

**THE EFFICIENCY OF PUBLIC AND PUBLICLY-SUBSIDIZED  
HIGH SCHOOLS IN SPAIN. EVIDENCE FROM PISA-2006**

**MARÍA JESÚS MANCEBÓN  
JORGE CALERO  
ÁLVARO CHOI  
DOMINGO P. XIMÉNEZ-DE-EMBÚN**

**FUNDACIÓN DE LAS CAJAS DE AHORROS  
DOCUMENTO DE TRABAJO  
Nº 539/2010**

De conformidad con la base quinta de la convocatoria del Programa de Estímulo a la Investigación, este trabajo ha sido sometido a evaluación externa anónima de especialistas cualificados a fin de contrastar su nivel técnico.

ISSN: 1988-8767

La serie **DOCUMENTOS DE TRABAJO** incluye avances y resultados de investigaciones dentro de los programas de la Fundación de las Cajas de Ahorros.  
Las opiniones son responsabilidad de los autores.

# THE EFFICIENCY OF PUBLIC AND PUBLICLY-SUBSIDIZED HIGH SCHOOLS IN SPAIN. EVIDENCE FROM PISA-2006

María Jesús Mancebón \*

Jorge Calero \*\*

Álvaro Choi \*\*

Domingo P. Ximénez-de-Embún \*\*\*

## Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to compare the efficiency of Spanish public and publicly-subsidized private high schools by Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA), employing the results provided by a hierarchical linear model (HLM) applied to PISA-2006 (Programme for International Students Assessment) microdata. The study places special emphasis on the estimation of the determinants of school outcomes, the educational production function being estimated through an HLM that takes into account the nested nature of PISA data. Inefficiencies are then measured through DEA and decomposed into two types: *managerial* (related to individual performance), and *program* (related to structural differences between management models), following the approach adopted by Silva Portela & Thanassoulis (2001). Once differences in students' background, school resources and individual management inefficiencies are removed, the results reveal that Spanish public high schools are more efficient than their publicly-subsidized private equivalents.

**Keywords:** Efficiency, educational finance, resource allocation, PISA.

**JEL classification:** I21, I22, I28.

**Corresponding author:** María-Jesús Mancebón, Dept. of Applied Economics, Faculty of Economics and Business, University of Zaragoza, Zaragoza (50005). Spain. E-mail: [mjmance@unizar.es](mailto:mjmance@unizar.es)

\* Dept. of Applied Economics - Faculty of Economics and Business - University of Zaragoza.

\*\* Institut d'Economia de Barcelona (IEB) / Dept. of Political Economy and Public Economics - Faculty of Economics and Business - University of Barcelona.

\*\*\* Dept. of Economic Analysis - Faculty of Economics and Business - University of Zaragoza.

## 1. Introduction

One of the defining characteristics of the Spanish compulsory educational system is its mixed or dual nature i.e. a predominant public network but a substantial private sector. Within the latter, an important position is occupied by publicly-subsidized private schools (hereafter PSPS). PSPS, which account for 26% of secondary school enrolment in Spain, are owned and run privately, yet financed by local education authorities and the central government through a system of agreements regulated by the 1985 Right to Education Act (LODE, in its Spanish initials)<sup>1</sup>. The Spanish policy of financing certain private schools is aimed at allowing parents to choose freely between different schools and, indirectly, at stimulating inter-school competition to attract and retain students, which should generate improved school efficiency.

The Spanish PSPS system is based on an administrative model which establishes the reciprocal rights and obligations of the owner of the private centre and the Education Authority, with regard to the financial conditions, duration, extension and termination of the agreement and other conditions for the provision of education<sup>2</sup>.

Formally, the Spanish PSPS system may be seen as a singular mechanism of public intervention in the education sector, combining the public funding and the private management of schools. These peculiar characteristics of PSPS invite an exploration of the efficiency of such schools compared to public schools (hereafter PS). The scarcity of research in Spain into the impact of these two alternative systems of free educational provision (public and publicly-subsidized) upon student performance justifies such a politically interesting analysis. Is the private management model of Spanish PSPS more efficient than the public management model of Spanish PS? Ultimately, this is the question the present study is intended to answer, employing the data provided by the third wave of the Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA-2006), implemented by the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD).

---

<sup>1</sup> Student distribution among different school types in Spain is as follows: PS 67%, PSPS 26% and private-independent schools 7%.

<sup>2</sup> PSPS' obligations include the following: to provide free teaching at the agreed educational level, to request authorization for the charging of any fees for complementary activities, to maintain a specific pupil/teacher ratio and to apply the same admission criteria as PS. In exchange, the Administration undertakes to finance the activity of the school, through a system of economic modules per educational establishment, as established in the General State Budget.

An initial examination of the average scores for PISA-2006 outcomes could lead to the conclusion that PSPS are more efficient than PS, since the crude (uncontrolled) results are higher in the former. It is true that the average score for science competencies for PSPS is 502.86 and 475.08 for PS (the average score for the whole population being 488.40), while the ANOVA test (5.89) indicates significant statistical differences between these two results. However, focusing on output variables would only be fair if school resources were identical (Kirjavainen & Loikkanen, 1998), and in fact PS and PSPS differ as much in the inputs they employ as in their outputs. The principal differences are concentrated in pupil characteristics (socio-economic status, parents' educational level and employment, and immigration status), as Table A1 in the Appendix shows. Since several studies have proven that these characteristics affect students' academic results (Sirin, 2005), the challenge is to evaluate the performance of schools in a multi-dimensional setting.

In order to assess the impact of ownership upon school efficiency, we apply a non-parametric frontier analysis to the sample of Spanish PSPS and PS participating in PISA-2006. The theoretical framework is provided by research dedicated to assessing the net differential quality of public and private schools. The seminal work by Coleman, Hoffer and Kilgore (1982) is commonly considered as the origin of this literature. The empirical methodologies used in this paper are hierarchical linear modeling (hereafter HLM) and data envelopment analysis (hereafter DEA). As far as we are aware, no paper has employed the two methodologies jointly for the assessment of school efficiency.

Two aspects distinguish the present study from previous research. Firstly, special attention is paid to the empirical estimation of the underlying educational technology in the PISA-2006 data. The hierarchical structure of this dataset means that estimations must be performed via HLM. The conclusions extracted from these regressions allow us to select the variables for the subsequent DEA efficiency analysis in a robust empirical fashion. Secondly, our study decomposes the *overall* inefficiencies of each school into two components: *managerial* (resulting from its individual performance) and *program* (resulting from the structural differences between public and private management models). In order to perform this decomposition, we apply the approach of Silva Portela & Thanassoulis (2001), itself based on Charnes, Cooper & Rhodes (1981).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we review the literature devoted to studying the relationship between school efficiency and public or private ownership. The estimation of the determinants of educational outcomes in PISA-2006 is performed in Section 3. The empirical assessment of the efficiency of Spanish PS and PSPS is presented in Section 4, and the final section offers a summary of the principal conclusions.

## **2. The efficiency of public and private schools: previous studies**

It is a fairly widely-held belief in certain academic and social circles that private schools are more efficient than public ones, an evaluation based upon the economic reasoning which links efficiency to free market competition.

For advocates of private schools, the competition which these schools are subjected to (both from within their own system and from public schools), due to their need to attract students, forces them to be highly receptive to their customers' demands and stimulates both an efficient use of resources and an improvement in the quality of the education provided (Chubb & Moe, 1990; Friedman & Friedman, 1981). It has been stated that the survival and economic success of private schools is strongly dependent upon their satisfying user desires and expectations, forcing them to act efficiently and effectively: *efficiently*, since otherwise what they provide will be at a disadvantage to the competition, and *effectively*, since if they do not satisfy their customers' demands, students may leave in search of better service (Alchian, 1950). In short, the threat of closure faced by private schools, if badly managed, leads invisibly to such schools acting optimally.

By contrast, public schools are seen as monopolies at a local level, with a captive audience guaranteed by the criterion of assigning school places on the basis of residential area (Peterson, 1990; Levin, 1976; Pincus, 1974; O'Donogue, 1971). The opportunity for public school pupils to enroll elsewhere is therefore very limited, and would involve the "Tieboutian" method of "voting with your feet" (Tiebout, 1956) which, apart from being very costly in economic terms, is strongly influenced by circumstances other than strictly educational ones. Furthermore, the alternative of changing to a private school is also strongly conditioned by the price differential between public and private supply, and thus, as Chubb & Moe (1990) point out, this option will only be

adopted in cases where the value of private schools, as perceived by families, is much higher than that of public schools. Nor must we forget that this possibility is, of course, limited to the minority of the population with the greatest financial resources. These considerations have led various authors to consider that, in contrast to private schools, the achievement of efficiency and a satisfactory response to consumer demands is of merely secondary importance in public schools.

However, a more detailed analysis of schools' day-to-day functioning calls the above reasoning into question, since the ability of users in the education sector to exercise an informed choice – a key element for guaranteeing the potential benefits from competition– is very limited, given the ambiguous nature of the concept of school quality.

In fact, after almost forty years of research into the subject, our knowledge of the factors which contribute to defining what is a “good school” remains very sketchy (see Hanushek, 2003, 1997 and 1986). Schools are to a large extent still “black boxes” for the academics who research them, and even more so for their users. This is due to the peculiarities of the education system's production process, which makes it difficult to clarify the responsibilities attributable to schools and the definition of a representative concept of school quality (see Mancebón & Bandrés, 1999). Given this context, the best way to assess how well a school functions is by direct contact with it. However, “trying out the product” in the educational sphere involves major personal costs, given the problems of adaptation which changing schools usually involves. This is what Glennerster (1991) terms the “sunk costs” associated with school choice.

The immediate consequence of this situation may be that individuals who must choose between different schooling alternatives do so on the basis of highly visible variables such as the religious leanings of the school, its facilities, its extra-curricular activities, the type of students attending, proximity to the home and so on. All of these factors are of a non-academic nature, and their relationship to the quality of the actual education provided has not been clearly established. On occasions, families may possess information concerning schools' average academic results although, as Echols & Willms (1995) underline, these are inadequate indicators of quality unless accompanied by information on the academic and/or socio-economic background of pupils. Lee, Croninger & Smith (1996) discuss the problem of making decisions

regarding education on the basis of virtually anecdotal or extremely superficial evidence of school quality, given that any other more thorough assessment would mean assuming significant information-related costs.

