

**COMPETITION FOR PROCUREMENT SHARES**

**JOSÉ ALCALDE  
MATTHIAS DAHM**

**FUNDACIÓN DE LAS CAJAS DE AHORROS**  
DOCUMENTO DE TRABAJO  
Nº 642/2011

De conformidad con la base quinta de la convocatoria del Programa de Estímulo a la Investigación, este trabajo ha sido sometido a evaluación externa anónima de especialistas cualificados a fin de contrastar su nivel técnico.

ISSN: 1988-8767

La serie **DOCUMENTOS DE TRABAJO** incluye avances y resultados de investigaciones dentro de los programas de la Fundación de las Cajas de Ahorros.  
Las opiniones son responsabilidad de los autores.

# Competition for Procurement Shares

José Alcalde\*      Matthias Dahm†

September 28, 2011

## Abstract

We propose a new procurement procedure which allocates shares of the total amount to be procured depending on the bids of suppliers. Among the properties of the mechanism are: (i) Bidders have an incentive to participate in the procurement procedure, as equilibrium payoffs are strictly positive. (ii) The mechanism allows to vary the extent to which affirmative action objectives, like promoting local industries, are pursued. (iii) Surprisingly, even accomplishing affirmative action goals, procurement expenditures might be lower than under a classical auction format.

*Keywords:* Procurement Auction, Affirmative Action.

*JEL:* C72, D44, H57

**Corresponding Author:** José Alcalde, IUDESP, University of Alicante, Ctra. San Vicente s/n, 03071 Alicante, Spain.

**Acknowledgements:** This paper supersedes all previous versions; in particular Alcalde and Dahm (2003) and Alcalde and Dahm (2006). We wish to thank Miguel Ángel Ballester, Luis Corchón, Peter Eso, Ricardo Flores-Fillol, Ángel Hernando-Veciana, Paul Klemperer, Christoph Kuzmics, Hervé Moulin, József Sákovics and Begoña Subiza for useful comments. This work is partially supported by the Instituto Valenciano de Investigaciones Económicas. Dahm acknowledges the support of the Barcelona Graduate School of Economics and of the Government of Catalonia as well as of the Government of Spain under projects SEJ2007-67580-C02-01 and ECO2010-19733. Alcalde's work is partially supported by the Government of Spain under project SEJ2007-62656/ECON.

---

\*IUDESP, University of Alicante, Ctra. San Vicente s/n, 03071 Alicante, Spain. jose.alcalde@ua.es

†Department d'Economia and CREIP, Universitat Rovira i Virgili, Av. de la Universitat 1, 43204 Reus, Spain. matthias.dahm@urv.cat

# 1 Introduction

Procurement through government contracts is an important part of economic activity. The OECD (2002) estimates that the ratio of government procurement markets to Gross Domestic Product is 19.96% for OECD countries and 14.48% for non-OECD countries. Public procurement has three main objectives<sup>1</sup>:

- (a) minimization of public expenditures;
- (b) provision of population needs; and
- (c) promotion of affirmative action policies.

While objective (a) is uncontroversial, it is worth to discuss briefly the importance of aims (b) and (c) as well as to point out some of their implications.

Effective provision of the needs of the population might require more than one provider, when there are advantages from multiple sourcing. A multiple sourcing strategy avoids that the buyer is “locked in” with one provider and experiences shortage in the case that this supplier cannot fulfill his obligations. For example, in the autumn of 2004, the U.S. experienced a severe influenza vaccine shortage because one of two suppliers (Chiron) failed to produce the expected half of the necessary vaccines. In order to prevent similar problems in the future the supply of influenza vaccine is procured through multiple suppliers.<sup>2</sup>

In the U.S. government contracts have long been an important area of affirmative action policies at the local, state, and federal level (see e.g. the Small Business Act from 1953). Targets of these policies are women-owned businesses, minority-owned businesses, small businesses, disabled-owned businesses, veteran-owned businesses and others. There are also policies that favor domestic producers: a legal preference for in-state bidders is provided by twenty-seven states; twenty-one states have “Buy American” laws that affect public procurement; and at the federal level the 2009 American Recovery and Reinvestment Act includes a “Buy American” provision.<sup>3</sup> Many affirmative action policies accept that the targeted group is less efficient and establish certain market shares for these groups as explicit affirmative action goals.<sup>4</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>In the private sector the value of procurement transactions is estimated to be even larger than in the public sector (Dimitri et al., 2006). Private firms find it sometimes desirable to have more than one provider (multiple sourcing). This introduces a similar trade-off to the one we explain in what follows for the case of public procurement. We focus, however, for simplicity of the exposition throughout the paper on public procurement.

<sup>2</sup>For instance, California supplies for this reason influenza vaccine through multiple suppliers, see Department of General Services (2009). For an overview of the 2004 influenza vaccine shortage, see for example the editorial “An Influenza Vaccine Debacle” in the New York Times, October 20, 2004.

<sup>3</sup>The Act is available at <http://publicservice.evendon.com/RecoveryBill1M.htm> accessed on 03/03/2010. For an exposition and assessment of different affirmative action policies see Holzer and Neumark (2000). See also NASPO (2009).

<sup>4</sup>In public procurement bid preferences (or bid credits) are the most popular policies in order to favor disadvantaged bidders, besides quotas, set-asides or subsidies. Bid preferences assume implicitly that the targeted group is less efficient. Although any firm that is awarded the contract is paid the full amount of its bid, targeted firms are treated special. In order

This implies that multiple sourcing and affirmative action might require to forgo economies of scale or to procure from several providers with different efficiency levels. For this reason conventional wisdom holds that there is a conflict between objective (a) on one hand, and aims (b) and (c), on the other. Following the language of the affirmative action literature we will refer to this in the sequel as the trade-off between expenditure minimization and minority representation. The main contribution of this paper is to propose a procurement mechanism that is able to reconcile these objectives.

As we will discuss later, other studies have identified particular situations of affirmative action policies for which the conventional wisdom is not true either (see e.g. the assessment in Holzer and Neumark, 2000). It is, however, important to understand the strategic incentives that can be induced through different bidding mechanisms in such a context. Moreover, as procurement markets are diverse, it is important to identify a wide range of procurement mechanisms that can reconcile the objectives and assess their performance in different situations. Avoiding trade-offs between the objectives is important because it influences the political support for and the prevalence of affirmative action policies. Ayres and Cramton (1996), for example, report that various California ballot initiatives tried to end state-sponsored affirmative action because of the concern that eliminating affirmative action could help to solve budget problems.

Many procurement procedures employed by public entities resemble roughly a first-price reverse auction: the buyer announces what kind of items she wishes to buy and how much can be spent (the budget constraint or reserve price); potential providers propose prices at which they are willing to provide the items (make their bids); and the provider proposing the lowest price is chosen.<sup>5</sup> In this article we modify this procedure in one crucial aspect: potential providers are assigned shares depending endogenously on the bids submitted.

We consider a simple model of two providers with heterogeneous costs. Having in mind affirmative action policies that favor domestic firms, the more efficient firm is called the foreign firm and the less efficient the domestic provider. This model is introduced in Section 3, where we also introduce a general formulation of procurement share auctions and discuss how different procurement outcomes are evaluated.

Section 4 considers the simplest possible framework and serves as a benchmark: The buyer's budget constraint is truthfully revealed, each seller is completely informed about the cost structure of his rival, and a specific procurement auction, which we call *contested procurement auction*, is analyzed. Variations of this benchmark in each of these dimensions are considered successively in

---

to calculate the winning bid their bids are lowered by a specified percentage amount. Explicit market shares are, for example, established in California's Disabled Veteran Business Enterprise and Small Business Certification Programs.

<sup>5</sup>Carpinetti et al. (2006) report, based on a survey conducted in 2004 among a group of European and American Public Procurement Entities, that public procurement usually awards contracts based on a first-price sealed bid auction. Krasnokutskaya (2011) reports that the Michigan Department of Transportation conducts procurement auctions for construction and maintenance of most roads within Michigan through a first-price sealed-bid auction with reserve price for every project.

later sections. We show the existence of a unique pure strategy Nash equilibrium in which the domestic high-cost supplier obtains a positive market share, providing him a strong incentive to participate in the auction.<sup>6</sup> The section concludes with the important result that when competition between suppliers is weak because of a large asymmetry in costs, then the contested procurement auction can increase competition and procure at lower costs than a standard auction. Competition is enhanced because the share auction introduces a new trade-off compared to a standard auction: increasing the price increases the mark-up over costs but it decreases the share.

In Section 5 we explore the following modification of the benchmark Section 4. The buyer might have the possibility of hiring an *informed auctioneer* who knows the relevant market well and can determine the budget constraint strategically. We show that if all agents (the auctioneer, as well as the two providers) are completely informed about the cost structure of both sellers, there is a unique equilibrium and, thus, the buyer's optimal budget constraint is also unique. Moreover, the result of the benchmark is strengthened: for any cost structure the buyer can determine the budget constraint in such a way that the procurement share auction allows to purchase at lower costs than a standard auction.

Section 6 extends the benchmark Section 4 in a different dimension, returning to the assumption that the buyer's budget constraint is truthfully revealed. We generalize the contested procurement auction to a class of mechanisms parameterized by a single parameter, determining the extent to which affirmative action objectives are pursued. This parameter can be interpreted as the price elasticity of a seller's market share and adjusting it affects the trade-off between mark-up and share that providers face when they decide on their bids. The procurement auction generalizes the first-price reverse auction because the extreme values of zero and infinity for the elasticity yield the special cases of equal shares independently of bids and the first-price auction, respectively; the simple mechanism of Section 4 corresponds to the case in which the elasticity is one. Our strategic analysis reveals that for any elasticity there is a unique Nash equilibrium in pure strategies. Moreover, we show that this equilibrium is robust by relating the original game to a slightly modified game which can be solved by an iterative process of sequential elimination of dominated strategies (Moulin, 1979). Lastly, we investigate the optimal choice of the elasticity. We show that for any combination of supplier costs there exists always a mechanism under which the domestic firm has a positive market share and total procurement costs are lower than under a standard auction.

The analysis so far has considered the polar case in which providers are completely informed about each other's characteristics. The complete information setting is considered appropriate for situations in which sellers know each other well (Moldovanu and Sela, 2003), like the case of construction contracting (Bernheim and Whinston, 1986) or in "environments with stable technology"

---

<sup>6</sup>Stimulating participation is important because a large number of participants is considered to result in tough competition and therefore in economically advantageous conditions of procurement, see Albano et al. (2006).

(Anton and Yao, 1992, p. 691). Our last extension in Section 7 relaxes this assumption supposing that at the beginning of the auction each provider has only information about his own cost structure. We propose a *continuous-time descending price auction* in order to assign procurement shares. During the course of the auction all the relevant information is revealed so that at the unique equilibrium the providers' actions coincide with those in the complete information environment of Section 6.

Finally, Section 8 discusses our main assumptions and outlines future research questions. For convenience of the exposition, all proofs are relegated to the Appendix. We turn now to placing our paper into the relevant literature.

## 2 Literature

The present paper relates and contributes to several different strands of literature.

### 2.1 Share auctions, contests and split award auctions

In his seminal paper on auctions for shares, Wilson (1979) studies a different bidding mechanism and symmetric bidders and finds the opposite result to ours: a share auction can yield a significantly lower sale price than a standard auction. Kremer and Nyborg (2004) have shown that this conclusion depends on the allocation rule (see also Bernheim and Whinston, 1986). Our setting differs from Wilson's because we focus on asymmetric bidders.<sup>7</sup>

In a contest contenders exert effort in order to win an indivisible rent; the purpose of these models is thus different from ours. From a mathematical point of view, however, the central element of a contest, the so-called contest success function, is closely related to the concept of a procurement share auction proposed in the present paper. From this perspective, the present paper makes the following contribution to contest theory. Contest games are either specified as all-pay auctions (see Konrad, 2009) or as winner-pay contests (see Yates, 2011). The latter corresponds to the present paper. Epstein et al. (2011) consider an all-pay auction setting and a contest organizer whose payoff function is a weighted sum of contestants welfare and total effort. They establish that the organizer chooses the most deterministic contest success function which yields important support for the (deterministic) all-pay auction. Our results, however, indicate that in a winner-pay contest this result might be reversed (at least when the weight associated to total effort is high enough).<sup>8</sup>

---

<sup>7</sup>Our model can easily be reformulated as a standard auction in which the organizer is a seller and participants are buyers. This would not affect most of our results.

<sup>8</sup>We are investigating this issue in ongoing work. The generalized contested procurement auction proposed in the present paper is very closely related to the serial contest success function in Alcalde and Dahm (2007). The latter paper, however, analyzes an all-pay auction. Yates (2011) is closely related to our paper because he applies the serial contest success function in a winner-pay contest. He establishes an equilibrium in a setting that corresponds to our Theorem 1.

A split-award auction divides procurement between two suppliers. In a seminal paper Anton and Yao (1989) have shown that sole-source procurement (or single sourcing) is more advantageous than a split-award (or multiple sourcing). Much of the subsequent literature has focused on conditions under which this conclusion is true (Anton and Yao, 1992; Perry and Sákovics, 2003; Inderst, 2008; Anton et al., 2010; Gong et al., 2011). Given that in a split-award auction the split is exogenous, while in our proposal the shares depend endogenously on bids, our results suggests that Anton and Yao’s conclusion might be sensitive to the assumption of an exogenous split.

## 2.2 Affirmative action in procurement

An important implication of our paper is that pursuing affirmative action and minimizing purchasing costs are not necessarily in conflict. This is an important finding because it implies that affirmative action policies are not necessarily costly and thus do not need to be justified (solely) on fairness grounds. Other affirmative action policies also have the potential to reconcile both objectives (see also the assessment in Holzer and Neumark, 2000).

Rothkopf et al. (2003) offer a model of asymmetric providers in a common value environment in order to analyze the effect of subsidies to a high-cost supplier. They show that subsidies can reduce procurement costs because they induce low-cost suppliers to bid more aggressively. While it is difficult to compare the performance of our mechanism to subsidies, our share auction seems to be more tractable. Rothkopf et al. (2003) restrict each bidder to bid a multiple of his cost because the analysis of this asymmetric auction setting is challenging. In contrast, under complete information our mechanism is dominance solvable, while with private information the equilibrium is in dominant strategies.

Bid preferences also have the potential to foster competition between suppliers and reconcile both objectives. This has been shown in theoretical models of bid preferences for the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) auctions (Ayres and Cramton, 1996), government procurement from domestic and foreign firms (McAfee and McMillan, 1989), and entry in procurement auctions (Hubbard and Paarsch, 2009).<sup>9</sup> The share auction of the present paper offers some advantages over bid preferences because the success of affirmative action does not depend on whether the cost difference between providers exceeds a threshold or not. To see this, suppose a bid preference of five percent and a large cost difference between two suppliers such that the equilibrium bid difference is six percent. Here the market share of the “weak” bidder is zero. In contrast, our mechanism always assigns a positive share to the “weak” bidder.

---

<sup>9</sup>The empirical literature on bid preferences, however, yields mixed results. Support comes from experimental evidence (Corns and Schotter, 1999) and snow removal contracts in Montreal (Flambard and Perrigne, 2006), while studies of road construction contracts (Marion, 2007; Krasnokutskaya and Seim, 2011) do not find such an effect.



### 2.3 Optimal auctions with asymmetric bidders

The bidding mechanism of the present paper assigns a positive share to the “weak” bidder. As a result competition increases and revenue is raised compared to a second price auction. This parallels findings in the literature on auctions for an indivisible object with asymmetric bidders, where competition and revenue can also be increased when the item is not always awarded to a bidder with the highest valuation.<sup>10</sup> In Myerson (1981) the optimal auction may require to give the object to a bidder whose valuation is not the highest. Maskin and Riley (2000) compare the sealed high-bid and “English” auctions. They show that, even when the asymmetry between bidders is not so large that the “strong” bidder always preempts the “weak” bidder and the latter sometimes wins, expected revenue in the sealed high-bid auction may be higher than in the “English” auction. In that literature, however, the auction choice depends on the asymmetry. In contrast, we show that for any degree of asymmetry the auction proposed can be modified such that it performs better than a standard auction.

### 2.4 Allocation of a divisible commodity

Bidding mechanisms have been proposed for the allocation of a variety of divisible goods for which our mechanism might be interesting because revenue and non-revenue objectives might be in conflict. For instance, Morgan (1995) analyzes the management of fisheries and proposes to allocate fishing quotas through an auction in order to obtain revenues and to take into account other objectives, like protecting traditional, native users of the resource or meeting conservation goals. In the assignment of takeoff and landing rights at airports non-revenue considerations might include favoring domestic or small airlines and Grether et al. (1981) propose to auction off these rights.

Back and Zender (1993) and Swierzbinski and Börgers (2004) analyze Treasury bond auctions in which there is a concern that the bond market can be manipulated. This leads in some markets (including the U.S.) to the establishment of a limit on the amount a single buyer can purchase. Cramton (2002) discusses the virtues of spectrum auctions and reports a trade-off between generating revenue for the Treasury and avoiding a collusive industry structure, which explains why regulators usually limit the amount of spectrum that a firm can hold in a geographic area.

Boycko et al. (1993) provide an account of the Russian privatization program which used voucher auctions for shares of firms. They report that political support for privatization required that “weak” bidders (like workers in enterprises being privatized) obtained an important share of the firm. Dana and Spier (1994) characterize the optimal auction for production rights and stress that

---

<sup>10</sup>Notice that the auction theoretical literature usually considers models of incomplete information. We extend our findings to such a setting in Section 7. In addition, the comparison of our model to this literature is meaningful when the shares assigned by the bidding mechanism are interpreted as win probabilities of an indivisible object. This requires to assume that bidders are risk neutral.

the choice between monopoly, duopoly or government production should be endogenous as a function of bids. They also discuss the trade-off between revenue of privatization and assigning several firms a positive market share which induces competition between firms.

### 3 Preliminaries

#### 3.1 Agents

A buyer, which we denote with subindex 0, wishes to buy a certain quantity of a good. This good is perfectly divisible and the total amount to be procured is normalized to 1. The buyer faces a budget constraint  $B_0$ , which is considered fixed throughout the paper.

There are two sellers (or providers) who are able to provide the buyer's needs entirely or in part. Let  $\mathcal{I} = \{d, f\}$  denote the set of potential providers, where  $d$  refers to the *domestic* provider and  $f$  to the *foreign* one. When providing the whole amount seller  $i \in \mathcal{I}$  incurs (total) cost  $c_i$ . We assume throughout the paper that

$$0 \leq c_f < c_d < B_0. \tag{1}$$

Both firms are able to provide the whole amount at constant marginal costs without exceeding the buyer's budget; and—given that we are interested in affirmative action—the domestic firm is less efficient than the foreign provider.

#### 3.2 Procurement Share Auctions

We start by proposing a general notion of *procurement share auctions*, that assigns procurement shares endogenously depending on a vector of bids  $P = (p_d, p_f)$ . We interpret  $p_i$  as the price of providing the procurer's demand completely. Notice that the following definition *a priori* does not require positive minority representation.

**Definition 1** *A procurement share auction is a function*

$$\Psi : \mathbb{R}_+^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^2$$

*such that for each budget constraint<sup>11</sup>  $B \geq 0$ , and any  $P \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ ,*

$$\Psi_d(B, P) + \Psi_f(B, P) \in \{0, 1\}.$$

We consider procurement auctions satisfying two requirements. On one hand, a feasibility condition: any provider proposing a price higher than the buyer's budget constraint will not be a supplier. On the other hand, a monotonicity condition: the sellers' shares are responsive to the prices announced. Formally,

---

<sup>11</sup>In most of our analysis the budget constraint  $B$  will be assumed to coincide with the real constraint  $B_0$ .

- (i) for each  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ , if  $B < p_i$  then  $\Psi_i(B, P) = 0$ ; and
- (ii) for each  $P \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ , any  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ , and  $j \neq i$ , if  $p_i \leq p_j$  then  $\Psi_i(B, P) \geq \Psi_j(B, P)$ .

When seller  $i$  provides a procurement share  $\Psi_i$  of the total quantity, his profits are

$$U_i(B, P) = \Psi_i(B, P) [p_i - c_i]. \quad (2)$$

Given a procurement auction  $\Psi$ , and the budget constraint  $B_0$ , we can describe the normal form game  $\Gamma = \{\mathcal{I}, S, U, \Psi\}$  in which the set of agents is  $\mathcal{I}$ , each agent's strategy space is the interval  $[0, B_0]$  and provider  $i$ 's utility follows expression (2) with  $B = B_0$ .

### 3.3 Procurement Objectives

As explained in the Introduction, conventional wisdom holds that there exists a trade-off between expenditure minimization, on one hand, and minority representation, on the other. A main result of this paper is to show that such a trade-off does not need to exist. We derive this result under the assumption that the buyer only values expenditure minimization and show that this can justify assigning the domestic firm a positive share. Minority representation is realized as a byproduct. This assumption makes it more difficult to reconcile the objectives, and so makes our results more striking. We discuss different ways to model the benefits from minority representation in the concluding section.

