WHY DO ENTREPRENEURS USE FRANCHISING AS A FINANCIAL TOOL? AN AGENCY EXPLANATION

MANUEL GONZÁLEZ-DÍAZ VANESA SOLÍS-RODRÍGUEZ

FUNDACIÓN DE LAS CAJAS DE AHORROS DOCUMENTO DE TRABAJO Nº 557/2010 De conformidad con la base quinta de la convocatoria del Programa de Estímulo a la Investigación, este trabajo ha sido sometido a evaluación externa anónima de especialistas cualificados a fin de contrastar su nivel técnico.

ISSN: 1988-8767

La serie **DOCUMENTOS DE TRABAJO** incluye avances y resultados de investigaciones dentro de los programas de la Fundación de las Cajas de Ahorros. Las opiniones son responsabilidad de los autores.

WHY DO ENTREPRENEURS USE FRANCHISING AS A FINANCIAL TOOL? AN AGENCY EXPLANATION

Manuel González-Díaz* Vanesa Solís-Rodríguez

Abstract

When and why one type of entrepreneur (franchisor) attracts to its ventures another type of entrepreneur (franchisees) instead of passive investors is a central concern in entrepreneurship literature. Based on the informativeness principle of the principal-agent model, we claim that franchisees are not such an expensive financial capital source as has been argued in the literature because their compensation (return) is more efficiently designed: it directly depends on variables which are under franchisees' control. We therefore link agency and financial explanations for franchising. Our findings show that, once the agency argument is controlled for, the higher the cost of alternative funds for the franchisor, the more likely it is that franchisee capital will be used. We interpret this as a clue that franchising is also used as a financial capital source.

Key words: Entrepreneur, Franchising; Capital cost; Informativeness principle; Agency argument.

JEL classification: G32, L22, L26, L81.

Corresponding author: Vanesa Solís Rodríguez, Business Administration Department, Faculty of Economics and Business, University of León, León (24071), Spain. E-mail: <u>vanesa.solis@unileon.es</u>

* Business Administration Department, Faculty of Economics and Business, University of Oviedo. Email: <u>mgdiaz@uniovi.es</u>

Acknowledgements: This paper has benefited from the support of the Spanish Ministry of Education (SEJ2007-63706/ECON) and the EU's Framework Program for Research and Technological Development through grant CIT3-513420- (Refgov).

Introduction

Attracting the most competitive resources to the venture is essential for an entrepreneur's success. The entrepreneurship literature recognizes this need for persuading resource providers (Ireland, Hitt and Sirmon, 2003; Michael, 2009). Consequently, several works have analyzed different aspects of this problem, such as how entrepreneurs signal their private information to reduce capital providers' distrust, in both R&D companies (Deeds, Decarolis and Coombs, 1997; Janney and Folta, 2003, 2006) and franchise chains (Michael, 2009). We develop this research line about the most appropriate partners for entrepreneurs in franchising but, instead of focusing on *how* to attract funds (e.g. how to solve asymmetric information problems), we turn to *when* and *why* different sources of resources are preferable (e.g. when franchisor's debt ratio is low, financial markets offer cheap funds so franchisees' capital becomes less attractive).

Franchising has been extensively analyzed in the entrepreneurship literature, suggesting that it is an entrepreneurial partnership (cooperative arrangement) made up of two different types of entrepreneur: franchisor and franchisees (Baucus, Baucus and Human, 1996; Kaufmann and Dant, 1999). Given that the new venture is launched by the franchisor, they must then attract resources to their business. Norton (1995) argues that franchisors will choose fund providers according to their costs, with franchisees' capital being just one possible option. However, franchisees, like any entrepreneur, also have a profit motivation (Spinelli and Birley, 1996). Consequently, they will joint the venture depending on the rate of return and will expect a risk premium (Phan, Butler and Lee, 1996). The relevant question is therefore when and why entrepreneurs prefer franchisees' funds to passive investors' funds.

The resource scarcity argument has offered an initial and controversial answer. It sustains that franchising is used to facilitate access to specific scarce resources, such as capital (Ozanne and Hunt, 1971; Caves and Murphy, 1976)1. However, this argument did not initially consider the relative prices of alternative funds but just their scarcity. It was therefore highly criticized. On the one hand, Rubin (1978) argues that in terms of risk bearing it is worse to become a franchisee than a shareholder, and several scholars sustain that franchising is mainly a mechanism of governance to solve agency problems between the outlet manager and the chain owner (Caves and Murphy, 1976; Rubin, 1978; Brickley and Dark, 1987; Brickley, Dark and Weisbach, 1991; Lafontaine, 1992; Scott, 1995; Lafontaine and Shaw, 2005; Castrogiovanni, Combs and Justis, 2006a). Furthermore, Norton (1995)

¹ This argument refers not only to capital but also to other resources such as management abilities (Oxenfeldt and Kelly, 1969; Norton, 1988) and local information (Minkler, 1990, 1992).

maintains that there is no financial capital scarcity because different alternatives for financing startup businesses exist.

It comes as a surprise, however, that franchisors always emphasize in interviews the provision of financial funds as one of the most relevant reasons for using franchising, instead of the agency argument, which is the argument most widely supported by academics (Combs and Ketchen, 2003). More recently, both arguments have been linked to try to solve this empirical incongruence, claiming that the motivational and selection advantage of franchising might result in less expensive capital for the franchisor (Lafontaine, 1992; Martin and Justis, 1993; Shane, 1996a; Combs and Ketchen, 1999; Combs, Michael and Castrogiovanni, 2004).

Departing from this idea, the aim of this paper is to test if capital scarcity explains the use of franchising by showing that variations in the prices of alternative sources of capital alter the use of franchising, once the agency argument is controlled for. We use the informativeness principle (Holmström, 1979) of principal-agent models to link agency and resource-scarcity arguments and to rationalize the advantage of franchisee capital in terms of the demand for return on capital.

The informativeness principle derived from the principal-agent model suggests that agents will demand a higher expected return when their compensation depends on variables that are not directly related to their effort (Holmström, 1979). Agents will perceive that their income randomness rises when such variables are present because they have little control over them and, consequently, lose control over their returns. Assuming risk-averse agents, Milgrom and Roberts (1992: 219) show that they will demand a positive risk premium as compensation for this increased income variability.

Passive investors, such as lenders or shareholders, will therefore demand a higher return on capital because their compensation will depend on variables that are not directly related to their effort: they have very little influence over decision-making in the outlet and do not have good information about the efforts made by the agents managing the outlets, nor about their abilities (Martin and Justis, 1993; Combs and Ketchen, 1999; Combs, Michael and Castrogiovanni, 2004). Additionally, if the franchisor bankrupts, they face the financial risk of not recovering their investment. Conversely, franchisees offer low-cost capital because their compensation depends on variables that are directly related to their effort: they are active investors having the best information about the effort and abilities employed in the business and direct control over how it is run, at least from an operational point of view (Martin and Justis, 1993; Combs and Ketchen, 1999; Combs, Michael and Castrogiovanni,

2004). Furthermore, they would still own the physical assets if the franchisor bankrupts because the assets are in an independent firm, legally separate from those of the franchisor.

In sum, we argue that the franchisee disadvantage in terms of exogenous risk allocation (Rubin, 1978) may be compensated not only by the motivational and selection advantage of franchising (Combs, Michael and Castrogiovanni, 2004), but also by greater direct control over the investment and, consequently, lower perceived variability. The results obtained support this argument and show that once agency problems are controlled for, the greater the franchisors' capital costs (estimated through different variables), the more likely it is to use franchisee capital. Additionally, franchisors with financial partners as shareholders franchise fewer establishments than chains without this type of shareholder.

Apart from this theoretical and empirical contribution on why and when entrepreneurs prefer franchisees as partners in their ventures, the paper also contributes from an empirical perspective by shedding light on the capital scarcity controversy. Most of the studies on the financial capital argument to date are based on large North American companies that are listed on the stock exchange (for example, Combs and Ketchen, 1999; Combs, Ketchen and Hoover, 2004) because of their financial data availability (Castrogiovanni et al., 2006a is an important exception). But this type of sampling leaves out small and medium enterprises (SME), such companies being precisely the ones for which it is most difficult to gain access to resources. Our study includes SMEs, some of them unlisted and having little experience. This enables us to extend the previous empirical studies to the whole business universe. We have also introduced the dynamic nature of the franchised-owned outlet mix (Castrogiovanni et al., 2006a: 30), by applying a partial adjustment model to a panel data set. Given the relatively long-term nature of franchise contracts, franchisors cannot instantly adjust their percentage of franchisees so the adjustment is made year by year in line with the franchisor's objective, depending on their previous results and experiences.

The rest of the article is organized as follows. In the second section, we carry out a theoretical review of the reasons for franchising, focusing on the capital scarcity argument. In the third section, we describe the process followed for obtaining data, the sources used and the econometric model adopted. Finally, we state the results and conclusions of the study, in sections four and five respectively.

Theoretical review

The decision as to which type of resource provider needs to be attracted by entrepreneurs to their ventures determines the ownership structure of their chains, i.e. the chain mix of company-owned and franchised outlets. When franchisors issue equity or debt for an expansion, they open company-owned outlets. However, if the franchisor prefers to join other entrepreneurs (franchisees), the chain's expansion is achieved through franchised outlets. Agency theory and resource scarcity (financial argument) are the two theoretical explanations for the choice of this mix (Combs and Ketchen, 2003; Combs, Michael and Castrogiovanni, 2004; Castrogiovanni et al., 2006a, 2006b)

The Classic Agency Hypothesis: Aligning Incentives

The most widely-accepted explanation for attracting franchisees to a venture is that it solves the agency problem between the franchisor and those responsible for the individual establishments. This problem means that, when the owner and the outlet manager are not the same person, the latter may pursue his own interests instead of those of the principal he represents. The reason for such behavior is that the outlet manager does not have to bear all the costs, especially when a fixed wage is paid. An agency cost is therefore generated because the manager's and the franchisor's incentives are different (Rubin, 1978; Brickley et al., 1991). By converting the manager of an establishment into an entrepreneur, granting him/her the residual rights on the establishment (such as the residual rent) (Williams, 1999), the interests of the parties are much better aligned. Franchising therefore becomes more attractive when it is costly to directly supervise the behavior of the agent, that is, the manager of the establishment. This leads us to draw up the following hypothesis:

H1. The greater the cost of direct supervision by the franchisor, the more likely it is that franchising will be adopted.

The empirical evidence supporting this argument is practically unanimous. Authors such as Brickley and Dark (1987), Norton (1988), Minkler (1990), Brickley et al. (1991), Lafontaine (1992, 1995), López and Ventura (2002), Perales and Vázquez (2003), Lafontaine and Shaw (2005) and Castrogiovanni et al. (2006a) find that the greater the cost of supervising the agent, the more likely it is that franchising will be adopted.

In spite of the clear empirical results supporting the above argument, entrepreneurs continue to insist that one of the main reasons for using franchising is that it obviates the need to search for funds. So, when franchisors are asked why they use franchising, they answer that '...the single most important reason for adopting franchised distribution would be to conserve or acquire capital, while at the same time attempting to establish an effective distribution network as quickly as possible' (McGuire, 1971: 6). Other authors have obtained similar results. Lafontaine (1992), for example, found that 76 out of the 130 franchisors questioned for her study saw franchising as a mechanism for gaining the funds needed to

grow their businesses fast. Dant (1995) also noted that lack of capital was one of the main reasons why companies started franchising, with 60 percent of the entrepreneurs interviewed stating access to capital as the reason for using the franchise system. Moreover, in a recent study, only 23 percent of Spanish chains considered it 'essential' for the franchisee to be personally responsible for the business (Sánchez, 2006: 102), thus contradicting the claim that the main reason for using a franchise is to align interests.

Financial capital argument: Capital scarcity and the informativeness principle

Access to limited resources, initially developed by Oxenfeldt and Kelly (1969), is another argument used to explain the use of franchising. These authors state that franchisors will decide to franchise some of their establishments when it is difficult to obtain different resources. Obtaining the financial capital needed for expanding the company is the typical situation (Oxenfeldt and Kelly, 1969; Ozanne and Hunt, 1971; Caves and Murphy, 1976), although management abilities (Oxenfeldt and Kelly, 1969; Norton, 1988) and local information (Minkler, 1990, 1992) have also been considered. Franchisees often provide a large proportion of the investment needed to set up the new establishment, so the franchisor's financial effort is much smaller.

Rubin (1978) states, however, that franchising is more costly than equity because of the allocation of the exogenous risk. It seems riskier for an individual to become a franchisee than a shareholder in the same franchise business. The income variability of the whole chain (that is, the relevant risk for a shareholder) is likely to be lower than that of the individual outlet (that is, the relevant risk for a franchisee) because the individual outlet risk may be balanced against other outlets (Rubin, 1978; Brickley and Dark, 1987). So, if franchises are more risky, franchisees will demand greater compensation (a risk premium), making this a very costly way of obtaining funds for the franchisor. Furthermore, Norton (1995) denies the existence of capital scarcity in new businesses and argues that close alternatives to franchisee capital are readily available and not used by franchisors, probably because they are not of interest. So, what matters is not so much scarcity of capital as the fact that franchisees may be able to provide cheaper capital than passive investors.

Incentive theory, particularly the application of the informativeness principle (Holmstrom, 1979), may explain why franchisees will demand a lower return on their capital contributions. The principal-agent model suggests that agents will demand a higher expected return when their compensation depends on factors that are not directly related to their effort. Agents will perceive that such factors increase their income randomness because these variables contaminate the relationship between the agent's effort and the output: increased

effort is less likely to raise output. Assuming risk-averse agents, Milgrom and Roberts (1992: 219) show that agents will demand a positive risk premium as compensation for this increase in their income variability. Similarly, when the agents' effort directly affects performance (and consequently their remuneration), their risk premium will be lower because the random variability in their income is small.

Translating this idea into franchising, the factors affecting the franchisee's return on investment (outlet profits) are the market success of the franchised business concept and the franchisee's abilities and effort at running their outlets. The former is an economic risk and is mostly exogenous to a particular franchisee. Franchisees are more exposed than passive investors to this economic risk, as has been argued above, because of the franchisees' investment concentration in only one (or a few) outlet(s). However, the other factor involves other organizational, motivational and selection hazards to which franchisees are probably less exposed than passive investors. First, franchisees possess privately held information about their abilities (Martin and Justis, 1993; Combs and Ketchen, 1999; Combs, Michael and Castrogiovanni, 2004) and effort (Lafontaine, 1992). Second, they do not have to deal with the adverse selection problem because they put their own money at stake (Shane, 1996a). Third, they do not face an important agency problem because there is no separation of ownership and control at outlet level (Combs and Ketchen, 1999). Fourth, they do not only contribute with financial capital, but also with local knowledge which facilitates direct supervision of operations and predictions about the local market response (Minkler, 1990, 1992)2. Finally, they have direct control over the financial risk because they decide the level of debt of their own firms. Similarly, if the franchisor were to become bankrupt, they would still own their physical assets and outlet and might be able to terminate the contract and change their investment to a new (sometimes business-related) chain (brand name). All these hazards together may compensate for the exogenous risk, resulting in a lower demand for returns on their investments.

Conversely, passive investors will demand a higher return on capital because they have very little influence over other hazards apart from the economic one. They can only influence decision-making through the board of directors, which is a very indirect and slow system, especially when the company is a closed corporation (not a public company). Furthermore, they do not have such good information about the efforts made by the agents managing the outlets, nor about their abilities. Company managers may also hide information from shareholders and lenders. Finally their investment is directly linked to the franchisor's

² It could also be argued that this informative advantage attenuates the franchisee's risk regarding the success of franchised product in the local market (Williams, 1999).

financial risk so that, if the franchisor were to become bankrupt, the shareholders would be among the last to recover their investments.

We can therefore conclude that franchising allocates exogenous risk worse (Rubin's argument) but this does not make it a more expensive capital source. It offers better tools to control and influence returns on the investment and consequently to reduce return variability (informativeness principle). So, franchising may serve as an alternative to other methods of financing and will be chosen depending on the relative prices of other capital alternatives:

H2. The higher the capital cost of expanding with debt/equity (company-owned outlets), the more likely it is that franchising will be adopted.

Academics have not reached a consensus about the relevance of this argument. Table 1 lists some of the studies that have analyzed the scarcity resource argument in which the financial capital argument is included, showing that empirical findings are puzzling. The right-hand side columns show that even when explanatory variables are similar, results are seldom contradictory.