These limitations upon access to information regarding schools bring seriously into question the contention that competition has any effect upon the quality of schools, whether public or private, since users are unable to observe and measure such quality. The theoretical argument of those who defend private education, in the terms described above, is therefore questionable.

Additionally, empirical research devoted to clarifying the relationship between school efficiency and public or private ownership is not conclusive. The origins of this literature are in Coleman *et al.* (1982) who, using cross-section achievement equations, concluded that private schools were more effective than public schools at educating students, even after controlling for differences in the personal and socio-economic background of students. Since then, a number of studies have attempted to contrast this result in a wide range of educational contexts, through the use of parametric and non-parametric techniques. Such literature has offered mixed conclusions: while a number of studies tend to confirm the results obtained by Coleman *et al.* (1982) (Opdenakker & Van Damme, 2006; Bettinger, 2005; Mizzala, Romaguera & Farren, 2002; Bedi & Garg, 2000; Stevans & Sessions, 2000; Neal, 1997; Jiménez, Lockheed & Paqueo, 1991; Chubb & Moe, 1990; Hanushek, 1986), in others the presumed superiority of private schools vanishes when the analysis includes a wide range of controls (Perelman & Santin, 2008; Mancebón & Muñiz, 2008; Calero & Escardíbul, 2007; Abburrà, 2005; Fertig, 2003; Kirjavainen & Loikkanen, 1998; Goldhaber, 1996; Sander, 1996) or is reduced to specific measurements of the output analyzed (Greene & Kang, 2004), or to specific groups of students defined by race, ethnic group, or academic or socio-economic profile (Figlio & Stone, 1997). In some cases, there exists a different effect for independent private schools and for PSPS (Dronkers & Robert, 2008; Corten & Dronkers, 2006). Most such studies concern the American educational system and adopt a parametric approach. This explains why further research using different case studies and methodologies is needed, as Cherchye, De Witte, Ooghe & Nicaise (2010) point out. The present study may be seen as a new contribution to the puzzling debate on the relative efficiency of public and private schools, in the context of the Spanish educational system and using a non-parametric approach.



### **3. Estimation of the determinants of academic achievement in PISA-2006**

This initial section is a first and necessary step for the correct selection of the input variables needed to feed the DEA analysis performed in the following section. Subsection 3.1 presents the literature review of the determinants of academic achievement. An econometric model is designed on the basis of this prior review, the results being presented in Subsection 3.3. Previously, Subsection 3.2 describes the data and methodology used in the analysis.

#### **3.1. Determinants of educational outcomes: literature review**

Our approach to the determinants of educational outcomes is structured by distinguishing between two levels, the first corresponding to student variables and the second to school variables. At the student level, we differentiate between three areas: firstly, personal variables; secondly, variables related to the socio-cultural and economic characteristics of the family; and thirdly, variables related to household resources and their use. At the school level, four different areas are established: firstly, general variables describing the school; secondly, variables describing the school's students (and therefore the peer-effects generated by the interaction between students); thirdly, variables related to the human and physical resources used by the school and, finally, a fourth group of variables describing certain educational processes the school undertakes. On the basis of this structure, the present subsection reviews the effect of these variables upon educational outcomes, taking into account recent theoretical developments and the empirical evidence available in the literature.

At the student level, gender stands among the most important personal variables. Girls' school performance is usually better than boys'; however, in the case of math and science competencies the opposite is true. In the three competencies measured in the PISA evaluation, for example, girls do better than boys only at reading, and lag behind in math and science (see OECD, 2006).

Still at the student level, considerable empirical evidence has shown that household socio-cultural and socio-economic characteristics are strong determinants of educational outcomes. The immigration status of the family has received special attention in recent years. Empirical evidence indicates that students born abroad tend

to underperform (even after controlling for other significant variables), while there are no significant differences between national students and students born in the country to foreign parents (see Calero & Escardíbul, 2007; Chiswick & Debburman, 2004; Kao & Tienda, 1995; Rong & Grant, 1992). Schnepf (2008), using TIMSS, PIRLS and PISA data for a set of eight OECD countries, shows that in general there is great heterogeneity within the group of immigrant students, the dispersion of their educational outcomes being higher than that of national students. Other socio-cultural and socio-economic characteristics, such as parental educational level and socio-professional category, have also received much attention. Some of the most relevant studies exploring these effects are Dronkers (2008), Marks (2005), Gamoran (2001) and Rumberger & Larson (1998).

The final set of variables at the student level concerns household resources and how students use them (see Calero & Escardíbul, 2007; Kang, 2007; Woessman, 2003). Research undertaken with PISA data has stressed the incidence on student outcome of the availability of books and the use of computers with educational objectives in the household. Specifically, the availability of books in the household is a very strong determinant of student performance, since it represents the family's cultural capital.

At the school level, general school characteristics are the first area of determinants we shall address. Here, one of the most relevant factors, from both a theoretical and empirical point of view, is ownership type i.e. private or public. Evidence in this area is far from conclusive, as Section 2 shows.

Several variables describing the characteristics of school students -or the classroom- are included in the second area of school level determinants. These characteristics influence, through peer effects, student performance. Authors such as Farley (2006), Willms (2006) and Coleman, Campbell, Hobson, McPartland, Mood, Weinfeld *et al.* (1966) have analyzed the incidence of the socio-cultural and socio-economic profiles of peers upon student performance. This kind of approach has also been used to analyze the peer effects generated by immigrant students. Calero & Escardíbul (2007) show, for example, how a high concentration of immigrant students is associated with negative effects on student performance. However, smaller concentrations of immigrant students do not generate any significant such effect.

Another area of determinants at school level is their physical and human resources. The detailed review offered by Hanushek (2003) makes clear that results in this area

are far from conclusive. In the OECD (2007), where PISA data are used, most of the variables related to the availability and use of resources by the school are not statistically significant. Mancebón & Muñiz (2003), after reviewing 42 studies published between 1980 and 2002, suggest that a plausible explanation for the lack of significance of school resources in the explanation of student performance lies in the fact that most of the studies reviewed concern developed countries, with relatively high (and similar) levels of school resources.

Schools' educational processes are included in the fourth and final area of determinants at the school level. As an example of these processes we will refer solely to the grouping of students by ability level. Kang (2007) and Hanushek, Kain, Markman & Rivkin (2003) describe how the negative effect of interaction with low-ability students is higher for this same group of low-ability students. Thus, processes of student grouping by ability level lead to negative effects on low-performing students. We could then expect the positive effect of grouping on high-performance students to be cancelled out by the negative effect on low-performance students, a situation which accounts for the results given by Gamoran (2004), who finds that these practices seldom produce the positive results expected.

### **3.2. Data and methodology**

The present study uses PISA-2006 microdata for Spain. Since 2000, the PISA program has examined every three years the academic achievement of 15- year-old students from different countries<sup>3</sup> in three competencies (reading, mathematics and science). PISA focused, in the year 2006, on the competency of science. PISA results are synthesized using a scale with an average score of 500 and a standard deviation of 100, for each of the three competencies. This scale is divided into six levels of proficiency, level 1 corresponding to low-scorers and level 6 to those students who show high-level thinking and reasoning skills.

PISA designs its sample using a two-stage method. In the first stage, a sample of schools is randomly selected from the entire list of centers providing schooling for 15-year-olds. In the second stage, a random sample of 35 students is chosen from within each of the schools selected in the first stage. A school's probability of being selected

---

<sup>3</sup> 28 OECD and 4 non-OECD countries took part in PISA-2000. 14 non-OECD members joined the program in 2002. 41 countries participated in PISA-2003. 57 countries (30 OECD; 27 non-OECD) took part in 2006.

by PISA is proportional to its size. Consequently, larger centers are more likely to be selected; nevertheless, students in larger schools have lower probabilities of being selected than students enrolled in smaller schools. Therefore, the probability of a school being chosen is equal to the result of multiplying the size of the center ( $N_i$ ) by the number of schools selected for the sample ( $n_c$ ) and dividing by the total number of 15-year-old students ( $N$ ).

$$\rho_i = \frac{N_i \cdot n_c}{N} \quad (1)$$

**Table 1. Total population and sample size for Spain in PISA-2006**

15-year-old population	439,415
Number of students	19,604
Weighted number of students	381,686
Number of schools	682

*Source:* Authors' elaboration, based on PISA-2006 data.

The empirical analysis of the determinants of science competency scores in PISA-2006, which will be used as the main reference for the selection of variables for the DEA study, is based on HLM, due to the hierarchical structure of the PISA-2006 dataset<sup>4</sup>. The principle of the independence of variables among the students of each center is not maintained, as a consequence of the above-mentioned two-stage sampling method employed. Students enrolled in the same school usually share socio-economic circumstances which make the average correlation among the variables of students within the center to be higher than that found among students from different schools (Hox, 1995)<sup>5</sup>.

HLM takes into account the nested structure of students in schools. HLM calculates a separate regression for each of the centers included in the sample (OECD, 2009a). Willms (2006) or Somers, McEwan & Willms (2004) are examples of the application of this methodology in the educational field.

<sup>4</sup> Bryk & Raudenbusch (1988) provide a soundly-argued justification for the convenience of applying multilevel models to analyzing the effects of schools on educational outcomes.

<sup>5</sup> The intra-class correlation in the scientific competencies for the sample used in this paper from a null model is 0.15. The intra-class correlation is the proportion of the total variance explained by the differences between schools. If the intra-class correlation were equal to zero, it would not be necessary to use a multi-level model (as the entire variance would be explained by the differences in within-school characteristics).