In order to measure expenditure minimization consider a procurement share vector  $\psi = (\psi_d, \psi_f) \geq 0$ , with  $\psi_d + \psi_f = 1$ ; and a price vector  $P = (p_f, p_d)$ . The savings function associates to each pair  $(\psi, P)$  and budget constraint  $B$ , the value<sup>12</sup>

$$S_0(B, \psi, P) = B - \psi \cdot P = \psi_d(B - p_d) + \psi_f(B - p_f). \quad (3)$$

As already mentioned, procurement auctions are usually organized as first-price auctions. Therefore, we will compare the procurement costs of our mechanism to the cost of the domestic firm, which is also the cost of a Vickrey auction.<sup>13</sup> Throughout the paper we measure minority representation by the share of the domestic provider.

<sup>12</sup>To be fully precise, as equation (3) is maximized when there is no procurement, that is  $\psi_d + \psi_f = 0$ , we postulate in this case  $S_0(B, \psi, P) = 0$ .

<sup>13</sup>Given that we start by considering a complete information environment, there is the technical issue that the first-price sealed-bid auction mechanism under complete information does not possess a pure strategy Nash equilibrium. The focus on the cost of the domestic firm, however, can be justified as the limiting equilibrium of a modified game with smallest monetary unit when the grid size goes to zero and ties are randomly broken, see Alcalde and Dahm (2011a).

## 4 Contested Procurement Auction

In this section we propose a procurement auction inspired in a classical proposal for bankruptcy situations: the *Contested Garment Principle*. (See Dagan, 1996 for an analysis of this bankruptcy solution).<sup>14</sup> From a normative point of view, this auction fulfills not only the monotonicity condition proposed in the previous section, but is also continuous.<sup>15</sup> From a positive point of view, we will see, that it admits a unique Nash equilibrium.

**Definition 2** *Given  $B_0$  and  $P = (p_d, p_f)$ , the contested procurement auction  $\Psi^{cp}$  associates to provider  $i$  the share*

$$\Psi_i^{cp}(B_0, P) = \frac{B_0 - 2p_i + \max\{p_d, p_f\}}{2[B_0 - \min\{p_d, p_f\}]}.$$

To be fully precise, when  $p_d = p_f = B_0$  the auction assigns equal shares, as required by the monotonicity condition. Remember also that the feasibility condition restricts providers to propose prices that do not exceed the budget  $B_0$ .

We refer to the game associated to  $\Psi^{cp}$ , namely  $\Gamma^{cp} = \{\mathcal{I}, S, U, \Psi^{cp}\}$ , as contested procurement. Our first result determines the unique Nash equilibrium of this game. Since this result is a corollary of Theorem 3, its proof is omitted.

**Theorem 1** *Game  $\Gamma^{cp}$  has a unique Nash equilibrium,  $P^*$ , described by*

$$\begin{aligned} p_d^* &= \frac{B_0 + c_d}{2}, \text{ and} \\ p_f^* &= B_0 - \frac{(B_0 - c_f)^{\frac{1}{2}}(B_0 - c_d)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us observe that, at equilibrium, the domestic provider obtains a positive profit. Moreover, the buyer's expected savings are<sup>16</sup>

$$S_0(B_0, P^*) = \frac{B_0 - c_d}{4} \left[ 2 \left( \frac{B_0 - c_f}{B_0 - c_d} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left( \frac{B_0 - c_d}{B_0 - c_f} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1 \right].$$

Note that, in particular, if

$$\frac{B_0 - c_f}{B_0 - c_d} > \left( \frac{21}{8} + \frac{5}{8}\sqrt{17} \right) \approx 5.2019 \quad (4)$$

<sup>14</sup>Following the contested garment principle a creditor (provider) receives half the estate  $E$  plus half of the difference between his claim  $c_i$  and the opponent's claim  $c_j$ :  $(E/2 + (c_1 - c_2)/2, E/2 + (c_2 - c_1)/2)$ . To see the relationship to our procurement auction set the estate equal to one and define a claim as the savings that each provider offers  $c_i = B_0 - p_i$ . Supposing  $c_1 > c_2$ , procurement shares are  $(1/2 + (c_1 - c_2)/(2c_1), 1/2 + (c_2 - c_1)/(2c_1))$ . So the difference is that our procurement auction depends on the percentage mark-up  $(c_1 - c_2)/c_1$  rather than the absolute mark-up  $c_1 - c_2$ . This implies homogeneity of degree zero in claims which seems to be a desirable property in the context of procurement auctions.

<sup>15</sup>The auction is continuous everywhere except when  $p_f = p_d = B_0$ .

<sup>16</sup>For simplicity, given a procurement auction  $\Psi$ , we denote throughout the paper the savings by  $S_0(B_0, P)$  instead of  $S_0(B_0, \Psi(B_0, P), P)$ .

it holds that  $S_0(B_0, P^*) > B_0 - c_d$ . This implies the following result.

**Corollary 1** *When the cost difference between providers is large enough, the buyer prefers contested procurement to a second-price reverse auction.*

The intuition for this result is as follows. The domestic provider has a strong incentive to participate and propose a price  $p_d$  below the budget  $B_0$ , since this guarantees strictly positive profits. As a result competition is enhanced because the foreign supplier faces a new trade-off compared to a standard auction: increasing the price increases the mark-up over costs but it decreases the share. When competition is weak because the cost difference of providers is large, increasing the share is an important consideration for the foreign supplier. Consequently contested procurement performs better than a second-price reverse auction.

## 5 Contested Procurement with an Informed Auctioneer

As we have seen in the previous section contested procurement has the potential to reconcile the aims of expenditures minimization and minority representation. This result hinges, however, on the cost difference between providers being large enough. In this section we investigate how the buyer can take advantage of an informed auctioneer who knows the relevant market well. Such an auctioneer has more information about the cost structure of the providers and can determine the budget constraint strategically.

We will assume the extreme case in which the auctioneer is completely informed about the providers' cost. Notice, however, that even under the assumption that the auctioneer does not know the costs of suppliers, a reserve price can be determined. For instance, Krasnokutskaya (2011) reports that the Michigan Department of Transportation conducts procurement auctions for construction and maintenance of most roads within Michigan through a first-price sealed-bid auction. It also derives a reserve price for every project which is based on an engineer's assessment of the work required to perform each task, prices derived from the winning bids for similar projects, adjustment through a price deflator and the requirement that the winning bid should be lower than 110% of the engineer's estimate.

Before formalizing the strategic analysis of the auctioneer's choice of the budget constraint, it is useful to describe the trade-off between expenditures minimization and minority representation further. Notice that when the declared budget constraint does not coincide with  $B_0$ , expression (3) does not measure the savings correctly. For this reason we measure expenditures minimization by total procurement cost of the contested auction.

Figure 1 represents the trade-off between expenditures minimization and minority representation. The latter is measured on the abscissa, while the ordinate indicates the cost difference between a standard auction and the contested




Figure 1: Cost savings relative to standard auction.

procurement auction as a proportion of the cost difference of the providers. The figure shows that the contested procurement mechanism does not perform worse than a standard auction until a minority representation of roughly 22% is reached. Given that we assume that the buyer wishes to minimize procurement costs, she should choose the reserve price  $B$  in such a way that minority representation is roughly 11% and procurement cost savings with respect to the sealed-bid first-price auction is roughly 5,5%.

It is, however, worth pointing out that in reality buyers with affirmative action goals accept to trade-off some procurement costs for minority representation. The figure shows that higher minority representations, exceeding 11%, can only be reached when cost savings are lower (and eventually negative). How this trade-off is resolved depends on the preferences of the buyer and will vary with the context. For example, California’s Disabled Veteran Business Enterprise and Small Business Certification Programs have with three percent and twenty-five percent, respectively, different aims for minority representation. Notice that these values are close to those for which the contested procurement auction performs better than a standard auction.

We formalize now the auctioneer’s choice of the budget constraint. Consider the following sequential game:

- (1) **Budget Stage:** The auctioneer selects  $B \in [0, B_0]$ ,<sup>17</sup> which becomes known to the suppliers.
- (2) **Contest Stage:** Providers choose simultaneously prices  $p_i \in [0, B) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ ,  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ . Choosing  $p_i = \emptyset$  allows providers to reject supplying the buyer

<sup>17</sup>We suppose for simplicity that  $B \leq B_0$ . This makes sense when the auctioneer is required to document that the buyer is able to procure at this price. When  $B_0$  is very low, there could be an incentive to choose  $B > B_0$  but it is straightforward to take that into account in the proof of Theorem 2.

when the budget is very low.<sup>18</sup>

Given the actions selected by all the players  $(B; p_d, p_f)$ , the mechanism proceeds as follows:

- (A) If there is a provider  $i \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $p_i = \emptyset$ , then there is no procurement and providers and auctioneer obtain zero payoffs.<sup>19</sup>
- (B) Otherwise, i.e. if both providers propose a price not exceeding  $B$ , then the payoffs are:

(a) *For the auctioneer*

$$S_0(B_0; \Psi^{cp}(B; P); P) = B_0 - p_d \Psi_d^{cp}(B; p_d, p_f) - p_f \Psi_f^{cp}(B; p_d, p_f).$$

(b) *For each provider  $i \in \mathcal{I}$*

$$\Pi_i(B_0; \Psi^{cp}(B; P); P) = \Psi_i^{cp}(B; p_d, p_f) [p_i - c_i].$$

Let us denote by  $\Gamma^{2Scp}$  the game previously described. Our solution concept is Subgame Perfect Nash Equilibrium, SPNE henceforth. We also focus on undominated prices (in pure strategies) at the Contest Stage.

**Theorem 2** *Game  $\Gamma^{2Scp}$  has a unique<sup>20</sup> SPNE.*

The proof of Theorem 2 is relegated to Appendix A but an intuition for this result can be gained with the help of Figure 2, which indicates procurement costs as a function of different budget choices, assuming that  $c_d = 1$  and  $c_f = 0$ . Notice first that in order to obtain positive profits the auctioneer must propose a budget that is so high that both providers can submit a profitable bid. That is, the budget constraint must exceed  $c_d$ . This implies by Theorem 1 that for each budget the second stage has a unique Nash equilibrium. Moreover, procurement costs are a strictly convex function of the budget, and approach  $c_d$  (from below) as  $B$  tends to  $c_d$ , see Figure 2. Therefore, at the first stage there is an optimal value  $\hat{B}$  different from  $c_d$ .

This has two implications. On the one hand, whenever  $B_0 > \hat{B}$ , the cost of employing the contested procurement auction and revealing the budget constrained truthfully exceeds the cost of the same auction when the budget is strategically chosen.

<sup>18</sup>We exclude for simplicity that  $p_i = B$ . This assures that the domestic firm has a unique best reply when  $B = c_d$  and does not reduce the set of equilibria.

<sup>19</sup>This assumption is a simple way of focusing on the range for  $B$  that allows minority representation. By assuming that the buyer is only interested in cost minimization we have made our results very strong. Assuming that when  $p_i = \emptyset$  the other firm is the sole provider opens the door for the uninteresting choice  $B = c_f$ .

<sup>20</sup>To be fully precise, there are several payoff-equivalent SPNE. The multiplicity arises because the foreign firm might choose any undominated bid when the auctioneer chooses a budget that lies between the costs of providers. On the equilibrium path such a budget is not chosen. Thus, there is a unique  $(B^*; p_d^*, p_f^*)$  selected at equilibrium.




Figure 2: Optimal  $B$  when  $c_f = 0$  and  $c_d = 1$ .

**Corollary 2** Let  $(p_d^*, p_f^*)$  be the unique Nash equilibrium for  $\Gamma^{cp}$ . Similarly, let  $(\hat{B}; \hat{p}_d, \hat{p}_f)$  be selected at a SPNE for  $\Gamma^{2Scp}$ . Then

$$\hat{p}_d \Psi_d^{cp}(\hat{B}; \hat{p}_d, \hat{p}_f) + \hat{p}_f \Psi_f^{cp}(\hat{B}; \hat{p}_d, \hat{p}_f) \leq p_d^* \Psi_d^{cp}(B_0; p_d^*, p_f^*) + p_f^* \Psi_f^{cp}(B_0; p_d^*, p_f^*).$$

On the other hand, the cost of a standard auction exceeds the cost of the contested procurement auction when the budget is strategically chosen. In the example of Figure 2 a standard auction implies procurement costs of 1. The contested procurement auction with a strategically chosen budget has costs of 0.945; the cost reduction is 5.5%, as mentioned before.

**Corollary 3** The buyer prefers to employ  $\Psi^{2Scp}$  and choose the budget strategically, rather than to have a second-price reverse auction.

## 6 Generalized Contested Procurement

For the remainder of the paper we return to the assumption that the buyer's budget constraint is truthfully revealed. In this section we introduce a generalized version of contested procurement. This defines a family of procurement auctions characterized by a single parameter. We offer a strategic analysis of this family and investigate the buyer's optimal choice of a member of this family.

### 6.1 Definition and Properties

Consider the following generalization of Definition 2.<sup>21</sup>

<sup>21</sup>As before, when  $p_d = p_f = B_0$ , the auction assigns equal shares. Remember also that the feasibility condition restricts providers to propose prices that do not exceed the budget  $B_0$ .



**Definition 3** Let  $\alpha$  be a positive real number. Given  $B_0$  and  $P = (p_d, p_f)$ , the generalized contested procurement auction  $\Psi^{cp\alpha}$  is defined as

$$\Psi^{cp\alpha}(B_0, P) = \begin{cases} \left( \frac{2(B_0 - p_d)^\alpha - (B_0 - p_f)^\alpha}{2(B_0 - p_d)^\alpha}, \frac{(B_0 - p_f)^\alpha}{2(B_0 - p_d)^\alpha} \right) & \text{if } p_d \leq p_f < B_0 \\ \left( \frac{(B_0 - p_d)^\alpha}{2(B_0 - p_f)^\alpha}, \frac{2(B_0 - p_f)^\alpha - (B_0 - p_d)^\alpha}{2(B_0 - p_f)^\alpha} \right) & \text{if } p_f \leq p_d < B_0 \end{cases}$$

where the first component refers to the domestic and the second to the foreign provider.

Following Subsection 3.2, generalized contested procurement is defined as the game associated to  $\Psi^{cp\alpha}$ , namely  $\Gamma^{cp\alpha} = \{\mathcal{I}, S, U, \Psi^{cp\alpha}\}$ . Notice that this generalized mechanism fulfills several interesting properties.

On one hand, it defines a family of auctions characterized by a single parameter. It is straightforward to verify that this parameter measures the elasticity of a supplier's procurement share with regard to his price. Generalized contested procurement generalizes the first-price reverse auction, because it encompasses it as a polar case (for  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ ; the other polar case is egalitarian assignment irrespective of bids for  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ ). Contested procurement investigated in Section 4 is obtained for  $\alpha = 1$ .

On the other hand, it can easily be verified that the auction fulfills the following desirable properties. First, it is anonymous so that shares are independent of providers' labels and depend only on prices. Second, it is continuous.<sup>22</sup> Third, the shares are monotonic in bids. Fourth, the assignment is homogeneous, that is, it is independent of the numéraire employed.

## 6.2 Strategic Analysis

We establish now that the game  $\Gamma^{cp\alpha} = \{\mathcal{I}, S, U, \Psi^{cp\alpha}\}$  has a unique Nash equilibrium. A proof of this result is relegated to Appendix B but it is instructive to notice that the equilibrium prices of providers can be computed in a simple sequential way. First, the domestic provider computes his optimal price conditional on not being lower than the price of the foreign supplier

$$p'_d = \left. \begin{array}{l} \arg \max U_d(B_0; p_d, p_f) \\ \text{s.t. } p_f \leq p_d. \end{array} \right\} \quad (5)$$

It is important that it turns out that  $p'_d$  is independent of  $p_f$ , except for the fact that the latter must not be higher than  $p'_d$ . Once the optimal price of the domestic firm is anticipated, the foreign provider computes his optimal price, under the assumption not to exceed  $p'_d$

$$p'_f = \left. \begin{array}{l} \arg \max U_f(B_0; p'_d, p_f) \\ \text{s.t. } p_f \leq p'_d. \end{array} \right\} \quad (6)$$

We have the following result.

<sup>22</sup>To be fully precise, it is continuous everywhere except when  $p_f = p_d = B_0$ .

**Theorem 3** For each  $\alpha > 0$ , game  $\Gamma^{cp\alpha} = \{\mathcal{I}, S, U, \Psi^{cp\alpha}\}$  has a unique Nash equilibrium.

### 6.3 Robustness

We next offer a robustness analysis of the equilibrium. We show that an iterative procedure of deleting dominated strategies converges to a unique strategy for each player. This strategy is the one employed at the unique equilibrium in Theorem 3. Therefore, in the terminology of Moulin (1979) the game is dominance solvable.

This result requires an additional assumption. The reason for this assumption is technical; to avoid an open set problem at the starting point of the iterative procedure. This assumption is a mild strengthening of the feasibility condition in Subsection 3.2 and can be motivated as follows. Suppose there is a smallest monetary unit and the convention that providers have to bid strictly below the budget constrained  $B_0$ . To fix ideas, imagine that  $B_0 = \$1,000,000.01$ , and that no agent will ask for more than \$1 million.

Formally, any price above some threshold  $B'$ , close to  $B_0$  implies that the provider's share is zero.<sup>23</sup> Strengthening the feasibility condition in Subsection 3.2 in this way implies the following slight change to the generalized contested procurement auction  $\Psi^{cp\alpha}$  from Definition 3. Let  $\Psi^{cp\alpha B'}$  be the function that to each  $B_0, P = (p_d, p_f)$  and  $B' < B_0$  associates the vector

$$\Psi^{cp\alpha B'}(B_0; p_d, p_f) = \begin{cases} \left( 1 - \frac{(B_0 - p_f)^\alpha}{2(B_0 - p_d)^\alpha}, \frac{(B_0 - p_f)^\alpha}{2(B_0 - p_d)^\alpha} \right) & \text{if } p_d \leq p_f \leq B' \\ \left( \frac{(B_0 - p_d)^\alpha}{2(B_0 - p_f)^\alpha}, 1 - \frac{(B_0 - p_d)^\alpha}{2(B_0 - p_f)^\alpha} \right) & \text{if } p_f \leq p_d \leq B' \\ (1, 0) & \text{if } p_d \leq B' < p_f \\ (0, 1) & \text{if } p_f \leq B' < p_d \\ (0, 0) & \text{if } B' < \min\{p_d, p_f\}. \end{cases}$$

As a consequence, the induced game  $\Gamma^{cp\alpha B'} = \{\mathcal{I}, S, U, \Psi^{cp\alpha B'}\}$  is a slight modification of the original game  $\Gamma^{cp\alpha}$ . Although the games  $\Gamma^{cp\alpha}$  and  $\Gamma^{cp\alpha B'}$  are not the same, provided that  $B'$  is arbitrarily close to  $B_0$ , the equilibrium predictions for  $\Gamma^{cp\alpha B'}$  should also be reasonable for the game  $\Gamma^{cp\alpha}$ . With this in mind the implication of the next result, whose proof can be found in Appendix B, is that Theorem 3 is robust.

**Theorem 4** For any  $\alpha > 0$  and each  $B' < B_0$ , the game  $\Gamma^{cp\alpha B'}$  is dominance solvable.

### 6.4 Optimal Choice of the Elasticity

In this subsection we investigate the buyer's optimal choice of the elasticity of the procurement auction. To do so we relate the buyer's savings to  $\alpha$ .

<sup>23</sup>Even though Theorem 4 is true for any  $B' < B_0$ , for interpretative purposes we will consider that  $B'$  is very close to  $B_0$ .

To gain an intuition notice that the solution of problem (5) is<sup>24</sup>

$$p'_d = \frac{B_0 + \alpha c_d}{\alpha + 1} \quad (7)$$

and that the solution of problem (6) must satisfy

$$(B_0 - p'_f) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{B_0 - p'_d}{B_0 - p'_f} \right)^\alpha [B_0 + (\alpha - 1)p'_f - \alpha c_f]. \quad (8)$$

Analyzing the polar cases yields the following intuitive facts:

- (i) When  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$  the allocation procedure is perfectly inelastic and assigns half of the demand to each supplier independent of prices. The equilibrium converges to the one in a *fair lottery with an indivisible prize* and each procurer asks for the whole budget, i.e.

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} p'_f(\alpha) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} p'_d(\alpha) = B_0.$$

- (ii) When  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$  the allocation procedure is perfectly elastic and converges to a *first-price reverse auction*, i.e.<sup>25</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} p'_f(\alpha) &= \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} p'_d(\alpha) = c_d; \text{ and} \\ \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_f^{cp\alpha}(B_0; P') &= 1, \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_d^{cp\alpha}(B_0; P') = 0. \end{aligned}$$

These two facts seem to indicate that any generalized contested procurement induces an average price higher than the second lowest cost. This intuition is reinforced by inspection of equation (7), because—although  $p'_d$  is a strictly decreasing function of  $\alpha$ —the domestic provider’s price is always higher than his costs. The foreign supplier could, thus, choose a price between the domestic provider’s price and costs. Nevertheless, as the next theorem shows, this intuition is not true. The reason is that for high  $\alpha$  equation (8) introduces a concave relationship between  $p'_f$  and  $\alpha$ . Taking into account the extreme values of  $p'_f$  — i.e.  $B_0$  for  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ , and  $c_d$  for  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$  — this concavity implies the existence of a value  $\hat{\alpha}$  whose associated  $p'_f$  is a minimum, and thus is lower than  $c_d$ . It turns out that the foreign supplier might be induced to make a very competitive bid. As a result, the following statement is true.