Author(s)	Year	Data	Measurement	Predicted	Result
Caves and Murphy	1976	Sector data	Age	()	(—)
Brickley and Dark	1987	 Companies in different sectors Establishments in 36 chains in different sectors 	 Initial investment Initial investment 	+ +	-
Brickley, Dark and Weisbach	1991	 Sector data on a national level Establishments in 36 chains 	1. Size 2. Initial investment	(—) +	(+) —
Carney and Gedajlovic	1991	128 Canadian companies in different sectors	1. Size 2. Age 3. Initial investment	(—) (—) +	(+) (+) +
Thompson	1992	Franchises in different sectors	 Initial investment Growth 	+ +	+ +
Lafontaine	1992	Business franchises in all sectors	Initial investment	+	-
Lafontaine and Kaufmann	1994	130 surveys amongst Presidents of franchise systems in the US	1. Age 2. A dummy variable with value 1 if the franchisor is a subsidiary of a larger company.	(—) (—)	() ()

 Table 1

 Effect of Capital Scarcity on the Probability of Franchising (Percent of Franchisees)

Note: The brackets in the last two columns indicate that the variable used for estimating difficulty of access to funding is inversely related to the size of funding, so the sign is likely to be the opposite of what was expected.

Table 1Effect of Capital Scarcity on the Probability of Franchising (Percent of Franchisees)
(Cont.)

Author(s)	Year	Data	Measurement	Predicted	Result
			1. Real interest ratio	+	_
Minkler and Park	1994	Data panel 1975-1989	2. Growth	+	_
			3. Age	(—)	(+)
0 1 1			1. Size	()	(+)
Combs and Castrogiovanni	1994	558 franchise chains	2. Age	(—)	()
Castrogiovarini			3. Growth	+	+
Norton	1995	25 franchise and 25 non-franchise chains	Debt ratio in books and on market	+	+
			1. Price-to-earnings	()	(–)
Combs and	1999	91 restaurant chains	2. Debt ratio	+	+
Ketchen	1999		3. Liquidity	(—)	(—)
			4. Return on assets	(—)	(—)
		361 franchise chains	1. Size	()	(+)
Alon	2001		2. Growth	+	_
			3. Initial investment	+	-
Roh	0000	33 franchise chains	1. Size	()	()
	2002		2. Growth	+	+
		270 franchise head offices operating in Spain	1. Initial investment	+	_
López and Ventura	2002		2. Age	(—)	()
ventura			3. Growth	+	_
2		44 papers (meta-analysis)	1. Size	(—)	(+)
Combs and Ketchen	2003		2. Age	(—)	(+)
Kelenen			3. Growth	+	-
			1. Size	(—)	(-)
Dant and Kaufmann	2003	152 surveys on fast food chains	2. Age	(—)	(—)
Kauimaini			3. Internal access to funding	(—)	()
Castrogiovanni,	2004 -	120 franchica chaina	1. Size	()	(-)
Combs and Justis	2006a	439 franchise chains	2. Age	(—)	(+)
Castrogiovanni, Combs and Justis	2006b	102 franchise chains	Age ³	()	()
Barthélemy	2008	119 surveys on French chains	Seven-point Likert scale: "Compared to your competitors and over the past three years, you had adequate access to funds to open and operate new outlets on your own".	()	()

Note: The brackets in the last two columns indicate that the variable used for estimating difficulty of access to funding is inversely related to the size of funding, so the sign is likely to be the opposite of what was expected.

A slightly different capital source would be to attract partners who provide liquidity to the entrepreneur through private equity placement (Janney and Folta, 2003, 2006). Similarly, it is increasingly frequent for a franchisor to invite participation from professional investors as shareholders (Franquicias hoy, April 2007: 34). They offer a substantial amount of funds,

which will be invested in developing the chain according to a strategic growth plan (Marks, 2007). Such plans are usually agreed with franchisors and allow them to open new outlets according to competitive and marketing criteria instead of being limited to places in which franchisees are available. Private equity companies and financial partners demand strict fulfillment of the growth plan in order to achieve the long-run expected revaluation (4 or 5 years) of their assets (Dawson, 2009). They are not usually interested in managing the company, preferring to just control the financial results, leaving the day-to-day management to the entrepreneur (Cable and Shane, 1997)3. Once the chain has reached an expansion target, they usually sell their positions to obtain liquidity which they then invest in a new starting business. Given that they provide funds for expansion irrespective of franchisee availability, they only use franchisees in places in which it was formerly decided to open according to the strategic plan and in which franchisees are available. The use of franchising should therefore be lower, so:

H3. When chains have financial partners among their shareholders, franchising is less likely to be adopted.

Franchise package appeal: maturity and initial investment

Maturity in an entrepreneurial venture favors the attraction of resource providers, particularly in franchising (Carney and Gedajlovic, 1991; Minkler and Park, 1994; Combs and Ketchen, 2003; Castrogiovani et al., 2006a). The reason is that maturity reveals information about the franchisor's experience, knowledge and past success to potential investors. On the one hand, a franchisor's maturity suggests that it has developed a business concept which has been successful in different locations and over several years and it has probably learnt to manage franchisees effectively (Bradach, 1997), developing abilities in control and contractual design. These skills may constitute part of the franchisor's competitive advantage (Castrogiovani et al., 2006a). Similarly, the survival of franchisors signals their skills and probably improves their reputation as a franchisor because this demonstrates, at least, that they have offered enough returns to retain resource owners. This helps attract franchisees because it is proof of the present returns and also because reputational capital works as a guarantee of the franchisor's behavior (Arruñada, Garicano and Vazquez, 2001). This positive relationship between maturity or experience and the use of franchising has received empirical support in several papers (Carney and Gedajlovic, 1991; Minkler and Park 1994; Combs and Ketchen, 2003; Castrogiovani et al., 2006a) (See Table 1).

³ Sapienza, Manigart and Vermeir (2002) show that this specialization is particularly true in continental Europe, more than in English-speaking countries.

Furthermore, it seems likely that a mature chain is more likely to use franchisees than any other type of financial resource providers. Maturity is a credible signal for the present business or for slight incremental changes, such as opening a new franchised outlet. This reduces franchisees' main source of demand uncertainty, the business concept, because the remaining uncertainty is due to the outlet location, which is the franchisee's main entrepreneurial contribution and is probably reduced because of his/her local knowledge (Williams, 1999, pp. 107-108). However, a chain's maturity does not necessarily favor the attraction of passive investors. Debt and equity issuance are appropriate instruments for raising large amounts of funds because they allow for relevant economies of scale (Lee et al., 1996). Consequently, such financial tools are only used by entrepreneurs who are considering a high-growth-oriented strategy, such as adding new products or services, expanding advertising and promotion (Gundry and Welsch, 2001). This expansion is riskier and is not necessarily related to previous success in a different market and/or with a different product. That is probably why most private investors, when deciding on their investments, do not pay as much attention to the experience of the new venture as to its human capital, organizational and educational capabilities (Shepherd, Ettenson and Crouch, 2000; Dawson, 2009)4. We therefore pose the following hypothesis:

H4. The greater the franchisor experience, the more likely it is that franchising will be adopted

Finally, another factor affecting the appeal of the franchisor's venture is the initial investment. The argument is, as stated by Alon (2001, p.9), that "lower levels of investment will attract more prospective franchisees, increasing the potential proportion of franchising", other things being equal. This is particularly relevant in the case of franchising because investors interested in running their own businesses are not usually large, specialized firms which might be considered risk-neutral due to their portfolio diversification (Brickley and Dark, 1987; Lafontaine, 1992). On the contrary, franchisees are entrepreneurs who invest an amount of money in their businesses which usually represents an important percentage of their total wealth (Combs and Castrogiovanni, 1994). This suggests that an increment in the start-up investment will reduce the availability of franchisees either because there are less potential investors with enough money (or people interested in borrowing money from a financial institution) and/or because the expected return does not compensate the perceived risk due to the size of the investment. This is not the case with other passive investors, however. Shareholders might even contribute with just one share in many different and

⁴ Clearly other factors are considered such as high industry-related competence, low competitive rivalry, high key success factor stability, long lead time, and pioneering (Shepherd et al., 2000).

unrelated companies, and lenders are usually big companies with highly-diversified investments and are specialized in bearing risks. We therefore pose the following hypothesis:

H5. The higher the initial investment required from a franchisee, the lower the probability that franchising will be used.

This relationship has been broadly supported in empirical studies (Brickley and Dark, 1987; Brickley et al., 1991; Lafontaine, 1992; Alon, 2001; López and Ventura, 2002) except for Carney and Gedajlovic (1991). The latter authors explain their findings by arguing that initial investment is an indicator of capital scarcity, thus they expect that the higher the initial investment, the higher the capital scarcity which could be overcome using franchisees' financial capital.

Methodology

Data Compilation

The above hypotheses were tested using a data panel with information on Spanish franchise chains during the period 1996-2005. The process followed to draw up the data panel was the following. The first step was to identify all the chains that were franchising in Spain during the period of the study. However, is it very difficult to accurately establish this population because the official franchise registers did not work particularly well, so many chains either do not appear in them or the information given is out-of-date. Therefore, in order to obtain general information on chains (for example, percentage of franchised establishments or experience), the Professional Franchise Guide published by Tormo&Asociados was used. Other guides such as the Professional Franchise Guide published by Barbadillo&Asociados, the Spanish Yearbook on Franchising, published by Franchisa, and the Official Book on Franchises in Spain, published by the Spanish Association of Franchisors, were also used to complement the Tormo&Asociados' one. We started out with the guides giving data for 1996 and gradually added all the chains that appeared year by year. However, not all the chains continued to franchise throughout the observed period. Some of them disappeared while others decided to give up franchising, so that no further information is available on them. Moreover, some chains did not give information some years, leading to omissions in the available information.

We triangulated the information taken from the above-mentioned guides with that from other sources such as franchisors' web sites, annual reports and press items, with a view to resolving three problems. First, some data were inconsistent amongst the different guides. Second, when data on new chains were added, it was found that several chains had changed their name from one year to the next, giving rise to duplicities. Third, some chains reported as Spanish in fact were not. By the end of this process, 1,636 chains had been identified.

The following step was to obtain financial information about identified chains. This was obtained from the SABI data base, which informs on all companies operating in Spain. Because there are chains whose annual accounts were not included in this data base, the number of chains considered valid for the study dropped to 1,149. Furthermore, it is important to note that because there are chains which (a) began to franchise their business after 1996, (b) stopped franchising within the study period and/or (c) did not provide full information on their businesses, the panel is incomplete and unbalanced5.

Variables

The dependent variable is defined as the percentage of franchised establishments in the total (PFEES). The independent variables used to measure financial arguments and agency problems are described below. Table 2 shows the descriptive statistics and Table 3 the correlation amongst the variables.

Agency argument:

a) Establishments in foreign countries (FEST) estimate the effort required and difficulty involved in supervising the manager by measuring the number of establishments held by each chain in foreign countries⁶. It broadly approximates outlet dispersion.

b) Minimum population (MPOP) measures the outlet agent effort needed to achieve success for that establishment. It covers the minimum population required by the chain in a specific town for a franchisee to open an establishment there. Chains offering more specialized products or services will require a larger minimum population, because demand is small and they have to achieve returns on the investment made. So, if a small percentage of the population is interested in the product, each customer is very important for the business and the manager of the establishment has to make a greater effort to attract and retain each one.

⁵ In order to check that our sample is representative of the population being studied, we ran a test of means, the aim being to analyze if there are significant statistical differences between the population, comprising 1,636 chains, and our sample, comprising 1,149 chains. The results show that there are no significant statistical differences between them, so we can conclude that our sample is representative. ⁶ Lafontaine (1992) and Castrogiovanni et al. (2006a) also use this variable as a proxy for the cost of supervision.

Financial argument:

c) Cost of capital. As established in hypothesis 2, we consider that the higher the capital cost of expanding with debt / equity, the more likely it is that franchising will be adopted. For this reason, we include in the model some variables that might estimate the cost of capital. These variables are the following:

1. Fixed assets / Total assets (FA/TA). Asset backing may make the business more secure, hence reducing the cost of capital (Omran and Pointon, 2004). Therefore, the higher this ratio, the less likely it is that franchising will be used.

2. Interests / long-term liabilities (CDEBT1). This ratio is an overestimation of the cost of long term debt⁷. Therefore, the higher this ratio, the higher the cost of capital, so the more likely it is that franchising will be adopted.

3. Interests / (Total assets-Shareholder's equity) (CDEBT2). This ratio is an estimation of the cost of debt (short and long term). So, as in the previous case, the higher this ratio, the higher the cost of capital, so the more likely it is that franchising will be used.

4. Debt ratio (DEBT), defined as ((Total Liabilities – Shareholders' Equity) / Total Liabilities) x 100. This reflects the weight of short and long-term debt over the company's total liabilities⁸. As the level of debt in a company grows, its capacity for obtaining further funding for expansion decreases because debt financing becomes increasingly expensive and difficult to locate (Hambrick and D'Aveni, 1988). It is therefore a proxy for either capital scarcity or debt cost.

5. Liquidity ratio (LIQUID), defined as (Working assets - Stocks) / Liquid liabilities. This is inversely related to debt and consequently to resource scarcity. It seems reasonable that when a company has cash or high-liquidity assets to invest, one option is to use them to finance the company's growth, so there is a tendency towards greater company ownership of outlets (Dant and Kaufmann, 2003).

6. Return on assets (ROA), defined as Operating results / Total assets. This indicates the company's performance achieved as a result of its investment in assets, irrespective of its financial structure⁹. We use it as an estimator of the facility for attracting external funds (debt or equity) because, with greater returns on investment, the likelihood of finding passive investors interested in the business rises.

Franchise package appeal:

⁷ There is no information about the interest paid for the long-term liabilities so we use total interest.

⁸ Norton (1995) and Combs and Ketchen (1999) used this variable.

⁹ Combs and Ketchen (1999) use this variable, amongst others, to test the financial argument.

d) Initial investment in the establishment (ININV), defined as the amount that the franchisee must pay to set up the business, including the franchise fee. We use this variable as a proxy for the risk borne by franchisees¹⁰.

e) The EXP variable covers the number of years that the different chains have been working as franchises in Spain¹¹. It is a proxy for the franchisor's experience and reputation.

		Descriptive	e statistics		
Variable	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min.	Max.	Ν
PFEES	0.657	0.304	0.000	1.000	3047
ININV	90310.97	116442.9	0.000	2000000	4055
FA/TA	0.366	0.253	0.000	1.000	6302
CDEBT1	5.552	101.042	0.000	3885.500	5643
CDEBT2	0.261	13.578	0.000	1038.000	6014
DEBT	82.924	59.024	0.000	993.220	6387
LIQUID	13.037	571.396	-2.230	38435.170	6342
ROA	-0.046	0.985	-33.761	4.816	6313
EXP	6.276	7.111	0.000	87.000	6555
FEST	18.063	219.016	0.000	7000.000	3413
MPOP	55080.53	60212.79	0.000	750000	2473

Tabl	e 2
Descriptive	e statistics

Table 3 Correlations

	PFEES	ININV	FA/TA	CDEBT1	CDEBT2	DEBT	LIQUID	ROA	EXP	FEST	MPOP
PFEES	1.0000										
ININV	-0.1411***	1.0000									
FA/TA	-0.1547***	0.1941***	1.0000								
CDEBT1	-0.0291	0.0155	0.0188	1.0000							
CDEBT2	-0.0217	-0.0111	-0.0229*	0.0003	1.0000						
DEBT	-0.0056	-0.0371**	-0.0535***	-0.0177	-0.0275**	1.0000					
LIQUID	-0.0338*	-0.0101	-0.0305**	-0.0012	0.5131***	0.0229*	1.0000				
ROA	0.0987***	0.0119	0.0027	0.0026	-0.0238*	-0.4972***	0.0010	1.0000			
EXP	0.1655***	0.0477***	0.0271**	-0.0042	-0.0016	-0.1188***	-0.0013	0.0426***	1.0000		
FEST	0.0423**	-0.0123	-0.0231	-0.0040	-0.0024	-0.0465**	0.0028	0.0135	0.0696***	1.0000	
MPOP	-0.1600***	0.3540***	-0.0034	-0.0125	-0.0135	0.0175	-0.0156	-0.0262	-0.0661***	-0.0390*	1.0000

* indicates significance at the 10% level, ** at the 5% level, and *** at the 1%.

