and imperfectly competitive loan and deposit markets Aday Hernández
607/2011	The effects of airline and high speed train integration M. Pilar Socorro & M. Fernanda Viecens
608/2011	Consecuencias de la imbricación de los clientes en la dirección medioambiental: un análisis empírico Jesús Ángel del Brío González, Esteban Fernández Sánchez y Beatriz Junquera Cimadevilla
609/2011	Revenue autonomy and regional growth: an analysis for the 25 year-process of fiscal decentralisation in Spain Ramiro Gil-Serrate, Julio López-Laborda & Jesús Mur
610/2011	The accessibility to employment offices in the Spanish labor market: Implications in terms of registered unemployment Patricia Suárez, Matías Mayor & Begoña Cueto
611/2011	Time-varying integration in European government bond markets Pilar Abad, Helena Chuliá & Marta Gómez-Puig
612/2011	Production networks and EU enlargement: is there room for everyone in the automotive industry? Leticia Blázquez, Carmen Díaz-Mora & Rosario Gandoy
613/2011	Los factores pronóstico económico, estructura productiva y capacidad de innovar en la valoración de activos españoles Mª Begoña Font Belaire y Alfredo Juan Grau Grau
614/2011	Capital structure adjustment process in firms accessing venture funding Marina Balboa, José Martí & Álvaro Tresierra
615/2011	Flexibilidad Contable en la Valoración de Instrumentos Financieros Híbridos Jacinto Marabel-Romo, Andrés Guiral-Contreras & José Luis Crespo-Espert
616/2011	Why are (or were) Spanish banks so profitable? Antonio Trujillo-Ponce
617/2011	Extreme value theory versus traditional garch approaches applied to financial data: a comparative evaluation Dolores Furió & Francisco J. Climent
618/2011	La restricción de balanza de pagos en la España del euro. Un enfoque comparativo. David Matesanz Gómez, Guadalupe Fugarolas Álvarez-Ude y Roberto Bande Ramudo
619/2011	Is inefficiency under control in the justice administration? Marta Espasa & Alejandro Esteller-Moré
620/2011	The evolving patterns of competition after deregulation Jaime Gómez Villascuerna, Raquel Orcos Sánchez & Sergio Palomas Doña

621/2011	Análisis pre y post-fusiones del sector compuesto por las cajas de ahorros españolas: el tamaño importa Antonio A. Golpe, Jesús Iglesias y Juan Manuel Martín
622/2011	Evaluating three proposals for testing independence in non linear spatial processes Fernando A. López-Hernández, M. Luz Maté-Sánchez-Val & Andrés Artal-Tur
623/2011	Valoración del Mercado de los Activos Éticos en España: una Aplicación del Método de los Precios Hedónicos Celia Bilbao-Terol y Verónica Cañal-Fernández
624/2011	Happiness beyond Material Needs: The Case of the Mayan People Jorge Guardiola, Francisco González-Gómez & Miguel A. García-Rubio
625/2011	Stock characteristics, investor type and market myopia Cristina Del Rio-Solano & Rafael Santamaria-Aquilué
626/2011	Is mistrust under control in the justice administration? Alejandro Esteller-Moré
627/2011	Working capital management, corporate performance, and financial constraints Sonia Baños-Caballero, Pedro J. García-Teruel & Pedro Martínez-Solano
628/2011	On the optimal distribution of traffic of network ailines Xavier Fageda & Ricardo Flores-Fillol
629/2011	Environmental tax and productivity in a subcentral context: new findings on the porter hypothesis Jaime Vallés- Giménez & Anabel Zárate-Marco
630/2011	The impact of scale effects on the prevailing internet-based banking model in the US Alexandre Momparlera, Francisco J. Climentb & José M. Ballesterb
631/2011	Student achievement in a cross-country perspective: a multilevel analysis of pisa2006 data for Italy and Spain Tommaso Agasisti & Jose Manuel Cordero-Ferrera
632/2011	Banking liberalization and firms' debt structure: International evidence Víctor M. González & Francisco González
633/2011	Public sector contingent liabilities in Spanish toll roads Carlos Contreras
634/2011	Fiscal Sustainability and Immigration in the Madrid Region Luis Miguel Doncel, Pedro Durá, Pilar Grau-Carles & Jorge Sainz
635/2011	Las desviaciones presupuestarias del gasto del estado en el periodo 1990-2009: un análisis desde las perspectivas agregada y de programas. Valentín Edo Hernández
636/2011	A network approach to services internationalization Stefano Visintin
637/2011	Factors behind the presence of agricultural credit cooperatives in Spain, 1900-1935: an econometric model Ángel Pascual Martínez-Soto, Ildefonso Méndez- Martínez & Susana Martínez-Rodríguez.