**Theorem 5** *There is  $\alpha > 0$  such that, at equilibrium,  $S_0(B_0, P') > B_0 - c_2$ .*

<sup>24</sup>Equations (7) and (8) are obtained from the standard first order conditions relative to programs (5) and (6) respectively.

<sup>25</sup>First-price auctions have no Nash equilibrium in pure strategies under complete information. Nevertheless, the following strategies can be considered the “intuitive solution” and motivated by imposing the existence of a smallest monetary unit; see Alcalde and Dahm (2011a) for more details. Given that our assignment process admits a probabilistic interpretation (assuming that bidders are risk neutral), a by-product of our model is to provide a different way to restore the equilibrium in the first-price auction under complete information: As the noise in the assignment process goes to zero, the intuitive solution is obtained.

A formal proof of Theorem 5 can be found in Appendix B. The theorem indicates a trade-off in the selection of the elasticity. Given a pair of bids, lowering the elasticity raises the market share of the domestic supplier. This implies, on one hand, to pay for the total share of the domestic firm a relatively high price. But on the other hand, it forces the foreign supplier to bid lower than he otherwise would, driving down the payment for this market share. For moderate choices of the elasticity, the overall effect is to induce very competitive procurement. But for extreme values this is not true. With very egalitarian policies the share of the domestic firm is large and procurement becomes costly. When the elasticity is high, the foreign supplier wins a large share and does not need to bid very competitive, as in a standard auction.

## 7 Generalized Contested Procurement with Private Information

The analysis so far has considered the polar case in which providers are completely informed about each other's characteristics. We relax this assumption now and focus on the other polar case in which each supplier only has (private) information about his own costs, but does not know the costs of his rival. We propose to implement generalized contested procurement by means of a (continuous-time) sequential mechanism. During the course of the auction information about rivals is not needed because all the relevant information is revealed. As a result at the unique equilibrium the providers' actions coincide with those in the complete information environment of Section 6.

For  $\alpha$  given, the mechanism proceeds as follows. At any  $t \in [0, 1)$  each provider  $i$  knows his own costs  $c_i$  and the messages selected by both sellers at any  $t' < t$ . This information structure is common knowledge. Both providers simultaneously select their messages  $m_i(t) \in \{0, 1\}$ , where 0 is interpreted as 'continuing' and 1 as 'stopping'. At  $t = 1$  the auction is over and we interpret this as both sellers choosing message  $m_i(1) = 1$ . Given the providers' message functions  $m_i : [0, 1] \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ , let  $\tilde{t}_i$  be the first time in which  $i$ 's message is 1.<sup>26</sup> With this the price paid for seller  $i$ 's share is

$$\tilde{p}_i = (1 - \tilde{t}_i) B_0.$$

Given the sellers' prices  $\tilde{P} = (\tilde{p}_d, \tilde{p}_f)$ , procurement shares are assigned according to  $\Psi^{cp\alpha}$ , and thus provider  $i$ 's utility is

$$\Pi_i = (\tilde{p}_i - c_i) \Psi_i^{cp\alpha} (B_0; \tilde{P}).$$

Let  $\Gamma^{ctcp\alpha}$  denote the continuous time generalized contested procurement game above described. Let us observe that a strategy for provider  $i$ , say  $s_i$ , must prescribe, for each  $t \in [0, 1)$  a message  $m_i$  that should depend not only on  $t$ , but also on the messages set by both providers at any  $t' < t$ .

<sup>26</sup>I.e.  $\tilde{t}_i$  is such that  $m(\tilde{t}_i) = 1$ , and  $m(t) = 0$  for all  $t < \tilde{t}_i$ .

We explore now the behavior of suppliers in this bidding game for a given  $\alpha$ . Consider seller  $i$  and suppose that his opponent's message is 0 for any  $t$  lower than some  $\bar{t}$ . This implies that seller  $i$  also selects, at time  $\bar{t}$  a message  $m_i(\bar{t}) = 0$ , except if

$$(1 - \bar{t}) B_0 = \frac{B_0 + \alpha c_i}{\alpha + 1}. \quad (9)$$

The reason is that, given that his opponent has not yet fixed a price, by setting a message equal to 1, supplier  $i$  becomes the seller asking for the highest price. As we have seen in Section 6, when provider  $i$  asks for the highest price he selects  $p_i$  as established in equation (9).

For  $\bar{t}$  given, let us assume that both agents have chosen message  $m_d(t) = m_f(t) = 0$  for each  $t < \bar{t}$ , and that provider  $j$  selects  $m_j(\bar{t}) = 1$ . In such a case, any optimal decision for provider  $i \neq j$  involves that  $m_i(t) = 0$ , for any  $t < \check{t}_i$  and  $m_i(\check{t}_i) = 1$ , where<sup>27</sup>

$$\check{t}_i = \left. \begin{array}{l} \arg \max [(1 - t) B_0 - c_i] \Psi_f^{cp\alpha} (B_0; (1 - t) B_0, (1 - t) B_0) \\ \text{s.t. } \hat{t} \leq t \leq 1 \end{array} \right\} \quad (10)$$

Note that these arguments allow us to say that the strategies used by providers to select their messages are dominant strategies. The next theorem, whose proof is omitted,<sup>28</sup> establishes the *equivalence* of the equilibrium procurement shares under  $\Gamma^{cp\alpha}$  and  $\Gamma^{ctcp\alpha}$ .

**Theorem 6** *Given a profile of strategies  $(s'_d, s'_f)$  for  $\Gamma^{ctcp\alpha}$ , let  $m'_i$  denote the seller  $i$ 's message function induced by  $(s'_d, s'_f)$ . Then,  $(s'_d, s'_f)$  is an equilibrium for  $\Gamma^{ctcp\alpha}$ , in dominant strategies, if, and only if,*

- (a)  $m'_d(t) = 0$  for each  $t < t_d$ , and  $m'_d(t_d) = 1$ ; and
- (b)  $m'_f(t) = 0$  for each  $t < t_f$ , and  $m'_f(t_f) = 1$ , where

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} t_d = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1} \frac{B_0 - c_d}{B_0}, \text{ and} \\ t_f \text{ maximizes } [(1 - t) B_0 - c_f] \Psi_f^{cp\alpha} (B_0; (1 - t_d) B_0, (1 - t) B_0). \end{array} \right\} \quad (11)$$

Note that, as a consequence of Theorem 6, we have the following.

**Corollary 4** *Let  $(p'_d, p'_f)$  be the equilibrium prices when agents play the game  $\Gamma^{cp\alpha}$ , and  $(\hat{p}_d, \hat{p}_f)$  those established when playing  $\Gamma^{ctcp\alpha}$ . Then,  $(p'_d, p'_f) = (\hat{p}_d, \hat{p}_f)$ .*

<sup>27</sup>Note that, since  $\Psi^{cp\alpha}$  is symmetric, i.e  $\Psi_d^{cp\alpha} (B_0, p_d, p_f) = \Psi_f^{cp\alpha} (B_0, p_f, p_d)$ , there is no loss of generality in considering that the supplier described in Expression (10) below is the foreign seller.

<sup>28</sup>A proof which is not intended for publication can be found in Appendix C.

## 8 Conclusion

This paper is a step toward understanding under what conditions procurement can reconcile the conflicting aims of expenditures minimization and minority representation. Rather than reviewing our results, in this concluding section we discuss some of our assumptions most of which indicate questions for future research.

### Practicability of an improvement over a standard auction

We have seen that the conflicting procurement objectives can be reconciled when the buyer or her auctioneer chooses either the budget constraint strategically (Section 5) or the elasticity of the procurement auction (Subsection 6). In both cases the optimal choice requires information on providers' costs.

It is not unreasonable that some information can be obtained. We have already discussed that the Michigan Department of Transportation derives cost estimates for construction and maintenance of most roads within Michigan (see Krasnokutskaya, 2011 and Section 5). Also when procurement auctions take place repeatedly the buyer might have experience with the suppliers and choose a mechanism that performs well. In other instances, however, the buyer might have less reliable estimates of the bidders' costs. But our findings are robust.

In the context of Section 5, imprecise estimates of providers' costs combined with the desire "to be on the safe side" could lead to a budget choice that is higher than the optimal budget. It turns out, however, that procurement costs are lower than under a second price auction provided  $(3.2725c_d - c_f)/2.2725 \geq B_0$  holds. This threshold is increasing in the cost difference that justifies affirmative action. In the extreme when  $c_f = 0$ , the mechanism outperforms a second-price reverse auction until the budget is 44% higher than the domestic firm's cost.

In Subsection 6 when the elasticity is selected,—rather than searching for the optimal value of the elasticity—the buyer might choose among a small set of elasticities and still improve upon a standard auction. Consider the following example in which again  $c_f = 0$  and the budget is normalized to 1. To make affirmative action meaningful suppose that  $c_d$  is larger than 0.25. It can be shown that it is enough to choose among a low, intermediate and high elasticity. More precisely, it is enough to choose (roughly) for values between 0.25 and 0.65 an elasticity of 15, for values between 0.65 and 0.9 an elasticity of 5, and for values between 0.9 and 1 an elasticity of 1. Figure 3 shows on the abscissa the costs of the domestic provider. The ordinate displays the savings associated to a standard auction (dotted line) and to the contested procurement auction depending on the choice among these elasticities.

### The multi-provider case

Our analysis restricts to two providers and a natural extension is to consider the multi-provider case. Alcalde and Dahm (2011b) explore such a setting and




Figure 3: Example of savings for  $c_f = 0$  and  $B_0 = 1$ .

propose an extension of the procurement share auction which maintains the philosophy underlying the *contested procurement auction*. Alcalde and Dahm (2011b) show that when the sellers' provision costs are relatively homogeneous but different the equilibrium might not be unique. As a consequence equilibrium prices might not be accurately correlated with the cost of providers; i.e. it might be the case that  $c_1 < c_2 < c_3$  and, at some equilibrium,  $\hat{p}_3 < \hat{p}_1 < \hat{p}_2$ . For these reasons Alcalde and Dahm (2011b) pursue a different route and propose to reduce the original multi-provider problem to the two-provider case. Roughly speaking the procedure is as follows. The *potential providers* fix prices and the two lowest bidders compete in a contested procurement auction in which the relevant budget constraint is endogenously determined through the prices of the remaining high bidders. From the perspective of the present paper the extension to multiple providers does not seem to yield new insights into the trade-off between expenditure minimization and minority representation.

### More general cost structures

An interesting question for future research considers a setting in which the technologies of providers are not (necessarily) linear. On one hand, the introduction of economies of scale should make it more difficult to reconcile expenditure minimization and minority representation. This does, however, not necessarily mean that minority representation is undesirable. For example, for influenza vaccines Scherer (2007) analyzes the trade-off between economies of scale and protection against stochastic shortage risk through multiple sourcing. He concludes that

for plausible scenarios multiple sourcing yields more benefits than costs. On the other hand, it seems that our results rely on the hypothesis of *constant average cost*. Therefore, an interesting question is to consider a richer structure of the technologies of suppliers and to explore whether the contested procurement auction can be redefined in such a way that in equilibrium comparable results are obtained.

### The optimal procurement share auction

We have proposed a particular procurement share auction and shown that it has remarkable properties. We have not derived an “optimal” procurement share auction. An interesting question is whether there are other procurement share auctions that have similar properties to the one analyzed here. From the outset it is clear that the basic trade-off that we introduce—increasing the price increases the mark-up over costs but it decreases the share—does not depend on the particular functional form of contested procurement. For a related class of forward auctions Yates (2011) establishes the existence of a unique equilibrium. His analysis, however, also seems to indicate that for other functional forms of share auctions the remarkable properties of tractability and robustness of contested procurement are lost.

### Valuing minority representation

The present paper reaches stark results because contested procurement outperforms standard auctions although the buyer does not put any value on minority representation. In reality, however, the seller will be willing to trade-off some savings for minority representation. For example, California’s Certification Programs establish minimum market share goals for designated bidders, who are the target of the affirmative action.

A very simple way to extend our analysis to such a setting is to generalize equation (3) to a CES utility function, as in

$$U_0(B_0, \Psi, P) = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n (\Psi_i (B_0 - p_i))^\rho \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho}}, \text{ where } 0 < \rho < 1.$$

Here we define  $\rho = 1 - \frac{1}{\sigma}$ , where  $\sigma > 1$  is the (constant) elasticity of substitution. Notice that when  $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $U_0(B_0, \pi, P)$  tends to  $S_0(B_0, \pi, P)$ . On the other hand, when  $\sigma$  is smaller, indifference curves are strictly convex. Therefore, for the same per capita saving, two providers with the same market share are preferred to only one. It can be shown that in the context of the example displayed in Figure 3  $\sigma = 2$  pushes the utility associated to  $\alpha = 1$  so much upwards that for any costs of the domestic provider contested procurement is preferred to a standard auction. Of course, this does not mean that this is the best the buyer could do and the optimal choice of the elasticity should depend on the cost structure of sellers.



When the buyer has no information on this cost structure, generalized contested procurement offers another practical way to trade-off the conflicting objectives. As different values of the price elasticity of a suppliers market share solve the trade-off in different ways, the buyer might simply choose one value once and for all.

Other approaches to putting a value on minority representation might build on a foundation for the benefits derived from it. In the Introduction we motivated these benefits as reducing the risk incurred that a provider cannot fulfill his obligations or the effects of successful affirmative action policies. Concerning the former, one might want to distinguish between situations in which one seller is not able to provide his share and the other is or is not able to increase his share on short notice. Also, it seems reasonable that the risk depends on the firm's efficiency level, and it might be increasing in the firm's market share. Concerning the latter, often the underlying idea is that a positive market share allows the domestic firm to learn by doing and to become more efficient over time. One might thus want to evaluate the success of affirmative action policies with a dynamic model of the evolution of the domestic firm's efficiency. Denoting by  $c_d^t$  the cost of the domestic firm at time  $t$  one possibility is the following. Assume that the foreign firm's efficiency level is stable,  $c_f^t = c_f^{t-1}$ , and that for each  $t$ ,  $c_d^t \geq c_f$  implies  $\Psi_d^t \leq \Psi_f^t$ . Learning by doing might be captured by

$$c_d^t = \left(1 - \frac{\Psi_d^{t-1}}{\Psi_f^{t-1}}\right) c_d^{t-1} + \frac{\Psi_d^{t-1}}{\Psi_f^{t-1}} c_f.$$

This means that although the seller assigns a higher share to the most efficient firm, the cost difference diminishes as shares approach. Further work tackling these issues are challenging questions for future research.

## References

- Albano, G.L., Dimitri, N., Perrigne, I., Piga, G., 2006. Fostering participation, in: N. Dimitri, G.P., Spagnolo, G. (Eds.), *Handbook of Procurement*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, N.Y.
- Alcalde, J., Dahm, M., 2003. Probabilistic auctions. Mimeo.
- Alcalde, J., Dahm, M., 2006. Bidding for being a seller. Mimeo.
- Alcalde, J., Dahm, M., 2007. Tullock and Hirshleifer: A meeting of the minds. *Review of Economic Design* 11, 101–124.
- Alcalde, J., Dahm, M., 2011a. On the complete information first-price auction and its intuitive solution. *International Game Theory Review*, forthcoming.
- Alcalde, J., Dahm, M., 2011b. Two's company but three is a crowd? On the number of active bidders in procurement auctions. Mimeographed, Universitat Rovira i Virgili.
- Anton, J.J., Brusco, S., Lopomo, G., 2010. Split-award procurement auctions with uncertain scale economies: Theory and data. *Games and Economic Behavior* 69, 24–41.
- Anton, J.J., Yao, D.A., 1989. Split awards, procurement, and innovation. *RAND Journal of Economics* 20, 538–552.
- Anton, J.J., Yao, D.A., 1992. Coordination in split-award auctions. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* CVII, 681–707.
- Ayres, I., Cramton, P., 1996. Deficit reduction through diversity: How affirmative action at the FCC increased auction competition. *Stanford Law Review* 48, 761–815.
- Back, K., Zender, J.F., 1993. Auctions of divisible goods: On the rationale for the treasury experiment. *Review of Financial Studies* 6, 733–64.
- Bernheim, D.B., Whinston, M.D., 1986. Menu auctions, resource allocation, and economic influence. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* CI, 1–31.
- Boycko, M., Shleifer, A., Vishny, R.W., 1993. Privatizing Russia. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity* 24, 139–192.
- Carpineti, L., Piga, G., Zanza, M., 2006. The variety of procurement practice: Evidence from public procurement, in: N. Dimitri, G.P., Spagnolo, G. (Eds.), *Handbook of Procurement*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, N.Y.
- Corns, A., Schotter, A., 1999. Can affirmative action be cost effective? An experimental examination of price-preference auctions. *American Economic Review* 89, 291–305.

- Cramton, P., 2002. Spectrum auctions, in: Cave, M., Majumdar, S., Vogelsang, I. (Eds.), *Handbook of Telecommunications Economics*. Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam.
- Dagan, N., 1996. New characterizations of old bankruptcy rules. *Social Choice and Welfare* 13, 51–59.
- Dana, J.J., Spier, K.E., 1994. Designing a private industry: Government auctions with endogenous market structure. *Journal of Public Economics* 53, 127–147.
- Dimitri, N., Piga, G., Spagnolo, G., 2006. Introduction, in: N. Dimitri, G.P., Spagnolo, G. (Eds.), *Handbook of Procurement*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, N.Y.
- Epstein, G.S., Mealem, Y., Nitzan, S., 2011. Political culture and discrimination in contests. *Journal of Public Economics* 95, 88 – 93.
- Flambard, V., Perrigne, I., 2006. Asymmetry in procurement auctions: Evidence from snow removal contracts. *Economic Journal* 116, 1014–1036.
- Department of General Services, P.D., 2009. The annual report to the legislature on procurement of pharmaceuticals. Available at <http://www.documents.dgs.ca.gov/Legi/Publications/2009LegislativeReports/PharmaceuticalReport.pdf>, accessed on Feb. 21, 2010.
- Gong, J., Li, J., McAfee, R.P., 2011. Split-award contracts with investment. Mimeo.
- Grether, D.M., Isaac, R.M., Plott, C.R., 1981. The allocation of landing rights by unanimity among competitors. *American Economic Review* 71, 166–71.
- Holzer, H., Neumark, D., 2000. Assessing affirmative action. *Journal of Economic Literature* 38, 483–568.
- Hubbard, T.P., Paarsch, H.J., 2009. Investigating bid preferences at low-price, sealed-bid auctions with endogenous participation. *International Journal of Industrial Organization* 27, 1–14.
- Inderst, R., 2008. Single sourcing versus multiple sourcing. *RAND Journal of Economics* 39, 199–213.
- Konrad, K.A., 2009. *Strategy and Dynamics in Contests*. Oxford University Press.
- Krasnokutskaya, E., 2011. Identification and estimation of auction models with unobserved heterogeneity. *The Review of Economic Studies* 78, 293–327.
- Krasnokutskaya, E., Seim, K., 2011. Bid preference programs and participation in procurement. *American Economic Review* , forthcoming.

- Kremer, I., Nyborg, K.G., 2004. Divisible-good auctions: The role of allocation rules. *RAND Journal of Economics* 35, 147–159.
- Marion, J., 2007. Are bid preferences benign? The effect of small business subsidies in highway procurement auctions. *Journal of Public Economics* 91, 1591–1624.
- Maskin, E., Riley, J., 2000. Asymmetric auctions. *Review of Economic Studies* 67, 413–38.
- McAfee, R.P., McMillan, J., 1989. Government procurement and international trade. *Journal of International Economics* 26, 291–308.
- Moldovanu, B., Sela, A., 2003. Patent licensing to Bertrand competitors. *International Journal of Industrial Organization* 21, 1–13.
- Morgan, G., 1995. Optimal fisheries quota allocation under a transferable quota (tq) management system. *Marine Policy* 19, 379–390.
- Moulin, H., 1979. Dominance solvable voting schemes. *Econometrica* 47, 1337–1351.
- Moulin, H., Shenker, S., 1992. Serial cost sharing. *Econometrica* 60, 1009–1037.
- Myerson, R.B., 1981. Optimal auction design. *Mathematics of Operations Research* 6, 58–73.
- NASPO, 2009. Survey of state government purchasing practices. Available at [http://www.naspo.org/content.cfm/id/2009\\\_survey](http://www.naspo.org/content.cfm/id/2009\_survey), accessed on April 03, 2010.
- OECD, 2002. The size of government procurement markets, OECD 2002. Available at <http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/34/14/1845927.pdf>, accessed on April 03, 2011.
- Perry, M.K., Sákovics, J., 2003. Auctions for split-award contracts. *Journal of Industrial Economics* 51, 215–242.
- Rothkopf, M.H., Harstad, R.M., Fu, Y., 2003. Is subsidizing inefficient bidders actually costly? *Management Science* 49, 71–84.
- Scherer, F., 2007. An industrial organization perspective on the influenza vaccine shortage. *Managerial and Decision Economics* 28, 393–405.
- Swierzbinski, J., Börgers, T., 2004. The design of treasury bond auctions some case studies, in: Jansen, M.C. (Ed.), *Auctioning Public Assets: Analysis and Alternatives*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Wilson, R., 1979. Auctions of shares. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 93, 675–89.
- Yates, A., 2011. Winner-pay contests. *Public Choice* 147, 93–106.