 ¹⁰ This variable has been used by many authors including Brickley and Dark (1987), Brickley et al. (1991), Thompson (1992), Lafontaine (1992), Martin and Justis (1993) and López and Ventura (2002).
 ¹¹ This same variable was used by Caves and Murphy (1976), Combs and Castrogiovanni (1994), Lafontaine and Kaufmann (1994), Minkler and Park (1994), López and Ventura (2002), Dant and Kaufmann (2003) and Castrogiovanni et al. (2006a).

Estimation Method

We used the panel data methodology to estimate our model. This choice was motivated by the importance of considering a significant problem that arises when studying the impact of financial and agency arguments on the percentage of franchised establishments, namely unobservable heterogeneity. Unlike cross-sectional analysis, panel data allows us to control for individual heterogeneity. This issue is very important in our analysis since it is clear that companies are heterogeneous, each has its own particular behavior and management style. Therefore, there are always characteristics, such as system quality or management-team expertise, that influence organizational governance form (Kogut and Zander, 1992; Mitsuhashi, Shane and Sine, 2008) but that are difficult to measure or hard to obtain and, consequently, not entered in the models. As a result, failure to control for such effects can bias regression estimates (Heckman, 1981).

Although any panel data estimation either with fixed effects or random effects models (static linear models) solves this problem, we have not used these techniques because they are based on the assumption that all the independent variables are strictly exogenous. This is not the case in our model because we have problems of endogeneity in most of the regressors. On the one hand, the variables used can be determined simultaneously with the percentage of franchise establishments (initial investment is a clear example) and, on the other hand, a delay may arise between the decision to change the ownership structure and its actual execution, as far as the influence of the remainder of the explanatory variables is concerned. Consequently, endogeneity may be a problem that has to be controlled in our models. Hence, to avoid this problem, our model has to be estimated by using instrumental variables models.

Moreover, the empirical model has to take into account the potential dynamic nature of the ownership structure of franchise chains suggested in the results obtained by Pénard, Raynaud and Saussier (2003) and Lafontaine and Shaw (2005). In these two studies, the graphs show that franchise chains seem to develop until they achieve a target ownership structure, after which they remain more or less stable. Franchise chains will therefore readjust their structure periodically towards what they consider to be the target proportion of owned and franchised establishments, which they are not prepared to depart from. Since the estimation was carried out graphically in previous studies, we needed to analyze whether this target level really exists and, if so, at what rate the company moves towards it. Partialadjustment models suit this approach (Greene, 1999: 688-690), as has been tested in the financial literature about capital structure (Pindado and de Miguel, 2001; Flannery and Rangan, 2006), which is more or less equivalent to our dependent variable (percentage of franchised establishments). This type of model establishes that changes in the percentage of franchised establishments (PFEESit – PFEESit-1) partially absorb the difference between the target percentage of franchised establishments for period t (PFEESi t*) and this percentage for period t-1 (PFEESi t-1):

$$(PFEES_{it} - PFEES_{it-1}) = \alpha(PFEES_{it} - PFEES_{it-1})$$
^[1]

where coefficient α , \in [0, 1], measures the speed of adjustment, and is inversely related to the costs of the adjustment (e.g. costs of contract termination) that prevent them from reaching the desired structure. We thus obtain:

$$PFEES_{it} = \alpha PFEES *_{it} + (1 - \alpha) PFEES_{it-1}$$
[2]

If the adjustment costs are zero, that is, $\alpha=1$, $PFEES_{it} = PFEES_{it}^{*}$, then franchise chains automatically reach their target for franchised establishments. If, on the other hand, $\alpha=0$, $PFEES_{it} = PFEES_{it-1}$, the transaction or adjustment costs are so high that the chains never reach their target proportion. The process of adjustment is a balance between the costs of adjustment towards the target proportion of franchised establishments and the costs of not being in balance.

Since the desired (target) level of franchised establishments cannot be observed, the literature predicts, as we stated above, that it can be modeled as a linear function of franchisors' incentive systems (agency argument), the financial capital argument and franchise package appeal. This leads us to the following equation:

$$PFEES *_{ii} = a_0 + a_1AGENCY_{ii} + a_2FINARG_{ii} + a_3APPEAL_{ii} + \mu_{ii}$$
[3]

where ^{*PFEES**}_{it} is the target level for franchised establishments in chain i in year t, and its explanatory variables are the agency argument (AGENCY), comprising establishments in foreign countries (FEST) and minimum population (MPOP), the financial argument (FINARG), comprising fixed assets/total assets ratio (FA/TA), interests / long-term liabilities ratio (CDEBT1), interests / (total assets-shareholder's equity) ratio (CDEBT2), debt ratio (DEBT), liquidity ratio (LIQUID) and return on assets (ROA) and the franchise package appeal argument (APPEAL), including initial investment (ININV) and experience of the chain (EXP).

If we include equation (3) in equation (2), considering that the estimators were calculated using panel data, we obtain:

$$PFEES_{it} = \alpha a_0 + (1 - \alpha)PFEES_{it-1} + \alpha a_1AGENCY_{it} + \alpha a_2FINARG_{it} + \alpha a_3APPEAL_{it} + \sum_{t=1996}^{2005}Y_t + \gamma_i + \mu_i$$

where $\sum_{t=1996}^{2005} Y_t$ is a set of dummy time variables for each year including any invariant time effect for the company that is not included in the regression. We also include γ_i , which is the company effect and which we assume to be constant for company *i* over year *t*, and μ_{it} , which is the error term.

We apply the difference generalized method of moments (GMM)¹² drawn up for dynamic panel data models by Arellano and Bond (1991) (see also Greene, 1999: 554-556). The reason is that this methodology was specifically devised to resolve the three econometric difficulties we mentioned above that are relevant in this study, namely unobservable heterogeneity, the endogeneity problems and the potential dynamic nature of the ownership structure of franchise chains. So first, the presence of unobservable heterogeneity is controlled by modeling it as an individual effect (in this case, company effects), γ_i , which is then eliminated by taking first differences of the variables. Second, the GMM estimator allows us to control for any endogeneity in some of the independent variables by using instruments. Since it is quite difficult to choose good contemporaneous instruments, we follow the suggestion made by Arellano and Bond (1991) to use all the endogenous right-hand-side variables in the model lagged from t-1 as instruments. More specifically, we consider as endogenous the different proxies for the cost of capital (Verrecchia, 1999; Nickerson and Silverman, 2003; Eisfeldt, 2004; Campello, 2006; Brav, 2009), initial investment (Bhattacharyya and Lafontaine, 1995; Brickley, 2002) and the presence of foreign establishments (Shane, 1996b). Finally, the potential dynamic nature of decisions on the ownership structure of chains, that is, the autoregression process in the data on behavior of the target level of franchised establishments is controlled by using a model with lagged dependent variables¹³.

¹² We decided to use difference GMM instead of system GMM because the validity of system GMM depends on the assumption that changes in the instrumental variables are uncorrelated with the unobservable heterogeneity (stationarity assumption) (Arellano and Bover, 1995; Blundell and Bond, 1998; Roodman, 2009). In our model, we consider this assumption does not apply because, for instance, changes in capital structure can be correlated with the unobservable heterogeneity, such as the franchisor's management style. Therefore, difference GMM is more suitable because, although the estimators are weaker, the model is equally consistent.

¹³ Our sample is considerably smaller when estimates are made because a) we have an incomplete and unbalanced panel, so for some chains we were not able to find the required information for all the dependant and explanatory variables over all consecutive years and b) the GMM model takes first differences of all the independent variables to control for unobservable heterogeneity, uses all the

In order to check for potential misspecification of the models, we used two tests suggested by Arellano and Bond (1991). First, we used the Sargan statistic of overidentifying restrictions in order to test for the absence of correlation between the instruments and the error term. Table 4 shows that the instruments used were valid in all the models. Second, we used the m_2 statistic, developed by Arellano and Bond (1991), in order to test for the lack of second-order serial correlation in the first difference residual. In our models, this hypothesis of second-order serial correlation is always rejected, as shown in Table 4 (see m_2).

Results

Table 4 gives the results of the econometric model obtained using the Stata 9.0 program. As we mentioned in the above section, the method for calculation uses instruments, including lags on variables, to solve the problem of endogeneity in some variables. The coefficients for these instruments are not presented, this being a convention in this methodology, and also to simplify the table (e.g. Pindado and de Miguel, 2001).

The first column shows a saturated model in which the six estimators for the cost of capital are considered. These estimations indicate when franchising is more attractive as an alternative way of gathering funds for growth. They are gradually introduced, separately, in models two to seven. The reason is that although the variance inflation factor test does not show any problems of collinearity between them, because it does not exceed the cut-off point at 10 (Hair et al., 1995), they all aim to approximate the same concept and are closely correlated with the cost of capital concept. We therefore chose to present separate estimations for each of them in order to check the robustness of our results. In addition, in all the models we also considered the variables that measure the franchise package appeal, namely, initial investment (ININV) and experience (EXP). Finally, we introduced agency arguments by means of the minimum population (MPOP) and the presence of establishments in foreign countries (FEST). We also consider a group of dummy variables by year, in an attempt to estimate time effects.

Firstly, regarding H2, it should be noted that, of the 6 estimators of capital cost, 3 show the expected sign in all the models in which they were included. First, we obtain a significant, negative parameter for the fixed assets/total assets ratio (FA/TA), suggesting that the higher this ratio, the lower the use of franchising. Asset backing may make the raising of funds easier because physical assets value work as a guarantee for the stakeholders,

endogenous explanatory variables lagged from t-1 as instruments to control for endogeneity and introduces the lagged dependent variable to control for the dynamic nature of the ownership structure.

reducing the cost of capital (Omran and Pointon, 2004). Consequently, franchisors do not need to resort to other entrepreneurs (franchisees) in order to attract resources to the venture. Second, we observe that the higher the cost of total debt ratio (CDEBT2), the higher the rate of franchising. In this case, the higher this ratio, the higher the cost of capital, so the more likely it is that franchising will be adopted. Finally, the higher the liquidity of the franchisor (LIQUID), the lower the use of franchising. In such a situation, the franchisor is likely to use such internal funds rather than franchisees' capital to open new outlets. Based on the 'pecking order theory' (Myers and Majluf, 1984), internal sources of funds are preferable because it is not necessary to persuade investors as to the profitability of the investment (Bhaduri, 2002).

On the other hand, we also observe in models three, five and seven, respectively, that a) the higher the interest / long-term liabilities (CDEBT1) and debt (DEBT) ratios and b) the lower the franchisor's return on assets (ROA), the higher the use of franchising. These results also support hypothesis H2. As with the CDEBT2 ratio, the higher the CDEBT1 ratio, the higher the cost of capital, so the more likely it is that franchising will be used. In addition, it is likely that companies with a high debt ratio would have to pay more for additional funds (Hambrick and D'Aveni, 1988), so they will prefer to obtain them from franchisees. Finally, the ROA variable indicates that the better the company's performance, the lower the rate of franchising. This is consistent with the idea that franchising is not chosen when other sources of capital are available and cheap. The higher the ROA ratio, the higher the appeal of investing in the company. Consequently, the owner will invest its own funds in the company or may even increase the debt if the cost is lower than the expected ROA.

All these results, when taken together, clearly support the main argument of this paper (hypothesis H2), confirming our idea that as the price of alternative sources of capital increases, the use of franchising becomes more intense. However, estimates related to CDEBT1, DEBT and ROA ratios are not robust because, when these variables are estimated in the fully saturated model, we observe that the sign of the relationship changes. Consequently, the results for these three estimators should be taken with caution.

Variable	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6	Model 7	Predicted sign
PFEES t-1	0.0832*** (30.08)	0.2896*** (24.19)	0.1586*** (51.25)	0.2454*** (33.56)	0.2549*** (35.73)	0.2314*** (28.35)	0.2459*** (57.90)	+
ININV	-2.29e-07*** (-16.97)	-3.07e-07*** (-6.36)	-6.20e-08*** (-3.59)		-3.28e-07*** (-5.41)	-3.14e-07*** (-6.95)	-4.05e-07*** (-8.85)	-
FA/TA	-0.1481*** (-28.17)	-0.1005*** (-7.67)						_
CDEBT1	-0.0000*** (-6.82)		0.0001*** (11.76)					+
CDEBT2	0.0498*** (6.95)			0.0186*** (9.49)				+
DEBT	-0.0012*** (-27.76)				0.0004*** (6.50)			+
LIQUID	-0.0051*** (-11.03)					-0.0031*** (-5.15)		_
ROA	0.0289*** (5.82)						-0.0141*** (-1.60)	_
EXP	0.0154*** (4.77)	0.0057 (1.63)	dropped	0.0009 (0.41)	0.0057* (1.70)	0.0037 (1.23)	0.0057* (1.84)	+
FEST	-0.0000 (-1.62)	0.0001*** (2.35)	0.0001*** (2.70)	-0.0000*** (-8.78)	0.0001 (1.45)	-0.0000 (-0.72)	-0.0000 (-0.97)	+
MPOP	-5.10e-07*** (-21.37)	-5.12e-07*** (-5.53)	-2.15e-07*** (-19.45)	-4.21e-07*** (-6.27)	-6.82e-07*** (-8.73)	-2.83e-07*** (-3.80)	-4.57e-07*** (-9.17)	+
dy98	0.0194*** (3.05)	0.0105 (1.58)	0.0134** (2.05)	0.0063 (0.54)	0.0119** (2.00)	0.0135** (2.38)	0.0089 (1.57)	
dy99	0.0052 (1.61)	-0.0062 (-1.59)	-0.0026 (-0.72)	-0.0015 (-0.15)	-0.0057 (-1.33)	-0.0082** (-2.33)	-0.0089** (-2.55)	
dy00	~ /			0.0109 (1.12)		· · ·	ζ ,	
dy01	-0.0407*** (-11.10)	-0.0313*** (-5.53)	-0.0367*** (-10.65)	-0.0115 (-1.60)	-0.0269*** (-5.06)	-0.0265*** (-6.27)	-0.0324*** (-7.77)	
dy02	-0.0669*** (-10.07)	-0.0433*** (-5.01)	-0.0545*** (-8.53)	-0.0221*** (-4.81)	-0.0448*** (-5.12)	-0.0376*** (-4.98)	-0.0431*** (-6.20)	
dy03	-0.0915*** (-9.18)	-0.0506*** (-4.27)	-0.0718*** (-7.62)	-0.0209*** (-9.51)	-0.0517*** (-4.43)	-0.0411*** (-4.05)	-0.0482*** (-4.89)	
dy04	-0.0818*** (-6.24)	-0.0314** (-2.08)	-0.0524*** (-4.20)		-0.0343** (-2.33)	-0.0203 (-1.58)	-0.0317** (-2.44)	
dy05	-0.0609*** (-3.75)	0.0035 (0.19)	-0.0169 (-1.09)	0.0328*** (12.05)	-0.0023 (-0.13)	0.0149 (0.94)	0.0062 (0.38)	
<i>m</i> ₁	-1.54	-2.11**	-1.71*	-1.79*	-2.06**	-2.05**	-2.10**	
<i>m</i> ₂	0.94	1.66	1.11	0.55	1.48	1.31	1.36	
Sargan Test	148.17 (243)	112.59 (113)	125.40 (113)	90.46	110.54 (113)	105.64 (113)	114.22 (113)	
Observations	457	560	463	637	571	569	568	
Chains	197	227	200	246	231	231	228	

Table 4Factors determining the probability of franchising

Notes: (i) *t* statistics are in parentheses; (ii) * indicates significance at the 10% level, ** at the 5% level, and *** at the 1% level; (iii) m_i is an i-order serial correlation test using residuals in first differences, asymptotically distributed as N(0,1) under the null of no serial correlation; (iv) Sargan is a test of the over-identifying restrictions, asymptotically distributed as χ^2 under the null of no correlation between the instruments and the error term, with degrees of freedom in parentheses.