The present paper structures data into two levels: students (level 1) and centers (level 2). HLM allows the simultaneous analysis of the effects of variables of different levels and the influence of these variables on inequality within and between centers to be studied. In other words, HLM permits the identification of the proportion of the total variance in scholastic achievement which can be attributed to the characteristics of schools and students.

$$Y_{ij} = \beta_{0j} + \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_{1j} X_{kij} + \varepsilon_{ij} \quad \varepsilon_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma^2) \quad (2)$$

$$\beta_{0j} = \gamma_{00} + \sum_1 \gamma_{01} Z_j + \mu_{0j} \quad \mu_{0j} \sim N(0, \tau_0) \quad (3)$$

$$\beta_{1j} = \gamma_{10} + \mu_{1j} \quad \mu_{1j} \sim N(0, \tau_1) \quad (4)$$

$$Y_{ij} = \gamma_{00} + \gamma_{10} X_{kij} + \gamma_{01} Z_j + \mu_{1j} X_{kij} + \mu_{0j} + \varepsilon_{ij} \quad (5)$$

$Y_{ij}$  is the expected science score of student “i” enrolled in school “j”.  $X_{kij}$  is a vector of “k” independent variables of the individual level and  $Z_j$  is a vector of “l” variables of the school level. Equation 5 is obtained by substituting equations 3 and 4 (level 2) for the  $\beta$  in equation 2 (level 1). It is possible to distinguish in equation 5 a set of fixed effects ( $\gamma_{00} + \gamma_{10} X_{kij} + \gamma_{01} Z_j$ ) from a set of random effects ( $\mu_{1j} X_{kij} + \mu_{0j} + \varepsilon_{ij}$ ).

The dependent variable is the science score for students enrolled in PS and PSPS<sup>6</sup>. This score is calculated using plausible values (PV hereafter) for each student and a replication method which permits efficient estimations to be obtained (OECD, 2009b). PV are random values calculated from the distribution of the results. In PISA, students only answer part of the items constituting each test. PISA estimates each student’s score for each item, using the distribution of probabilities of the different PV that the student has for the items. This procedure makes it possible to work with more than one estimation of student results.

### 3.3. Results

Table 2 presents the results corresponding to the multilevel regression: the first column lists the independent variables<sup>7</sup> introduced into the model, grouped into three blocks, individual, family or school. These variables have been included as a result of the

<sup>6</sup> Our sample includes 18,283 students from 643 schools. 61.8% of the students in the sample are enrolled in PS (61.4% of total schools) and 39.2% in PSPS. Students enrolled in non-subsidised private schools are not considered in our analysis.

<sup>7</sup> Further information about the independent variables is provided in Table A2 in the Appendix.

theoretical approaches and empirical evidence described in Subsection 3.1. The second column presents the effects of these variables on PISA scores, following the same structure presented in Subsection 3.1 (two levels, divided into different areas). Table 3 provides information about the proportion of the variance explained, for each level, by the variables included in the complete model, in comparison to the null model. Nearly 85% of the variance in scores can be attributed to differences in student characteristics within schools.

**Table 2. Estimation of fixed effects with robust standard errors in the HLM**

Area	Variable	Coefficient
	INTERCEPT	352.4*** (6.4)
<b>Individual</b>		
	AGE	8.9*** (2.7)
	GIRLS	-17.8*** (-10.1)
	REPMORE (student enrolled in 1st or 2nd year of compulsory secondary education)	-110.7*** (-27.6)
	REPONE (student enrolled in 3rd year of compulsory secondary education) <i>Ref: Student enrolled in 4th year of compulsory secondary education</i>	-65.8*** (-29.7)
<b>Household 1. Socioeconomic and cultural characteristics</b>		
	SECGEN (born in Spain; immigrant parents)	8.2 (0.7)
	FIRST3 (born in a foreign country; in Spain for 3 years or less)	-38.0*** (-3.4)
	FIRST4 (born in a foreign country; in Spain for 4 or more years) <i>Ref: Born in Spain; Spanish parents</i>	-20.7** (-2.2)
	LANG2 (national student that speaks a non-national language at home)	-6.0 (-0.5)
	LANG3 (foreign student that speaks a national language at home)	7.7 (0.9)
	LANG4 (foreign student that speaks a non-national language at home) <i>Ref: National student that speaks a national language at home</i>	2.7 (0.2)
	ACTIVE (both parents are economically active)	13.1*** (5.8)
	NQWHITEC (white collar, low skilled father)	-7.2** (-2.5)
	QBLUEC (blue collar, high skilled father)	-5.4** (-2.0)
	NQBLUEC (blue collar, low skilled father) <i>Ref: White collar, high skilled father</i>	-8.5*** (-3.0)
	MOTSCHY (years of schooling of the mother)	0.8*** (2.9)
	FATSCHY (years of schooling of the father)	0.4 (1.2)
<b>Household 2. Educational resources and their use</b>		
	NCOMPUT (no computer at home)	-7.1 (-1.4)
	SPUSECOM (sporadic use of computers)	-6.3** (-2.5)
	NUSECOM (never uses a computer) <i>Ref: Frequent use of computers</i>	1.9 (-2.0)
	SPOWRITE (sporadic use of word processors)	7.7*** (3.2)
	NEVWRITE (never uses word processors) <i>Ref: Frequent use of word processors</i>	-16.0*** (-4.6)
	25BOOKS (0 to 25 books at home)	-42.2*** (-13.2)

100BOOKS (26 to 100 books at home)	-21.0*** (-7.9)
200BOOKS (101 to 200 books at home)	-9.1***
<i>Ref: More than 200 books at home</i>	(-3.2)
<b>School 1. School characteristics</b>	
PRIVPUBF (publicly subsidized private high school)	-15.2*** (-1.7)
SCHSIZ (school size)	-0.0 (-0.1)
CITYSIZ2 (school in a city with a population of 100.000 to 1.000.000 inhabitants)	5.8 (1.5)
CITYSIZ3 (school in a city with a population higher than 1.000.000 inhabitants)	21.6***
<i>Ref: School in town with a population smaller than 100.000</i>	(3.5)
NOTHERSC (few schools in the neighbourhood -maximum, 2-)	0.1 (0.0)
<b>School 2. Students characteristics</b>	
ORINMIG1 (proportion of immigrant students from 0,1 to 10%)	0.0 (0.0)
ORINMIG2 (proportion of immigrant students from 10 to 20%)	-9.9* (-1.7)
ORINMIG3 (proportion of immigrant students higher than 20%)	-17.7*** (-3.4)
SCEDMO (average years of schooling of the mothers)	2.9** (2.6)
PCGIRLS (proportion of girls at school)	44.4** (2.0)
SCNQWHIT (white collar, low skilled parents -mode-)	-6.4 (-1.0)
SCQBLUE (blue collar, high skilled parents -mode-)	3.5 (0.8)
SCNQBLUE (blue collar, low skilled parents -mode-)	-3.2 (-0.6)
<i>Ref: White collar, skilled parents -mode-</i>	
<b>School 3. School resources</b>	
STRATIO (student-teacher ratio)	0.3 (0.6)
PTEACH (proportion of part-time teachers )	0.1 (0.5)
CLSIZ (class size)	-0.2* (-1.9)
COMPWEB (proportion of computers connected to the Internet)	-1.9 (-0.3)
IRATCO (ratio of computers for instruction to school size)	-60.1*** (-2.9)
NCOUNS (no school counsellors at the centre)	-0.3 (-0.1)
<b>School 4. Educational practices</b>	
AUTCONT (school with autonomy in selecting teachers for hire)	-3.9 (-1.2)
AUTBUDG (school with budgetary autonomy)	4.3 (1.1)
AUTEXT (autonomy for selecting textbooks)	5.1 (0.8)
AUTCONTE (school with autonomy for selecting course contents)	2.9 (0.4)
AUTOUCU (school autonomy for modifying the curriculum)	-3.6 (-0.9)
CRITADMI (religious or philosophical issues are used as an admittance criterion)	2.9 (0.7)
STREB (ability grouping between classes)	-3.9 (-1.2)
STREW (ability grouping within classes)	-1.1 (-0.3)
Number of level units	
18.283	

<sup>a</sup> \*\*\* statistically significant at the 0.01 level; \*\*, statistically significant at the 0.05 level; \*, statistically significant at the 0.10 level; t-ratio (in brackets). Estimations were computed using HLM 6.25.

Source: Authors' elaboration based on PISA-2006 data.

The results for the individual level variables are consistent with previous empirical evidence. The fact that students born earlier in the year continue to display a comparative advantage is also noteworthy. According to OECD (2006) data, women score lower than men in science. The strongest effects from among all the factors included in the model are linked to the grade repetition variables (REPMORE or REPONE). The negative signs of these effects suggest, on the one hand, that grade repetition policies are ineffective and, on the other, that it is difficult to determine whether repetition of an academic year directly causes low achievement or whether “repeaters” have certain characteristics in common -not included in the model- that make them low scorers.

**Table 3. Multilevel regression: random effects**

Variances	Null model	Complete model
Schools ( $u_j$ )	1,221.8	411.9
Students ( $\epsilon_{ij}$ )	6,748.3	4,117.3
Total ( $u_j + \epsilon_{ij}$ )	7,970.1	4,529.2
% of total variance explained by variables		43.2
% of level 1 (students) variance explained by variables		39.0
% of level 2 (schools) variance explained by variables		66.3

*Source:* Authors' elaboration, based on PISA-2006 data.

Household socio-economic and cultural characteristics proved to be very important to the explanation of student performance in science. Results associated with the immigrant origin of the family are noteworthy: students born in Spain to Spanish parents obtain better results in the science test than first-generation immigrant students, although score differences compared to second-generation immigrants are not significant. This could be interpreted as evidence of a process of assimilating and integrating immigrant families, and is reinforced by the fact that first-generation immigrant students who have not completed at least the entire compulsory secondary education level in Spain (ESO) score lower than first-generation immigrants who have been living in Spain for at least four years. Students whose parents are economically active and belong to qualified white-collar households achieve higher scores in PISA. The results also show a positive and significant relationship between the years of schooling of mothers and the educational outcomes of their children.

Other results worthy of note are those related to the analysis of household educational resources and their use by students. Certain coefficients of the variables related to computer use show that correctly using educational resources (such as computers) has



a stronger impact on students' educational outcomes than the simple fact of having educational resources available at home. Similarly, the number of books in the household would appear to be a suitable proxy for family cultural capital, and is strongly and positively correlated with PISA outcomes.