## Appendix

### A Strategic Analysis of $\Gamma^{2Scp}$

The aim of this appendix is to provide a formal analysis of the sequential game  $\Gamma^{2Scp}$  in Section 5. We prove Theorem 2 applying backward induction arguments. Note that Corollary 2 follows from the fact that the informed auctioneer is minimizing the buyer's provision cost. Corollary 3 follows from equation (13) below.

#### Proof of Theorem 2

Denote by  $B$  the budget constraint selected by the auctioneer at the Budget Stage. Note that at the Contest Stage supplier  $i$ 's price  $p_i$  is undominated if, and only if  $p_i \in (c_i, B)$  when  $c_i < B$  and  $p_i = \emptyset$  otherwise.

Let us consider the following cases:

Case 1  $B \leq c_d$ . Let us observe that the unique undominated price for the domestic firm is  $p_d = \emptyset$ , implying that there is no procurement and the auctioneer obtains zero payoffs.

Case 2  $B > c_d$ . By Theorem 1 we know that for each  $B$  each seller optimally chooses

$$\left. \begin{aligned} p_d &= \frac{B+c_d}{2}, \text{ and} \\ p_f &= B - \frac{(B-c_f)^{\frac{1}{2}}(B-c_d)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2}. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (12)$$

Moreover, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} S_0(B_0; \Psi^{cp}(B; P); P) &= B_0 - p_d \Psi_d^{cp}(B; p_d, p_f) - p_f \Psi_f^{cp}(B; p_d, p_f) \\ &\geq B_0 - \frac{1}{2}(p_d + p_f) \geq B_0 - \frac{1}{2}(B + c_d) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}(B_0 - c_d) > 0, \text{ as } B_0 > c_d. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, at the Budget Stage the auctioneer, anticipating the sellers' optimal decisions, selects a budget  $B^* > c_d$  minimizing procurement cost

$$C(B; P) = \frac{B - p_d}{2(B - p_f)} p_d + \left[ 1 - \frac{B - p_d}{2(B - p_f)} \right] p_f.$$

Taking into account (12), this becomes

$$\hat{C}(B) = B - \frac{B - c_d}{4} \left[ 2 \left( \frac{B - c_f}{B - c_d} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left( \frac{B - c_d}{B - c_f} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1 \right]. \quad (13)$$

Note that its derivative, with respect to  $B$  is

$$\frac{d\hat{C}}{dB}(B) = \frac{1}{4} \left[ 5 - \frac{5}{2} \left( \frac{B - c_d}{B - c_f} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left( \frac{B - c_f}{B - c_d} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{B - c_d}{B - c_f} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \right].$$

The unique real root is reached at

$$B^* \simeq \frac{c_d - 0.050657c_f}{0.949343}$$

or, equivalently, when

$$\frac{B^* - c_f}{B^* - c_d} \simeq 19.741.$$

Moreover, since

$$\frac{d\hat{C}}{dB}(B) < 0 \text{ for any } B < B^*,$$

and

$$\frac{d\hat{C}}{dB}(B) > 0 \text{ for any } B > B^*,$$

we obtain that  $\min\{B^*, B_0\}$  is the unique minimizer for  $\hat{C}(B)$ . □

## B Analysis of $\Gamma^{cp_\alpha}$

### Proof of Theorem 3

Throughout this proof we consider a fixed parameter  $\alpha > 0$ . We proceed as follows. We first show in Lemma 1 that the generalized contested procurement function is continuously differentiable. Second, Lemma 2 shows that each seller's utility function is strictly quasi-concave on the bidding space. Finally, we use a result by Moulin and Shenker (1992) to construct an equilibrium and to show that this equilibrium is unique.

#### Auxiliary Lemmas

**Lemma 1** *Let  $k$  be such that  $0 < k < B_0$ . The function  $\phi : [0, B_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  described by*

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{B_0 - x}{B_0 - k} \right)^\alpha & \text{if } k < x \leq B_0 \\ \frac{2(B_0 - x)^\alpha - (B_0 - k)^\alpha}{2(B_0 - x)^\alpha} & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq k \end{cases}$$

*is continuously differentiable in  $(0, B_0)$ .*

*Proof.* Note that, since  $\phi$  is polynomial for  $x \neq k$ , we only need to check that

$$\lim_{x_0 \rightarrow k^-} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}(x_0) = \lim_{x_0 \rightarrow k^+} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}(x_0).$$

Now, taking into consideration that

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}(x_0) = \begin{cases} -\frac{\alpha}{2} \frac{(B_0 - x_0)^{\alpha-1}}{(B_0 - k)^\alpha} & \text{if } k < x_0 < B_0 \\ -\frac{\alpha}{2} \frac{(B_0 - k)^\alpha}{(B_0 - x_0)^{\alpha+1}} & \text{if } 0 < x_0 < k \end{cases}$$

we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x_0 \rightarrow k^-} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}(x_0) &= -\frac{\alpha}{2} \frac{(B_0 - k)^\alpha}{(B_0 - k)^{\alpha+1}} = -\frac{\alpha}{2(B_0 - k)}, \text{ and} \\ \lim_{x_0 \rightarrow k^+} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}(x_0) &= -\frac{\alpha}{2} \frac{(B_0 - k)^{\alpha-1}}{(B_0 - k)^\alpha} = -\frac{\alpha}{2} (B_0 - k)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 2** *For each  $k$ ,  $0 < k < B_0$  and any  $h$  in  $[0, B_0]$  the function  $\varphi : [0, B_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  described by*

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{B_0 - x}{B_0 - k} \right)^\alpha (x - h) & \text{if } k < x \leq B_0 \\ \frac{2(B_0 - x)^\alpha - (B_0 - k)^\alpha}{2(B_0 - x)^\alpha} (x - h) & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq k \end{cases}$$

*is strictly quasi-concave in  $(h, B_0)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\phi$  be the function explored in Lemma 1. Note that, given  $h$ ,  $\varphi$  can be described as

$$\varphi(x) = (x - h) f(x).$$

This function is twice continuous differentiable for  $x \neq k$ , and it is continuously differentiable for  $x = k$ . Let us consider the following cases:

(a)  $h < x_0 < k$ . Then

$$\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x^2}(x_0) = \frac{1}{2} \alpha \frac{(B_0 - k)^\alpha}{(B_0 - x_0)^{2+\alpha}} (h + x_0 - 2B_0 + h\alpha - x_0\alpha).$$

Therefore,

$$\text{sign } \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x^2}(x_0) = \text{sign } (h + x_0 - 2B_0 + h\alpha - x_0\alpha). \quad (14)$$

Since  $h \leq x_0 < B_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} h + x_0 - 2B_0 + h\alpha - x_0\alpha &= \\ (1 + \alpha)h + (1 - \alpha)x_0 - 2B_0 &\leq 2(x_0 - B_0) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

(b)  $k < x_0 < B_0$ . Then

$$\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x^2}(x_0) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha}{(B_0 - x_0)^2} \left( \frac{B_0 - x_0}{B_0 - k} \right)^\alpha (h + x_0 - 2B_0 - \alpha h + \alpha x_0).$$

By (14) we have that  $\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x^2}(x_0) \geq 0$  only if  $h - B_0 \geq B_0 - x_0 + \alpha(h - x_0)$ . But, since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}(x_0) &= \frac{(B_0 - x_0)^\alpha}{2(B_0 - k)^\alpha} \left( 1 - \alpha \frac{x_0 - h}{B_0 - x_0} \right) = \\ \frac{(B_0 - x_0)^\alpha}{2(B_0 - k)^\alpha} \left( \frac{B_0 - x_0 + \alpha(h - x_0)}{B_0 - x_0} \right), \end{aligned}$$

we have that  $\varphi$  is decreasing at  $x_0$  if it is not strictly concave.

Summing up, we have that  $\varphi$  is strictly concave at  $x_0$  whenever

$$x_0 < \frac{2B_0 + (\alpha - 1)h}{\alpha + 1},$$

and for  $x_0$  higher or equal the above, if  $\varphi$  is not strictly concave, it is strictly decreasing. Thus  $\varphi$  must be strictly quasi-concave.  $\square$

The following lemma is equivalent to Lemma 2 in Moulin and Shenker (1992).

**Lemma 3** *Let  $u_1(x)$  and  $u_2(x)$  be two strictly quasi-concave functions from  $[a, b]$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  that coincide from  $c \in (a, b)$ :*

$$u_1(x) = u_2(x) \text{ for all } x, c \leq x \leq b.$$

*Then the (unique) maximizers of  $u_i(x)$ , denoted  $x_i$ , are on the same side of  $c$ :*

$$x_1 \geq c \Leftrightarrow x_2 \geq c; x_1 = c \Leftrightarrow x_2 = c.$$

We can now proceed to prove Theorem 3.



### Proof of Theorem 3

We first show that an equilibrium (in pure strategies) exists. Let  $\hat{p}_d$  and  $\hat{p}_f$  be the solutions to

$$\begin{aligned} \max U_d(B_0; \hat{p}_f, p_d) \text{ s.t. } \hat{p}_f &\leq p_d \leq B_0, \text{ and} \\ \max U_f(B_0; p_f, \hat{p}_d) \text{ s.t. } c_f &\leq p_f \leq \hat{p}_d. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the sellers' strategies can be computed using the following sequential procedure:

(a) Calculate  $\hat{p}_d$  by maximizing the function

$$m_d(p_d) = (B_0 - p_d)^\alpha (p_d - c_d)$$

on  $[c_d, B_0]$ . Note that this function is strictly quasi-concave on this segment. Moreover, any solution of the problem above also maximizes the function

$$\frac{(B_0 - p_d)^\alpha}{2(B_0 - \tilde{p}_f)^\alpha} (p_d - c_d)$$

which coincides with  $U_d(B_0; \tilde{p}_f, p_d)$  when  $\tilde{p}_f \leq p_d$ .

(b) Compute  $\hat{p}_f$  by maximizing the function

$$m_f(p_f) = \frac{2(B_0 - p_f)^\alpha - (B_0 - \hat{p}_d)^\alpha}{2(B_0 - p_f)^\alpha} (p_f - c_f)$$

on  $[c_f, \hat{p}_d]$ . Note that, since  $m_f$  is strictly quasi-concave, it must have a unique maximizer.

Let us remark that  $(\hat{p}_f, \hat{p}_d)$  is characterized by

$$\hat{p}_d = \frac{B_0 + \alpha c_d}{\alpha + 1}, \text{ and}$$

$\hat{p}_f$  satisfies

$$(B_0 - \hat{p}_f) = (B_0 - \alpha c_f + (\alpha - 1)\hat{p}_f) \frac{(B_0 - \hat{p}_d)^\alpha}{2(B_0 - \hat{p}_f)^\alpha}.$$

Now we show that  $(\hat{p}_f, \hat{p}_d)$  described above constitutes an equilibrium for the game induced by  $\Psi^{c p \alpha}$ . First, note that  $\tilde{p}_f$ , the unique maximizer of

$$u_f(p_f) = \frac{(B_0 - p_f)^\alpha}{2(B_0 - k)^\alpha} (p_f - c_f)$$

is, for any  $k < B_0$ ,

$$\tilde{p}_f = \frac{B_0 + \alpha c_f}{\alpha + 1} \leq \hat{p}_d. \quad (15)$$

Therefore, since for  $k = \hat{p}_d$ , the function  $u_f$  and the foreign seller's utility

$$U_f(B_0; p_f, \hat{p}_d) = \begin{cases} \frac{(B_0 - p_f)^\alpha}{2(B_0 - \hat{p}_d)^\alpha} (p_f - c_f) & \text{if } \hat{p}_d < p_f \leq B_0 \\ \frac{2(B_0 - p_f)^\alpha - (B_0 - \hat{p}_d)^\alpha}{2(B_0 - p_f)^\alpha} (p_f - c_f) & \text{if } 0 \leq p_f \leq \hat{p}_d \end{cases}$$

coincide on  $[\hat{p}_d, B_0]$ , by Lemma 3 we have that  $\hat{p}_f$  is the foreign seller's best-response to the domestic seller's strategy. Applying a similar reasoning to agent  $d$  we see that  $\hat{p}_d$  is his best-response to  $\hat{p}_f$ .

We now deal with uniqueness of equilibrium. Proceeding by contradiction, let us assume that there is an equilibrium  $(\tilde{p}_f, \tilde{p}_d) \neq (\hat{p}_f, \hat{p}_d)$ . Note that, by the above arguments, we can ensure that  $\tilde{p}_f > \tilde{p}_d$ . Otherwise, we could argue that  $(\tilde{p}_f, \tilde{p}_d)$  is not an equilibrium.

Now, since  $\tilde{p}_f > \tilde{p}_d$ , agent 1's strategy should be a maximizer of

$$\frac{(B_0 - p_f)^\alpha}{2(B_0 - \tilde{p}_d)^\alpha} (p_f - c_f),$$

i.e.  $\tilde{p}_f$  should follow expression (15) above. Since the functions

$$u_d(p_d) = \frac{(B_0 - p_d)^\alpha}{2(B_0 - \tilde{p}_f)^\alpha} (p_d - c_d), \text{ and}$$

$$U_d(B_0; \tilde{p}_f, p_d) = \begin{cases} \frac{(B_0 - p_d)^\alpha}{2(B_0 - \tilde{p}_f)^\alpha} (p_d - c_d) & \text{if } \tilde{p}_f < p_d \leq B_0 \\ \frac{2(B_0 - p_d)^\alpha - (B_0 - \tilde{p}_f)^\alpha}{2(B_0 - p_d)^\alpha} (p_d - c_d) & \text{if } 0 \leq p_d \leq \tilde{p}_f \end{cases}$$

coincide on  $[\tilde{p}_f, B_0]$ , and  $\hat{p}_d \geq \tilde{p}_f$  is the unique maximizer of  $u_d$ , by Lemma 3 we know that  $\tilde{p}_d \geq \tilde{p}_f$ . A contradiction.  $\square$

#### Proof of Theorem 4

Again, throughout this proof we consider a fixed parameter  $\alpha > 0$ .

First, note that when

$$B' \leq \frac{B_0 + \alpha c_d}{1 + \alpha} \tag{16}$$

the proof is straightforward. This is because  $B'$  is a dominant strategy for the domestic provider  $d$ .

Therefore, we can conclude that

(a) If  $(1 + \alpha) B' \leq B_0 + \alpha c_f$ , both agents have a dominant strategy,  $\hat{p}_i = B'$ , and the result is proven; or

(b) If

$$c_f < \frac{(1 + \alpha) B' - B_0}{\alpha} \leq c_d,$$

then the *domestic* provider has a dominant strategy, which is  $\hat{p}_d = B'$  and, therefore the *foreign* seller must have a unique undominated strategy, provided that his opponent selects  $B'$  as his proposed price. This strategy is the unique maximizer of  $U_f(B_0; p_f, B')$ .

Therefore, we assume in the rest of the proof that

$$\frac{B_0 + \alpha c_d}{1 + \alpha} < B' < B_0.$$

We proceed as follows. We propose an iterative procedure to sequentially reduce each seller's set of undominated strategies. Then we see that this process is convergent and that the limit set of (sequentially) undominated strategies is a singleton.

First, note that it is straightforward to see that, for each agent the set of undominated strategies is (a subset of)

$$S_i^0 = (c_i, B'].$$

The reason is that an agent selecting a strategy outside  $S_i^0$  will obtain a non-positive utility, whereas any strategy inside  $S_i^0$  will report a positive utility to that agent.

Now, consider the intervals  $S_i^1 = [\ell_i^1, v_i^1]$ , where

$$v_i^1 = \arg \max U_i(B_0, (p_i, B')) \quad (17)$$

and  $\ell_i^1$  is the minimal price on  $S_i^0$  such that<sup>29</sup>

$$U_i(B_0, (v_i^1, c_j)) \geq U_i(B_0, (p_i, c_j)). \quad (18)$$

Note that, since  $U_i$  is continuous, strictly quasi-concave (for  $p_j$  given), and also  $U_i(B_0, (v_i^1, c_j)) > 0$ , we have the existence of  $\ell_i^1 > c_i$  being the minimal solution for inequality (18).

Let us observe that  $v_i^1$  dominates any strategy outside  $S_i^1$  when the other seller is selecting a strategy on  $S_j^0$ . The reason is the following. Let us assume that provider  $j$  selects  $p_j$ , and consider the following cases:

Case 1.  $p_j \leq \frac{B_0 + \alpha c_i}{1 + \alpha}$ . Note that, in such a case, the best strategy for provider  $i$  is  $\hat{p}_i = \frac{B_0 + \alpha c_i}{1 + \alpha}$ , and his utility function, for  $p_j$  fixed, is strictly increasing on  $\left[ c_i, \frac{B_0 + \alpha c_i}{1 + \alpha} \right]$ . Therefore, for each  $p_i < \ell_i^1$

$$U_i(B_0, (p_i, p_j)) < U_i(B_0, (\ell_i^1, p_j)) = U_i(B_0, (v_i^1, p_j)).$$

Case 2.  $p_j > \frac{B_0 + \alpha c_i}{1 + \alpha}$ . Note that, in such a case, the best reply for seller  $i$  is a price  $\hat{p}_i$  that belongs to  $\left( \frac{B_0 + \alpha c_i}{1 + \alpha}, v_i^1 \right]$ . Moreover, for  $p_j$  given,  $i$ 's utility function is decreasing on  $[p_j, d]$ . Therefore, for each  $p_i > v_i^1$

$$U_i(B_0, (v_i^1, p_j)) > U_i(B_0, (p_i, p_j)).$$

---

<sup>29</sup>As usual, when we are analyzing provider  $i$ , sub-index  $j$  refers to the other supplier.

So,  $v_i^1$  dominates any strategy outside  $S_i^1$ .

Now, let us construct, for each provider  $i$ , the sequence  $S_i^k = [\ell_i^k, v_i^k]$ , where

$$v_i^k = \arg \max U_i(B_0, (p_i, v_j^{k-1})) \quad (19)$$

and  $\ell_i^k$  is the minimal price on  $S_i^{k-1}$  such that

$$U_i(B_0, (v_i^1, v_j^{k-1})) \geq U_i(B_0, (p_i, v_j^{k-1})).$$

Let us observe that, for each agent  $i$ , and iteration  $k$ ,  $S_i^{k+1} \subseteq S_i^k$ , and this inclusion is strict whenever  $v_i^k > \frac{B_0 + \alpha c_i}{1 + \alpha}$ . The reason is that each agent's utility function is strictly quasi-concave, and that  $v_i^k$  is strictly increasing in  $v_j^{k-1}$ , which is decreasing on  $k$  whenever  $S_j^k$  is not a singleton.

Therefore, the sequence of intervals  $\{S_i^k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is decreasing (in length), and thus convergent.

Moreover, since

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{dv_i}{dv_j} \right|_{v_i < v_j} &= - \frac{\partial \left( \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} (B_0 - v_j)^\alpha \left( \frac{B_0 - v_i + \alpha(v_i - c_i)}{(B_0 - v_i)^{\alpha+1}} \right) \right) \right)}{\partial v_j} = \\ &= \frac{(B_0 - v_i)}{(B_0 - v_j)} \frac{B_0 - v_i + (v_i - c_i) \alpha}{B_0 - v_i + (v_i - c_i) \alpha + B_0 - c_i} > \\ &> \frac{(B_0 - v_i)}{(B_0 - v_i) + (B_0 - c_i)} > \frac{1}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

we have that, whenever  $v_i^k < v_j^{k-1}$ ,  $v_i^k - v_i^{k+1}$  is always positive and does not converge to zero. This implies that the sequence  $\{S_i^k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  converges to a singleton.  $\square$

## Proof of Theorem 5

Let us observe that, at equilibrium,<sup>30</sup>  $\hat{P} = (\hat{p}_f, \hat{p}_d)$  must satisfy

$$\hat{p}_d = \frac{B_0 + \alpha c_d}{\alpha + 1}, \text{ and} \quad (20)$$

$$(B_0 - \hat{p}_f) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{B_0 - \hat{p}_d}{B_0 - \hat{p}_f} \right)^\alpha [B_0 + (\alpha - 1) \hat{p}_f - \alpha c_f]. \quad (21)$$

By equation (20) we know that, by selecting  $\alpha$  high enough, we can guarantee that  $\hat{p}_d$  is very close to  $c_d$ . Moreover, as the following Lemma 4 establishes, we can select  $\alpha$  such that  $\hat{p}_f < c_d$ . Theorem 5 is a direct consequence of these facts.