A related hypothesis, H3, is that the presence of financial partners among chains' shareholders reduces the use of franchising because such partners finance the chain's expansion. We tested this argument using the variable SHAREHOLDER, which is a dummy taking value 1 when the chains have financial shareholders and 0 otherwise. This variable was obtained from the SABI database, but it was not possible to directly insert it in the model. The reason is that information regarding shareholder type was included in the SABI database as from December 2003, and our panel refers to the period 1996-2005. Moreover, most of the chains in SABI do not give information on shareholders because they consider it to be confidential. Consequently, after analyzing all the information uploaded since December 2003, we obtained a total of 587 observations on 331 different chains, which amounts to just over one observation for each chain. Therefore, because it was not possible to establish a panel for this variable, in order to test hypothesis 3, we decided to run a test of means (independent samples), the aim being to analyze any significant statistical differences in the use of franchising between two different groups of chains: those which have financial shareholders and those which do not. Given that the data are not normally distributed, we ran a Mann-Whitney test. The results are shown in Table 5. They indicate that chains with financial shareholders on average franchise 54.91% of their outlets. This percentage rises to 68.76% in chains that do not have financial shareholders. We cannot conclude from this finding that the difference in the franchising rate is exclusively due to the financial shareholders because we do not control for other related effects, but it is a clear possibility.

Table 5
Test of means (SHAREHOLDER)

PFEES mean	PFEES mean	Mann-Whitney test, independent samples		
(SHAREHOLDER= 1) <i>n</i> =23	(SHAREHOLDER = 0) ⁻ <i>n</i> =564	Mann-Whitney	Sig	
0.5491	0.6876	4383.500	0.008	

Entrepreneur experience and initial investment required of franchisees also seem to be factors that exert an influence when attracting another type of resource, namely, franchisees. On the one hand, experience, EXP, is positive in all the models in Table 4 and significant in half of them. This suggests that the greater the franchising experience, the more franchised establishments there will be. Therefore, this refutes the traditional idea of using franchising as a financial tool for the early stages of a business because of the difficulty of accessing capital markets (capital scarcity argument) (Oxenfeldt and Kelly, 1969; Hunt, 1973). Based on this capital scarcity argument, the hypothesis was that the greater the experience of the franchisor, the less likely it would be to use franchisees because access to capital markets would become increasingly easy (Caves and Murphy, 1976; Lafontaine and Kaufmann, 1994; Combs and Castrogiovanni, 1994; Dant and Kaufmann, 2003). Our result

suggests the contrary, that franchisors tend to use franchising more as they acquire experience, so experience is more likely to act as an attraction mechanism for franchisees. As chains survive and consolidate their competitive position in the market, they improve their market reputation, which serves as a guarantee of their good behavior (Arruñada et al., 2001). Consequently, they will be able to attract other entrepreneurs (franchisees) who will wish to collaborate because of these guarantees of success. (Past profitability does not guarantee future profitability but it is a good signal).

On the other hand, the coefficient of the initial investment (ININV) is negative and significant in all the models in Table 4, which indicates that the higher the franchisee start-up investment, the less likely it is that a chain will franchise establishments. This is the expected result because we believe that initial investment is more related to the appeal of the franchisor's venture than to resource scarcity. Although authors such as Carney and Gedajlovic (1991) argue that the reason why they found that the greater the initial investment needed to open a new establishment, the greater the use of franchising was resource scarcity, we believe that the initial investment is not a good estimator for financial difficulties because the essential argument is not the amount of capital needed but the cost of capital. If an entrepreneur (franchisor in this case) is ready to pay the market price of capital, the amount is not usually the problem, especially in typical franchise businesses (retail outlets requiring an investment lower than 500,000 euros). On the contrary, initial investment is a good estimator, other things being equal, for the franchise package appeal for franchisees. The higher the initial investment, the more difficult is to find franchisees to join the network because they do not have enough money and/or perceive it as too risky to invest most of their wealth in a single establishment (Combs and Castrogiovanni, 1994).

The time trend estimations seem cyclical (dy98-dy05). Most of the time variables in Table 4 are significant and show that, from 1999 to 2004, the influence on the franchising rate was negative in comparison with the reference year 1997. However, although this negative influence grew at the beginning, it then became weaker in 2004 and might even have become positive in 2005. This seems to indicate the existence of a cyclical trend in the use of franchising, perhaps related to the economic cycle, which is consistent with the graphical analysis of Pénard et al. (2003) and Lafontaine and Shaw (2005).

Regarding the agency argument (H1), our results, in general, do not support the traditional hypothesis. Although the FEST variable, which aims to measure the costs of supervising the manager of the establishment by means of the dispersion of the establishments, is positive, as expected, and significant in two models, in most of them it is not significant, indicating that this variable seems not to influence the franchising decision. In addition, MPOP coefficients are significant and negative in all the models (a positive

parameter was expected). This variable aims to proxy the effort needed for achieving success because, if the establishment requires a large population, it is because it offers highly specialized products or services, making each consumer really important for success. In this situation, a high-powered incentive system may help overcome a potential opportunism problem on the part of the outlet manager, but empirical findings do not support this idea. One explanation for this is that our proxy variables for these concepts are very poor. That is true but these variables are not different from others used in previous empirical studies in which the positive parameter expected was found. Another alternative explanation might be that franchisors offering highly specialized products find it very difficult to persuade franchisees because the latter know little about the business and cannot properly assess its possibilities by themselves. Franchisors may have to take on a large agency cost to solve this problem of asymmetric information or may expand using other capital sources. Internal financing and professional investors would be less costly because, first, there is hardly any asymmetrical information in the case of internal financing and, second, professional investors are used to this problem so have developed appropriate tools to obtain the hidden information.

Finally, we verify the partial adjustment model for ownership structure. The coefficient of the lagged dependent variable is very significant and gives an adjustment speed of 92-71 percent. Such a high speed is to be expected because franchisors are able to use new openings and ownership redirection to adjust it. But it should be remembered that they cannot always find the franchisees they are looking for, especially not in the towns in which they are interested. This may force them to open up owned establishments in order to preserve their competitive edge or to occupy premises that are available because good premises are not easy to find in the centre of large towns, particularly in the short run.

Conclusions

This study analyses if capital scarcity explains the use of franchising by showing that variations in the prices of alternative sources of capital alter the use of franchising, once agency argument is controlled for. We use the informativeness principle (Holmstrom, 1979) of principal-agent models to rationalize the advantage of franchisee capital in terms of risk premium. We claim that using franchising as a financial tool is not as expensive as has been claimed (Rubin, 1978) because the disadvantage in terms of exogenous risk allocation may be compensated by greater direct control over the investment so that the franchisees perceive lower variability. Franchisees have better information about managers' effort and

skills and also have more chances of recovering their investment in the case of the franchisor's bankruptcy than shareholders and lenders.

We apply a partial adjustment model, borrowed from the financial and capital structure literature, because it fits with the theoretical model and the empirical evolution of the percentage of franchised outlets. The results indicate that franchisors choose capital sources for financing their expansion according to the relative prices of capital: if equity/debt is more expensive (estimated by the fixed assets to total assets ratio, the cost of debt, the chain's liquidity, debt ratio and ROA), the use of franchising will be more intense and, if franchise package appeal from the franchisee perspective increases (estimated by a high franchisor experience and a low up-front investment), the use of franchising is higher. Additionally, franchisors with financial partners as shareholders franchise fewer establishments than chains without this type of shareholder. Finally, we verified the partial adjustment of the ownership structure, obtaining a high adjustment speed (78-69 percent). This was to be expected because franchisors have good tools (new openings) for reaching the target level of franchising.

Entrepreneurs may draw some interesting lessons from these results. First, the results support that entrepreneurs become franchisors in order to attract financial resource providers, probably because, by becoming franchisees, fund providers may demand lower return on capital than more conventional providers such as shareholders and lenders. However, the emphasis should be on carrying out a cost-benefit analysis when deciding between franchising and other conventional formulae because franchising is neither good nor bad but depends on relative prices. Highlighting some costs and benefits which are not explicit payments or incomes, particularly for unlisted companies, we help practitioners carry out this analysis and ask for the relevant variables. An example of such benefits is, as the literature had indicated, the best incentive alignment which leads to lower capital cost (Lafontaine 1992; Combs and Ketchen, 1999; Combs, Michael and Castrogiovanni, 2004). We now add that, in comparison with other passive investors, franchisees have better tools to influence the return on their investments which also leads them to accept a lower return on capital.

The results also show which variables influence franchising or other funding costs, offering clues to entrepreneurs about how to reduce these costs. For chain expansion, it is important to attract potential franchisees to the business, so it is necessary to act on the variables that make the franchise package attractive. Whatever the potential profit from the outlet, it is important to keep the initial investment as low as possible and to offer guarantees that the business will be successful, for which prior experience and brand reputation are essential. For attracting shareholders and lenders, whatever the explicit price of such

resources, the key lies in offering good guarantees to stakeholders in the form, for example, of low borrowing rates or good real guarantees.

Finally, from a more research-oriented perspective, our results show that attracting cheap financial resources is a reason for franchising. But if franchisees do not perceive lower risk and/or less agency problems they will not be prepared to accept a lower return on assets and will not be cheap fund providers. This suggests that the idea of franchising as a collaboration among entrepreneurs is essential and we need to know much more about how franchisees and franchisors match each other. What do they look for in their partner? Unless we know the reasons for both decisions, we cannot know the real nature of franchising, an argument that could be extended to other types of alliance. Not only is it interesting to consider the psychological profiles of the different types of franchising entrepreneur (Grünhagen and Mittelstaedt, 2005) or the reasons why they might prefer to collaborate rather than remain independent (Kaufmann, 1999; Williams, 1999) but we should also find the determinants of the partner's choice. Knowing how a franchisee decides between two potential chains and how a franchisor selects its franchisees might clarify what parties are looking for in such alliances and the nature of their collaboration.

References

- Alon, I., 2001. The use of franchising by U.S.-based retailers. Journal of Small Business Management 39 (2), 111-122.
- Arellano, M., Bond, S., 1991. Some tests of specification for panel data: Monte Carlo evidence and an application to employment equations. Review of Economic Studies 58 (2), 277-297.
- Arellano, M., Bover, O., 1995. Another look at the instrumental variable estimation of errorcomponents models. Journal of Econometrics 68 (1), 29-51.
- Arruñada, B., Garicano, L., Vázquez, L., 2001. Contractual allocation of decision rights and incentives: the case of automobile distribution. Journal of Law, Economics and Organization 17 (1), 256-283.
- Barthélemy, J., 2008. Opportunism, knowledge and the performance of franchise chains. Strategic Management Journal 29 (13), 1451-1463.
- Baucus, D., Baucus, M., Human, S., 1996. Consensus in franchise organizations: a cooperative arrangement among entrepreneurs. Journal of Business Venturing 11 (5), 359-378.
- Bhaduri, S., 2002. Determinants of capital structure choice: a study of the Indian corporate sector. Applied Financial Economics 12 (9), 655-665.
- Bhattacharyya, S., Lafontaine, F., 1995. Double-sided moral hazard and the nature of share contracts. Rand Journal of Economics 26 (4), 761-781.
- Blundell, R., Bond, S., 1998. Initial conditions and moment restrictions in dynamic panel data models. Journal of Econometrics 87 (1), 115-143.
- Bradach, J., 1997. Using the plural form in the management of restaurant chains. Administrative Science Quarterly 42 (2), 276-303.
- Brav, O., 2009. Access to capital, capital structure and the funding of the firm. The Journal of Finance 64 (1), 263-308.
- Brickley, J., 2002. Royalty rates and upfront fees in share contracts: evidence from franchising. Journal of Law, Economics and Organization 18 (2), 511-535.
- Brickley, J., Dark, F., 1987. The choice of organizational form: the case of franchising. Journal of Financial Economics 18 (2), 401-420.

- Brickley, J., Dark, F., Weisbach, M., 1991. An agency perspective on franchising. Financial Management 20 (1), 27-35.
- Cable, D., Shane, S., 1997. A prisoner's dilemma approach to entrepreneur-venture capitalist relationships. Academy of Management Review 22 (1), 142-176.
- Campello, M., 2006. Debt financing: does it boost or hurt performance in products markets?. Journal of Financial Economics 82, 135-172.
- Carney, M., Gedajlovic, E., 1991. Vertical integration in franchise systems: agency theory and resource explanations. Strategic Management Journal 12 (8), 607-629.
- Castrogiovanni, G., Combs, J., Justis, R., 2006a. Resource scarcity and agency theory predictions concerning the continued use of franchising in multi-outlet networks. Journal of Small Business Management 44 (1), 27-44.
- Castrogiovanni, G., Combs, J., Justis, R., 2006b. Shifting imperatives: an integrative view of resource scarcity and agency reasons for franchising. Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice 30 (1), 23-40.
- Caves, R., Murphy, W., 1976. Franchising: firms, markets and intangible assets. Southern Economic Journal 42 (April), 572-586.
- Combs, J., Castrogiovanni, G., 1994. Franchisor strategy: a proposed model and empirical test of franchise versus company ownership. Journal of Small Business Management 32 (2), 37-48.
- Combs, J., Ketchen, D., 1999. Can capital scarcity help agency theory explain franchising? Revisiting the capital scarcity hypothesis. Academy of Management Journal 42 (2), 196-207.
- Combs, J., Ketchen, D., 2003. Why do firms use franchising as an entrepreneurial strategy? A meta-analysis. Journal of Management 29 (3), 443-465.
- Combs, J., Ketchen, D., Hoover, V., 2004. A strategic groups approach to the franchisingperformance relationship. Journal of Business Venturing 19 (6), 877-897.
- Combs, J., Michael, S., Castrogiovanni, G., 2004. Franchising: a review and avenues to greater theoretical diversity. Journal of Management 30 (6), 907-931.
- Dant, R., 1995. Motivations for franchising: rhetoric versus reality. International Small Business Journal 14 (1), 10-32.
- Dant, R., Kaufmann, P., 2003. Structural and strategic dynamics in franchising. Journal of Retailing 79 (2), 63-75.

- Dawson, A., 2009. Private equity investment decisions in family firms: the role of human resources and agency costs. Journal of Business Venturing, article in press (doi:10.1016/j.jbusvent.2009.05.004).
- Deeds, D., Decarolis, D., Coombs, J., 1997. The impact of firm-specific capabilities on the amount of capital raised in an initial public offering: evidence from the biotechnology industry. Journal of Business Venturing 12 (1), 31-46.
- Eisfeldt, A., 2004. Endogenous liquidity in asset markets. Journal of Finance 59 (1), 1-30.
- Flannery, M., Rangan, K., 2006. Partial adjustment toward target capital structures. Journal of Financial Economics 79 (3), 469-506.
- Greene, W., 1999. Análisis Econométrico, 3rd Edition. Prentice Hall: Madrid.
- Grünhagen, M. and R. A. Mittelstaedt, 2005. Entrepreneurs or investors: Do multi-unit franchisees have different philosophical orientations?. Journal of Small Business Management 43 (3), 207-225.
- Gundry, L., Welsch, H., 2001. High growth strategies of women-owned enterprises. Journal of Business Venturing 16 (5), 453-470.
- Hair, J., Tatham, R., Anderson, R., Black, W., 1995. Multivariate Data Analysis: With Readings, 4th Edition. Pearson.
- Hambrick, D., D'Aveni, R., 1988. Large corporate failures as downward spirals. Administrative Science Quarterly 33 (1), 1-23.
- Hausman, J., 1978. Specification tests in econometrics. Econometrica 46 (6), 1251-1271.
- Heckman, J., 1981. Heterogeneity and state dependence, in: Rosen, S. (Ed.), Studies in Labor Markets. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, pp. 91-139.
- Holmstrom, B., 1979. Moral hazard and observability. Bell Journal of Economics 10 (1), 74-91.
- Hunt, S., 1973. The trend towards company-operated units in franchise chains. Journal of Retailing 49 (2), 3-13.
- Ireland, R., Hitt, M., Sirmon, D., 2003. A model of strategic entrepreneurship: the construct and its dimensions. Journal of Management 29(6), 963-989.
- Janney, J., Folta, T., 2003. Signaling through private equity placements and its impact on the valuation of biotechnology firms. Journal of Business Venturing 18(3), 361-380.
- Janney, J., Folta, T., 2006. Moderating effects of investor experience on the signaling value of private equity placements. Journal of Business Venturing 21(1), 27-44.