*Ceteris paribus*, students in PS obtain better results in the PISA science test than those enrolled in PSPS. This result must be emphasized, as previous studies of this subject in Spain, such as Mancebón & Muñiz (2008) and Calero & Escardíbul (2007), found no significant differences in public and private school educational outcomes and, in the bivariate analysis, the former score lower than the latter.

According to the results, peer effects are the most important variables at the school level. The results in Table 2 also show that the negative impact upon students' educational outcomes of sharing their class with immigrant students is only significant when their proportion exceeds a certain threshold. The educational level of mothers has a positive effect not only upon their children but also upon their children's classmates. Additionally, the proportion of girls at school is directly related to outcomes in PISA.

The only significant variables among the school resources factors included in our analysis were class size and the instructional computers/school size ratio. Large class size appears to have a negative effect on educational outcomes. The strong and negative sign linked to the ratio of computers variable remains unexplained and should be the subject of further research (a negative correlation between the ratio of computers and the reading results for Switzerland in PISA-2000 was also found by Meunier, 2008). The lack of significance of variables such as the student/teacher ratio or the existence of school counselors should help policymakers to measure the opportunity cost of common input-based policies.

Finally, no significant effects were found among the educational practices variables. Different types of school autonomy were shown to be irrelevant. However, deeper insight into this factor would require more detailed data on different aspects of autonomy. Consequently, our results in this area should be treated with caution. When interpreting the ability grouping variables, it must be remembered that, although non-significant *on average*, ability grouping policies may have important effects on different types of students, as explained in Subsection 3.1.

#### **4. Public and publicly-subsidized private high schools in Spain: efficiency assessment from PISA-2006 data**

In this section, an efficiency analysis of the PS and PSPS participating in PISA-2006 is performed, using DEA methodology. The analysis involves comparing the academic results obtained by pupils in each school with all the inputs relevant to the obtaining of those results. A school is considered efficient if no other in the sample achieves better outcomes with equal or fewer resources. Conversely, an inefficient school obtains from its inputs results inferior to those potentially achievable.

The three stages required by any productive efficiency analysis are now described in turn: the selection of inputs and outputs, the selection of the evaluation model and the discussion of the results.

##### **4.1. The selection of Spanish high school inputs and outputs for DEA analysis**

The first stage in the performance of a productive efficiency analysis is the selection of the variables to proxy the results and inputs of evaluated decision-making units (DMUs). In this regard, the data supplied by PISA-2006 are plentiful. As explained in Section 3, this international program supplies detailed information about student competence in different subjects (mathematics, reading and science), their socio-economic and family background, and school inputs.

The prescriptions generally accepted in the DEA literature concerning variable selection establish that this must observe certain minimum requirements, as established by Bessent & Bessent (1980): a conceptual basis for the relationship of inputs to outputs; an empirically inferred relationship of measured inputs to outputs; increases in inputs must be associated with increases in outputs; and the measurements must not have zero elements.

In order to fulfill all these conditions, we base the selection of variables on the results obtained from empirical research into the determinants of educational outcomes in PISA-2006 (see Section 3). Specifically, we select the scores of 15-year-old students in science competencies as the output of Spanish PS and PSPS, and all the statistically

significant variables described in the previous section as inputs (model 1)<sup>8</sup>. Table A3 in the Appendix summarizes the average and standard deviation for all these variables.

In summary, the efficiency of the Spanish PS and PSPS participating in PISA-2006 is estimated on the basis of 12 variables. One of these (PV) proxies output, two approximate the resources available to each school (IRATCO and CLSIZ) and the remaining nine proxy students' socio-economic and cultural background.

#### **4.2. The selection of the DEA model**

In addition to the choice of input variables, efficiency analysis requires deciding how to measure performance. In recent years, during which the assessment of the efficiency of different samples of educational institutions has seen notable growth, it has become clear that parametric techniques have major drawbacks as instruments for assessing the results of academic institutions. By contrast, non-parametric frontier methods, such as DEA, have shown themselves to be much more attractive in this context. The advantages claimed for this methodology in the assessment of school efficiency have been reinforced by its intensive use (Worthington, 2001). The basic approach of DEA is to view schools as productive units which use multiple inputs (controllable and non-controllable) and outputs. The method produces measurements of school efficiency by deriving a frontier production function (efficiency frontier) and measuring the distance of observations to this frontier. Observations on the frontier obtain an efficiency score of 1, while those under it obtain scores below 1, depending on their location.

This technique, based on mathematical programming, has evolved considerably since it first appeared in the seminal paper of Charnes, Cooper & Rhodes (1978). Specifically, multiple extensions of the initial model have attempted to adapt the mathematical formulation and the process of obtaining efficiency indices to the peculiarities of the particular sector analyzed, to the nature of the variables constituting the analysis, or to the aims of the research in question (see Cooper, Seiford & Zhu, 2004a and 2004b; Thanassoulis, 2001).


From among the different proposals provided by the literature, the approach adopted by Silva Portela & Thanassoulis (2001), based on Charnes *et al.* (1981), is of particular

---

<sup>8</sup> We select those variables from the previous section which have been proved to be significantly influential upon academic outcomes in PISA and are non-categorical. Each input has been defined in such a way that its relationship to the output variable is positive. Below, we analyze three alternative specifications, in order to contrast the sensitivity of the DEA results.

interest for the task at hand. This approach decomposes the overall measurement of efficiency, computed using DEA, into managerial and program components. This approach is attractive, for it permits us to differentiate between inefficiencies attributable to the individual management of a decision-making unit (hereafter DMU) and those attributable to a unit's management program. This property interests us greatly, since we are attempting to compare the behavior of schools employing different management models. We shall explain this approach using Figure 1.

**Figure 1. Efficiency decomposition according to Silva Portela & Thanassoulis (2001)**



This represents an organization ( $Z$ ) which plays its productive role according to a specific management model (model A). Its efficiency is to be evaluated compared to a set of organizations, of which some employ the same management model (A) and the rest are guided by a different model (model B). The application of DEA to both subsamples will identify the two frontiers observable in the figure.

The assessment of the output of organization  $Z$  in relation to all the schools in the sample (regardless of the management model for each), employing DEA, will attribute an overall rate to this organization with a value of  $Z'Z'''/Z'Z$  (maximum output in the sector/real output of  $Z$ ). This ratio, since it is the result of comparison with all schools in the sector, includes those effects due to individual school management and those attributable to the structural differences between the two management programs coexisting in the sample.

In order to determine what part of  $Z$ 's efficiency is attributable to individual management (managerial efficiency), its production must be compared to that of the

remaining schools having the same management model i.e. model A. The value of the efficiency index which DEA will now attribute to Z will be  $Z'Z''/ Z'Z$  (maximum output in model A/real output of Z). This efficiency, being the result of comparison with organizations functioning under the same management model, is attributable only to individual school practices.

Finally, Z's program efficiency will be the residual part of the overall efficiency not attributable to individual management. Graphically, this is determined by the index  $Z'Z'''/ Z'Z''$  (maximum output in the sector/output which Z would use, if its individual management were efficient). We can thus immediately confirm that:

$$\text{Overall Efficiency} = (\text{Managerial Efficiency}) \times (\text{Program Efficiency}) \quad (6)$$

From this relationship the different efficiency indices may be computed by resolving three DEA models similar to that in Equation 7: one for DMUs employing model A (managerial efficiency of type A units); another for those guided by model B (managerial efficiency of type B units); and a third for all schools (overall efficiency of each organization). Program efficiency is obtained using a simple quotient between overall and managerial efficiency.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Maximize : } \theta_0 \\ & \text{subject to : } \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j x_{ij} \leq x_{i0}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m \\ & \quad \quad \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j y_j \geq \theta_0 y_0 \\ & \quad \quad \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j = 1 \\ & \quad \quad \quad \lambda_j \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$\theta_0$  is the efficiency score of each school,  $x_{ij}$  is the input  $i$  of school  $j$ ,  $y_j$  is the output of school  $j$  and  $\lambda_j$  are the Lambda values (the raw weights assigned to the peer units of each school)<sup>9</sup>.

---

<sup>9</sup> Further details regarding the significance of Lambda values can be found in any reference book on DEA models, such as Cooper *et al.* (2004a).

## 5. Results of the efficiency analysis

Table 4 presents the results from the efficiency analysis performed according to the previously established criteria<sup>10</sup>.

**Table 4. Efficiency scores of inefficient schools**

	Mean efficiency			ANOVA test		
	PSPS	PS	Total	Dif. on means	Standard error	Test
Managerial efficiency	0.930	0.926	0.928	0.004	0.009	0.478
Program efficiency	0.962	0.982	0.964	-0.020	0.005	-3.996***
Overall efficiency	0.919 (20.05)	0.925 (43.64)	0.923 (37.20)	-0.006	0.008	-0.764

<sup>a</sup> \*\*\* indicates statistically significant differences between PSPS and PS at a 1% significance level.

<sup>b</sup> Figures in brackets are the percentage of schools with maximum efficiency (>0.99).

The first row shows the efficiency rates resulting exclusively from the individual performance of each school. The results of PS in this column cannot be compared to those of PSPS, since the reference frontier used in each case was different. The second row displays the efficiency attributable to structural differences between the management models, public or private, employed by each school. This value has the greatest interest for the aims of the present research. Finally, the third row shows the estimations of overall efficiency i.e. the comparison of all schools in the sample, independently of ownership type. Therefore, this value includes the effects of individual performance (managerial efficiency) and those of the managerial model employed in PS and PSPS (program efficiency).

The results in Table 4 indicate that the difference between overall efficiency in PS and PSPS is very slight and statistically non-significant. That is to say, once differences in student characteristics and school resources are taken into account, the advantages that PSPS display in crude educational results disappear. However, overall efficiency comprises the effects of both individual school performance and school management model, meaning that overall efficiency rates do not allow us to correctly interpret the crude results obtained in this paper without first decomposing managerial and program efficiency. For example, it may be the case that even though differences in overall efficiency between PS and PSPS were not detected, the formers' management model

<sup>10</sup> The efficiency estimations were computed using ONFRONT software. The DEAs were performed under the variable returns to scale assumption (Banker, Charnes & Cooper, 1984) and designed for assessing technical output efficiency.

could negatively affect their result, and that the individual performance of each PS compensates for the disadvantage of adopting a much more bureaucratic management model compared to PSPS.