<sup>30</sup>Note that  $\hat{P}$  depends on  $\alpha$ . Slightly abusing notation we use  $\hat{P}$  instead of  $\hat{P}(\alpha)$ .

**Lemma 4** *There is  $\hat{\alpha} > 1$  such that, at equilibrium*

$$\hat{p}_f = \frac{\hat{\alpha}}{\hat{\alpha} - 1} c_d - \frac{1}{\hat{\alpha} - 1} B_0.$$

*Proof.* Let us construct the function  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as

$$g(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} - \frac{\alpha(c_d - c_f)}{2(B_0 - c_d)} \left( \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha + 1} \right)^\alpha.$$

Let us observe that  $g$  is continuous for  $\alpha > 1$ . Moreover,

(i)  $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^+} g(\alpha) = +\infty$ , and

(ii)  $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} g(\alpha) = -\infty$ .

Thus, by applying Bolzano's Theorem, we obtain the existence of  $\hat{\alpha}$  such that  $g(\hat{\alpha}) = 0$ . Taking into account that  $g$  is strictly decreasing, we also can guarantee that  $\hat{\alpha}$  is unique.

Let us observe that  $g(\hat{\alpha}) = 0$  implies that

$$\hat{p}_f = \frac{\hat{\alpha}}{\hat{\alpha} - 1} c_d - \frac{1}{\hat{\alpha} - 1} B_0$$

is a solution of

$$(B_0 - \hat{p}_f) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{B_0 - \hat{p}_d}{B_0 - \hat{p}_f} \right)^{\hat{\alpha}} [B_0 + (\hat{\alpha} - 1) \hat{p}_f - \hat{\alpha} c_f],$$

which is the desired result.  $\square$

We next show that, by selecting the parameter  $\alpha$  appropriately, the buyer can guarantee that her savings exceed  $B_0 - c_d$ . The statement of Theorem 5 is a direct consequence of our next result.

**Lemma 5** *There exist  $\tilde{\alpha}$  such that, at equilibrium,*

$$S_0(B_0, \hat{P}) > B_0 - c_d.$$

*Proof.* Given sellers' costs, let  $\tilde{\alpha} > 1$  be such that

$$B_0 - \hat{p}_f = \frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\alpha} - 1} (B_0 - c_d),$$

whose existence was proved in Lemma 4 above. Let us observe that

$$S_0(B_0, \hat{P}) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{B_0 - \hat{p}_d}{B_0 - \hat{p}_f} \right)^{\tilde{\alpha}} (B_0 - \hat{p}_d) + \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{B_0 - \hat{p}_d}{B_0 - \hat{p}_f} \right)^{\tilde{\alpha}} \right] (B_0 - \hat{p}_f).$$

Taking into account that

$$B_0 - \hat{p}_f = \frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\alpha}-1} (B_0 - c_d), \text{ and}$$

$$B_0 - \hat{p}_d = \frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\alpha}+1} (B_0 - c_d),$$

we have that

$$\frac{B_0 - \hat{p}_d}{B_0 - \hat{p}_f} = \left( \frac{\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\alpha}+1}}{\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\tilde{\alpha}-1}} \right) = \frac{\tilde{\alpha}-1}{\tilde{\alpha}+1} < 1,$$

and thus

$$\Psi_d^{cp\tilde{\alpha}}(B_0, \hat{P}) < \frac{1}{2}, \text{ and } \Psi_f^{cp\tilde{\alpha}}(B_0, \hat{P}) > \frac{1}{2}.$$

Therefore,

$$S_0(B_0, \hat{P}) > \frac{1}{2} [(B_0 - \hat{p}_f) + (B_0 - \hat{p}_d)] = \frac{\tilde{\alpha}^2}{\tilde{\alpha}^2 - 1} (B_0 - c_d) > (B_0 - c_d),$$

which is the desired result.  $\square$

## C Analysis of $\Gamma^{ctcp_\alpha}$

For the convenience of the referees, this Appendix provides a proof of Theorem 6. It is, however, not intended for publication.

When mechanism  $\Gamma^{ctcp_\alpha}$  is applied, let us remember that at each  $t \in [0, 1)$  both providers simultaneously select an action  $a_i(t) \in \{0, 1\}$ . This action depends on the history of the game, namely the actions taken by both agents at any  $t' < t$ . Notice that the only relevant information that a provider extracts at time  $t$  from the history is:

- (i) If he selected *stop* at an earlier point in time, i.e. if there is  $t' < t$  such that  $a_i(t') = 1$ . In such a case his action at  $t$  does not affect the final outcome.
- (ii) If his rival selected *stop* at an earlier point in time, i.e. if there is  $t' < t$  such that  $a_j(t') = 1$ .
- (iii) If  $a_j(t') = 1$  for some  $t' < t$ , and  $a_i(t') = 0$  for each  $t' < t$ , the minimum value of  $\hat{t}$  for which  $a_j(\hat{t}) = 1$ , i.e.  $\tilde{t}_j$ .

For our purposes the history of the game can thus be represented as

$$\mathcal{H} : [0, 1) \rightarrow [0, 1]^2 \quad (22)$$

such that, for each  $t \in (0, 1)$ , and any  $i$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_i(t) \in [0, t) \cup \{1\}$ . In such a case,  $\mathcal{H}_i(t) = t' < t$  refers to the case in which provider  $i$  selects *stop* at  $t'$  after *continuing* at any  $t'' < t'$ . Similarly,  $\mathcal{H}_i(t) = 1$  is interpreted as *continuing* or  $a_i(t') = 0$  for all  $t' < t$ .

Let us observe that, given the history of the game at time  $t$ ,  $\mathcal{H}(t)$ , provider  $i$ 's optimal action is:

- (1) Any action if  $\mathcal{H}_i(t) < t$ . This is so because he selected *stop* at an earlier point in time and is thus unable to affect the final outcome.
- (2) If  $\mathcal{H}_i(t) = 1$ , we consider the following two scenarios:

- (a)  $\mathcal{H}_j(t) = 1$ . Then, if  $a_i(t) = 1$ , it should be the case that  $p_i \geq p_j$ . This is the optimal action for provider  $i$  whenever

$$p_i \geq \frac{B_0 + \alpha c_i}{1 + \alpha},$$

or equivalently in terms of time when

$$t \geq \frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha} \frac{B_0 - c_i}{B_0}.$$

Therefore, the optimal action for agent  $i$  is

$$a_i(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t < \frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha} \frac{B_0 - c_i}{B_0} \\ 1 & \text{if } t \geq \frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha} \frac{B_0 - c_i}{B_0} \end{cases}.$$

(b)  $\mathcal{H}_j(t) = t' < t$ . Note that, in such a case  $p_i < p_j$ . Provider  $i$  computes his optimal decision provided that  $p_i \leq (1-t)B_0$ ; i.e. he solves the problem

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \max_{t^+} \left(1 - \left(\frac{t'}{t^+}\right)^\alpha\right) ((1-t^+)B_0 - c_i) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad t^+ \geq t \end{array} \right\} \quad (23)$$

Note that the above description characterizes dominant strategies for the agents, and that the actions of the providers implied by these strategies satisfy:

(a) For the domestic provider

$$a_d(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t < \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} \frac{B_0 - c_d}{B_0} \\ 1 & \text{if } t = \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} \frac{B_0 - c_d}{B_0}. \end{cases}$$

(b) For the foreign provider

$$a_f(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t < \hat{t} \\ 1 & \text{if } t = \hat{t}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\hat{t}$  is the unique maximizer of

$$\left(1 - \left(\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} \frac{B_0 - c_d}{tB_0}\right)^\alpha\right) ((1-t)B_0 - c_f)$$

in the interval  $\left[\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} \frac{B_0 - c_d}{B_0}, 1\right]$ .



# FUNDACIÓN DE LAS CAJAS DE AHORROS

---

## DOCUMENTOS DE TRABAJO

### Últimos números publicados

- 159/2000 Participación privada en la construcción y explotación de carreteras de peaje  
Ginés de Rus, Manuel Romero y Lourdes Trujillo
- 160/2000 Errores y posibles soluciones en la aplicación del *Value at Risk*  
Mariano González Sánchez
- 161/2000 Tax neutrality on saving assets. The spanish case before and after the tax reform  
Cristina Ruza y de Paz-Curbera
- 162/2000 Private rates of return to human capital in Spain: new evidence  
F. Barceinas, J. Oliver-Alonso, J.L. Raymond y J.L. Roig-Sabaté
- 163/2000 El control interno del riesgo. Una propuesta de sistema de límites  
riesgo neutral  
Mariano González Sánchez
- 164/2001 La evolución de las políticas de gasto de las Administraciones Públicas en los años 90  
Alfonso Utrilla de la Hoz y Carmen Pérez Esparrells
- 165/2001 Bank cost efficiency and output specification  
Emili Tortosa-Ausina
- 166/2001 Recent trends in Spanish income distribution: A robust picture of falling income inequality  
Josep Oliver-Alonso, Xavier Ramos y José Luis Raymond-Bara
- 167/2001 Efectos redistributivos y sobre el bienestar social del tratamiento de las cargas familiares en  
el nuevo IRPF  
Nuria Badenes Plá, Julio López Laborda, Jorge Onrubia Fernández
- 168/2001 The Effects of Bank Debt on Financial Structure of Small and Medium Firms in some Euro-  
pean Countries  
Mónica Melle-Hernández
- 169/2001 La política de cohesión de la UE ampliada: la perspectiva de España  
Ismael Sanz Labrador
- 170/2002 Riesgo de liquidez de Mercado  
Mariano González Sánchez
- 171/2002 Los costes de administración para el afiliado en los sistemas de pensiones basados en cuentas  
de capitalización individual: medida y comparación internacional.  
José Enrique Devesa Carpio, Rosa Rodríguez Barrera, Carlos Vidal Meliá
- 172/2002 La encuesta continua de presupuestos familiares (1985-1996): descripción, representatividad  
y propuestas de metodología para la explotación de la información de los ingresos y el gasto.  
Llorenç Pou, Joaquín Alegre
- 173/2002 Modelos paramétricos y no paramétricos en problemas de concesión de tarjetas de crédito.  
Rosa Puertas, María Bonilla, Ignacio Olmeda

- 174/2002 Mercado único, comercio intra-industrial y costes de ajuste en las manufacturas españolas.  
José Vicente Blanes Cristóbal
- 175/2003 La Administración tributaria en España. Un análisis de la gestión a través de los ingresos y de los gastos.  
Juan de Dios Jiménez Aguilera, Pedro Enrique Barrilao González
- 176/2003 The Falling Share of Cash Payments in Spain.  
Santiago Carbó Valverde, Rafael López del Paso, David B. Humphrey  
Publicado en "Moneda y Crédito" nº 217, pags. 167-189.
- 177/2003 Effects of ATMs and Electronic Payments on Banking Costs: The Spanish Case.  
Santiago Carbó Valverde, Rafael López del Paso, David B. Humphrey
- 178/2003 Factors explaining the interest margin in the banking sectors of the European Union.  
Joaquín Maudos y Juan Fernández Guevara
- 179/2003 Los planes de stock options para directivos y consejeros y su valoración por el mercado de valores en España.  
Mónica Melle Hernández
- 180/2003 Ownership and Performance in Europe and US Banking – A comparison of Commercial, Co-operative & Savings Banks.  
Yener Altunbas, Santiago Carbó y Phil Molyneux
- 181/2003 The Euro effect on the integration of the European stock markets.  
Mónica Melle Hernández
- 182/2004 In search of complementarity in the innovation strategy: international R&D and external knowledge acquisition.  
Bruno Cassiman, Reinhilde Veugelers
- 183/2004 Fijación de precios en el sector público: una aplicación para el servicio municipal de suministro de agua.  
M<sup>a</sup> Ángeles García Valiñas
- 184/2004 Estimación de la economía sumergida en España: un modelo estructural de variables latentes.  
Ángel Alañón Pardo, Miguel Gómez de Antonio
- 185/2004 Causas políticas y consecuencias sociales de la corrupción.  
Joan Oriol Prats Cabrera
- 186/2004 Loan bankers' decisions and sensitivity to the audit report using the belief revision model.  
Andrés Guiral Contreras and José A. Gonzalo Angulo
- 187/2004 El modelo de Black, Derman y Toy en la práctica. Aplicación al mercado español.  
Marta Tolentino García-Abadillo y Antonio Díaz Pérez
- 188/2004 Does market competition make banks perform well?.  
Mónica Melle
- 189/2004 Efficiency differences among banks: external, technical, internal, and managerial  
Santiago Carbó Valverde, David B. Humphrey y Rafael López del Paso

- 190/2004 Una aproximación al análisis de los costes de la esquizofrenia en España: los modelos jerárquicos bayesianos  
F. J. Vázquez-Polo, M. A. Negrín, J. M. Cavasés, E. Sánchez y grupo RIRAG
- 191/2004 Environmental proactivity and business performance: an empirical analysis  
Javier González-Benito y Óscar González-Benito
- 192/2004 Economic risk to beneficiaries in notional defined contribution accounts (NDCs)  
Carlos Vidal-Meliá, Inmaculada Domínguez-Fabian y José Enrique Devesa-Carpio
- 193/2004 Sources of efficiency gains in port reform: non parametric malmquist decomposition tfp index for Mexico  
Antonio Estache, Beatriz Tovar de la Fé y Lourdes Trujillo
- 194/2004 Persistencia de resultados en los fondos de inversión españoles  
Alfredo Ciriaco Fernández y Rafael Santamaría Aquilué
- 195/2005 El modelo de revisión de creencias como aproximación psicológica a la formación del juicio del auditor sobre la gestión continuada  
Andrés Guiral Contreras y Francisco Esteso Sánchez
- 196/2005 La nueva financiación sanitaria en España: descentralización y prospectiva  
David Cantarero Prieto
- 197/2005 A cointegration analysis of the Long-Run supply response of Spanish agriculture to the common agricultural policy  
José A. Mendez, Ricardo Mora y Carlos San Juan
- 198/2005 ¿Refleja la estructura temporal de los tipos de interés del mercado español preferencia por la liquidez?  
Magdalena Massot Perelló y Juan M. Nave
- 199/2005 Análisis de impacto de los Fondos Estructurales Europeos recibidos por una economía regional: Un enfoque a través de Matrices de Contabilidad Social  
M. Carmen Lima y M. Alejandro Cardenete
- 200/2005 Does the development of non-cash payments affect monetary policy transmission?  
Santiago Carbó Valverde y Rafael López del Paso
- 201/2005 Firm and time varying technical and allocative efficiency: an application for port cargo handling firms  
Ana Rodríguez-Álvarez, Beatriz Tovar de la Fe y Lourdes Trujillo
- 202/2005 Contractual complexity in strategic alliances  
Jeffrey J. Reuer y Africa Ariño
- 203/2005 Factores determinantes de la evolución del empleo en las empresas adquiridas por opa  
Nuria Alcalde Fradejas y Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar
- 204/2005 Nonlinear Forecasting in Economics: a comparison between Comprehension Approach versus Learning Approach. An Application to Spanish Time Series  
Elena Olmedo, Juan M. Valderas, Ricardo Gimeno and Lorenzo Escot

- 205/2005 Precio de la tierra con presión urbana: un modelo para España  
Esther Decimavilla, Carlos San Juan y Stefan Sperlich
- 206/2005 Interregional migration in Spain: a semiparametric analysis  
Adolfo Maza y José Villaverde
- 207/2005 Productivity growth in European banking  
Carmen Murillo-Melchor, José Manuel Pastor y Emili Tortosa-Ausina
- 208/2005 Explaining Bank Cost Efficiency in Europe: Environmental and Productivity Influences.  
Santiago Carbó Valverde, David B. Humphrey y Rafael López del Paso
- 209/2005 La elasticidad de sustitución intertemporal con preferencias no separables intratemporalmente: los casos de Alemania, España y Francia.  
Elena Márquez de la Cruz, Ana R. Martínez Cañete y Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar
- 210/2005 Contribución de los efectos tamaño, book-to-market y momentum a la valoración de activos: el caso español.  
Begoña Font-Belaire y Alfredo Juan Grau-Grau
- 211/2005 Permanent income, convergence and inequality among countries  
José M. Pastor and Lorenzo Serrano
- 212/2005 The Latin Model of Welfare: Do 'Insertion Contracts' Reduce Long-Term Dependence?  
Luis Ayala and Magdalena Rodríguez
- 213/2005 The effect of geographic expansion on the productivity of Spanish savings banks  
Manuel Illueca, José M. Pastor and Emili Tortosa-Ausina
- 214/2005 Dynamic network interconnection under consumer switching costs  
Ángel Luis López Rodríguez
- 215/2005 La influencia del entorno socioeconómico en la realización de estudios universitarios: una aproximación al caso español en la década de los noventa  
Marta Rahona López
- 216/2005 The valuation of spanish ipos: efficiency analysis  
Susana Álvarez Otero
- 217/2005 On the generation of a regular multi-input multi-output technology using parametric output distance functions  
Sergio Perelman and Daniel Santin
- 218/2005 La gobernanza de los procesos parlamentarios: la organización industrial del congreso de los diputados en España  
Gonzalo Caballero Miguez
- 219/2005 Determinants of bank market structure: Efficiency and political economy variables  
Francisco González
- 220/2005 Agresividad de las órdenes introducidas en el mercado español: estrategias, determinantes y medidas de performance  
David Abad Díaz

- 221/2005 Tendencia post-anuncio de resultados contables: evidencia para el mercado español  
Carlos Forner Rodríguez, Joaquín Marhuenda Fructuoso y Sonia Sanabria García
- 222/2005 Human capital accumulation and geography: empirical evidence in the European Union  
Jesús López-Rodríguez, J. Andrés Faiña y Jose Lopez Rodríguez
- 223/2005 Auditors' Forecasting in Going Concern Decisions: Framing, Confidence and Information Processing  
Waymond Rodgers and Andrés Guiral
- 224/2005 The effect of Structural Fund spending on the Galician region: an assessment of the 1994-1999 and 2000-2006 Galician CSFs  
José Ramón Cancelo de la Torre, J. Andrés Faiña and Jesús López-Rodríguez
- 225/2005 The effects of ownership structure and board composition on the audit committee activity: Spanish evidence  
Carlos Fernández Méndez and Rubén Arrondo García
- 226/2005 Cross-country determinants of bank income smoothing by managing loan loss provisions  
Ana Rosa Fonseca and Francisco González
- 227/2005 Incumplimiento fiscal en el irpf (1993-2000): un análisis de sus factores determinantes  
Alejandro Estellér Moré
- 228/2005 Region versus Industry effects: volatility transmission  
Pilar Soriano Felipe and Francisco J. Climent Diranzo
- 229/2005 Concurrent Engineering: The Moderating Effect Of Uncertainty On New Product Development Success  
Daniel Vázquez-Bustelo and Sandra Valle
- 230/2005 On zero lower bound traps: a framework for the analysis of monetary policy in the 'age' of central banks  
Alfonso Palacio-Vera
- 231/2005 Reconciling Sustainability and Discounting in Cost Benefit Analysis: a methodological proposal  
M. Carmen Almansa Sáez and Javier Calatrava Requena
- 232/2005 Can The Excess Of Liquidity Affect The Effectiveness Of The European Monetary Policy?  
Santiago Carbó Valverde and Rafael López del Paso
- 233/2005 Inheritance Taxes In The Eu Fiscal Systems: The Present Situation And Future Perspectives.  
Miguel Angel Barberán Lahuerta
- 234/2006 Bank Ownership And Informativeness Of Earnings.  
Víctor M. González
- 235/2006 Developing A Predictive Method: A Comparative Study Of The Partial Least Squares Vs Maximum Likelihood Techniques.  
Waymond Rodgers, Paul Pavlou and Andres Guiral.
- 236/2006 Using Compromise Programming for Macroeconomic Policy Making in a General Equilibrium Framework: Theory and Application to the Spanish Economy.  
Francisco J. André, M. Alejandro Cardenete y Carlos Romero.