- Kaufmann, P., 1999. Franchising and the Choice of self-employment. Journal of Business Venturing 14 (4), 345–362.
- Kaufmann, P., Dant, R., 1999. Franchising and the domain of entrepreneurship research. Journal of Business Venturing 14 (1), 5-16.
- Kogut, B., Zander, U., 1992. Knowledge of the firm, combinative capabilities and the replication of technology. Organization Science 3 (3), 383-397.
- Lafontaine, F., 1992. Agency theory and franchising: some empirical results. Rand Journal of Economics 23 (2), 263-283.
- Lafontaine, F., 1995. Pricing decisions in franchised chains: a look at the fast-food industry. NBER Working Paper 5247.
- Lafontaine, F., Kaufmann, P., 1994. The evolution of ownership patterns in franchise systems. Journal of Retailing 70 (2), 97-113.
- Lafontaine, F., Shaw, K., 2005. Targeting managerial control: evidence from franchising. Rand Journal of Economics 36 (1), 131-150.
- Lee, I., Lochhead, S., Ritter, J., Zhao, Q., 1996. The costs of raising capital. Journal of Financial Research 19 (1), 59-74.
- López, B., Ventura, J., 2002. Integración vertical y causas de aparición de la franquicia. Revista Europea de Dirección y Economía de la Empresa 11 (4), 55-74.
- Marks, D., 2007. Which money source is best for your franchise system?. Franchising World 39 (12), 12-14.
- Martin, R., Justis, R., 1993. Franchising, liquidity constraints and entry. Applied Economics 25 (9), 1269-1277.
- McGuire, E., 1971. Franchised Distributors. New York: The Conference Board.
- Michael, S., 2009. Entrepreneurial signaling to attract resources: the case of franchising. Managerial and Decision Economics 30 (6), 405-422.
- Milgrom, P., Roberts, J., 1992. Economics, Organization and Management. Prentice-Hall International Editions: Homewood.
- Minkler, A., 1990. An empirical analysis of a firm's decision to franchise. Economics Letters 34 (1), 77-82.
- Minkler, A., 1992. Why firms franchise: a search cost theory. Journal of Institutional and Theoretical Economics 148 (2), 240-259.

- Minkler, A., Park, T., 1994. Asset specificity and vertical integration in franchising. Review of Industrial Organization 9 (4), 409-423.
- Mitsuhashi, H., Shane, S., Sine, W., 2008. Organization governance form in franchising: efficient contracting or organizational momentum?. Strategic Management Journal 29 (10), 1127-1136.
- Myers, S., Majluf, N., 1984. Corporate financing and investment decisions when firms have information investors do not have. Journal of Financial Economics 13, 187-221.
- Nickerson, J., Silverman, B., 2003. Why firms want to organize efficiently and what keeps them from doing so: inappropriate governance, performance and adaptation in a deregulated industry. Administrative Science Quarterly 48 (3), 433-465.
- Norton, S., 1988. An empirical look at franchising as an organizational form. Journal of Business 61 (2), 197-217.
- Norton, S., 1995. Is franchising a capital structure issue?. Journal of Corporate Finance 2 (1-2), 75-101.
- Omran, M., Pointon, J., 2004. The determinants of the cost of capital by industry within an emerging economy: evidence from Egypt. International Journal of Business 9 (3), 237-258.
- Ozanne, U., Hunt, S., 1971. The Economic Effects of Franchising. US Select Committee on Small Business. Washington, D.C.: US Government Printing Office.
- Oxenfeldt, A., Kelly, A., 1969. Will successful franchise systems ultimately become whollyowned chains?. Journal of Retailing 44 (4), 69-87.
- Pénard, T., Raynaud, E., Saussier, S., 2003. Dual distribution and royalty rates in franchised chains: an empirical analysis using French data. Journal of Marketing Channels 10 (3/4), 5–31.
- Perales, N., Vázquez, L., 2003. Determinantes de la intensidad franquiciadora: un enfoque de agencia. Investigaciones Económicas 27 (1), 151-172.
- Phan, P., Butler, J., Lee, S., 1996. Crossing mother: entrepreneur-franchisees' attempts to reduce franchisor influence. Journal of Business Venturing 11 (5), 379-402.
- Pindado, J., de Miguel, A., 2001. Determinants of capital structure: new evidence from Spanish panel data. Journal of Corporate Finance 7 (1), 77-99.
- Roh, Y., 2002. Size, growth and risk sharing as the determinants of propensity to franchise in chain restaurants. International Journal of Hospitality Management 21 (1), 43-56.

- Roodman, D., 2009. How to do xtabond2: An introduction to difference and system GMM in Stata. Stata Journal 9 (1), 86-136.
- Rubin, P., 1978. The theory of the firm and the structure of the franchise contract. Journal of Law and Economics 21 (April), 223-233.
- Sánchez, R., 2006. La gestión del oportunismo en las redes de franquicias: multifranquicia y mecanismos de control. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Salamanca.
- Sapienza, H., Manigart, S., Vermeir, W., 1996. Venture capitalist governance and value added in four countries. Journal of Business Venturing 11 (6), 439-469.
- Scott, F., 1995. Franchising vs. company ownership as a decision variable of the firm. Review of Industrial Organization 10 (1), 69-81.
- Shane, S., 1996a. Hybrid organizational arrangements and their implications for firm growth and survival: a study of new franchisors. Academy of Management Journal 39 (1), 216-234.
- Shane, S., 1996b. Why franchise companies expand overseas. Journal of Business Venturing 11(2), 73-88.
- Shepherd, S., Ettenson, R., Crouch, A., 2000. New venture strategy and profitability: a venture capitalist's assessment. Journal of Business Venturing 15 (5-6), 449-467.
- Spinelli, S., Birley, S., 1996. Toward a theory of conflict in the franchise system. Journal of Business Venturing 11 (5), 329-342.
- Thompson, R., 1992. Company ownership versus franchising: issues and evidence. Journal of Economic Studies 19 (4), 31-42.
- Verrecchia, R., 1999. Disclosure and the cost of capital: A discussion. Journal of Accounting and Economics 26 (1-3), 271-283.
- Windsperger, J., Dant, R., 2006. Contractibility and ownership redirection in franchising: a property rights view. Journal of Retailing 82 (3), 259 272.
- Williams, D., 1999. Why do entrepreneurs become franchisees? An empirical analysis of organizational choice. Journal of Business Venturing 14 (1), 103-124.

DOCUMENTOS DE TRABAJO

Últimos números publicados

159/2000	Participación privada en la construcción y explotación de carreteras de peaje Ginés de Rus, Manuel Romero y Lourdes Trujillo
160/2000	Errores y posibles soluciones en la aplicación del <i>Value at Risk</i> Mariano González Sánchez
161/2000	Tax neutrality on saving assets. The spahish case before and after the tax reform Cristina Ruza y de Paz-Curbera
162/2000	Private rates of return to human capital in Spain: new evidence F. Barceinas, J. Oliver-Alonso, J.L. Raymond y J.L. Roig-Sabaté
163/2000	El control interno del riesgo. Una propuesta de sistema de límites riesgo neutral Mariano González Sánchez
164/2001	La evolución de las políticas de gasto de las Administraciones Públicas en los años 90 Alfonso Utrilla de la Hoz y Carmen Pérez Esparrells
165/2001	Bank cost efficiency and output specification Emili Tortosa-Ausina
166/2001	Recent trends in Spanish income distribution: A robust picture of falling income inequality Josep Oliver-Alonso, Xavier Ramos y José Luis Raymond-Bara
167/2001	Efectos redistributivos y sobre el bienestar social del tratamiento de las cargas familiares en el nuevo IRPF Nuria Badenes Plá, Julio López Laborda, Jorge Onrubia Fernández
168/2001	The Effects of Bank Debt on Financial Structure of Small and Medium Firms in some Euro- pean Countries Mónica Melle-Hernández
169/2001	La política de cohesión de la UE ampliada: la perspectiva de España Ismael Sanz Labrador
170/2002	Riesgo de liquidez de Mercado Mariano González Sánchez
171/2002	Los costes de administración para el afiliado en los sistemas de pensiones basados en cuentas de capitalización individual: medida y comparación internacional. José Enrique Devesa Carpio, Rosa Rodríguez Barrera, Carlos Vidal Meliá
172/2002	La encuesta continua de presupuestos familiares (1985-1996): descripción, representatividad y propuestas de metodología para la explotación de la información de los ingresos y el gasto. Llorenc Pou, Joaquín Alegre
173/2002	Modelos paramétricos y no paramétricos en problemas de concesión de tarjetas de credito. Rosa Puertas, María Bonilla, Ignacio Olmeda

174/2002	Mercado único, comercio intra-industrial y costes de ajuste en las manufacturas españolas. José Vicente Blanes Cristóbal
175/2003	La Administración tributaria en España. Un análisis de la gestión a través de los ingresos y de los gastos. Juan de Dios Jiménez Aguilera, Pedro Enrique Barrilao González
176/2003	The Falling Share of Cash Payments in Spain. Santiago Carbó Valverde, Rafael López del Paso, David B. Humphrey Publicado en "Moneda y Crédito" nº 217, pags. 167-189.
177/2003	Effects of ATMs and Electronic Payments on Banking Costs: The Spanish Case. Santiago Carbó Valverde, Rafael López del Paso, David B. Humphrey
178/2003	Factors explaining the interest margin in the banking sectors of the European Union. Joaquín Maudos y Juan Fernández Guevara
179/2003	Los planes de stock options para directivos y consejeros y su valoración por el mercado de valores en España. Mónica Melle Hernández
180/2003	Ownership and Performance in Europe and US Banking – A comparison of Commercial, Co- operative & Savings Banks. Yener Altunbas, Santiago Carbó y Phil Molyneux
181/2003	The Euro effect on the integration of the European stock markets. Mónica Melle Hernández
182/2004	In search of complementarity in the innovation strategy: international R&D and external knowledge acquisition. Bruno Cassiman, Reinhilde Veugelers
183/2004	Fijación de precios en el sector público: una aplicación para el servicio municipal de sumi- nistro de agua. Mª Ángeles García Valiñas
184/2004	Estimación de la economía sumergida es España: un modelo estructural de variables latentes. Ángel Alañón Pardo, Miguel Gómez de Antonio
185/2004	Causas políticas y consecuencias sociales de la corrupción. Joan Oriol Prats Cabrera
186/2004	Loan bankers' decisions and sensitivity to the audit report using the belief revision model. Andrés Guiral Contreras and José A. Gonzalo Angulo
187/2004	El modelo de Black, Derman y Toy en la práctica. Aplicación al mercado español. Marta Tolentino García-Abadillo y Antonio Díaz Pérez
188/2004	Does market competition make banks perform well?. Mónica Melle
189/2004	Efficiency differences among banks: external, technical, internal, and managerial Santiago Carbó Valverde, David B. Humphrey y Rafael López del Paso

190/2004	Una aproximación al análisis de los costes de la esquizofrenia en españa: los modelos jerár- quicos bayesianos F. J. Vázquez-Polo, M. A. Negrín, J. M. Cavasés, E. Sánchez y grupo RIRAG
191/2004	Environmental proactivity and business performance: an empirical analysis Javier González-Benito y Óscar González-Benito
192/2004	Economic risk to beneficiaries in notional defined contribution accounts (NDCs) Carlos Vidal-Meliá, Inmaculada Domínguez-Fabian y José Enrique Devesa-Carpio
193/2004	Sources of efficiency gains in port reform: non parametric malmquist decomposition tfp in- dex for Mexico Antonio Estache, Beatriz Tovar de la Fé y Lourdes Trujillo
194/2004	Persistencia de resultados en los fondos de inversión españoles Alfredo Ciriaco Fernández y Rafael Santamaría Aquilué
195/2005	El modelo de revisión de creencias como aproximación psicológica a la formación del juicio del auditor sobre la gestión continuada Andrés Guiral Contreras y Francisco Esteso Sánchez
196/2005	La nueva financiación sanitaria en España: descentralización y prospectiva David Cantarero Prieto
197/2005	A cointegration analysis of the Long-Run supply response of Spanish agriculture to the com- mon agricultural policy José A. Mendez, Ricardo Mora y Carlos San Juan
198/2005	¿Refleja la estructura temporal de los tipos de interés del mercado español preferencia por la li- quidez? Magdalena Massot Perelló y Juan M. Nave
199/2005	Análisis de impacto de los Fondos Estructurales Europeos recibidos por una economía regional: Un enfoque a través de Matrices de Contabilidad Social M. Carmen Lima y M. Alejandro Cardenete
200/2005	Does the development of non-cash payments affect monetary policy transmission? Santiago Carbó Valverde y Rafael López del Paso
201/2005	Firm and time varying technical and allocative efficiency: an application for port cargo han- dling firms Ana Rodríguez-Álvarez, Beatriz Tovar de la Fe y Lourdes Trujillo
202/2005	Contractual complexity in strategic alliances Jeffrey J. Reuer y Africa Ariño
203/2005	Factores determinantes de la evolución del empleo en las empresas adquiridas por opa Nuria Alcalde Fradejas y Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar
204/2005	Nonlinear Forecasting in Economics: a comparison between Comprehension Approach versus Learning Approach. An Application to Spanish Time Series Elena Olmedo, Juan M. Valderas, Ricardo Gimeno and Lorenzo Escot

205/2005	Precio de la tierra con presión urbana: un modelo para España Esther Decimavilla, Carlos San Juan y Stefan Sperlich
206/2005	Interregional migration in Spain: a semiparametric analysis Adolfo Maza y José Villaverde
207/2005	Productivity growth in European banking Carmen Murillo-Melchor, José Manuel Pastor y Emili Tortosa-Ausina
208/2005	Explaining Bank Cost Efficiency in Europe: Environmental and Productivity Influences. Santiago Carbó Valverde, David B. Humphrey y Rafael López del Paso
209/2005	La elasticidad de sustitución intertemporal con preferencias no separables intratemporalmente: los casos de Alemania, España y Francia. Elena Márquez de la Cruz, Ana R. Martínez Cañete y Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar
210/2005	Contribución de los efectos tamaño, book-to-market y momentum a la valoración de activos: el caso español. Begoña Font-Belaire y Alfredo Juan Grau-Grau
211/2005	Permanent income, convergence and inequality among countries José M. Pastor and Lorenzo Serrano
212/2005	The Latin Model of Welfare: Do 'Insertion Contracts' Reduce Long-Term Dependence? Luis Ayala and Magdalena Rodríguez
213/2005	The effect of geographic expansion on the productivity of Spanish savings banks Manuel Illueca, José M. Pastor and Emili Tortosa-Ausina
214/2005	Dynamic network interconnection under consumer switching costs Ángel Luis López Rodríguez
215/2005	La influencia del entorno socioeconómico en la realización de estudios universitarios: una aproxi- mación al caso español en la década de los noventa Marta Rahona López
216/2005	The valuation of spanish ipos: efficiency analysis Susana Álvarez Otero
217/2005	On the generation of a regular multi-input multi-output technology using parametric output dis- tance functions Sergio Perelman and Daniel Santin
218/2005	La gobernanza de los procesos parlamentarios: la organización industrial del congreso de los di- putados en España Gonzalo Caballero Miguez
219/2005	Determinants of bank market structure: Efficiency and political economy variables Francisco González
220/2005	Agresividad de las órdenes introducidas en el mercado español: estrategias, determinantes y me- didas de performance David Abad Díaz

221/2005	Tendencia post-anuncio de resultados contables: evidencia para el mercado español Carlos Forner Rodríguez, Joaquín Marhuenda Fructuoso y Sonia Sanabria García
222/2005	Human capital accumulation and geography: empirical evidence in the European Union Jesús López-Rodríguez, J. Andrés Faíña y Jose Lopez Rodríguez
223/2005	Auditors' Forecasting in Going Concern Decisions: Framing, Confidence and Information Proc- essing Waymond Rodgers and Andrés Guiral
224/2005	The effect of Structural Fund spending on the Galician region: an assessment of the 1994-1999 and 2000-2006 Galician CSFs José Ramón Cancelo de la Torre, J. Andrés Faíña and Jesús López-Rodríguez
225/2005	The effects of ownership structure and board composition on the audit committee activity: Span- ish evidence Carlos Fernández Méndez and Rubén Arrondo García
226/2005	Cross-country determinants of bank income smoothing by managing loan loss provisions Ana Rosa Fonseca and Francisco González
227/2005	Incumplimiento fiscal en el irpf (1993-2000): un análisis de sus factores determinantes Alejandro Estellér Moré
228/2005	Region versus Industry effects: volatility transmission Pilar Soriano Felipe and Francisco J. Climent Diranzo
229/2005	Concurrent Engineering: The Moderating Effect Of Uncertainty On New Product Development Success Daniel Vázquez-Bustelo and Sandra Valle
230/2005	On zero lower bound traps: a framework for the analysis of monetary policy in the 'age' of cen- tral banks Alfonso Palacio-Vera
231/2005	Reconciling Sustainability and Discounting in Cost Benefit Analysis: a methodological proposal M. Carmen Almansa Sáez and Javier Calatrava Requena
232/2005	Can The Excess Of Liquidity Affect The Effectiveness Of The European Monetary Policy? Santiago Carbó Valverde and Rafael López del Paso
233/2005	Inheritance Taxes In The Eu Fiscal Systems: The Present Situation And Future Perspectives. Miguel Angel Barberán Lahuerta
234/2006	Bank Ownership And Informativeness Of Earnings. Víctor M. González
235/2006	Developing A Predictive Method: A Comparative Study Of The Partial Least Squares Vs Maxi- mum Likelihood Techniques. Waymond Rodgers, Paul Pavlou and Andres Guiral.
236/2006	Using Compromise Programming for Macroeconomic Policy Making in a General Equilibrium Framework: Theory and Application to the Spanish Economy. Francisco J. André, M. Alejandro Cardenete y Carlos Romero.