To resolve this question, we must consider the results provided in the second row in Table 4 i.e. the efficiency due to structural differences between management models (program efficiency). Although overall efficiency values do not display great divergence, the differences found in this case become statistically significant in favor of PS. Additionally, the percentage of schools which display maximum overall efficiency (values in brackets in Table 4) is considerably higher among PS than PSPS, leading us to conclude that best practices are implemented by a higher proportion of PS than PSPS.

In order to contrast the robustness of these results we perform a sensitivity analysis. Such analyses are very important when using DEA, due to its non-parametric nature. We propose three alternative specifications for the previously solved model 1. We remove the variable CLSIZE (model 2), then the variable IRATCO (model 3) and, finally, remove education resources, CLSIZE and IRATCO (model 4). The effects of these variables upon educational outcomes are unclear, to judge by earlier literature (Hanushek, 2003). Furthermore, we wish to analyze whether the differences found in program efficiencies between PS and PSPS are reduced when these resources are removed from DEA models.

**Table 5. Program efficiency scores using alternative DEA models (inefficient schools)**

	Mean efficiency			ANOVA test		
	PSPS	PS	Total	Dif. on means	Standard error	Test
Model 1	0.962	0.982	0.964	-0.020	0.005	-3.99***
Model 2	0.960	0.988	0.965	-0.027	0.007	-4.01***
Model 3	0.963	0.981	0.966	-0.018	0.007	-2.64***
Model 4	0.964	0.987	0.969	-0.022	0.007	-3.41***

<sup>a</sup> \*\*\* indicates statistically significant differences between PSPS and PS at a 1% significance level

Table 5 displays the program efficiency scores for the four specifications described above. The results are robust in the four different models. Once differences in pupils background, school resources and individual management inefficiencies are removed, Spanish PS are more efficient than their PSPS counterparts.

## 6. Conclusions

The present paper performs a non-parametric efficiency analysis of Spanish PS and PSPS, using as reference the data supplied by PISA-2006. For the analysis to be rigorous, a detailed study of the determinants of students' educational outcomes is made, employing HLM. Given the absence of any generalized empirical consensus regarding the variables stimulating students' academic success, we believe that any evaluation of school efficiency requires a thorough analysis of the empirical relationship between the variables selected as inputs and outputs.

The principal results obtained in this regard indicate the special importance of household socio-economic and cultural characteristics in explaining student performance in science competencies. Other variables of great influence upon educational results at the individual level are gender, grade repetition and household educational resources (such as books and computers) and their use by students. Nearly 85% of the variance in scores can be attributed to differences in student characteristics within schools.

At the school level, peer effects (the educational level of mothers, proportion of girls at school and proportion of immigrant students) are the most important variables concerning the achievement of good results in science competencies. The only significant variables among the school resources factors included in our analysis were class size and the instructional computers/school size ratio.

These results, which confirm those of a number of previous studies, allowed us to further develop our efficiency analysis of PS and PSPS in Spain. The most important result was that PS are more efficient than PSPS; the better scores attained by PSPS in science competencies, as measured in PISA 2006, cease to exist when student characteristics and individual management inefficiencies are discounted. The results are robust in the different specifications of the DEA model, as shown by the sensitivity analysis.

This conclusion is in line with those reached in other, international, studies, where private high schools are shown to be inefficient compared to their public counterparts



(Braun, Jenkins & Grigg (2006); Lubienski & Lubienski (2006); Barbetta & Turati, 2003; Kirjavainen & Loikkanen, 1998)<sup>11</sup>.

In the context of PISA data, the conclusions extracted from comparative efficiency analyses of public and private schools are mixed. While Calero & Waisgrais (2009) show that Spanish private (PSPS and private independent) schools exert a negative influence upon science competencies, as measured by PISA-2006, other papers employing PISA-2003 data for Spain indicate that neither PS nor PSPS are superior (Perelman & Santín, 2008; Calero & Escardíbul, 2007). The principal conclusion of the last-named authors is that once the effects related to the social composition of schools are discounted, the differences in educational performance become statistically non-significant. This invites the conclusion that these differences are more closely related to student type in each school and to the differential characteristics of each school than to school quality<sup>12</sup>.

---

<sup>11</sup> A detailed discussion of this issue can be found in Lubienski, Weitzel & Lubienski (2009).

<sup>12</sup> In our view, it is unsurprising that PS appear to be more efficient than PSPS. In Finland, a benchmark for educational outcomes in every edition of PISA, almost all schools are public.

## Appendix

**Table A1. Student profiles in Spanish PS and PSPS**

Type of variable	Questionnaire item	PSPS	PS	Total	ANOVA test
Results	Years repeated (REPMORE & REPONE)	1.20	1.18	1.18	0.94
Expectations aspirations	Students' expected occupational status (BSMJ)	62.24	57.92	59.17	5.79***
Attitudes toward science	Plausible value in interest in science (PVINTR)	526.23	539.47	535.86	-3.51***
	Plausible value in support for scientific inquiry (PVSUPP)	530.53	526.94	527.92	0.77
	General interest in learning science (INTSCIE)	-0.17	-0.19	-0.19	0.64
	Enjoyment of science (JOYSCIE)	-0.11	-0.17	-0.15	1.87*
	Science self-efficacy (SCIEEFF)	-0.01	-0.13	-0.10	3.49***
	General value of science (GENSCIE)	0.34	0.26	0.28	2.65***
	Personal value of science (PERSCIE)	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.81
	Science activities (SCIEACT)	-0.14	-0.16	-0.15	0.76
Personal	Age (AGE)	15.83	15.82	15.82	0.36
Occupational status of parents	Mother's occupational status. SEI index (BMMJ)	41.22	36.07	37.59	4.34***
	Father's occupational status. SEI index (BFMJ)	44.56	38.15	39.93	7.00***
	Highest occupational status of parents. SEI index (HISEI)	47.82	41.11	42.96	6.85***
Educational level of parents	Mother's years of schooling (MOTSCY)	10.39	8.80	9.24	5.79***
	Father's years of schooling (FATSCY)	10.60	8.72	9.24	7.33***
	Maximum years of schooling of parents (PARESCY)	11.90	10.32	10.75	6.78***
Household possessions scale indices	Index of family wealth possessions (WEALTH)	-0.07	-0.23	-0.18	4.87***
	Index of cultural possessions at home (CULTPOSS)	0.19	0.00	0.05	5.30***
	Index of home educational resources (HEDRES)	0.32	0.17	0.21	4.51***
	Index of home possessions (HOMEPOS)	0.22	-0.02	0.04	6.74***
	Index of economic, social and cultural status (ESCS)	-0.08	-0.57	-0.44	7.30***

<sup>a</sup> \*\*\*, \*\* and \* indicate statistically significant mean differences between PSPS and PS at the 1%, 5% and 10% significance level, respectively.

<sup>b</sup> Name of the variable in the PISA database in brackets.

Source: Authors' elaboration based on PISA-2006 data.

**Table A2. Variables employed in the HLM**

	N	Min.	Max	Mean	Standard Dev.
<b>Individual</b>					
AGE (student's age, in years)	18,283	15.33	16.33	15.84	0.29
WOMEN (gender dummy: 1 if female)	18,283	0	1	0.50	0.50
REPMORE (1st-2nd year of ESO)	18,283	0	1	0.06	0.23
REPONE (3rd year of ESO)	18,283	0	1	0.26	0.44
NOREPET (4rd year of ESO)	18,283	0	1	0.68	0.47
<b>Household 1. Socio-economic and cultural characteristics</b>					
NATIONAL (born in Spain; Spanish parents)	18,283	0	1	0.95	0.21
SECGEN (born in Spain; immigrant parents)	18,283	0	1	0.01	0.07
FIRST3 (born abroad; in Spain for 3 or less years)	18,283	0	1	0.02	0.12
FIRST4 (born abroad; in Spain for 4 or more years)	18,283	0	1	0.03	0.16
LANG1 (national; national language at home)	18,283	0	1	0.94	0.23
LANG2 (national; non-national language at home)	18,283	0	1	0.01	0.08
LANG3 (foreign; national language at home)	18,283	0	1	0.04	0.20
LANG4 (foreign; non-national language at home)	18,283	0	1	0.13	0.11
ACTIVE (both parents economically active)	18,283	0	1	0.72	0.44
QWHITEC (white collar, highly-skilled father)	18,283	0	1	0.33	0.45
NQWHITEC (white collar, low-skilled father)	18,283	0	1	0.14	0.34
QBLUEC (blue collar, highly-skilled father)	18,283	0	1	0.33	0.45
NQBLUEC (blue collar, low-skilled father)	18,283	0	1	0.20	0.38
MOTSCY (years of schooling: mother)	18,283	3.5	16.5	10.53	3.96
FATSCY (years of schooling: father)	18,283	3.5	16.5	10.55	3.98
<b>Household 2. Educational resources and their use</b>					
NCOMPUT (dummy: 1 if no computer at home)	18,283	0	1	0.10	0.30
REGUSECO (student uses computers frequently)	18,283	0	1	0.70	0.42
SPUSECOM (student uses computers occasionally)	18,283	0	1	0.24	0.24
NUSECOM (student never uses computers)	18,283	0	1	0.06	0.46
REGWRITE (uses word processors frequently)	18,283	0	1	0.15	0.35
SPOWRITE (uses word processors occasionally)	18,283	0	1	0.76	0.42
NEVWRITE (never uses word processors)	18,283	0	1	0.09	0.28
25BOOKS (0-25 books at home)	18,283	0	1	0.17	0.37
100BOOKS (26-100 books at home)	18,283	0	1	0.33	0.47
200BOOKS (101-200 books at home)	18,283	0	1	0.22	0.41
500BOOKS (over 200 books at home)	18,283	0	1	0.27	0.44
<b>School 1. School characteristics</b>					
PUBLIC (public school)	18,283	0	1	0.62	0.48
PRIVPUBF (private school; publicly funded)	18,283	0	1	0.38	0.48
SCHSIZ (school size)	18,283	50	2,539	675.49	389.59
CITYSIZ1 (population <100.000)	18,283	0	1	0.61	0.49
CITYSIZ2 (population 100.000-1,000.000)	18,283	0	1	0.36	0.48
CITYSIZ3 (population >1.000.000)	18,283	0	1	0.03	0.16
NOTHERSC (maximum, 2 centers near the school)	18,283	0	1	0.32	0.46
<b>School 2. Student characteristics</b>					
ORINMIG0 (school without immigrants)	18,283	0	1	0.48	0.50
ORINMIG1 (0,1-10% immigrant students)	18,283	0	1	0.36	0.48
ORINMIG2 (10-20% immigrant students)	18,283	0	1	0.10	0.31
ORINMIG3 (>20% immigrant students)	18,283	0	1	0.05	0.23
SCEDMO (average years of schooling of mothers)	18,283	6.29	15.98	10.53	1.71
PCGIRLS (proportion of girls at school)	18,283	0.49	0.08	0	0.91
SCQWHITE (white collar, high skilled -mode-)	18,283	0	1	0.40	0.49