- 237/2006 Bank Market Power And Sme Financing Constraints.  
Santiago Carbó-Valverde, Francisco Rodríguez-Fernández y Gregory F. Udell.
- 238/2006 Trade Effects Of Monetary Agreements: Evidence For Oecd Countries.  
Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero y José Antonio Martínez-Serrano.
- 239/2006 The Quality Of Institutions: A Genetic Programming Approach.  
Marcos Álvarez-Díaz y Gonzalo Caballero Miguez.
- 240/2006 La interacción entre el éxito competitivo y las condiciones del mercado doméstico como determinantes de la decisión de exportación en las Pymes.  
Francisco García Pérez.
- 241/2006 Una estimación de la depreciación del capital humano por sectores, por ocupación y en el tiempo.  
Inés P. Murillo.
- 242/2006 Consumption And Leisure Externalities, Economic Growth And Equilibrium Efficiency.  
Manuel A. Gómez.
- 243/2006 Measuring efficiency in education: an analysis of different approaches for incorporating non-discretionary inputs.  
Jose Manuel Cordero-Ferrera, Francisco Pedraja-Chaparro y Javier Salinas-Jiménez
- 244/2006 Did The European Exchange-Rate Mechanism Contribute To The Integration Of Peripheral Countries?.  
Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero y José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
- 245/2006 Intergenerational Health Mobility: An Empirical Approach Based On The Echp.  
Marta Pascual and David Cantarero
- 246/2006 Measurement and analysis of the Spanish Stock Exchange using the Lyapunov exponent with digital technology.  
Salvador Rojí Ferrari and Ana Gonzalez Marcos
- 247/2006 Testing For Structural Breaks In Variance With additive Outliers And Measurement Errors.  
Paulo M.M. Rodrigues and Antonio Rubia
- 248/2006 The Cost Of Market Power In Banking: Social Welfare Loss Vs. Cost Inefficiency.  
Joaquín Maudos and Juan Fernández de Guevara
- 249/2006 Elasticidades de largo plazo de la demanda de vivienda: evidencia para España (1885-2000).  
Desiderio Romero Jordán, José Félix Sanz Sanz y César Pérez López
- 250/2006 Regional Income Disparities in Europe: What role for location?.  
Jesús López-Rodríguez and J. Andrés Faña
- 251/2006 Funciones abreviadas de bienestar social: Una forma sencilla de simultaneizar la medición de la eficiencia y la equidad de las políticas de gasto público.  
Nuria Badenes Plá y Daniel Santín González
- 252/2006 "The momentum effect in the Spanish stock market: Omitted risk factors or investor behaviour?".  
Luis Muga and Rafael Santamaría
- 253/2006 Dinámica de precios en el mercado español de gasolina: un equilibrio de colusión tácita.  
Jordi Perdiguero García

- 254/2006 Desigualdad regional en España: renta permanente versus renta corriente.  
José M. Pastor, Empar Pons y Lorenzo Serrano
- 255/2006 Environmental implications of organic food preferences: an application of the impure public goods model.  
Ana Maria Aldanondo-Ochoa y Carmen Almansa-Sáez
- 256/2006 Family tax credits versus family allowances when labour supply matters: Evidence for Spain.  
José Felix Sanz-Sanz, Desiderio Romero-Jordán y Santiago Álvarez-García
- 257/2006 La internacionalización de la empresa manufacturera española: efectos del capital humano genérico y específico.  
José López Rodríguez
- 258/2006 Evaluación de las migraciones interregionales en España, 1996-2004.  
María Martínez Torres
- 259/2006 Efficiency and market power in Spanish banking.  
Rolf Färe, Shawna Grosskopf y Emili Tortosa-Ausina.
- 260/2006 Asimetrías en volatilidad, beta y contagios entre las empresas grandes y pequeñas cotizadas en la bolsa española.  
Helena Chuliá y Hipòlit Torró.
- 261/2006 Birth Replacement Ratios: New Measures of Period Population Replacement.  
José Antonio Ortega.
- 262/2006 Accidentes de tráfico, víctimas mortales y consumo de alcohol.  
José M<sup>a</sup> Arranz y Ana I. Gil.
- 263/2006 Análisis de la Presencia de la Mujer en los Consejos de Administración de las Mil Mayores Empresas Españolas.  
Ruth Mateos de Cabo, Lorenzo Escot Mangas y Ricardo Gimeno Nogués.
- 264/2006 Crisis y Reforma del Pacto de Estabilidad y Crecimiento. Las Limitaciones de la Política Económica en Europa.  
Ignacio Álvarez Peralta.
- 265/2006 Have Child Tax Allowances Affected Family Size? A Microdata Study For Spain (1996-2000).  
Jaime Vallés-Giménez y Anabel Zárate-Marco.
- 266/2006 Health Human Capital And The Shift From Foraging To Farming.  
Paolo Rungo.
- 267/2006 Financiación Autonómica y Política de la Competencia: El Mercado de Gasolina en Canarias.  
Juan Luis Jiménez y Jordi Perdiguero.
- 268/2006 El cumplimiento del Protocolo de Kyoto para los hogares españoles: el papel de la imposición sobre la energía.  
Desiderio Romero-Jordán y José Félix Sanz-Sanz.
- 269/2006 Banking competition, financial dependence and economic growth  
Joaquín Maudos y Juan Fernández de Guevara
- 270/2006 Efficiency, subsidies and environmental adaptation of animal farming under CAP  
Werner Kleinhanß, Carmen Murillo, Carlos San Juan y Stefan Sperlich

- 271/2006 Interest Groups, Incentives to Cooperation and Decision-Making Process in the European Union  
A. Garcia-Lorenzo y Jesús López-Rodríguez
- 272/2006 Riesgo asimétrico y estrategias de momentum en el mercado de valores español  
Luis Muga y Rafael Santamaría
- 273/2006 Valoración de capital-riesgo en proyectos de base tecnológica e innovadora a través de la teoría de opciones reales  
Gracia Rubio Martín
- 274/2006 Capital stock and unemployment: searching for the missing link  
Ana Rosa Martínez-Cañete, Elena Márquez de la Cruz, Alfonso Palacio-Vera and Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar
- 275/2006 Study of the influence of the voters' political culture on vote decision through the simulation of a political competition problem in Spain  
Sagrario Lantarón, Isabel Lillo, M<sup>a</sup> Dolores López and Javier Rodrigo
- 276/2006 Investment and growth in Europe during the Golden Age  
Antonio Cubel and M<sup>a</sup> Teresa Sanchis
- 277/2006 Efectos de vincular la pensión pública a la inversión en cantidad y calidad de hijos en un modelo de equilibrio general  
Robert Meneu Gaya
- 278/2006 El consumo y la valoración de activos  
Elena Márquez y Belén Nieto
- 279/2006 Economic growth and currency crisis: A real exchange rate entropic approach  
David Matesanz Gómez y Guillermo J. Ortega
- 280/2006 Three measures of returns to education: An illustration for the case of Spain  
María Arrazola y José de Hevia
- 281/2006 Composition of Firms versus Composition of Jobs  
Antoni Cunyat
- 282/2006 La vocación internacional de un holding tranviario belga: la Compagnie Mutuelle de Tramways, 1895-1918  
Alberte Martínez López
- 283/2006 Una visión panorámica de las entidades de crédito en España en la última década.  
Constantino García Ramos
- 284/2006 Foreign Capital and Business Strategies: a comparative analysis of urban transport in Madrid and Barcelona, 1871-1925  
Alberte Martínez López
- 285/2006 Los intereses belgas en la red ferroviaria catalana, 1890-1936  
Alberte Martínez López
- 286/2006 The Governance of Quality: The Case of the Agrifood Brand Names  
Marta Fernández Barcala, Manuel González-Díaz y Emmanuel Raynaud
- 287/2006 Modelling the role of health status in the transition out of malthusian equilibrium  
Paolo Rungo, Luis Currais and Berta Rivera
- 288/2006 Industrial Effects of Climate Change Policies through the EU Emissions Trading Scheme  
Xavier Labandeira and Miguel Rodríguez



- 289/2006 Globalisation and the Composition of Government Spending: An analysis for OECD countries  
Norman Gemmell, Richard Kneller and Ismael Sanz
- 290/2006 La producción de energía eléctrica en España: Análisis económico de la actividad tras la liberalización del Sector Eléctrico  
Fernando Hernández Martínez
- 291/2006 Further considerations on the link between adjustment costs and the productivity of R&D investment: evidence for Spain  
Desiderio Romero-Jordán, José Félix Sanz-Sanz and Inmaculada Álvarez-Ayuso
- 292/2006 Una teoría sobre la contribución de la función de compras al rendimiento empresarial  
Javier González Benito
- 293/2006 Agility drivers, enablers and outcomes: empirical test of an integrated agile manufacturing model  
Daniel Vázquez-Bustelo, Lucía Avella and Esteban Fernández
- 294/2006 Testing the parametric vs the semiparametric generalized mixed effects models  
María José Lombardía and Stefan Sperlich
- 295/2006 Nonlinear dynamics in energy futures  
Mariano Matilla-García
- 296/2006 Estimating Spatial Models By Generalized Maximum Entropy Or How To Get Rid Of W  
Esteban Fernández Vázquez, Matías Mayor Fernández and Jorge Rodríguez-Valez
- 297/2006 Optimización fiscal en las transmisiones lucrativas: análisis metodológico  
Félix Domínguez Barrero
- 298/2006 La situación actual de la banca online en España  
Francisco José Climent Diranzo y Alexandre Momparler Pechuán
- 299/2006 Estrategia competitiva y rendimiento del negocio: el papel mediador de la estrategia y las capacidades productivas  
Javier González Benito y Isabel Suárez González
- 300/2006 A Parametric Model to Estimate Risk in a Fixed Income Portfolio  
Pilar Abad and Sonia Benito
- 301/2007 Análisis Empírico de las Preferencias Sociales Respecto del Gasto en Obra Social de las Cajas de Ahorros  
Alejandro Esteller-Moré, Jonathan Jorba Jiménez y Albert Solé-Ollé
- 302/2007 Assessing the enlargement and deepening of regional trading blocs: The European Union case  
Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero y José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
- 303/2007 ¿Es la Franquicia un Medio de Financiación?: Evidencia para el Caso Español  
Vanessa Solís Rodríguez y Manuel González Díaz
- 304/2007 On the Finite-Sample Biases in Nonparametric Testing for Variance Constancy  
Paulo M.M. Rodrigues and Antonio Rubia
- 305/2007 Spain is Different: Relative Wages 1989-98  
José Antonio Carrasco Gallego

- 306/2007 Poverty reduction and SAM multipliers: An evaluation of public policies in a regional framework  
Francisco Javier De Miguel-Vélez y Jesús Pérez-Mayo
- 307/2007 La Eficiencia en la Gestión del Riesgo de Crédito en las Cajas de Ahorro  
Marcelino Martínez Cabrera
- 308/2007 Optimal environmental policy in transport: unintended effects on consumers' generalized price  
M. Pilar Socorro and Ofelia Betancor
- 309/2007 Agricultural Productivity in the European Regions: Trends and Explanatory Factors  
Roberto Ezcurra, Belen Iraizoz, Pedro Pascual and Manuel Rapún
- 310/2007 Long-run Regional Population Divergence and Modern Economic Growth in Europe: a Case Study of Spain  
María Isabel Ayuda, Fernando Collantes and Vicente Pinilla
- 311/2007 Financial Information effects on the measurement of Commercial Banks' Efficiency  
Borja Amor, María T. Tascón and José L. Fanjul
- 312/2007 Neutralidad e incentivos de las inversiones financieras en el nuevo IRPF  
Félix Domínguez Barrero
- 313/2007 The Effects of Corporate Social Responsibility Perceptions on The Valuation of Common Stock  
Waymond Rodgers , Helen Choy and Andres Guiral-Contreras
- 314/2007 Country Creditor Rights, Information Sharing and Commercial Banks' Profitability Persistence across the world  
Borja Amor, María T. Tascón and José L. Fanjul
- 315/2007 ¿Es Relevante el Déficit Corriente en una Unión Monetaria? El Caso Español  
Javier Blanco González y Ignacio del Rosal Fernández
- 316/2007 The Impact of Credit Rating Announcements on Spanish Corporate Fixed Income Performance: Returns, Yields and Liquidity  
Pilar Abad, Antonio Díaz and M. Dolores Robles
- 317/2007 Indicadores de Lealtad al Establecimiento y Formato Comercial Basados en la Distribución del Presupuesto  
Cesar Augusto Bustos Reyes y Óscar González Benito
- 318/2007 Migrants and Market Potential in Spain over The XXth Century: A Test Of The New Economic Geography  
Daniel A. Tirado, Jordi Pons, Elisenda Paluzie and Javier Silvestre
- 319/2007 El Impacto del Coste de Oportunidad de la Actividad Emprendedora en la Intención de los Ciudadanos Europeos de Crear Empresas  
Luis Miguel Zapico Aldeano
- 320/2007 Los belgas y los ferrocarriles de vía estrecha en España, 1887-1936  
Alberte Martínez López
- 321/2007 Competición política bipartidista. Estudio geométrico del equilibrio en un caso ponderado  
Isabel Lillo, M<sup>a</sup> Dolores López y Javier Rodrigo
- 322/2007 Human resource management and environment management systems: an empirical study  
M<sup>a</sup> Concepción López Fernández, Ana M<sup>a</sup> Serrano Bedía and Gema García Piqueres

- 323/2007 Wood and industrialization. evidence and hypotheses from the case of Spain, 1860-1935.  
Iñaki Iriarte-Goñi and María Isabel Ayuda Bosque
- 324/2007 New evidence on long-run monetary neutrality.  
J. Cunado, L.A. Gil-Alana and F. Perez de Gracia
- 325/2007 Monetary policy and structural changes in the volatility of us interest rates.  
Juncal Cuñado, Javier Gomez Biscarri and Fernando Perez de Gracia
- 326/2007 The productivity effects of intrafirm diffusion.  
Lucio Fuentelsaz, Jaime Gómez and Sergio Palomas
- 327/2007 Unemployment duration, layoffs and competing risks.  
J.M. Arranz, C. García-Serrano and L. Toharia
- 328/2007 El grado de cobertura del gasto público en España respecto a la UE-15  
Nuria Rueda, Begoña Barruso, Carmen Calderón y M<sup>a</sup> del Mar Herrador
- 329/2007 The Impact of Direct Subsidies in Spain before and after the CAP'92 Reform  
Carmen Murillo, Carlos San Juan and Stefan Sperlich
- 330/2007 Determinants of post-privatisation performance of Spanish divested firms  
Laura Cabeza García and Silvia Gómez Ansón
- 331/2007 ¿Por qué deciden diversificar las empresas españolas? Razones oportunistas versus razones económicas  
Almudena Martínez Campillo
- 332/2007 Dynamical Hierarchical Tree in Currency Markets  
Juan Gabriel Brida, David Matesanz Gómez and Wiston Adrián Risso
- 333/2007 Los determinantes sociodemográficos del gasto sanitario. Análisis con microdatos individuales  
Ana María Angulo, Ramón Barberán, Pilar Egea y Jesús Mur
- 334/2007 Why do companies go private? The Spanish case  
Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar
- 335/2007 The use of gis to study transport for disabled people  
Verónica Cañal Fernández
- 336/2007 The long run consequences of M&A: An empirical application  
Cristina Bernad, Lucio Fuentelsaz and Jaime Gómez
- 337/2007 Las clasificaciones de materias en economía: principios para el desarrollo de una nueva clasificación  
Valentín Edo Hernández
- 338/2007 Reforming Taxes and Improving Health: A Revenue-Neutral Tax Reform to Eliminate Medical and Pharmaceutical VAT  
Santiago Álvarez-García, Carlos Pestana Barros y Juan Prieto-Rodríguez
- 339/2007 Impacts of an iron and steel plant on residential property values  
Celia Bilbao-Terol
- 340/2007 Firm size and capital structure: Evidence using dynamic panel data  
Victor M. González and Francisco González

- 341/2007 ¿Cómo organizar una cadena hotelera? La elección de la forma de gobierno  
Marta Fernández Barcala y Manuel González Díaz
- 342/2007 Análisis de los efectos de la decisión de diversificar: un contraste del marco teórico “Agencia-Stewardship”  
Almudena Martínez Campillo y Roberto Fernández Gago
- 343/2007 Selecting portfolios given multiple eurostoxx-based uncertainty scenarios: a stochastic goal programming approach from fuzzy betas  
Enrique Ballester, Blanca Pérez-Gladish, Mar Arenas-Parra and Amelia Bilbao-Terol
- 344/2007 “El bienestar de los inmigrantes y los factores implicados en la decisión de emigrar”  
Anastasia Hernández Alemán y Carmelo J. León
- 345/2007 Governance Decisions in the R&D Process: An Integrative Framework Based on TCT and Knowledge View of The Firm.  
Andrea Martínez-Noya and Esteban García-Canal
- 346/2007 Diferencias salariales entre empresas públicas y privadas. El caso español  
Begoña Cueto y Nuria Sánchez- Sánchez
- 347/2007 Effects of Fiscal Treatments of Second Home Ownership on Renting Supply  
Celia Bilbao Terol and Juan Prieto Rodríguez
- 348/2007 Auditors’ ethical dilemmas in the going concern evaluation  
Andres Guiral, Waymond Rodgers, Emiliano Ruiz and Jose A. Gonzalo
- 349/2007 Convergencia en capital humano en España. Un análisis regional para el periodo 1970-2004  
Susana Morales Sequera y Carmen Pérez Esparrells
- 350/2007 Socially responsible investment: mutual funds portfolio selection using fuzzy multiobjective programming  
Blanca M<sup>a</sup> Pérez-Gladish, Mar Arenas-Parra , Amelia Bilbao-Terol and M<sup>a</sup> Victoria Rodríguez-Uría
- 351/2007 Persistencia del resultado contable y sus componentes: implicaciones de la medida de ajustes por devengo  
Raúl Iñiguez Sánchez y Francisco Poveda Fuentes
- 352/2007 Wage Inequality and Globalisation: What can we Learn from the Past? A General Equilibrium Approach  
Concha Betrán, Javier Ferri and Maria A. Pons
- 353/2007 Eficacia de los incentivos fiscales a la inversión en I+D en España en los años noventa  
Desiderio Romero Jordán y José Félix Sanz Sanz
- 354/2007 Convergencia regional en renta y bienestar en España  
Robert Meneu Gaya
- 355/2007 Tributación ambiental: Estado de la Cuestión y Experiencia en España  
Ana Carrera Poncela
- 356/2007 Salient features of dependence in daily us stock market indices  
Luis A. Gil-Alana, Juncal Cuñado and Fernando Pérez de Gracia
- 357/2007 La educación superior: ¿un gasto o una inversión rentable para el sector público?  
Inés P. Murillo y Francisco Pedraja

- 358/2007 Effects of a reduction of working hours on a model with job creation and job destruction  
Emilio Domínguez, Miren Ullibarri y Idoya Zabaleta
- 359/2007 Stock split size, signaling and earnings management: Evidence from the Spanish market  
José Yagüe, J. Carlos Gómez-Sala and Francisco Poveda-Fuentes
- 360/2007 Modelización de las expectativas y estrategias de inversión en mercados de derivados  
Begoña Font-Belaire
- 361/2008 Trade in capital goods during the golden age, 1953-1973  
M<sup>a</sup> Teresa Sanchis and Antonio Cubel
- 362/2008 El capital económico por riesgo operacional: una aplicación del modelo de distribución de pérdidas  
Enrique José Jiménez Rodríguez y José Manuel Fera Domínguez
- 363/2008 The drivers of effectiveness in competition policy  
Joan-Ramon Borrell and Juan-Luis Jiménez
- 364/2008 Corporate governance structure and board of directors remuneration policies: evidence from Spain  
Carlos Fernández Méndez, Rubén Arrondo García and Enrique Fernández Rodríguez
- 365/2008 Beyond the disciplinary role of governance: how boards and donors add value to Spanish foundations  
Pablo De Andrés Alonso, Valentín Azofra Palenzuela y M. Elena Romero Merino
- 366/2008 Complejidad y perfeccionamiento contractual para la contención del oportunismo en los acuerdos de franquicia  
Vanessa Solís Rodríguez y Manuel González Díaz
- 367/2008 Inestabilidad y convergencia entre las regiones europeas  
Jesús Mur, Fernando López y Ana Angulo
- 368/2008 Análisis espacial del cierre de explotaciones agrarias  
Ana Aldanondo Ochoa, Carmen Almansa Sáez y Valero Casanovas Oliva
- 369/2008 Cross-Country Efficiency Comparison between Italian and Spanish Public Universities in the period 2000-2005  
Tommaso Agasisti and Carmen Pérez Esparrells
- 370/2008 El desarrollo de la sociedad de la información en España: un análisis por comunidades autónomas  
María Concepción García Jiménez y José Luis Gómez Barroso
- 371/2008 El medioambiente y los objetivos de fabricación: un análisis de los modelos estratégicos para su consecución  
Lucía Avella Camarero, Esteban Fernández Sánchez y Daniel Vázquez-Bustelo
- 372/2008 Influence of bank concentration and institutions on capital structure: New international evidence  
Víctor M. González and Francisco González
- 373/2008 Generalización del concepto de equilibrio en juegos de competición política  
M<sup>a</sup> Dolores López González y Javier Rodrigo Hitos
- 374/2008 Smooth Transition from Fixed Effects to Mixed Effects Models in Multi-level regression Models  
María José Lombardía and Stefan Sperlich