237/2006	Bank Market Power And Sme Financing Constraints. Santiago Carbó-Valverde, Francisco Rodríguez-Fernández y Gregory F. Udell.
238/2006	Trade Effects Of Monetary Agreements: Evidence For Oecd Countries. Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero y José Antonio Martínez-Serrano.
239/2006	The Quality Of Institutions: A Genetic Programming Approach. Marcos Álvarez-Díaz y Gonzalo Caballero Miguez.
240/2006	La interacción entre el éxito competitivo y las condiciones del mercado doméstico como deter- minantes de la decisión de exportación en las Pymes. Francisco García Pérez.
241/2006	Una estimación de la depreciación del capital humano por sectores, por ocupación y en el tiempo. Inés P. Murillo.
242/2006	Consumption And Leisure Externalities, Economic Growth And Equilibrium Efficiency. Manuel A. Gómez.
243/2006	Measuring efficiency in education: an analysis of different approaches for incorporating non-discretionary inputs. Jose Manuel Cordero-Ferrera, Francisco Pedraja-Chaparro y Javier Salinas-Jiménez
244/2006	Did The European Exchange-Rate Mechanism Contribute To The Integration Of Peripheral Countries?. Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero y José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
245/2006	Intergenerational Health Mobility: An Empirical Approach Based On The Echp. Marta Pascual and David Cantarero
246/2006	Measurement and analysis of the Spanish Stock Exchange using the Lyapunov exponent with digital technology. Salvador Rojí Ferrari and Ana Gonzalez Marcos
247/2006	Testing For Structural Breaks In Variance Withadditive Outliers And Measurement Errors. Paulo M.M. Rodrigues and Antonio Rubia
248/2006	The Cost Of Market Power In Banking: Social Welfare Loss Vs. Cost Inefficiency. Joaquín Maudos and Juan Fernández de Guevara
249/2006	Elasticidades de largo plazo de la demanda de vivienda: evidencia para España (1885-2000). Desiderio Romero Jordán, José Félix Sanz Sanz y César Pérez López
250/2006	Regional Income Disparities in Europe: What role for location?. Jesús López-Rodríguez and J. Andrés Faíña
251/2006	Funciones abreviadas de bienestar social: Una forma sencilla de simultanear la medición de la eficiencia y la equidad de las políticas de gasto público. Nuria Badenes Plá y Daniel Santín González
252/2006	"The momentum effect in the Spanish stock market: Omitted risk factors or investor behaviour?". Luis Muga and Rafael Santamaría
253/2006	Dinámica de precios en el mercado español de gasolina: un equilibrio de colusión tácita. Jordi Perdiguero García

254/2006	Desigualdad regional en España: renta permanente versus renta corriente. José M.Pastor, Empar Pons y Lorenzo Serrano
255/2006	Environmental implications of organic food preferences: an application of the impure public goods model.
	Ana Maria Aldanondo-Ochoa y Carmen Almansa-Sáez
256/2006	Family tax credits versus family allowances when labour supply matters: Evidence for Spain. José Felix Sanz-Sanz, Desiderio Romero-Jordán y Santiago Álvarez-García
257/2006	La internacionalización de la empresa manufacturera española: efectos del capital humano genérico y específico. José López Rodríguez
258/2006	Evaluación de las migraciones interregionales en España, 1996-2004. María Martínez Torres
259/2006	Efficiency and market power in Spanish banking. Rolf Färe, Shawna Grosskopf y Emili Tortosa-Ausina.
260/2006	Asimetrías en volatilidad, beta y contagios entre las empresas grandes y pequeñas cotizadas en la bolsa española. Helena Chuliá y Hipòlit Torró.
261/2006	Birth Replacement Ratios: New Measures of Period Population Replacement. José Antonio Ortega.
262/2006	Accidentes de tráfico, víctimas mortales y consumo de alcohol. José M ^a Arranz y Ana I. Gil.
263/2006	Análisis de la Presencia de la Mujer en los Consejos de Administración de las Mil Mayores Em- presas Españolas. Ruth Mateos de Cabo, Lorenzo Escot Mangas y Ricardo Gimeno Nogués.
264/2006	Crisis y Reforma del Pacto de Estabilidad y Crecimiento. Las Limitaciones de la Política Econó- mica en Europa. Ignacio Álvarez Peralta.
265/2006	Have Child Tax Allowances Affected Family Size? A Microdata Study For Spain (1996-2000). Jaime Vallés-Giménez y Anabel Zárate-Marco.
266/2006	Health Human Capital And The Shift From Foraging To Farming. Paolo Rungo.
267/2006	Financiación Autonómica y Política de la Competencia: El Mercado de Gasolina en Canarias. Juan Luis Jiménez y Jordi Perdiguero.
268/2006	El cumplimiento del Protocolo de Kyoto para los hogares españoles: el papel de la imposición sobre la energía. Desiderio Romero-Jordán y José Félix Sanz-Sanz.
269/2006	Banking competition, financial dependence and economic growth Joaquín Maudos y Juan Fernández de Guevara
270/2006	Efficiency, subsidies and environmental adaptation of animal farming under CAP Werner Kleinhanß, Carmen Murillo, Carlos San Juan y Stefan Sperlich

271/2006	Interest Groups, Incentives to Cooperation and Decision-Making Process in the European Union A. Garcia-Lorenzo y Jesús López-Rodríguez
272/2006	Riesgo asimétrico y estrategias de momentum en el mercado de valores español Luis Muga y Rafael Santamaría
273/2006	Valoración de capital-riesgo en proyectos de base tecnológica e innovadora a través de la teoría de opciones reales Gracia Rubio Martín
274/2006	Capital stock and unemployment: searching for the missing link Ana Rosa Martínez-Cañete, Elena Márquez de la Cruz, Alfonso Palacio-Vera and Inés Pérez- Soba Aguilar
275/2006	Study of the influence of the voters' political culture on vote decision through the simulation of a political competition problem in Spain Sagrario Lantarón, Isabel Lillo, M ^a Dolores López and Javier Rodrigo
276/2006	Investment and growth in Europe during the Golden Age Antonio Cubel and M ^a Teresa Sanchis
277/2006	Efectos de vincular la pensión pública a la inversión en cantidad y calidad de hijos en un modelo de equilibrio general Robert Meneu Gaya
278/2006	El consumo y la valoración de activos Elena Márquez y Belén Nieto
279/2006	Economic growth and currency crisis: A real exchange rate entropic approach David Matesanz Gómez y Guillermo J. Ortega
280/2006	Three measures of returns to education: An illustration for the case of Spain María Arrazola y José de Hevia
281/2006	Composition of Firms versus Composition of Jobs Antoni Cunyat
282/2006	La vocación internacional de un holding tranviario belga: la Compagnie Mutuelle de Tram- ways, 1895-1918 Alberte Martínez López
283/2006	Una visión panorámica de las entidades de crédito en España en la última década. Constantino García Ramos
284/2006	Foreign Capital and Business Strategies: a comparative analysis of urban transport in Madrid and Barcelona, 1871-1925 Alberte Martínez López
285/2006	Los intereses belgas en la red ferroviaria catalana, 1890-1936 Alberte Martínez López
286/2006	The Governance of Quality: The Case of the Agrifood Brand Names Marta Fernández Barcala, Manuel González-Díaz y Emmanuel Raynaud
287/2006	Modelling the role of health status in the transition out of malthusian equilibrium Paolo Rungo, Luis Currais and Berta Rivera
288/2006	Industrial Effects of Climate Change Policies through the EU Emissions Trading Scheme Xavier Labandeira and Miguel Rodríguez

289/2006	Globalisation and the Composition of Government Spending: An analysis for OECD countries Norman Gemmell, Richard Kneller and Ismael Sanz
290/2006	La producción de energía eléctrica en España: Análisis económico de la actividad tras la liberali- zación del Sector Eléctrico Fernando Hernández Martínez
291/2006	Further considerations on the link between adjustment costs and the productivity of R&D invest- ment: evidence for Spain Desiderio Romero-Jordán, José Félix Sanz-Sanz and Inmaculada Álvarez-Ayuso
292/2006	Una teoría sobre la contribución de la función de compras al rendimiento empresarial Javier González Benito
293/2006	Agility drivers, enablers and outcomes: empirical test of an integrated agile manufacturing model Daniel Vázquez-Bustelo, Lucía Avella and Esteban Fernández
294/2006	Testing the parametric vs the semiparametric generalized mixed effects models María José Lombardía and Stefan Sperlich
295/2006	Nonlinear dynamics in energy futures Mariano Matilla-García
296/2006	Estimating Spatial Models By Generalized Maximum Entropy Or How To Get Rid Of W Esteban Fernández Vázquez, Matías Mayor Fernández and Jorge Rodriguez-Valez
297/2006	Optimización fiscal en las transmisiones lucrativas: análisis metodológico Félix Domínguez Barrero
298/2006	La situación actual de la banca online en España Francisco José Climent Diranzo y Alexandre Momparler Pechuán
299/2006	Estrategia competitiva y rendimiento del negocio: el papel mediador de la estrategia y las capacidades productivas Javier González Benito y Isabel Suárez González
300/2006	A Parametric Model to Estimate Risk in a Fixed Income Portfolio Pilar Abad and Sonia Benito
301/2007	Análisis Empírico de las Preferencias Sociales Respecto del Gasto en Obra Social de las Cajas de Ahorros Alejandro Esteller-Moré, Jonathan Jorba Jiménez y Albert Solé-Ollé
302/2007	Assessing the enlargement and deepening of regional trading blocs: The European Union case Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero y José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
303/2007	¿Es la Franquicia un Medio de Financiación?: Evidencia para el Caso Español Vanesa Solís Rodríguez y Manuel González Díaz
304/2007	On the Finite-Sample Biases in Nonparametric Testing for Variance Constancy Paulo M.M. Rodrigues and Antonio Rubia
305/2007	Spain is Different: Relative Wages 1989-98 José Antonio Carrasco Gallego

306/2007	Poverty reduction and SAM multipliers: An evaluation of public policies in a regional framework Francisco Javier De Miguel-Vélez y Jesús Pérez-Mayo
307/2007	La Eficiencia en la Gestión del Riesgo de Crédito en las Cajas de Ahorro Marcelino Martínez Cabrera
308/2007	Optimal environmental policy in transport: unintended effects on consumers' generalized price M. Pilar Socorro and Ofelia Betancor
309/2007	Agricultural Productivity in the European Regions: Trends and Explanatory Factors Roberto Ezcurra, Belen Iráizoz, Pedro Pascual and Manuel Rapún
310/2007	Long-run Regional Population Divergence and Modern Economic Growth in Europe: a Case Study of Spain María Isabel Ayuda, Fernando Collantes and Vicente Pinilla
311/2007	Financial Information effects on the measurement of Commercial Banks' Efficiency Borja Amor, María T. Tascón and José L. Fanjul
312/2007	Neutralidad e incentivos de las inversiones financieras en el nuevo IRPF Félix Domínguez Barrero
313/2007	The Effects of Corporate Social Responsibility Perceptions on The Valuation of Common Stock Waymond Rodgers , Helen Choy and Andres Guiral-Contreras
314/2007	Country Creditor Rights, Information Sharing and Commercial Banks' Profitability Persistence across the world Borja Amor, María T. Tascón and José L. Fanjul
315/2007	¿Es Relevante el Déficit Corriente en una Unión Monetaria? El Caso Español Javier Blanco González y Ignacio del Rosal Fernández
316/2007	The Impact of Credit Rating Announcements on Spanish Corporate Fixed Income Performance: Returns, Yields and Liquidity Pilar Abad, Antonio Díaz and M. Dolores Robles
317/2007	Indicadores de Lealtad al Establecimiento y Formato Comercial Basados en la Distribución del Presupuesto Cesar Augusto Bustos Reyes y Óscar González Benito
318/2007	Migrants and Market Potential in Spain over The XXth Century: A Test Of The New Economic Geography Daniel A. Tirado, Jordi Pons, Elisenda Paluzie and Javier Silvestre
319/2007	El Impacto del Coste de Oportunidad de la Actividad Emprendedora en la Intención de los Ciu- dadanos Europeos de Crear Empresas Luis Miguel Zapico Aldeano
320/2007	Los belgas y los ferrocarriles de vía estrecha en España, 1887-1936 Alberte Martínez López
321/2007	Competición política bipartidista. Estudio geométrico del equilibrio en un caso ponderado Isabel Lillo, M ^a Dolores López y Javier Rodrigo
322/2007	Human resource management and environment management systems: an empirical study M ^a Concepción López Fernández, Ana M ^a Serrano Bedia and Gema García Piqueres

323/2007	Wood and industrialization. evidence and hypotheses from the case of Spain, 1860-1935. Iñaki Iriarte-Goñi and María Isabel Ayuda Bosque
324/2007	New evidence on long-run monetary neutrality. J. Cunado, L.A. Gil-Alana and F. Perez de Gracia
325/2007	Monetary policy and structural changes in the volatility of us interest rates. Juncal Cuñado, Javier Gomez Biscarri and Fernando Perez de Gracia
326/2007	The productivity effects of intrafirm diffusion. Lucio Fuentelsaz, Jaime Gómez and Sergio Palomas
327/2007	Unemployment duration, layoffs and competing risks. J.M. Arranz, C. García-Serrano and L. Toharia
328/2007	El grado de cobertura del gasto público en España respecto a la UE-15 Nuria Rueda, Begoña Barruso, Carmen Calderón y M ^a del Mar Herrador
329/2007	The Impact of Direct Subsidies in Spain before and after the CAP'92 Reform Carmen Murillo, Carlos San Juan and Stefan Sperlich
330/2007	Determinants of post-privatisation performance of Spanish divested firms Laura Cabeza García and Silvia Gómez Ansón
331/2007	¿Por qué deciden diversificar las empresas españolas? Razones oportunistas versus razones económicas Almudena Martínez Campillo
332/2007	Dynamical Hierarchical Tree in Currency Markets Juan Gabriel Brida, David Matesanz Gómez and Wiston Adrián Risso
333/2007	Los determinantes sociodemográficos del gasto sanitario. Análisis con microdatos individuales Ana María Angulo, Ramón Barberán, Pilar Egea y Jesús Mur
334/2007	Why do companies go private? The Spanish case Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar
335/2007	The use of gis to study transport for disabled people Verónica Cañal Fernández
336/2007	The long run consequences of M&A: An empirical application Cristina Bernad, Lucio Fuentelsaz and Jaime Gómez
337/2007	Las clasificaciones de materias en economía: principios para el desarrollo de una nueva clasificación Valentín Edo Hernández
338/2007	Reforming Taxes and Improving Health: A Revenue-Neutral Tax Reform to Eliminate Medical and Pharmaceutical VAT Santiago Álvarez-García, Carlos Pestana Barros y Juan Prieto-Rodriguez
339/2007	Impacts of an iron and steel plant on residential property values Celia Bilbao-Terol
340/2007	Firm size and capital structure: Evidence using dynamic panel data Víctor M. González and Francisco González