SCNQWHIT (white collar, low skilled -mode-)	18,283	0	1	0.02	0.13
SCQBLUE (blue collar, high skilled -mode)	18,283	0	1	0.45	0.50
SCNQBLUE (blue collar, low skilled -mode-)	18,283	0	1	0.13	0.34
<b>School 3. School resources</b>					
STRATIO (student-teacher ratio)	18,283	1.19	30.55	11.74	4.37
PTEACH (proportion of part-time teachers)	18,283	6.73	6.98	0	79
CLSIZ (class size)	18,283	13	53	25.94	10.13
COMPWEB (proportion of computers with Internet)	18,283	0.07	1	0.89	0.17
IRATCO (computers for instruction/ school size)	18,283	0.01	0.72	0.11	0.08
<b>School 4. Educational practices</b>					
NCOUNS (1=no school counselors at the center)	18,283	0	1	0.20	0.39
AUTCONT (autonomy for selecting teachers for hire)	18,283	0	1	0.37	0.48
AUTBUDG (budgetary autonomy)	18,283	0	1	0.60	0.49
AUTEXT (autonomy for selecting textbooks)	18,283	0	1	0.95	0.23
AUTCONTE (autonomy for selecting contents)	18,283	0	1	0.57	0.49
AUTOUCU (autonomy for modifying the curriculum)	18,283	0	1	0.54	0.50
CRITADMI (religious or philosophical issues are used as an admittance criterion)	18,283	0	1	0.30	0.45
STREB (ability grouping between classes)	18,283	0	1	0.48	0.47
STREW (ability grouping within classes)	18,283	0	1	0.44	0.46

Source: Own elaboration based on PISA-2006 data.

**Table A3. Variables used in the DEA model**

Variable	Definition	PSPS		PS		Total	
		Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.
Output: PVS	Outcome in science (plausible value)	502.86	85.69	475.08	90.07	488.14	90.60
Input 1: NATIONAL	Percentage of students born in Spain	95.19%	0.76	90.04%	0.96	91.88%	0.68
Input 2: PCGIRLS	Proportion of girls at school	52.11%	2.01	48.64%	0.68	49.46%	0.72
Input 3: NOREPET	Percentage of students not repeating any grade	72.43%	1.26	51.19%	1.07	59.84%	0.85
Input 4: MOTSCY	Mother's years of schooling	10.39	4.43	8.80	4.67	9.63	4.73
Input 5: REGWRITE & SPOWRITE	Percentage of students using computers frequently or occasionally to create documents	89.64%	0.77	84.51%	0.87	86.82%	0.60
Input 6: QWHITEC	Percentage of students whose father's job is white collar highly- skilled	38.21%	2.07	22.30%	1.10	30.69%	1.00
Input 7: LANG1	Percentage of native students who speak national language at home	93.32%	0.79	87.19%	1.05	89.16%	0.75
Input 8: 500BOOKS	Percentage of students with over 200 books at home	28.32%	1.48	17.99%	0.86	23.95%	0.86
Input 9: ACTIVE	Percentage of students whose father and mother are both in active working population	73.83%	1.24	65.12%	1.02	68.98%	0.76
Input10: IRATCO	Ratio of instructional computers to school size (reverse)	15.93	0.04	8.36	0.10	9.96	0.09
Input 11: CLSIZ	Average class size	30.43	10.91	26.29	8.33	27.78	9.67

<sup>a</sup> Variables were redefined in such a way that their relationship with output was positive, a basic requirement of DEA models for the estimation of efficiency.

Source: Authors' elaboration, based on PISA-2006 data.

## References

- Abburrà, L. (2005). *As good as the others. Northern Italian students and their peers in other European regions*. Istituto Ricerche Economico Sociali del Piemonte. November.
- Alchian, A.A. (1950). Uncertainty, evolution and economic theory. *Journal of Political Economy*, 58, 211-221.
- Banker, R., Charnes, A., & Cooper, W.W. (1984). Models for estimating technical and scale efficiencies in data envelopment analysis. *Management Science*, 30, 1078-1092.
- Barbetta, G.P., & Turati, G. (2003). Efficiency of junior high schools and the role of proprietary structure. *Annals of Public and Cooperative Economics*, 74 (4), 529-551.
- Bedi, A.S., & Garg, A. (2000). The effectiveness of private versus public schools: the case of Indonesia. *Journal of Development Economics*, 61, 463- 494.
- Bessent, A.M., & Bessent, E.W. (1980). Determining the comparative efficiency of schools through data envelopment analysis. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 16 (2), 57-75.
- Bettinger, E.P. (2005). The effect of charter schools on charter students and public schools. *Economics of Education Review*, 24, 133-147.
- Braun, H., Jenkins, F., & Grigg, W. (2006). Comparing private schools and public schools using hierarchical linear modeling. Report 2006-461. Washington DC: National Center for Education Statistics.
- Bryk, A.S., & Raudenbusch, S.W. (1988). Toward a More Appropriate Conceptualization of Research on School Effects: A Three-Level Hierarchical Linear Model. *American Journal of Education*, 97 (1), 65-108.
- Calero, J., & Escardíbul, J.O. (2007). Evaluación de servicios educativos: el rendimiento en los centros públicos y privados medido en PISA-2003. *Hacienda Pública Española*, 183 (4), 33-66.
- Calero, J., & Waisgrais, S. (2009). Factores de desigualdad en la educación española. Una aproximación a través de las evaluaciones de PISA. *Papeles de Economía Española*, 119, 86-99.

- Charnes, A., Cooper, W., & Rhodes, E. (1978). Measuring the efficiency of decision-making units. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 2, 429-444.
- . (1981). Evaluating program and managerial efficiency: an application of data envelopment analysis to program follow through. *Management Science*, 27 (6), 668-697.
- Cherchye, L., De Witte, K., Ooghe, E., & Nicaise, I. (2010). Efficiency and equity in private and public education: A nonparametric comparison. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 202, 563-573.
- Chiswick, B.R., & Debburman, N. (2004). Educational attainment: analysis by immigrant generation. *Economics of Education Review*, 23, 361-379.
- Chubb, J.E., & Moe, T.M. (1990). *Politics, Markets and American Schools*. Washington DC: Brookings Institution.
- Coleman, J., Campbell, E., Hobson, C., McPartland, J., Mood, A., Weinfeld, F., & York, R. (1966). *Equality of Educational Opportunity*. Washington DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- Coleman, J., Hoffer, T., & Kilgore, S. (1982). *Secondary school achievement. Public, catholic and private schools compared*. New York: Basic Books, Inc. Publishers.
- Cooper, W.W., Seiford, L.M., & Zhu, J. (2004a). *Handbook on Data Envelopment Analysis*. New York: Springer.
- . (2004 b). *Data envelopment analysis*. Massachusetts: Kluwer.
- Corten, R., & Dronkers, J. (2006). School Achievement of Pupils From the Lower Strata in Public, Private Government-Dependent and Private Government-Independent Schools: A cross-national test of the Coleman-Hoffer thesis. *Educational Research and Evaluation*, 2, 179-208.
- Dronkers, J. (2008). Education as backbone of inequality- European education policy: constraints and possibilities. In F. Becker, K. Duffek, & T. Mörschell (eds.), *Social Democracy and Education. The European Experience*, 50-135. Berlin: Friedrich Ebert Stiftung.
- Dronkers, J., & Robert, P. (2008). Differences in scholastic achievement of public, private government-dependent and private independent schools. A cross-national analysis. *Educational Policy*, 22 (4), 541-577.
- Echols, F.H., & Willms, J.D. (1995). Reasons for school choice in Scotland. *Journal of Education Policy*, 10 (2), 143-156.

- Farley, J. (2006). School Integration and Its Consequences for Social Integration and Educational Opportunity. In F. Heckmann & R. Wolf (eds.), *Immigrant Integration and Education. The Role of State and Civil Society in Germany and the US*, 56-67. Bamberg: EFMS.
- Fertig, M. (2003). Who's to Blame? The Determinants of German Students' Achievement in the PISA 2000 Study. *IZA Discussion Paper Series*, 739.
- Figlio, D.N. & Stone, J.A. (1997). School choice and student performance. Are private schools really better? *Institute for Research on Poverty Discussion Paper*, 1141-97. Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin-Madison.
- Friedman, M. & Friedman, R. (1981). *Free to choose*. New York: Avon.
- Gamoran, A. (2001). American schooling and educational inequality: A forecast for the 21st century. *Sociology of Education*, 74, 135-153.
- . (2004). Classroom organization and instructional quality. In H.J. Walberg, A.J. Reynolds, & M.C. Wang (eds.), *Can unlike students learn together? Grade retention, tracking and grouping*, 141-155. Greenwich, CT: Information Age.
- Glennerster, H. (1991). Quasi-markets for education? *The Economic Journal*, 101, 1268-1276.
- Goldhaber, D.D. (1996). Public and private secondary schools. Is school choice an answer to the productivity problem? *Economics of Education Review*, 15 (2), 93-109.
- Greene, K.V., & Kang, B. (2004). The effect of public and private competition on high school outputs in New York State. *Economics of Education Review*, 23, 497-506.
- Hanushek, E.A. (1986). The economics of schooling: production and efficiency in public schools. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 24, 1141-1177.
- . (1997). School resources and student performance. In G. Burtless (ed.), *Does money matter?*, 43-73. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution Press.
- . (2003). The Failure of Input-Based Schooling Policies. *The Economic Journal*, 113, 64-98.
- Hanushek, E.A., Kain, J.F., Markman, J.M., & Rivkin, S.G. (2003). Does peer ability affect student achievement? *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 18 (5), 527-544.
- Hox, J. (1995). *Applied Multilevel Analysis*. Amsterdam: TT-Publikaties.