- 375/2008 A Revenue-Neutral Tax Reform to Increase Demand for Public Transport Services  
Carlos Pestana Barros and Juan Prieto-Rodríguez
- 376/2008 Measurement of intra-distribution dynamics: An application of different approaches to the European regions  
Adolfo Maza, María Hierro and José Villaverde
- 377/2008 Migración interna de extranjeros y ¿nueva fase en la convergencia?  
María Hierro y Adolfo Maza
- 378/2008 Efectos de la Reforma del Sector Eléctrico: Modelización Teórica y Experiencia Internacional  
Ciro Eduardo Bazán Navarro
- 379/2008 A Non-Parametric Independence Test Using Permutation Entropy  
Mariano Matilla-García and Manuel Ruiz Marín
- 380/2008 Testing for the General Fractional Unit Root Hypothesis in the Time Domain  
Uwe Hassler, Paulo M.M. Rodrigues and Antonio Rubia
- 381/2008 Multivariate gram-charlier densities  
Esther B. Del Brio, Trino-Manuel Níguez and Javier Perote
- 382/2008 Analyzing Semiparametrically the Trends in the Gender Pay Gap - The Example of Spain  
Ignacio Moral-Arce, Stefan Sperlich, Ana I. Fernández-Saínz and Maria J. Roca
- 383/2008 A Cost-Benefit Analysis of a Two-Sided Card Market  
Santiago Carbó Valverde, David B. Humphrey, José Manuel Liñares Zegarra and Francisco Rodríguez Fernandez
- 384/2008 A Fuzzy Bicriteria Approach for Journal Deselection in a Hospital Library  
M. L. López-Avello, M. V. Rodríguez-Uría, B. Pérez-Gladish, A. Bilbao-Terol, M. Arenas-Parra
- 385/2008 Valoración de las grandes corporaciones farmacéuticas, a través del análisis de sus principales intangibles, con el método de opciones reales  
Gracia Rubio Martín y Prosper Lamothe Fernández
- 386/2008 El marketing interno como impulsor de las habilidades comerciales de las pyme españolas: efectos en los resultados empresariales  
M<sup>a</sup> Leticia Santos Vijande, M<sup>a</sup> José Sanzo Pérez, Nuria García Rodríguez y Juan A. Trespalacios Gutiérrez
- 387/2008 Understanding Warrants Pricing: A case study of the financial market in Spain  
David Abad y Belén Nieto
- 388/2008 Aglomeración espacial, Potencial de Mercado y Geografía Económica: Una revisión de la literatura  
Jesús López-Rodríguez y J. Andrés Faíña
- 389/2008 An empirical assessment of the impact of switching costs and first mover advantages on firm performance  
Jaime Gómez, Juan Pablo Maícas
- 390/2008 Tender offers in Spain: testing the wave  
Ana R. Martínez-Cañete y Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar

- 391/2008 La integración del mercado español a finales del siglo XIX: los precios del trigo entre 1891 y 1905  
Mariano Matilla García, Pedro Pérez Pascual y Basilio Sanz Carnero
- 392/2008 Cuando el tamaño importa: estudio sobre la influencia de los sujetos políticos en la balanza de bienes y servicios  
Alfonso Echazarra de Gregorio
- 393/2008 Una visión cooperativa de las medidas ante el posible daño ambiental de la desalación  
Borja Montaña Sanz
- 394/2008 Efectos externos del endeudamiento sobre la calificación crediticia de las Comunidades Autónomas  
Andrés Leal Marcos y Julio López Laborda
- 395/2008 Technical efficiency and productivity changes in Spanish airports: A parametric distance functions approach  
Beatriz Tovar & Roberto Rendeiro Martín-Cejas
- 396/2008 Network analysis of exchange data: Interdependence drives crisis contagion  
David Matesanz Gómez & Guillermo J. Ortega
- 397/2008 Explaining the performance of Spanish privatised firms: a panel data approach  
Laura Cabeza Garcia and Silvia Gomez Anson
- 398/2008 Technological capabilities and the decision to outsource R&D services  
Andrea Martínez-Noya and Esteban García-Canal
- 399/2008 Hybrid Risk Adjustment for Pharmaceutical Benefits  
Manuel García-Goñi, Pere Ibern & José María Inoriza
- 400/2008 The Team Consensus–Performance Relationship and the Moderating Role of Team Diversity  
José Henrique Dieguez, Javier González-Benito and Jesús Galende
- 401/2008 The institutional determinants of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions: A computational modelling approach using Artificial Neural Networks and Genetic Programming  
Marcos Álvarez-Díaz , Gonzalo Caballero Miguez and Mario Soliño
- 402/2008 Alternative Approaches to Include Exogenous Variables in DEA Measures: A Comparison Using Monte Carlo  
José Manuel Cordero-Ferrera, Francisco Pedraja-Chaparro and Daniel Santín-González
- 403/2008 Efecto diferencial del capital humano en el crecimiento económico andaluz entre 1985 y 2004: comparación con el resto de España  
M<sup>a</sup> del Pópulo Pablo-Romero Gil-Delgado y M<sup>a</sup> de la Palma Gómez-Calero Valdés
- 404/2008 Análisis de fusiones, variaciones conjeturales y la falacia del estimador en diferencias  
Juan Luis Jiménez y Jordi Perdiguero
- 405/2008 Política fiscal en la ue: ¿basta con los estabilizadores automáticos?  
Jorge Uxó González y M<sup>a</sup> Jesús Arroyo Fernández
- 406/2008 Papel de la orientación emprendedora y la orientación al mercado en el éxito de las empresas  
Óscar González-Benito, Javier González-Benito y Pablo A. Muñoz-Gallego
- 407/2008 La presión fiscal por impuesto sobre sociedades en la unión europea  
Elena Fernández Rodríguez, Antonio Martínez Arias y Santiago Álvarez García

- 408/2008 The environment as a determinant factor of the purchasing and supply strategy: an empirical analysis  
Dr. Javier González-Benito y MS Duilio Reis da Rocha
- 409/2008 Cooperation for innovation: the impact on innovatory effort  
Gloria Sánchez González and Liliana Herrera
- 410/2008 Spanish post-earnings announcement drift and behavioral finance models  
Carlos Forner and Sonia Sanabria
- 411/2008 Decision taking with external pressure: evidence on football manager dismissals in argentina and their consequences  
Ramón Flores, David Forrest and Juan de Dios Tena
- 412/2008 Comercio agrario latinoamericano, 1963-2000: aplicación de la ecuación gravitacional para flujos desagregados de comercio  
Raúl Serrano y Vicente Pinilla
- 413/2008 Voter heuristics in Spain: a descriptive approach elector decision  
José Luís Sáez Lozano and Antonio M. Jaime Castillo
- 414/2008 Análisis del efecto área de salud de residencia sobre la utilización y acceso a los servicios sanitarios en la Comunidad Autónoma Canaria  
Ignacio Abásolo Alessón, Lidia García Pérez, Raquel Aguiar Ibáñez y Asier Amador Robayna
- 415/2008 Impact on competitive balance from allowing foreign players in a sports league: an analytical model and an empirical test  
Ramón Flores, David Forrest & Juan de Dios Tena
- 416/2008 Organizational innovation and productivity growth: Assessing the impact of outsourcing on firm performance  
Alberto López
- 417/2008 Value Efficiency Analysis of Health Systems  
Eduardo González, Ana Cárcaba & Juan Ventura
- 418/2008 Equidad en la utilización de servicios sanitarios públicos por comunidades autónomas en España: un análisis multinivel  
Ignacio Abásolo, Jaime Pinilla, Miguel Negrín, Raquel Aguiar y Lidia García
- 419/2008 Piedras en el camino hacia Bolonia: efectos de la implantación del EEES sobre los resultados académicos  
Carmen Florido, Juan Luis Jiménez e Isabel Santana
- 420/2008 The welfare effects of the allocation of airlines to different terminals  
M. Pilar Socorro and Ofelia Betancor
- 421/2008 How bank capital buffers vary across countries. The influence of cost of deposits, market power and bank regulation  
Ana Rosa Fonseca and Francisco González
- 422/2008 Analysing health limitations in Spain: an empirical approach based on the European Community household panel  
Marta Pascual and David Cantarero



- 423/2008 Regional productivity variation and the impact of public capital stock: an analysis with spatial interaction, with reference to Spain  
Miguel Gómez-Antonio and Bernard Fingleton
- 424/2008 Average effect of training programs on the time needed to find a job. The case of the training schools program in the south of Spain (Seville, 1997-1999).  
José Manuel Cansino Muñoz-Repiso and Antonio Sánchez Braza
- 425/2008 Medición de la eficiencia y cambio en la productividad de las empresas distribuidoras de electricidad en Perú después de las reformas  
Raúl Pérez-Reyes y Beatriz Tovar
- 426/2008 Acercando posturas sobre el descuento ambiental: sondeo Delphi a expertos en el ámbito internacional  
Carmen Almansa Sáez y José Miguel Martínez Paz
- 427/2008 Determinants of abnormal liquidity after rating actions in the Corporate Debt Market  
Pilar Abad, Antonio Díaz and M. Dolores Robles
- 428/2008 Export led-growth and balance of payments constrained. New formalization applied to Cuban commercial regimes since 1960  
David Matesanz Gómez, Guadalupe Fugarolas Álvarez-Ude and Isis Mañalich Gálvez
- 429/2008 La deuda implícita y el desequilibrio financiero-actuarial de un sistema de pensiones. El caso del régimen general de la seguridad social en España  
José Enrique Devesa Carpio y Mar Devesa Carpio
- 430/2008 Efectos de la descentralización fiscal sobre el precio de los carburantes en España  
Desiderio Romero Jordán, Marta Jorge García-Inés y Santiago Álvarez García
- 431/2008 Euro, firm size and export behavior  
Silviano Esteve-Pérez, Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero and José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
- 432/2008 Does social spending increase support for free trade in advanced democracies?  
Ismael Sanz, Ferran Martínez i Coma and Federico Steinberg
- 433/2008 Potencial de Mercado y Estructura Espacial de Salarios: El Caso de Colombia  
Jesús López-Rodríguez y Maria Cecilia Acevedo
- 434/2008 Persistence in Some Energy Futures Markets  
Juncal Cunado, Luis A. Gil-Alana and Fernando Pérez de Gracia
- 435/2008 La inserción financiera externa de la economía francesa: inversores institucionales y nueva gestión empresarial  
Ignacio Álvarez Peralta
- 436/2008 ¿Flexibilidad o rigidez salarial en España?: un análisis a escala regional  
Ignacio Moral Arce y Adolfo Maza Fernández
- 437/2009 Intangible relationship-specific investments and the performance of r&d outsourcing agreements  
Andrea Martínez-Noya, Esteban García-Canal & Mauro F. Guillén
- 438/2009 Friendly or Controlling Boards?  
Pablo de Andrés Alonso & Juan Antonio Rodríguez Sanz

- 439/2009 La sociedad Trenor y Cía. (1838-1926): un modelo de negocio industrial en la España del siglo XIX  
Amparo Ruiz Llopis
- 440/2009 Continental bias in trade  
Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero & José Antonio Martínez Serrano
- 441/2009 Determining operational capital at risk: an empirical application to the retail banking  
Enrique José Jiménez-Rodríguez, José Manuel Fera-Domínguez & José Luis Martín-Marín
- 442/2009 Costes de mitigación y escenarios post-kyoto en España: un análisis de equilibrio general para España  
Mikel González Ruiz de Eguino
- 443/2009 Las revistas españolas de economía en las bibliotecas universitarias: ranking, valoración del indicador y del sistema  
Valentín Edo Hernández
- 444/2009 Convergencia económica en España y coordinación de políticas económicas. un estudio basado en la estructura productiva de las CC.AA.  
Ana Cristina Mingorance Arnáiz
- 445/2009 Instrumentos de mercado para reducir emisiones de co2: un análisis de equilibrio general para España  
Mikel González Ruiz de Eguino
- 446/2009 El comercio intra e inter-regional del sector Turismo en España  
Carlos Llano y Tamara de la Mata
- 447/2009 Efectos del incremento del precio del petróleo en la economía española: Análisis de cointegración y de la política monetaria mediante reglas de Taylor  
Fernando Hernández Martínez
- 448/2009 Bologna Process and Expenditure on Higher Education: A Convergence Analysis of the EU-15  
T. Agasisti, C. Pérez Esparrells, G. Catalano & S. Morales
- 449/2009 Global Economy Dynamics? Panel Data Approach to Spillover Effects  
Gregory Daco, Fernando Hernández Martínez & Li-Wu Hsu
- 450/2009 Pricing levered warrants with dilution using observable variables  
Isabel Abinzano & Javier F. Navas
- 451/2009 Information technologies and financial performance: The effect of technology diffusion among competitors  
Lucio Fuentelsaz, Jaime Gómez & Sergio Palomas
- 452/2009 A Detailed Comparison of Value at Risk in International Stock Exchanges  
Pilar Abad & Sonia Benito
- 453/2009 Understanding offshoring: has Spain been an offshoring location in the nineties?  
Belén González-Díaz & Rosario Gandoy
- 454/2009 Outsourcing decision, product innovation and the spatial dimension: Evidence from the Spanish footwear industry  
José Antonio Belso-Martínez

- 455/2009 Does playing several competitions influence a team's league performance? Evidence from Spanish professional football  
Andrés J. Picazo-Tadeo & Francisco González-Gómez
- 456/2009 Does accessibility affect retail prices and competition? An empirical application  
Juan Luis Jiménez and Jordi Perdiguero
- 457/2009 Cash conversion cycle in smes  
Sonia Baños-Caballero, Pedro J. García-Teruel and Pedro Martínez-Solano
- 458/2009 Un estudio sobre el perfil de hogares endeudados y sobreendeudados: el caso de los hogares vascos  
Alazne Mujika Alberdi, Iñaki García Arrizabalaga y Juan José Gibaja Martíns
- 459/2009 Imposing monotonicity on outputs in parametric distance function estimations: with an application to the spanish educational production  
Sergio Perelman and Daniel Santin
- 460/2009 Key issues when using tax data for concentration analysis: an application to the Spanish wealth tax  
José M<sup>a</sup> Durán-Cabré and Alejandro Esteller-Moré
- 461/2009 ¿Se está rompiendo el mercado español? Una aplicación del enfoque de feldstein –horioka  
Saúl De Vicente Queijeiro□, José Luis Pérez Rivero□ y María Rosalía Vicente Cuervo□
- 462/2009 Financial condition, cost efficiency and the quality of local public services  
Manuel A. Muñiz□ & José L. Zafra□□
- 463/2009 Including non-cognitive outputs in a multidimensional evaluation of education production: an international comparison  
Marián García Valiñas & Manuel Antonio Muñiz Pérez
- 464/2009 A political look into budget deficits. The role of minority governments and oppositions  
Albert Falcó-Gimeno & Ignacio Jurado
- 465/2009 La simulación del cuadro de mando integral. Una herramienta de aprendizaje en la materia de contabilidad de gestión  
Elena Urquía Grande, Clara Isabel Muñoz Colomina y Elisa Isabel Cano Montero
- 466/2009 Análisis histórico de la importancia de la industria de la desalinización en España  
Borja Montaña Sanz
- 467/2009 The dynamics of trade and innovation: a joint approach  
Silviano Esteve-Pérez & Diego Rodríguez
- 468/2009 Measuring international reference-cycles  
Sonia de Lucas Santos, Inmaculada Álvarez Ayuso & M<sup>a</sup> Jesús Delgado Rodríguez
- 469/2009 Measuring quality of life in Spanish municipalities  
Eduardo González Fidalgo, Ana Cárcaba García, Juan Ventura Victoria & Jesús García García
- 470/2009 ¿Cómo se valoran las acciones españolas: en el mercado de capitales doméstico o en el europeo?  
Begoña Font Belaire y Alfredo Juan Grau Grau
- 471/2009 Patterns of e-commerce adoption and intensity. evidence for the european union-27  
María Rosalía Vicente & Ana Jesús López

- 472/2009 On measuring the effect of demand uncertainty on costs: an application to port terminals  
Ana Rodríguez-Álvarez, Beatriz Tovar & Alan Wall
- 473/2009 Order of market entry, market and technological evolution and firm competitive performance  
Jaime Gomez, Gianvito Lanzolla & Juan Pablo Maicas
- 474/2009 La Unión Económica y Monetaria Europea en el proceso exportador de Castilla y León (1993-2007): un análisis de datos de panel  
Almudena Martínez Campillo y M<sup>a</sup> del Pilar Sierra Fernández
- 475/2009 Do process innovations boost SMEs productivity growth?  
Juan A. Mañez, María E. Rochina Barrachina, Amparo Sanchis Llopis & Juan A. Sanchis Llopis
- 476/2009 Incertidumbre externa y elección del modo de entrada en el marco de la inversión directa en el exterior  
Cristina López Duarte y Marta M<sup>a</sup> Vidal Suárez
- 477/2009 Testing for structural breaks in factor loadings: an application to international business cycle  
José Luis Cendejas Bueno, Sonia de Lucas Santos, Inmaculada Álvarez Ayuso & M<sup>a</sup> Jesús Delgado Rodríguez
- 478/2009 ¿Esconde la rigidez de precios la existencia de colusión? El caso del mercado de carburantes en las Islas Canarias  
Juan Luis Jiménez□ y Jordi Perdiguero
- 479/2009 The poni test with structural breaks  
Antonio Aznar & María-Isabel Ayuda
- 480/2009 Accuracy and reliability of Spanish regional accounts (CRE-95)  
Verónica Cañal Fernández
- 481/2009 Estimating regional variations of R&D effects on productivity growth by entropy econometrics  
Esteban Fernández-Vázquez y Fernando Rubiera-Morollón
- 482/2009 Why do local governments privatize the provision of water services? Empirical evidence from Spain  
Francisco González-Gómez, Andrés J. Picazo-Tadeo & Jorge Guardiola
- 483/2009 Assessing the regional digital divide across the European Union-27  
María Rosalía Vicente & Ana Jesús López
- 484/2009 Measuring educational efficiency and its determinants in Spain with parametric distance functions  
José Manuel Cordero Ferrera, Eva Crespo Cebada & Daniel Santín González
- 485/2009 Spatial analysis of public employment services in the Spanish provinces  
Patricia Suárez Cano & Matías Mayor Fernández
- 486/2009 Trade effects of continental and intercontinental preferential trade agreements  
Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero & José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
- 487/2009 Testing the accuracy of DEA for measuring efficiency in education under endogeneity  
Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero & José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
- 488/2009 Measuring efficiency in primary health care: the effect of exogenous variables on results  
José Manuel Cordero Ferrera, Eva Crespo Cebada & Luis R. Murillo Zamorano

- 489/2009 Capital structure determinants in growth firms accessing venture funding  
Marina Balboa, José Martí & Álvaro Tresierra
- 490/2009 Determinants of debt maturity structure across firm size  
Victor M. González
- 491/2009 Análisis del efecto de la aplicación de las NIIF en la valoración de las salidas a bolsa  
Susana Álvarez Otero y Eduardo Rodríguez Enríquez
- 492/2009 An analysis of urban size and territorial location effects on employment probabilities: the spanish case  
Ana Viñuela-Jiménez, Fernando Rubiera-Morollón & Begoña Cueto
- 493/2010 Determinantes de la estructura de los consejos de administración en España  
Isabel Acero Fraile□ y Nuria Alcalde Fradejas
- 494/2010 Performance and completeness in repeated inter-firm relationships: the case of franchising  
Vanessa Solis-Rodriguez & Manuel Gonzalez-Diaz
- 495/2010 A Revenue-Based Frontier Measure of Banking Competition  
Santiago Carbó, David Humphrey & Francisco Rodríguez
- 496/2010 Categorical segregation in social networks  
Antoni Rubí-Barceló
- 497/2010 Beneficios ambientales no comerciales de la directiva marco del agua en condiciones de escasez: análisis económico para el Guadalquivir  
Julia Martin-Ortega, Giacomo Giannoccaro y Julio Berbel Vecino
- 498/2010 Monetary integration and risk diversification in eu-15 sovereign debt markets  
Juncal Cuñado & Marta Gómez-Puig
- 499/2010 The Marshall Plan and the Spanish autarky: A welfare loss analysis  
José Antonio Carrasco Gallego
- 500/2010 The role of learning in firm R&D persistence  
Juan A. Mañez, María E. Rochina-Barrachina, Amparo Sanchis-Llopis & Juan A. Sanchis-Llopis
- 501/2010 Is venture capital more than just money?  
Marina Balboa, José Martí & Nina Zieling
- 502/2010 On the effects of supply strategy on business performance: do the relationships among generic competitive objectives matter?  
Javier González-Benito
- 503/2010 Corporate cash holding and firm value  
Cristina Martínez-Sola, Pedro J. García-Teruel & Pedro Martínez-Solano
- 504/2010 El impuesto de flujos de caja de sociedades: una propuesta de base imponible y su aproximación contable en España  
Lourdes Jerez Barroso y Joaquín Teixeira Quirós
- 505/2010 The effect of technological, commercial and human resources on the use of new technology  
Jaime Gómez & Pilar Vargas