341/2007	¿Cómo organizar una cadena hotelera? La elección de la forma de gobierno Marta Fernández Barcala y Manuel González Díaz
342/2007	Análisis de los efectos de la decisión de diversificar: un contraste del marco teórico "Agencia- Stewardship" Almudena Martínez Campillo y Roberto Fernández Gago
343/2007	Selecting portfolios given multiple eurostoxx-based uncertainty scenarios: a stochastic goal pro- gramming approach from fuzzy betas Enrique Ballestero, Blanca Pérez-Gladish, Mar Arenas-Parra and Amelia Bilbao-Terol
344/2007	"El bienestar de los inmigrantes y los factores implicados en la decisión de emigrar" Anastasia Hernández Alemán y Carmelo J. León
345/2007	Governance Decisions in the R&D Process: An Integrative Framework Based on TCT and Know- ledge View of The Firm. Andrea Martínez-Noya and Esteban García-Canal
346/2007	Diferencias salariales entre empresas públicas y privadas. El caso español Begoña Cueto y Nuria Sánchez- Sánchez
347/2007	Effects of Fiscal Treatments of Second Home Ownership on Renting Supply Celia Bilbao Terol and Juan Prieto Rodríguez
348/2007	Auditors' ethical dilemmas in the going concern evaluation Andres Guiral, Waymond Rodgers, Emiliano Ruiz and Jose A. Gonzalo
349/2007	Convergencia en capital humano en España. Un análisis regional para el periodo 1970-2004 Susana Morales Sequera y Carmen Pérez Esparrells
350/2007	Socially responsible investment: mutual funds portfolio selection using fuzzy multiobjective pro- gramming Blanca M ^a Pérez-Gladish, Mar Arenas-Parra , Amelia Bilbao-Terol and M ^a Victoria Rodríguez- Uría
351/2007	Persistencia del resultado contable y sus componentes: implicaciones de la medida de ajustes por devengo Raúl Iñiguez Sánchez y Francisco Poveda Fuentes
352/2007	Wage Inequality and Globalisation: What can we Learn from the Past? A General Equilibrium Approach Concha Betrán, Javier Ferri and Maria A. Pons
353/2007	Eficacia de los incentivos fiscales a la inversión en I+D en España en los años noventa Desiderio Romero Jordán y José Félix Sanz Sanz
354/2007	Convergencia regional en renta y bienestar en España Robert Meneu Gaya
355/2007	Tributación ambiental: Estado de la Cuestión y Experiencia en España Ana Carrera Poncela
356/2007	Salient features of dependence in daily us stock market indices Luis A. Gil-Alana, Juncal Cuñado and Fernando Pérez de Gracia
357/2007	La educación superior: ¿un gasto o una inversión rentable para el sector público? Inés P. Murillo y Francisco Pedraja

358/2007	Effects of a reduction of working hours on a model with job creation and job destruction Emilio Domínguez, Miren Ullibarri y Idoya Zabaleta
359/2007	Stock split size, signaling and earnings management: Evidence from the Spanish market José Yagüe, J. Carlos Gómez-Sala and Francisco Poveda-Fuentes
360/2007	Modelización de las expectativas y estrategias de inversión en mercados de derivados Begoña Font-Belaire
361/2008	Trade in capital goods during the golden age, 1953-1973 M ^a Teresa Sanchis and Antonio Cubel
362/2008	El capital económico por riesgo operacional: una aplicación del modelo de distribución de pérdidas Enrique José Jiménez Rodríguez y José Manuel Feria Domínguez
363/2008	The drivers of effectiveness in competition policy Joan-Ramon Borrell and Juan-Luis Jiménez
364/2008	Corporate governance structure and board of directors remuneration policies: evidence from Spain Carlos Fernández Méndez, Rubén Arrondo García and Enrique Fernández Rodríguez
365/2008	Beyond the disciplinary role of governance: how boards and donors add value to Spanish founda- tions Pablo De Andrés Alonso, Valentín Azofra Palenzuela y M. Elena Romero Merino
366/2008	Complejidad y perfeccionamiento contractual para la contención del oportunismo en los acuerdos de franquicia Vanesa Solís Rodríguez y Manuel González Díaz
367/2008	Inestabilidad y convergencia entre las regiones europeas Jesús Mur, Fernando López y Ana Angulo
368/2008	Análisis espacial del cierre de explotaciones agrarias Ana Aldanondo Ochoa, Carmen Almansa Sáez y Valero Casanovas Oliva
369/2008	Cross-Country Efficiency Comparison between Italian and Spanish Public Universities in the period 2000-2005 Tommaso Agasisti and Carmen Pérez Esparrells
370/2008	El desarrollo de la sociedad de la información en España: un análisis por comunidades autónomas María Concepción García Jiménez y José Luis Gómez Barroso
371/2008	El medioambiente y los objetivos de fabricación: un análisis de los modelos estratégicos para su consecución Lucía Avella Camarero, Esteban Fernández Sánchez y Daniel Vázquez-Bustelo
372/2008	Influence of bank concentration and institutions on capital structure: New international evidence Víctor M. González and Francisco González
373/2008	Generalización del concepto de equilibrio en juegos de competición política M ^a Dolores López González y Javier Rodrigo Hitos
374/2008	Smooth Transition from Fixed Effects to Mixed Effects Models in Multi-level regression Models María José Lombardía and Stefan Sperlich

375/2008	A Revenue-Neutral Tax Reform to Increase Demand for Public Transport Services Carlos Pestana Barros and Juan Prieto-Rodriguez
376/2008	Measurement of intra-distribution dynamics: An application of different approaches to the European regions
	Adolfo Maza, María Hierro and José Villaverde
377/2008	Migración interna de extranjeros y ¿nueva fase en la convergencia? María Hierro y Adolfo Maza
378/2008	Efectos de la Reforma del Sector Eléctrico: Modelización Teórica y Experiencia Internacional Ciro Eduardo Bazán Navarro
379/2008	A Non-Parametric Independence Test Using Permutation Entropy Mariano Matilla-García and Manuel Ruiz Marín
380/2008	Testing for the General Fractional Unit Root Hypothesis in the Time Domain Uwe Hassler, Paulo M.M. Rodrigues and Antonio Rubia
381/2008	Multivariate gram-charlier densities Esther B. Del Brio, Trino-Manuel Ñíguez and Javier Perote
382/2008	Analyzing Semiparametrically the Trends in the Gender Pay Gap - The Example of Spain Ignacio Moral-Arce, Stefan Sperlich, Ana I. Fernández-Saínz and Maria J. Roca
383/2008	A Cost-Benefit Analysis of a Two-Sided Card Market Santiago Carbó Valverde, David B. Humphrey, José Manuel Liñares Zegarra and Francisco Rod- riguez Fernandez
384/2008	A Fuzzy Bicriteria Approach for Journal Deselection in a Hospital Library M. L. López-Avello, M. V. Rodríguez-Uría, B. Pérez-Gladish, A. Bilbao-Terol, M. Arenas-Parra
385/2008	Valoración de las grandes corporaciones farmaceúticas, a través del análisis de sus principales intangibles, con el método de opciones reales Gracia Rubio Martín y Prosper Lamothe Fernández
386/2008	El marketing interno como impulsor de las habilidades comerciales de las pyme españolas: efectos en los resultados empresariales Mª Leticia Santos Vijande, Mª José Sanzo Pérez, Nuria García Rodríguez y Juan A. Trespalacios Gutiérrez
387/2008	Understanding Warrants Pricing: A case study of the financial market in Spain David Abad y Belén Nieto
388/2008	Aglomeración espacial, Potencial de Mercado y Geografía Económica: Una revisión de la litera- tura Jesús López-Rodríguez y J. Andrés Faíña
389/2008	An empirical assessment of the impact of switching costs and first mover advantages on firm performance Jaime Gómez, Juan Pablo Maícas
390/2008	Tender offers in Spain: testing the wave Ana R. Martínez-Cañete y Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar

391/2008	La integración del mercado español a finales del siglo XIX: los precios del trigo entre 1891 y 1905 Mariano Matilla García, Pedro Pérez Pascual y Basilio Sanz Carnero
392/2008	Cuando el tamaño importa: estudio sobre la influencia de los sujetos políticos en la balanza de bienes y servicios Alfonso Echazarra de Gregorio
393/2008	Una visión cooperativa de las medidas ante el posible daño ambiental de la desalación Borja Montaño Sanz
394/2008	Efectos externos del endeudamiento sobre la calificación crediticia de las Comunidades Autóno- mas Andrés Leal Marcos y Julio López Laborda
395/2008	Technical efficiency and productivity changes in Spanish airports: A parametric distance func- tions approach Beatriz Tovar & Roberto Rendeiro Martín-Cejas
396/2008	Network analysis of exchange data: Interdependence drives crisis contagion David Matesanz Gómez & Guillermo J. Ortega
397/2008	Explaining the performance of Spanish privatised firms: a panel data approach Laura Cabeza Garcia and Silvia Gomez Anson
398/2008	Technological capabilities and the decision to outsource R&D services Andrea Martínez-Noya and Esteban García-Canal
399/2008	Hybrid Risk Adjustment for Pharmaceutical Benefits Manuel García-Goñi, Pere Ibern & José María Inoriza
400/2008	The Team Consensus–Performance Relationship and the Moderating Role of Team Diversity José Henrique Dieguez, Javier González-Benito and Jesús Galende
401/2008	The institutional determinants of CO ₂ emissions: A computational modelling approach using Arti- ficial Neural Networks and Genetic Programming Marcos Álvarez-Díaz , Gonzalo Caballero Miguez and Mario Soliño
402/2008	Alternative Approaches to Include Exogenous Variables in DEA Measures: A Comparison Using Monte Carlo José Manuel Cordero-Ferrera, Francisco Pedraja-Chaparro and Daniel Santín-González
403/2008	Efecto diferencial del capital humano en el crecimiento económico andaluz entre 1985 y 2004: comparación con el resto de España Mª del Pópulo Pablo-Romero Gil-Delgado y Mª de la Palma Gómez-Calero Valdés
404/2008	Análisis de fusiones, variaciones conjeturales y la falacia del estimador en diferencias Juan Luis Jiménez y Jordi Perdiguero
405/2008	Política fiscal en la uem: ¿basta con los estabilizadores automáticos? Jorge Uxó González y M ^a Jesús Arroyo Fernández
406/2008	Papel de la orientación emprendedora y la orientación al mercado en el éxito de las empresas Óscar González-Benito, Javier González-Benito y Pablo A. Muñoz-Gallego
407/2008	La presión fiscal por impuesto sobre sociedades en la unión europea Elena Fernández Rodríguez, Antonio Martínez Arias y Santiago Álvarez García

408/2008	The environment as a determinant factor of the purchasing and supply strategy: an empirical ana- lysis Dr. Javier González-Benito y MS Duilio Reis da Rocha
409/2008	Cooperation for innovation: the impact on innovatory effort Gloria Sánchez González and Liliana Herrera
410/2008	Spanish post-earnings announcement drift and behavioral finance models Carlos Forner and Sonia Sanabria
411/2008	Decision taking with external pressure: evidence on football manager dismissals in argentina and their consequences Ramón Flores, David Forrest and Juan de Dios Tena
412/2008	Comercio agrario latinoamericano, 1963-2000: aplicación de la ecuación gravitacional para flujos desagregados de comercio Raúl Serrano y Vicente Pinilla
413/2008	Voter heuristics in Spain: a descriptive approach elector decision José Luís Sáez Lozano and Antonio M. Jaime Castillo
414/2008	Análisis del efecto área de salud de residencia sobre la utilización y acceso a los servicios sanita- rios en la Comunidad Autónoma Canaria Ignacio Abásolo Alessón, Lidia García Pérez, Raquel Aguiar Ibáñez y Asier Amador Robayna
415/2008	Impact on competitive balance from allowing foreign players in a sports league: an analytical model and an empirical test Ramón Flores, David Forrest & Juan de Dios Tena
416/2008	Organizational innovation and productivity growth: Assessing the impact of outsourcing on firm performance Alberto López
417/2008	Value Efficiency Analysis of Health Systems Eduardo González, Ana Cárcaba & Juan Ventura
418/2008	Equidad en la utilización de servicios sanitarios públicos por comunidades autónomas en España: un análisis multinivel Ignacio Abásolo, Jaime Pinilla, Miguel Negrín, Raquel Aguiar y Lidia García
419/2008	Piedras en el camino hacia Bolonia: efectos de la implantación del EEES sobre los resultados académicos Carmen Florido, Juan Luis Jiménez e Isabel Santana
420/2008	The welfare effects of the allocation of airlines to different terminals M. Pilar Socorro and Ofelia Betancor
421/2008	How bank capital buffers vary across countries. The influence of cost of deposits, market power and bank regulation Ana Rosa Fonseca and Francisco González
422/2008	Analysing health limitations in spain: an empirical approach based on the european community household panel Marta Pascual and David Cantarero

423/2008	Regional productivity variation and the impact of public capital stock: an analysis with spatial interaction, with reference to Spain Miguel Gómez-Antonio and Bernard Fingleton
424/2008	Average effect of training programs on the time needed to find a job. The case of the training schools program in the south of Spain (Seville, 1997-1999). José Manuel Cansino Muñoz-Repiso and Antonio Sánchez Braza
425/2008	Medición de la eficiencia y cambio en la productividad de las empresas distribuidoras de electri- cidad en Perú después de las reformas Raúl Pérez-Reyes y Beatriz Tovar
426/2008	Acercando posturas sobre el descuento ambiental: sondeo Delphi a expertos en el ámbito interna- cional Carmen Almansa Sáez y José Miguel Martínez Paz
427/2008	Determinants of abnormal liquidity after rating actions in the Corporate Debt Market Pilar Abad, Antonio Díaz and M. Dolores Robles
428/2008	Export led-growth and balance of payments constrained. New formalization applied to Cuban commercial regimes since 1960 David Matesanz Gómez, Guadalupe Fugarolas Álvarez-Ude and Isis Mañalich Gálvez
429/2008	La deuda implícita y el desequilibrio financiero-actuarial de un sistema de pensiones. El caso del régimen general de la seguridad social en España José Enrique Devesa Carpio y Mar Devesa Carpio
430/2008	Efectos de la descentralización fiscal sobre el precio de los carburantes en España Desiderio Romero Jordán, Marta Jorge García-Inés y Santiago Álvarez García
431/2008	Euro, firm size and export behavior Silviano Esteve-Pérez, Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero and José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
432/2008	Does social spending increase support for free trade in advanced democracies? Ismael Sanz, Ferran Martínez i Coma and Federico Steinberg
433/2008	Potencial de Mercado y Estructura Espacial de Salarios: El Caso de Colombia Jesús López-Rodríguez y Maria Cecilia Acevedo
434/2008	Persistence in Some Energy Futures Markets Juncal Cunado, Luis A. Gil-Alana and Fernando Pérez de Gracia
435/2008	La inserción financiera externa de la economía francesa: inversores institucionales y nueva gestión empresarial Ignacio Álvarez Peralta
436/2008	¿Flexibilidad o rigidez salarial en España?: un análisis a escala regional Ignacio Moral Arce y Adolfo Maza Fernández
437/2009	Intangible relationship-specific investments and the performance of r&d outsourcing agreements Andrea Martínez-Noya, Esteban García-Canal & Mauro F. Guillén
438/2009	Friendly or Controlling Boards? Pablo de Andrés Alonso & Juan Antonio Rodríguez Sanz