- Jiménez, E., Lockheed, M.E., & Paqueo, V. (1991). The relative efficiency of private and public schools in developing countries. *The World Bank Research Observer*, 6 (2), 205-218.
- Kao, G., & Tienda, M. (1995). "Optimism and achievement: the educational performance of immigrant youth." *Social Science Quarterly*, 76 (1), 1-19.
- Kang, C. (2007). Classroom peer effects and academic achievement: Quasi-randomization evidence from South Korea. *Journal of Urban Economics*, 61 (3), 458-495.
- Kirjavainen, T., & Loikkanen, H. (1998). Efficiency differences of Finnish senior secondary schools: an application of DEA and Tobit analysis. *Economics of Education Review*, 17 (4), 377-394.
- Lee, V., Croninger, R.G., & Smith, J.B. (1996). Equity and choice in Detroit. In B. Fuller & R. Elmore (eds.), *Who chooses, who loses?*, 70-91. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Levin, H. (1976). Concepts of economic efficiency and educational production. In J.T. Froomkin & R. Radner (eds.). *Education as an industry*, 149-190. Cambridge: Ballenger Publishing Company.
- Lubienski, C., Weitzel, P., & Lubienski, S.T. (2009). Is there a "consensus" on school choice and achievement? *Educational Policy*, 23 (1), 161-193.
- Lubienski, S.T., & Lubienski, C. (2006). School sector and academic achievement: a multilevel analysis of NAEP mathematics data. *American Educational Research Journal*, 43 (4), 651-698.
- Mancebón, M.J. & Bandrés, E. (1999). Efficiency evaluation in secondary schools: the key role of model specification and of ex post analysis of results. *Education Economics*, 7 (2), 131-152.
- Mancebón, M. J., & Muñiz, M. A. (2003). Aspectos clave de la evaluación de la eficiencia productiva en la educación secundaria. *Papeles de Economía Española*, 95, 162-187.
- . (2008). Public High Schools in Spain. Disentangling managerial and program efficiencies. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 59, 892-901.
- Marks, G. (2005). Accounting for immigrant non-immigrant differences in reading and mathematics in twenty countries. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 28 (5), 925-946.

- Meunier, M. (2008). Are Swiss secondary schools efficient? In N.C. Soguel and P. Jaccard (eds.). *Governance and Performance of Education Systems*, 187-202. Dordrecht: Springer.
- Mizzala, A., Romaguera, P., & Farren, D. (2002). The technical efficiency of schools in Chile. *Applied Economics*, 34, 1533-1552.
- Neal, D. (1997). The effects of catholic secondary schooling on educational achievement. *Journal of Labor Economics*, 15 (1), 98-123.
- O'Donogue, M. (1971). *Economic Dimensions in Education*. London, UK: Gill and Macmillan Publishers.
- OECD. (2006). *Where immigrant students succeed*. Paris: OECD.
- . (2007). *PISA-2006 Science Competencies for Tomorrow's World, 1 (analysis)*. Paris: OECD.
- . (2009a). *PISA Data Analysis Manual*. Paris: OECD.
- . (2009b). *PISA-2006 Technical report*. Paris: OECD.
- Opdenakker, M.C., & Van Damme, J. (2006). Differences between secondary schools: A study about school context, group composition, school practice, and school effects with special attention to public and Catholic schools and types of schools. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 17 (1), 87-117.
- Perelman, S., & Santin, D. (2008). Measuring educational efficiency at student level with parametric stochastic distance functions: an application to Spanish PISA results. *Education Economics*. DOI: 10.1080/09645290802470475.
- Peterson, P. (1990). The public schools: monopoly or choice. In W. Clune & J.F. Witte (eds.). *Choice and Control in American Education*, 1, 47-78. Philadelphia: The Falmer Press.
- Pincus, J. (1974). Incentives for innovation in the public schools. *Review of Educational Research*, 44 (1), 113- 144.
- Rong, X., & Grant, L. (1992). Ethnicity, generation, and school attainment of Asians, Hispanics and Non-Hispanic Whites. *The Sociological Quarterly*, 33 (4), 625-636.
- Rumberger, R.W., & Larson, K.A. (1998). Towards explaining differences in educational achievement among Mexican American and language-minority students. *Sociology of Education*, 71 (1), 68-92.

- Sander, W. (1996). Catholic grade schools and academic achievement. *Journal of Human Resources*, 31 (3), 540-548.
- Schnepf, V.S. (2008). Inequality of Learning amongst Immigrant Children in Industrialised Countries. *IZA Discussion Paper*, 3337.
- Silva Portela, M.C., & Thanassoulis, E. (2001). Decomposing school and school-type efficiency. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 132, 357-373.
- Sirin, S.R. (2005). Socioeconomic Status and Academic Achievement: A Meta-Analytic Review of Research. *Review of Educational Research*, 75 (3), 417-453.
- Somers, M-A., McEwan, P.J., & Willms, J.D. (2004). How Effective Are Private Schools in Latin America? *Comparative Education Review*, 48 (1), 48-69.
- Stevans, L.K., & Sessions, D.N. (2000). Private/public school choice and student performance revisited. *Education Economics*, 8 (2), 169-184.
- Thanassoulis, E. (2001). *Introduction to the Theory and Application of Data Envelopment Analysis*. Massachusetts: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Tiebout, C.M. (1956). A pure theory of local expenditures. *Journal of Political Economy*, 64, 416-424.
- Willms, J.D. (2006). Learning divides: Ten policy questions about the performance and equity of schools and schooling systems. *UIS Working Paper*, 5. Montreal: Unesco Institute for Statistics.
- Woessmann, L. (2003). Schooling resources, educational institutions and student performance: the international evidence. *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics*, 65 (2), 117-170.
- Worthington, A. (2001). An empirical survey of frontier efficiency measurement techniques in education. *Education Economics*, 9 (3), 245-268.

# FUNDACIÓN DE LAS CAJAS DE AHORROS

---

## DOCUMENTOS DE TRABAJO

### Últimos números publicados

- 159/2000 Participación privada en la construcción y explotación de carreteras de peaje  
Ginés de Rus, Manuel Romero y Lourdes Trujillo
- 160/2000 Errores y posibles soluciones en la aplicación del *Value at Risk*  
Mariano González Sánchez
- 161/2000 Tax neutrality on saving assets. The spanish case before and after the tax reform  
Cristina Ruza y de Paz-Curbera
- 162/2000 Private rates of return to human capital in Spain: new evidence  
F. Barceinas, J. Oliver-Alonso, J.L. Raymond y J.L. Roig-Sabaté
- 163/2000 El control interno del riesgo. Una propuesta de sistema de límites  
riesgo neutral  
Mariano González Sánchez
- 164/2001 La evolución de las políticas de gasto de las Administraciones Públicas en los años 90  
Alfonso Utrilla de la Hoz y Carmen Pérez Esparrells
- 165/2001 Bank cost efficiency and output specification  
Emili Tortosa-Ausina
- 166/2001 Recent trends in Spanish income distribution: A robust picture of falling income inequality  
Josep Oliver-Alonso, Xavier Ramos y José Luis Raymond-Bara
- 167/2001 Efectos redistributivos y sobre el bienestar social del tratamiento de las cargas familiares en  
el nuevo IRPF  
Nuria Badenes Plá, Julio López Laborda, Jorge Onrubia Fernández
- 168/2001 The Effects of Bank Debt on Financial Structure of Small and Medium Firms in some Euro-  
pean Countries  
Mónica Melle-Hernández
- 169/2001 La política de cohesión de la UE ampliada: la perspectiva de España  
Ismael Sanz Labrador
- 170/2002 Riesgo de liquidez de Mercado  
Mariano González Sánchez
- 171/2002 Los costes de administración para el afiliado en los sistemas de pensiones basados en cuentas  
de capitalización individual: medida y comparación internacional.  
José Enrique Devesa Carpio, Rosa Rodríguez Barrera, Carlos Vidal Meliá
- 172/2002 La encuesta continua de presupuestos familiares (1985-1996): descripción, representatividad  
y propuestas de metodología para la explotación de la información de los ingresos y el gasto.  
Llorenç Pou, Joaquín Alegre
- 173/2002 Modelos paramétricos y no paramétricos en problemas de concesión de tarjetas de crédito.  
Rosa Puertas, María Bonilla, Ignacio Olmeda