- 506/2010 ¿Cómo ha afectado la fiscalidad a la rentabilidad de la inversión en vivienda en España?  
Un análisis para el periodo 1996 y 2007  
Jorge Onrubia Fernández y María del Carmen Rodado Ruiz
- 507/2010 Modelización de flujos en el análisis input-output a partir de la teoría de redes  
Ana Salomé García Muñiz
- 508/2010 Export-led-growth hypothesis revisited. a balance of payments approach for Argentina, Brazil, Chile and Mexico  
David Matesanz Gómez & Guadalupe Fugarolas Álvarez-Ude
- 509/2010 Realised hedge ratio properties, performance and implications for risk management: evidence from the spanish ibex 35 spot and futures markets  
David G McMillan & Raquel Quiroga García
- 510/2010 Do we sack the manager... or is it better not to? Evidence from Spanish professional football  
Francisco González-Gómez, Andrés J. Picazo-Tadeo & Miguel Á. García-Rubio
- 511/2010 Have Spanish port sector reforms during the last two decades been successful? A cost frontier approach  
Ana Rodríguez-Álvarez & Beatriz Tovar
- 512/2010 Size & Regional Distribution of Financial Behavior Patterns in Spain  
Juan Antonio Maroto Acín, Pablo García Estévez & Salvador Roji Ferrari
- 513/2010 The impact of public reforms on the productivity of the Spanish ports: a parametric distance function approach  
Ramón Núñez-Sánchez & Pablo Coto-Millán
- 514/2010 Trade policy versus institutional trade barriers: an application using “good old” ols  
Laura Márquez-Ramos, Inmaculada Martínez-Zarzoso & Celestino Suárez-Burguet
- 515/2010 The “Double Market” approach in venture capital and private equity activity: the case of Europe  
Marina Balboa & José Martí
- 516/2010 International accounting differences and earnings smoothing in the banking industry  
Marina Balboa, Germán López-Espinosa & Antonio Rubia
- 517/2010 Convergence in car prices among European countries  
Simón Sosvilla-Rivero & Salvador Gil-Pareja
- 518/2010 Effects of process and product-oriented innovations on employee downsizing  
José David Vicente-Lorente & José Ángel Zúñiga-Vicente
- 519/2010 Inequality, the politics of redistribution and the tax-mix  
Jenny De Freitas
- 520/2010 Efectos del desajuste educativo sobre el rendimiento privado de la educación: un análisis para el caso español (1995-2006)  
Inés P. Murillo, Marta Rahona y M<sup>a</sup> del Mar Salinas
- 521/2010 Structural breaks and real convergence in opec countries  
Juncal Cuñado
- 522/2010 Human Capital, Geographical location and Policy Implications: The case of Romania  
Jesús López-Rodríguez□, Andres Faiña y Bolea Cosmin-Gabriel

- 523/2010 Organizational unlearning context fostering learning for customer capital through time: lessons from SMEs in the telecommunications industry  
Anthony K. P. Wensley, Antonio Leal-Millán, Gabriel Cepeda-Carrión & Juan Gabriel Cegarra-Navarro
- 524/2010 The governance threshold in international trade flows  
Marta Felis-Rota
- 525/2010 The intensive and extensive margins of trade decomposing exports growth differences across Spanish regions  
Asier Minondo Uribe-Etxeberria & Francisco Requena Silvente
- 526/2010 Why do firms locate r&d outsourcing agreements offshore? the role of ownership, location, and externalization advantages  
Andrea Martínez-Noya, Esteban García-Canal & Mauro f. Guillén
- 527/2010 Corporate Taxation and the Productivity and Investment Performance of Heterogeneous Firms: Evidence from OECD Firm-Level Data  
Norman Gemmell, Richard Kneller, Ismael Sanz & José Félix Sanz-Sanz
- 528/2010 Modelling Personal Income Taxation in Spain: Revenue Elasticities and Regional Comparisons  
John Creedy & José Félix Sanz-Sanz
- 529/2010 Mind the Remoteness!. Income disparities across Japanese Prefectures  
Jesús López-Rodríguez□, Daisuke Nakamura
- 530/2010 El nuevo sistema de financiación autonómica: descripción, estimación empírica y evaluación  
Antoni Zabalza y Julio López Laborda
- 531/2010 Markups, bargaining power and offshoring: an empirical assessment  
Lourdes Moreno & Diego Rodríguez
- 532/2010 The snp-dcc model: a new methodology for risk management and forecasting  
Esther B. Del Brio, Trino-Manuel Níguez & Javier Perote
- 533/2010 El uso del cuadro de mando integral y del presupuesto en la gestión estratégica de los hospitales públicos  
David Naranjo Gil
- 534/2010 Análisis de la efectividad de las prácticas de trabajo de alta implicación en las fábricas españolas  
Daniel Vázquez-Bustelo□□ y Lucía Avella Camarero
- 535/2010 Energía, innovación y transporte: la electrificación de los tranvías en España, 1896-1935  
Alberte Martínez López
- 536/2010 La ciudad como negocio: gas y empresa en una región española, Galicia 1850-1936  
Alberte Martínez López y Jesús Mirás Araujo
- 537/2010 To anticipate or not to anticipate? A comparative analysis of opportunistic early elections and incumbents' economic performance  
Pedro Riera Sagrera
- 538/2010 The impact of oil shocks on the Spanish economy  
Ana Gómez-Loscos, Antonio Montañés & María Dolores Gadea

- 539/2010 The efficiency of public and publicly-subsidized high schools in Spain. evidence from pisa-2006  
María Jesús Mancebón, Jorge Calero, Álvaro Choi & Domingo P. Ximénez-de-Embún
- 540/2010 Regulation as a way to force innovation: the biodiesel case  
Jordi Perdigueró & Juan Luis Jiménez
- 541/2010 Pricing strategies of Spanish network carrier  
Xavier Fageda, Juan Luis Jiménez & Jordi Perdigueró
- 542/2010 Papel del posicionamiento del distribuidor en la relación entre la marca de distribuidor y lealtad al establecimiento comercial  
Oscar González-Benito y Mercedes Martos-Partal
- 543/2010 How Bank Market Concentration, Regulation, and Institutions Shape the Real Effects of Banking Crises  
Ana I. Fernández, Francisco González & Nuria Suárez
- 544/2010 Una estimación del comercio interregional trimestral de bienes en España mediante técnicas de interpolación temporal  
Nuria Gallego López, Carlos Llano Verduras y Julián Pérez García
- 545/2010 Puerto, empresas y ciudad: una aproximación histórica al caso de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria  
Miguel Suárez, Juan Luis Jiménez y Daniel Castillo
- 546/2010 Multinationals in the motor vehicles industry: a general equilibrium analysis for a transition economy  
Concepción Latorre & Antonio G. Gómez-Plana
- 547/2010 Core/periphery scientific collaboration networks among very similar researchers  
Antoni Rubí-Barceló
- 548/2010 Basic R&D in vertical markets  
Miguel González-Maestre & Luis M. Granero
- 549/2010 Factores condicionantes de la presión fiscal de las entidades de crédito españolas, ¿existen diferencias entre bancos y cajas de ahorros?  
Ana Rosa Fonseca Díaz, Elena Fernández Rodríguez y Antonio Martínez Arias
- 550/2010 Analyzing an absorptive capacity: Unlearning context and Information System Capabilities as catalysts for innovativeness  
Gabriel Cepeda-Carrión, Juan Gabriel Cegarra-Navarro & Daniel Jimenez-Jimenez
- 551/2010 The resolution of banking crises and market discipline: international evidence  
Elena Cubillas, Ana Rosa Fonseca & Francisco González
- 552/2010 A strategic approach to network value in information markets  
Lucio Fuentelsaz, Elisabet Garrido & Juan Pablo Maicas
- 553/2010 Accounting for the time pattern of remittances in the Spanish context  
Alfonso Echazarra
- 554/2010 How to design franchise contracts: the role of contractual hazards and experience  
Vanesa Solis-Rodriguez & Manuel Gonzalez-Diaz



- 555/2010 Una teoría integradora de la función de producción al rendimiento empresarial  
Javier González Benito
- 556/2010 Height and economic development in Spain, 1850-1958  
Ramón María-Dolores & José Miguel Martínez-Carrión
- 557/2010 Why do entrepreneurs use franchising as a financial tool? An agency explanation  
Manuel González-Díaz & Vanesa Solís-Rodríguez
- 558/2010 Explanatory Factors of Urban Water Leakage Rates in Southern Spain  
Francisco González-Gómez, Roberto Martínez-Espiñeira, Maria A. García-Valiñas & Miguel Á. García Rubio
- 559/2010 Los rankings internacionales de las instituciones de educación superior y las clasificaciones universitarias en España: visión panorámica y prospectiva de futuro.  
Carmen Pérez-Esparrells y José M<sup>a</sup> Gómez-Sancho.
- 560/2010 Análisis de los determinantes de la transparencia fiscal: Evidencia empírica para los municipios catalanes  
Alejandro Esteller Moré y José Polo Otero
- 561/2010 Diversidad lingüística e inversión exterior: el papel de las barreras lingüísticas en los procesos de adquisición internacional  
Cristina López Duarte y Marta M<sup>a</sup> Vidal Suárez
- 562/2010 Costes y beneficios de la competencia fiscal en la Unión Europea y en la España de las autonomías  
José M<sup>a</sup> Cantos, Agustín García Rico, M<sup>a</sup> Gabriela Lagos Rodríguez y Raquel Álamo Cerrillo
- 563/2010 Customer base management and profitability in information technology industries  
Juan Pablo Maicas y Francisco Javier Sese
- 564/2010 Expansión internacional y distancia cultural: distintas aproximaciones —hofstede, schwartz, globe  
Cristina López Duarte y Marta M<sup>a</sup> Vidal Suárez
- 565/2010 Economies of scale and scope in service firms with demand uncertainty: An application to a Spanish port  
Beatriz Tovar & Alan Wall
- 566/2010 Fiscalidad y elección entre renta vitalicia y capital único por los inversores en planes de pensiones: el caso de España  
Félix Domínguez Barrero y Julio López Laborda
- 567/2010 Did the cooperative start life as a joint-stock company? Business law and cooperatives in Spain, 1869–1931  
Timothy W. Guinnan & Susana Martínez-Rodríguez
- 568/2010 Predicting bankruptcy using neural networks in the current financial crisis: a study for US commercial banks  
Félix J. López-Iturriaga, Óscar López-de-Foronda & Iván Pastor Sanz
- 569/2010 Financiación de los cuidados de larga duración en España  
Raúl del Pozo Rubio y Francisco Escribano Sotos

- 570/2010 Is the Border Effect an Artefact of Geographic Aggregation?  
Carlos Llano-Verduras, Asier Minondo-Urbe & Francisco Requena-Silvente
- 571/2010 Notes on using the hidden asset or the contribution asset to compile the actuarial balance for pay-as-you-go pension systems  
Carlos Vidal-Meliá & María del Carmen Boado-Penas
- 572/2010 The Real Effects of Banking Crises: Finance or Asset Allocation Effects? Some International Evidence  
Ana I. Fernández, Francisco González & Nuria Suárez Carlos
- 573/2010 Endogenous mergers of complements with mixed bundling  
Ricardo Flores-Fillol & Rafael Moner-Colonques
- 574/2010 Redistributive Conflicts and Preferences for Tax Schemes in Europe  
Antonio M. Jaime-Castillo & Jose L. Saez-Lozano
- 575/2010 Spanish emigration and the setting-up of a great company in Mexico: bimbo, 1903-2008  
Javier Moreno Lázaro
- 576/2010 Mantenimiento temporal de la equidad horizontal en el sistema de financiación autonómica  
Julio López Laborda y Antoni Zabalza
- 577/2010 Sobreeducación, Educación no formal y Salarios: Evidencia para España  
Sandra Nieto y Raúl Ramos
- 578/2010 Dependencia y empleo: un análisis empírico con la encuesta de discapacidades y atención a la dependencia (edad) 2008.  
David Cantarero-Prieto y Patricia Moreno-Mencía
- 579/2011 Environment and happiness: new evidence for Spain  
Juncal Cuñado & Fernando Pérez de Gracia
- 580/2011 Aanalysis of emerging barriers for e-learning models. a case of study  
Nuria Calvo & Paolo Rungo
- 581/2011 Unemployment, cycle and gender  
Amado Peiró, Jorge Belaire-Franch, & Maria Teresa Gonzalo
- 582/2011 An Analytical Regions Proposal for the Study of Labour Markets: An Evaluation for the Spanish Territory  
Ana Viñuela Jiménez & Fernando Rubiera Morollón
- 583/2011 The Efficiency of Performance-based-fee Funds  
Ana C. Díaz-Mendoza, Germán López-Espinosa & Miguel A. Martínez-Sedano
- 584/2011 Green and good?. The investment performance of US environmental mutual funds  
Francisco J. Climent-Diranzo & Pilar Soriano-Felipe
- 585/2011 El fracaso de Copenhague desde la teoría de juegos.  
Yolanda Fernández Fernández, M<sup>a</sup> Ángeles Fernández López y Blanca Olmedillas Blanco
- 586/2011 Tie me up, tie me down! the interplay of the unemployment compensation system, fixed-term contracts and rehiring  
José M. Arranz & Carlos García-Serrano

- 587/2011 Corporate social performance, innovation intensity and their impacts on financial performance: evidence from lending decisions  
Andrés Guiral
- 588/2011 Assessment of the programme of measures for coastal lagoon environmental restoration using cost-benefit analysis.  
José Miguel Martínez Paz & Ángel Perni Llorente
- 589/2011 Illicit drug use and labour force participation: a simultaneous equations approach  
Berta Rivera, Bruno Casal, Luis Currais & Paolo Rungo
- 590/2011 Influencia de la propiedad y el control en la puesta en práctica de la rsc en las grandes empresas españolas  
José-Luis Godos-Díez, Roberto Fernández-Gago y Laura Cabeza-García
- 591/2011 Ownership, incentives and hospitals  
Xavier Fageda & Eva Fiz
- 592/2011 La liberalización del ferrocarril de mercancías en europa: ¿éxito o fracaso?  
Daniel Albalade del Sol, Maria Lluïsa Sort García y Universitat de Barcelona
- 593/2011 Do nonreciprocal preference regimes increase exports?  
Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero & José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
- 594/2011 Towards a dynamic analysis of multiple-store shopping: evidence from Spanish panel data  
Noemí Martínez-Caraballo, Manuel Salvador, Carmen Berné & Pilar Gargallo
- 595/2011 Base imponible y neutralidad del impuesto de sociedades: alternativas y experiencias  
Lourdes Jerez Barroso
- 596/2011 Cambio técnico y modelo de negocio: las compañías de transporte urbano en España, 1871-1989  
Alberte Martínez López
- 597/2011 A modified dickey-fuller procedure to test for stationarity  
Antonio Aznar, María-Isabel Ayuda
- 598/2011 Entorno institucional, estructura de propiedad e inversión en I+D: Un análisis internacional  
Félix J. López Iturriaga y Emilio J. López Millán
- 599/2011 Factores competitivos y oferta potencial del sector lechero en Navarra  
Valero L. Casanovas Oliva y Ana M. Aldanondo Ochoa
- 600/2011 Política aeroportuaria y su impacto sobre la calidad percibida de los aeropuertos  
Juan Luis Jiménez y Ancor Suárez
- 601/2011 Regímenes de tipo de cambio y crecimiento económico en países en desarrollo  
Elena Lasarte Navamuel y José Luis Pérez Rivero
- 602/2011 La supervivencia en las empresas de alta tecnología españolas: análisis del sector investigación y desarrollo  
Evangelina Baltar Salgado, Sara Fernández López, Isabel Neira Gómez y Milagros Vivel Búa
- 603/2011 Análisis económico y de rentabilidad del sistema financiero español, por tipo de entidades y tamaño, después de cuatro años de crisis y ante los retos de la reestructuración financiera  
Salvador Climent Serrano

- 604/2011 Does competition affect the price of water services? Evidence from Spain  
Germà Bel, Francisco González-Gómez & Andrés J Picazo-Tadeo
- 605/2011 The Effects of Remoteness in Japanese Educational Levels  
Jesús López-Rodríguez & Daisuke Nakamura
- 606/2011 The money market under information asymmetries and imperfectly competitive loan and deposit markets  
Aday Hernández
- 607/2011 The effects of airline and high speed train integration  
M. Pilar Socorro & M. Fernanda Viéens
- 608/2011 Consecuencias de la imbricación de los clientes en la dirección medioambiental: un análisis empírico  
Jesús Ángel del Brío González, Esteban Fernández Sánchez y Beatriz Junquera Cimadevilla
- 609/2011 Revenue autonomy and regional growth: an analysis for the 25 year-process of fiscal decentralisation in Spain  
Ramiro Gil-Serrate, Julio López-Laborda & Jesús Mur
- 610/2011 The accessibility to employment offices in the Spanish labor market: Implications in terms of registered unemployment  
Patricia Suárez, Matías Mayor & Begoña Cueto
- 611/2011 Time-varying integration in European government bond markets  
Pilar Abad, Helena Chuliá & Marta Gómez-Puig
- 612/2011 Production networks and EU enlargement: is there room for everyone in the automotive industry?  
Leticia Blázquez, Carmen Díaz-Mora & Rosario Gandoy
- 613/2011 Los factores pronóstico económico, estructura productiva y capacidad de innovar en la valoración de activos españoles  
M<sup>a</sup> Begoña Font Belaire y Alfredo Juan Grau Grau
- 614/2011 Capital structure adjustment process in firms accessing venture funding  
Marina Balboa, José Martí & Álvaro Tresierra
- 615/2011 Flexibilidad Contable en la Valoración de Instrumentos Financieros Híbridos  
Jacinto Marabel-Romo, Andrés Guiral-Contreras & José Luis Crespo-Espert
- 616/2011 Why are (or were) Spanish banks so profitable?  
Antonio Trujillo-Ponce
- 617/2011 Extreme value theory versus traditional garch approaches applied to financial data: a comparative evaluation  
Dolores Furió & Francisco J. Climent
- 618/2011 La restricción de balanza de pagos en la España del euro. Un enfoque comparativo.  
David Matesanz Gómez, Guadalupe Fugarolas Álvarez-Ude y Roberto Bande Ramudo
- 619/2011 Is inefficiency under control in the justice administration?  
Marta Espasa & Alejandro Esteller-Moré
- 620/2011 The evolving patterns of competition after deregulation  
Jaime Gómez Villascuerna, Raquel Orcos Sánchez & Sergio Palomas Doña

- 621/2011      Análisis pre y post-fusiones del sector compuesto por las cajas de ahorros españolas: el tamaño importa  
Antonio A. Golpe, Jesús Iglesias y Juan Manuel Martín
- 622/2011      Evaluating three proposals for testing independence in non linear spatial processes  
Fernando A. López-Hernández, M. Luz Maté-Sánchez-Val & Andrés Artal-Tur
- 623/2011      Valoración del Mercado de los Activos Éticos en España: una Aplicación del Método de los Precios Hedónicos  
Celia Bilbao-Terol y Verónica Cañal-Fernández
- 624/2011      Happiness beyond Material Needs: The Case of the Mayan People  
Jorge Guardiola, Francisco González-Gómez & Miguel A. García-Rubio
- 625/2011      Stock characteristics, investor type and market myopia  
Cristina Del Rio-Solano & Rafael Santamaria-Aquilué
- 626/2011      Is mistrust under control in the justice administration?  
Alejandro Esteller-Moré
- 627/2011      Working capital management, corporate performance, and financial constraints  
Sonia Baños-Caballero, Pedro J. García-Teruel & Pedro Martínez-Solano
- 628/2011      On the optimal distribution of traffic of network airlines  
Xavier Fageda & Ricardo Flores-Fillol
- 629/2011      Environmental tax and productivity in a subcentral context: new findings on the porter hypothesis  
Jaime Vallés- Giménez & Anabel Zárate-Marco
- 630/2011      The impact of scale effects on the prevailing internet-based banking model in the US  
Alexandre Momparlera, Francisco J. Climentb & José M. Ballesterb
- 631/2011      Student achievement in a cross-country perspective: a multilevel analysis of pisa2006 data for Italy and Spain  
Tommaso Agasisti & Jose Manuel Cordero-Ferrera
- 632/2011      Banking liberalization and firms' debt structure: International evidence  
Víctor M. González & Francisco González
- 633/2011      Public sector contingent liabilities in Spanish toll roads  
Carlos Contreras
- 634/2011      Fiscal Sustainability and Immigration in the Madrid Region  
Luis Miguel Doncel, Pedro Durá, Pilar Grau-Carles & Jorge Sainz
- 635/2011      Las desviaciones presupuestarias del gasto del estado en el periodo 1990-2009: un análisis desde las perspectivas agregada y de programas.  
Valentín Edo Hernández
- 636/2011      A network approach to services internationalization  
Stefano Visintin
- 637/2011      Factors behind the presence of agricultural credit cooperatives in Spain, 1900-1935: an econometric model  
Ángel Pascual Martínez-Soto, Ildefonso Méndez- Martínez & Susana Martínez-Rodríguez.

- 638/2011 La eficiencia técnica en la industria de agua latinoamericana medida a través de la función de distancia  
Angel Higuerey Gómez , Lourdes Trujillo Castellano y María Manuela González Serrano
- 639/2011 Urban Patterns, Population Density and the Cost of Providing Basic Infrastructure: A Frontier Approach  
Inmaculada C. Álvarez, Ángel M. Prieto & José L. Zofío
- 640/2011 A comparison of national vs. multinational firms' performance using a general equilibrium perspective  
María C. Latorre
- 641/2011 A computable general equilibrium evaluation of market performance after the entry of multinationals  
María C. Latorre
- 642/2011 Competition for procurement shares  
José Alcalde & Matthias Dahm