439/2009	La sociedad Trenor y Cía. (1838-1926): un modelo de negocio industrial en la España del siglo XIX Amparo Ruiz Llopis
440/2009	Continental bias in trade Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero & José Antonio Martínez Serrano
441/2009	Determining operational capital at risk: an empirical application to the retail banking Enrique José Jiménez-Rodríguez, José Manuel Feria-Domínguez & José Luis Martín-Marín
442/2009	Costes de mitigación y escenarios post-kyoto en España: un análisis de equilibro general para España Mikel González Ruiz de Eguino
443/2009	Las revistas españolas de economía en las bibliotecas universitarias: ranking, valoración del indicador y del sistema Valentín Edo Hernández
444/2009	Convergencia económica en España y coordinación de políticas económicas. un estudio basado en la estructura productiva de las CC.AA. Ana Cristina Mingorance Arnáiz
445/2009	Instrumentos de mercado para reducir emisiones de co2: un análisis de equilibrio general para España Mikel González Ruiz de Eguino
446/2009	El comercio intra e inter-regional del sector Turismo en España Carlos Llano y Tamara de la Mata
447/2009	Efectos del incremento del precio del petróleo en la economía española: Análisis de cointegración y de la política monetaria mediante reglas de Taylor Fernando Hernández Martínez
448/2009	Bologna Process and Expenditure on Higher Education: A Convergence Analysis of the EU-15 T. Agasisti, C. Pérez Esparrells, G. Catalano & S. Morales
449/2009	Global Economy Dynamics? Panel Data Approach to Spillover Effects Gregory Daco, Fernando Hernández Martínez & Li-Wu Hsu
450/2009	Pricing levered warrants with dilution using observable variables Isabel Abínzano & Javier F. Navas
451/2009	Information technologies and financial prformance: The effect of technology diffusion among competitors Lucio Fuentelsaz, Jaime Gómez & Sergio Palomas
452/2009	A Detailed Comparison of Value at Risk in International Stock Exchanges Pilar Abad & Sonia Benito
453/2009	Understanding offshoring: has Spain been an offshoring location in the nineties? Belén González-Díaz & Rosario Gandoy
454/2009	Outsourcing decision, product innovation and the spatial dimension: Evidence from the Spanish footwear industry José Antonio Belso-Martínez

455/2009	Does playing several competitions influence a team's league performance? Evidence from Spanish professional football Andrés J. Picazo-Tadeo & Francisco González-Gómez
456/2009	Does accessibility affect retail prices and competition? An empirical application Juan Luis Jiménez and Jordi Perdiguero
457/2009	Cash conversion cycle in smes Sonia Baños-Caballero, Pedro J. García-Teruel and Pedro Martínez-Solano
458/2009	Un estudio sobre el perfil de hogares endeudados y sobreendeudados: el caso de los hogares vascos Alazne Mujika Alberdi, Iñaki García Arrizabalaga y Juan José Gibaja Martíns
459/2009	Imposing monotonicity on outputs in parametric distance function estimations: with an application to the spanish educational production Sergio Perelman and Daniel Santin
460/2009	Key issues when using tax data for concentration analysis: an application to the Spanish wealth tax José M ^a Durán-Cabré and Alejandro Esteller-Moré
461/2009	¿Se está rompiendo el mercado español? Una aplicación del enfoque de feldstein –horioka Saúl De Vicente Queijeiro, José Luis Pérez Rivero y María Rosalía Vicente Cuervo
462/2009	Financial condition, cost efficiency and the quality of local public services Manuel A. Muñiz & José L. Zafra
463/2009	Including non-cognitive outputs in a multidimensional evaluation of education production: an international comparison Marián García Valiñas & Manuel Antonio Muñiz Pérez
464/2009	A political look into budget deficits. The role of minority governments and oppositions Albert Falcó-Gimeno & Ignacio Jurado
465/2009	La simulación del cuadro de mando integral. Una herramienta de aprendizaje en la materia de contabilidad de gestión Elena Urquía Grande, Clara Isabel Muñoz Colomina y Elisa Isabel Cano Montero
466/2009	Análisis histórico de la importancia de la industria de la desalinización en España Borja Montaño Sanz
467/2009	The dynamics of trade and innovation: a joint approach Silviano Esteve-Pérez & Diego Rodríguez
468/2009	Measuring international reference-cycles Sonia de Lucas Santos, Inmaculada Álvarez Ayuso & M ^a Jesús Delgado Rodríguez
469/2009	Measuring quality of life in Spanish municipalities Eduardo González Fidalgo, Ana Cárcaba García, Juan Ventura Victoria & Jesús García García
470/2009	¿Cómo se valoran las acciones españolas: en el mercado de capitales doméstico o en el europeo? Begoña Font Belaire y Alfredo Juan Grau Grau
471/2009	Patterns of e-commerce adoption and intensity. evidence for the european union-27 María Rosalía Vicente & Ana Jesús López

472/2009	On measuring the effect of demand uncertainty on costs: an application to port terminals Ana Rodríguez-Álvarez, Beatriz Tovar & Alan Wall
473/2009	Order of market entry, market and technological evolution and firm competitive performance Jaime Gomez, Gianvito Lanzolla & Juan Pablo Maicas
474/2009	La Unión Económica y Monetaria Europea en el proceso exportador de Castilla y León (1993- 2007): un análisis de datos de panel Almudena Martínez Campillo y M ^a del Pilar Sierra Fernández
475/2009	Do process innovations boost SMEs productivity growth? Juan A. Mañez, María E. Rochina Barrachina, Amparo Sanchis Llopis & Juan A. Sanchis Llopis
476/2009	Incertidumbre externa y elección del modo de entrada en el marco de la inversión directa en el exterior Cristina López Duarte y Marta M ^a Vidal Suárez
477/2009	Testing for structural breaks in factor loadings: an application to international business cycle José Luis Cendejas Bueno, Sonia de Lucas Santos, Inmaculada Álvarez Ayuso & M ^a Jesús Del- gado Rodríguez
478/2009	¿Esconde la rigidez de precios la existencia de colusión? El caso del mercado de carburantes en las Islas Canarias Juan Luis Jiménez y Jordi Perdiguero
479/2009	The poni test with structural breaks Antonio Aznar & María-Isabel Ayuda
480/2009	Accuracy and reliability of Spanish regional accounts (CRE-95) Verónica Cañal Fernández
481/2009	Estimating regional variations of R&D effects on productivity growth by entropy econometrics Esteban Fernández-Vázquez y Fernando Rubiera-Morollón
482/2009	Why do local governments privatize the provision of water services? Empirical evidence from Spain Francisco González-Gómez, Andrés J. Picazo-Tadeo & Jorge Guardiola
483/2009	Assessing the regional digital divide across the European Union-27 María Rosalía Vicente & Ana Jesús López
484/2009	Measuring educational efficiency and its determinants in Spain with parametric distance functions José Manuel Cordero Ferrera, Eva Crespo Cebada & Daniel Santín González
485/2009	Spatial analysis of public employment services in the Spanish provinces Patricia Suárez Cano & Matías Mayor Fernández
486/2009	Trade effects of continental and intercontinental preferential trade agreements Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero & José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
487/2009	Testing the accuracy of DEA for measuring efficiency in education under endogeneity Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero & José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
488/2009	Measuring efficiency in primary health care: the effect of exogenous variables on results José Manuel Cordero Ferrera, Eva Crespo Cebada & Luis R. Murillo Zamorano

489/2009	Capital structure determinants in growth firms accessing venture funding Marina Balboa, José Martí & Álvaro Tresierra
490/2009	Determinants of debt maturity structure across firm size Víctor M. González
491/2009	Análisis del efecto de la aplicación de las NIIF en la valoración de las salidas a bolsa Susana Álvarez Otero y Eduardo Rodríguez Enríquez
492/2009	An analysis of urban size and territorial location effects on employment probabilities: the spanish case Ana Viñuela-Jiménez, Fernando Rubiera-Morollón & Begoña Cueto
493/2010	Determinantes de la estructura de los consejos de administración en España Isabel Acero Fraile y Nuria Alcalde Fradejas
494/2010	Performance and completeness in repeated inter-firm relationships: the case of franchising Vanesa Solis-Rodriguez & Manuel Gonzalez-Diaz
495/2010	A Revenue-Based Frontier Measure of Banking Competition Santiago Carbó, David Humphrey & Francisco Rodríguez
496/2010	Categorical segregation in social networks Antoni Rubí-Barceló
497/2010	Beneficios ambientales no comerciales de la directiva marco del agua en condiciones de escasez: análisis económico para el Guadalquivir Julia Martin-Ortega, Giacomo Giannoccaro y Julio Berbel Vecino
498/2010	Monetary integration and risk diversification in eu-15 sovereign debt markets Juncal Cuñado & Marta Gómez-Puig
499/2010	The Marshall Plan and the Spanish autarky: A welfare loss analysis José Antonio Carrasco Gallego
500/2010	The role of learning in firm R&D persistence Juan A. Mañez, María E. Rochina-Barrachina, Amparo Sanchis-Llopis & Juan A. Sanchis-Llopis
501/2010	Is venture capital more than just money? Marina Balboa, José Martí & Nina Zieling
502/2010	On the effects of supply strategy on business performance: do the relationships among generic competitive objectives matter? Javier González-Benito
503/2010	Corporate cash holding and firm value Cristina Martínez-Sola, Pedro J. García-Teruel & Pedro Martínez-Solano
504/2010	El impuesto de flujos de caja de sociedades: una propuesta de base imponible y su aproximación contable en España Lourdes Jerez Barroso y Joaquín Texeira Quirós
505/2010	The effect of technological, commercial and human resources on the use of new technology Jaime Gómez & Pilar Vargas

506/2010	¿Cómo ha afectado la fiscalidad a la rentabilidad de la inversión en vivienda en España? Un análisis para el periodo 1996 y 2007 Jorge Onrubia Fernández y María del Carmen Rodado Ruiz
507/2010	Modelización de flujos en el análisis input-output a partir de la teoría de redes Ana Salomé García Muñiz
508/2010	Export-led-growth hypothesis revisited. a balance of payments approach for Argentina, Brazil, Chile and Mexico David Matesanz Gómez & Guadalupe Fugarolas Álvarez-Ude
509/2010	Realised hedge ratio properties, performance and implications for risk management: evidence from the spanish ibex 35 spot and futures markets David G McMillan & Raquel Quiroga García
510/2010	Do we sack the manager or is it better not to? Evidence from Spanish professional football Francisco González-Gómez, Andrés J. Picazo-Tadeo & Miguel Á. García-Rubio
511/2010	Have Spanish port sector reforms during the last two decades been successful? A cost frontier approach Ana Rodríguez-Álvarez & Beatriz Tovar
512/2010	Size & Regional Distribution of Financial Behavior Patterns in Spain Juan Antonio Maroto Acín, Pablo García Estévez & Salvador Roji Ferrari
513/2010	The impact of public reforms on the productivity of the Spanish ports: a parametric distance function approach Ramón Núñez-Sánchez & Pablo Coto-Millán
514/2010	Trade policy versus institutional trade barriers: an application using "good old" ols Laura Márquez-Ramos, Inmaculada Martínez-Zarzoso & Celestino Suárez-Burguet
515/2010	The "Double Market" approach in venture capital and private equity activity: the case of Europe Marina Balboa & José Martí
516/2010	International accounting differences and earnings smoothing in the banking industry Marina Balboa, Germán López-Espinosa & Antonio Rubia
517/2010	Convergence in car prices among European countries Simón Sosvilla-Rivero & Salvador Gil-Pareja
518/2010	Effects of process and product-oriented innovations on employee downsizing José David Vicente-Lorente & José Ángel Zúñiga-Vicente
519/2010	Inequality, the politics of redistribution and the tax-mix Jenny De Freitas
520/2010	Efectos del desajuste educativo sobre el rendimiento privado de la educación: un análisis para el caso español (1995-2006) Inés P. Murillo, Marta Rahona y M ^a del Mar Salinas
521/2010	Sructural breaks and real convergence in opec countries Juncal Cuñado
522/2010	Human Capital, Geographical location and Policy Implications: The case of Romania Jesús López-Rodríguez, Andres Faiña y Bolea Cosmin-Gabriel

523/2010	Organizational unlearning context fostering learning for customer capital through time: lessons from SMEs in the telecommunications industry Anthony K. P. Wensley, Antonio Leal-Millán, Gabriel Cepeda-Carrión & Juan Gabriel Cegarra- Navarro
524/2010	The governance threshold in international trade flows Marta Felis-Rota
525/2010	The intensive and extensive margins of trade decomposing exports growth differences across Spanish regions Asier Minondo Uribe-Etxeberria & Francisco Requena Silvente
526/2010	Why do firms locate r&d outsourcing agreeements offshore? the role of ownership, location, and externalization advantages Andrea Martínez-Noya, Esteban Gárcía-Canal & Mauro f. Guillén
527/2010	Corporate Taxation and the Productivity and Investment Performance of Heterogeneous Firms: Evidence from OECD Firm-Level Data Norman Gemmell, Richard Kneller, Ismael Sanz & José Félix Sanz-Sanz
528/2010	Modelling Personal Income Taxation in Spain: Revenue Elasticities and Regional Comparisons John Creedy & José Félix Sanz-Sanz
529/2010	Mind the Remoteness!. Income disparities across Japanese Prefectures Jesús López-Rodríguez, Daisuke Nakamura
530/2010	El nuevo sistema de financiación autonómica: descripción, estimación empírica y evaluación Antoni Zabalza y Julio López Laborda
531/2010	Markups, bargaining power and offshoring: an empirical assessment Lourdes Moreno & Diego Rodríguez
532/2010	The snp-dcc model: a new methodology for risk management and forecasting Esther B. Del Brio, Trino-Manuel Ñíguez & Javier Perote
533/2010	El uso del cuadro de mando integral y del presupuesto en la gestión estratégica de los hospitales públicos David Naranjo Gil
534/2010	Análisis de la efectividad de las prácticas de trabajo de alta implicación en las fábricas españolas Daniel Vázquez-Bustelo y Lucía Avella Camarero
535/2010	Energía, innovación y transporte: la electrificación de los tranvías en España, 1896-1935 Alberte Martínez López
536/2010	La ciudad como negocio: gas y empresa en una región española, Galicia 1850-1936 Alberte Martínez López y Jesús Mirás Araujo
537/2010	To anticipate or not to anticipate? A comparative analysis of opportunistic early elections and incumbents' economic performance Pedro Riera Sagrera
538/2010	The impact of oil shocks on the Spanish economy Ana Gómez-Loscos, Antonio Montañés & María Dolores Gadea

539/2010	The efficiency of public and publicly-subsidiz ed high schools in Spain. evidence from pisa-2006 María Jesús Mancebón, Jorge Calero, Álvaro Choi & Domingo P. Ximénez-de-Embún
540/2010	Regulation as a way to force innovation: the biodiesel case Jordi Perdiguero & Juan Luis Jiménez
541/2010	Pricing strategies of Spanish network carrier Xavier Fageda, Juan Luis Jiménez & Jordi Perdiguero
542/2010	Papel del posicionamiento del distribuidor en la relación entre la marca de distribuidor y lealtad al establecimiento comercial Oscar González-Benito y Mercedes Martos-Partal
543/2010	How Bank Market Concentration, Regulation, and Institutions Shape the Real Effects of Banking Crises Ana I. Fernández, Francisco González & Nuria Suárez
544/2010	Una estimación del comercio interregional trimestral de bienes en España mediante técnicas de interpolación temporal Nuria Gallego López, Carlos Llano Verduras y Julián Pérez García
545/2010	Puerto, empresas y ciudad: una aproximación histórica al caso de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria Miguel Suárez, Juan Luis Jiménez y Daniel Castillo
546/2010	Multinationals in the motor vehicles industry: a general equilibrium analysis for a transition economy Concepción Latorre & Antonio G. Gómez-Plana
547/2010	Core/periphery scientific collaboration networks among very similar researchers Antoni Rubí-Barceló
548/2010	Basic R&D in vertical markets Miguel González-Maestre & Luis M. Granero
549/2010	Factores condicionantes de la presión fiscal de las entidades de crédito españolas, ¿existen dife- rencias entre bancos y cajas de ahorros? Ana Rosa Fonseca Díaz, Elena Fernández Rodríguez y Antonio Martínez Arias
550/2010	Analyzing an absorptive capacity: Unlearning context and Information System Capabilities as catalysts for innovativeness Gabriel Cepeda-Carrión, Juan Gabriel Cegarra-Navarro & Daniel Jimenez-Jimenez
551/2010	The resolution of banking crises and market discipline: international evidence Elena Cubillas, Ana Rosa Fonseca & Francisco González
552/2010	A strategic approach to network value in information markets Lucio Fuentelsaz, Elisabet Garrido & Juan Pablo Maicas
553/2010	Accounting for the time pattern of remittances in the Spanish context Alfonso Echazarra
554/2010	How to design franchise contracts: the role of contractual hazards and experience Vanesa Solis-Rodriguez & Manuel Gonzalez-Diaz

555/2010	Una teoría integradora de la función de producción al rendimiento empresarial Javier González Benito
556/2010	Height and economic development in Spain, 1850-1958 Ramón María-Dolores & José Miguel Martínez-Carrión

557/2010 Why do entrepreneurs use franchising as a financial tool? An agency explanation Manuel González-Díaz & Vanesa Solís-Rodríguez