638/2011	La eficiencia técnica en la industria de agua latinoamericana medida a través de la función de distancia Angel Higuerey Gómez , Lourdes Trujillo Castellano y María Manuela González Serrano
639/2011	Urban Patterns, Population Density and the Cost of Providing Basic Infrastructure: A Frontier Approach Inmaculada C. Álvarez, Ángel M. Prieto & José L. Zofio
640/2011	A comparison of national vs. multinational firms' performance using a general equilibrium perspective María C. Latorre
641/2011	A computable general equilibrium evaluation of market performance after the entry of multinationals María C. Latorre
642/2011	Competition for procurement shares José Alcalde & Matthias Dahm
643/2011	Air services on thin routes: regional versus low-cost airlines Xavier Fageda & Ricardo Flores-Fillol
644/2011	Efficiency and Stability in a Strategic Model of Hedonic Coalitions Antoni Rubí-Barceló
645/2011	An analysis of the cost of disability across Europe using the standard of living approach José-Ignacio Antón, Francisco-Javier Braña & Rafael Muñoz de Bustillo
646/2011	Estimating the gravity equation with the actual number of exporting firms Asier Minondo & Francisco Requena
647/2011	New public management-delivery forms, quality levels and political factors on solid management waste costs in Spanish local governments José Luis Zafra-Gómez, Diego Prior Jiménez, Ana María Plata Díaz & Antonio M López Hernández
648/2011	El sector financiero como factor desestabilizador para la economía a partir del análisis de Hyman Minsky Isabel Gimenez Zuriaga
649/2011	Determinantes de la prima de riesgo en las emisiones de bonos de titulización hipotecaria en España (1993-2011) Miguel Ángel Peña Cerezo, Arturo Rodríguez Castellanos y Francisco Jaime Ibáñez Hernández
650/2011	Does complexity explain the structure of trade? Asier Minondo & Francisco Requenaz
651/2011	Supplementary pensions and saving: evidence from Spain José-Ignacio Antón, Rafael Muñoz de Bustillo & Enrique Fernández-Macías
652/2011	The role of destination spatial spillovers and technological intensity in the location of manufa cturing and services firms Andrés Artal-Tur, José Miguel Navarro-Azorín & María Luisa Alamá-Sabater

653/2011	El papel de los márgenes extensivo e intensivo en el crecimiento de las exportaciones manufactureras españolas por sectores tecnológico Juan A. Máñez, Francisco Requena-Silvente, María E. Rochina-Barrachina y Juan A. Sanchis-Llopis
654/2011	Incumbents and institutions: how the value of resources varies across markets Lucio Fuentelsaz, Elisabet Garrido & Juan Pablo Maícas
655/2011	Price differences between domestic and international air markets: an empirical application to routes from Gran Canaria Xavier Fageda, Juan Luis Jiménez & Carlos Díaz Santamaría
656/2012	The role of accruals quality in the access to bank debt Pedro J. García-Teruel, Pedro Martínez-Solano and Juan P. Sánchez-Ballesta
657/2012	Trade Under Uncertainty: Legal Institutions Matter Lisa Kolovich & Isabel Rodriguez-Tejedo
658/2012	La relación bidireccional entre la rsc y el resultado empresarial: conclusiones de un estudio empírico el sector de las cajas de ahorros Almudena Martínez Campillo, Laura Cabeza García y Federico Marbella Sánchez
659/2012	Consejos de administración y performance de la empresa: efecto de la pertenencia a múltiples consejos Félix J. López Iturriaga y Ignacio Morrós Rodríguez
660/2012	Análisis comparado de los sistemas eléctricos en España y Argentina, 1890-1950. Estrategias globales y experiencias divergentes de la electrificación en dos países de industrialización tardía Isabel Bartolomé y Norma Silvana Lanciotti
661/2012	Leverage and corporate performance: International evidence Víctor M. González
662/2012	Procesos de prociclicidad crediticia e impacto de la provisión estadística en España Francisco Jaime Ibáñez Hernández, Miguel Ángel Peña Cerezo y Andrés Araujo de la Mata
663/2012	Policy success or economic slowdown?. Effects of the 80 km•h-1 speed limit on air pollution in the Barcelona metropolitan area Germà Bel i Queralt & Jordi Rosell i Segura
664/2012	Modelos regulatorios en las telecomunicaciones fijas de banda ancha: competencia en redes frente a competencia en servicios. la evidencia empírica en la OCDE y España Juan Rubio Martín y César Sánchez Pérez
665/2012	Regional export promotion offices and trade margins Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero, José Antonio Martínez-Serrano & Francisco Requena-Silvente
666/2012	An Experimental Study of Gender Differences in Distributive Justice Ismael Rodriguez-Lara
667/2012	Spanish savings banks in the credit crunch: could distress have been predicted before the crisis? A multivariate statistical analysis Martí Sagarra, Cecilio Mar-Molinero & Miguel García-Cestona

- Cities to live or to work in: an input-output model of migration and commuting Ana Viñuela & Esteban Fernández-Vázquez
- Non-linear Dynamics in Discretionary Accruals: An Analysis of Bank Loan-Loss Provisions Marina Balboa, Germán López-Espinosa & Antonio Rubia