- 174/2002 Mercado único, comercio intra-industrial y costes de ajuste en las manufacturas españolas.  
José Vicente Blanes Cristóbal
- 175/2003 La Administración tributaria en España. Un análisis de la gestión a través de los ingresos y de los gastos.  
Juan de Dios Jiménez Aguilera, Pedro Enrique Barrilao González
- 176/2003 The Falling Share of Cash Payments in Spain.  
Santiago Carbó Valverde, Rafael López del Paso, David B. Humphrey  
Publicado en "Moneda y Crédito" nº 217, pags. 167-189.
- 177/2003 Effects of ATMs and Electronic Payments on Banking Costs: The Spanish Case.  
Santiago Carbó Valverde, Rafael López del Paso, David B. Humphrey
- 178/2003 Factors explaining the interest margin in the banking sectors of the European Union.  
Joaquín Maudos y Juan Fernández Guevara
- 179/2003 Los planes de stock options para directivos y consejeros y su valoración por el mercado de valores en España.  
Mónica Melle Hernández
- 180/2003 Ownership and Performance in Europe and US Banking – A comparison of Commercial, Co-operative & Savings Banks.  
Yener Altunbas, Santiago Carbó y Phil Molyneux
- 181/2003 The Euro effect on the integration of the European stock markets.  
Mónica Melle Hernández
- 182/2004 In search of complementarity in the innovation strategy: international R&D and external knowledge acquisition.  
Bruno Cassiman, Reinhilde Veugelers
- 183/2004 Fijación de precios en el sector público: una aplicación para el servicio municipal de suministro de agua.  
M<sup>a</sup> Ángeles García Valiñas
- 184/2004 Estimación de la economía sumergida en España: un modelo estructural de variables latentes.  
Ángel Alañón Pardo, Miguel Gómez de Antonio
- 185/2004 Causas políticas y consecuencias sociales de la corrupción.  
Joan Oriol Prats Cabrera
- 186/2004 Loan bankers' decisions and sensitivity to the audit report using the belief revision model.  
Andrés Guiral Contreras and José A. Gonzalo Angulo
- 187/2004 El modelo de Black, Derman y Toy en la práctica. Aplicación al mercado español.  
Marta Tolentino García-Abadillo y Antonio Díaz Pérez
- 188/2004 Does market competition make banks perform well?.  
Mónica Melle
- 189/2004 Efficiency differences among banks: external, technical, internal, and managerial  
Santiago Carbó Valverde, David B. Humphrey y Rafael López del Paso

- 190/2004 Una aproximación al análisis de los costes de la esquizofrenia en España: los modelos jerárquicos bayesianos  
F. J. Vázquez-Polo, M. A. Negrín, J. M. Cavasés, E. Sánchez y grupo RIRAG
- 191/2004 Environmental proactivity and business performance: an empirical analysis  
Javier González-Benito y Óscar González-Benito
- 192/2004 Economic risk to beneficiaries in notional defined contribution accounts (NDCs)  
Carlos Vidal-Meliá, Inmaculada Domínguez-Fabian y José Enrique Devesa-Carpio
- 193/2004 Sources of efficiency gains in port reform: non parametric malmquist decomposition tfp index for Mexico  
Antonio Estache, Beatriz Tovar de la Fé y Lourdes Trujillo
- 194/2004 Persistencia de resultados en los fondos de inversión españoles  
Alfredo Ciriaco Fernández y Rafael Santamaría Aquilué
- 195/2005 El modelo de revisión de creencias como aproximación psicológica a la formación del juicio del auditor sobre la gestión continuada  
Andrés Guiral Contreras y Francisco Esteso Sánchez
- 196/2005 La nueva financiación sanitaria en España: descentralización y prospectiva  
David Cantarero Prieto
- 197/2005 A cointegration analysis of the Long-Run supply response of Spanish agriculture to the common agricultural policy  
José A. Mendez, Ricardo Mora y Carlos San Juan
- 198/2005 ¿Refleja la estructura temporal de los tipos de interés del mercado español preferencia por la liquidez?  
Magdalena Massot Perelló y Juan M. Nave
- 199/2005 Análisis de impacto de los Fondos Estructurales Europeos recibidos por una economía regional: Un enfoque a través de Matrices de Contabilidad Social  
M. Carmen Lima y M. Alejandro Cardenete
- 200/2005 Does the development of non-cash payments affect monetary policy transmission?  
Santiago Carbó Valverde y Rafael López del Paso
- 201/2005 Firm and time varying technical and allocative efficiency: an application for port cargo handling firms  
Ana Rodríguez-Álvarez, Beatriz Tovar de la Fe y Lourdes Trujillo
- 202/2005 Contractual complexity in strategic alliances  
Jeffrey J. Reuer y Africa Ariño
- 203/2005 Factores determinantes de la evolución del empleo en las empresas adquiridas por opa  
Nuria Alcalde Fradejas y Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar
- 204/2005 Nonlinear Forecasting in Economics: a comparison between Comprehension Approach versus Learning Approach. An Application to Spanish Time Series  
Elena Olmedo, Juan M. Valderas, Ricardo Gimeno and Lorenzo Escot

- 205/2005 Precio de la tierra con presión urbana: un modelo para España  
Esther Decimavilla, Carlos San Juan y Stefan Sperlich
- 206/2005 Interregional migration in Spain: a semiparametric analysis  
Adolfo Maza y José Villaverde
- 207/2005 Productivity growth in European banking  
Carmen Murillo-Melchor, José Manuel Pastor y Emili Tortosa-Ausina
- 208/2005 Explaining Bank Cost Efficiency in Europe: Environmental and Productivity Influences.  
Santiago Carbó Valverde, David B. Humphrey y Rafael López del Paso
- 209/2005 La elasticidad de sustitución intertemporal con preferencias no separables intratemporalmente: los casos de Alemania, España y Francia.  
Elena Márquez de la Cruz, Ana R. Martínez Cañete y Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar
- 210/2005 Contribución de los efectos tamaño, book-to-market y momentum a la valoración de activos: el caso español.  
Begoña Font-Belaire y Alfredo Juan Grau-Grau
- 211/2005 Permanent income, convergence and inequality among countries  
José M. Pastor and Lorenzo Serrano
- 212/2005 The Latin Model of Welfare: Do 'Insertion Contracts' Reduce Long-Term Dependence?  
Luis Ayala and Magdalena Rodríguez
- 213/2005 The effect of geographic expansion on the productivity of Spanish savings banks  
Manuel Illueca, José M. Pastor and Emili Tortosa-Ausina
- 214/2005 Dynamic network interconnection under consumer switching costs  
Ángel Luis López Rodríguez
- 215/2005 La influencia del entorno socioeconómico en la realización de estudios universitarios: una aproximación al caso español en la década de los noventa  
Marta Rahona López
- 216/2005 The valuation of spanish ipos: efficiency analysis  
Susana Álvarez Otero
- 217/2005 On the generation of a regular multi-input multi-output technology using parametric output distance functions  
Sergio Perelman and Daniel Santin
- 218/2005 La gobernanza de los procesos parlamentarios: la organización industrial del congreso de los diputados en España  
Gonzalo Caballero Miguez
- 219/2005 Determinants of bank market structure: Efficiency and political economy variables  
Francisco González
- 220/2005 Agresividad de las órdenes introducidas en el mercado español: estrategias, determinantes y medidas de performance  
David Abad Díaz

- 221/2005 Tendencia post-anuncio de resultados contables: evidencia para el mercado español  
Carlos Forner Rodríguez, Joaquín Marhuenda Fructuoso y Sonia Sanabria García
- 222/2005 Human capital accumulation and geography: empirical evidence in the European Union  
Jesús López-Rodríguez, J. Andrés Faiña y Jose Lopez Rodríguez
- 223/2005 Auditors' Forecasting in Going Concern Decisions: Framing, Confidence and Information Processing  
Waymond Rodgers and Andrés Guiral
- 224/2005 The effect of Structural Fund spending on the Galician region: an assessment of the 1994-1999 and 2000-2006 Galician CSFs  
José Ramón Cancelo de la Torre, J. Andrés Faiña and Jesús López-Rodríguez
- 225/2005 The effects of ownership structure and board composition on the audit committee activity: Spanish evidence  
Carlos Fernández Méndez and Rubén Arrondo García
- 226/2005 Cross-country determinants of bank income smoothing by managing loan loss provisions  
Ana Rosa Fonseca and Francisco González
- 227/2005 Incumplimiento fiscal en el irpf (1993-2000): un análisis de sus factores determinantes  
Alejandro Estellér Moré
- 228/2005 Region versus Industry effects: volatility transmission  
Pilar Soriano Felipe and Francisco J. Climent Diranzo
- 229/2005 Concurrent Engineering: The Moderating Effect Of Uncertainty On New Product Development Success  
Daniel Vázquez-Bustelo and Sandra Valle
- 230/2005 On zero lower bound traps: a framework for the analysis of monetary policy in the 'age' of central banks  
Alfonso Palacio-Vera
- 231/2005 Reconciling Sustainability and Discounting in Cost Benefit Analysis: a methodological proposal  
M. Carmen Almansa Sáez and Javier Calatrava Requena
- 232/2005 Can The Excess Of Liquidity Affect The Effectiveness Of The European Monetary Policy?  
Santiago Carbó Valverde and Rafael López del Paso
- 233/2005 Inheritance Taxes In The Eu Fiscal Systems: The Present Situation And Future Perspectives.  
Miguel Angel Barberán Lahuerta
- 234/2006 Bank Ownership And Informativeness Of Earnings.  
Víctor M. González
- 235/2006 Developing A Predictive Method: A Comparative Study Of The Partial Least Squares Vs Maximum Likelihood Techniques.  
Waymond Rodgers, Paul Pavlou and Andres Guiral.
- 236/2006 Using Compromise Programming for Macroeconomic Policy Making in a General Equilibrium Framework: Theory and Application to the Spanish Economy.  
Francisco J. André, M. Alejandro Cardenete y Carlos Romero.



- 237/2006 Bank Market Power And Sme Financing Constraints.  
Santiago Carbó-Valverde, Francisco Rodríguez-Fernández y Gregory F. Udell.
- 238/2006 Trade Effects Of Monetary Agreements: Evidence For Oecd Countries.  
Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero y José Antonio Martínez-Serrano.
- 239/2006 The Quality Of Institutions: A Genetic Programming Approach.  
Marcos Álvarez-Díaz y Gonzalo Caballero Miguez.
- 240/2006 La interacción entre el éxito competitivo y las condiciones del mercado doméstico como determinantes de la decisión de exportación en las Pymes.  
Francisco García Pérez.
- 241/2006 Una estimación de la depreciación del capital humano por sectores, por ocupación y en el tiempo.  
Inés P. Murillo.
- 242/2006 Consumption And Leisure Externalities, Economic Growth And Equilibrium Efficiency.  
Manuel A. Gómez.
- 243/2006 Measuring efficiency in education: an analysis of different approaches for incorporating non-discretionary inputs.  
Jose Manuel Cordero-Ferrera, Francisco Pedraja-Chaparro y Javier Salinas-Jiménez
- 244/2006 Did The European Exchange-Rate Mechanism Contribute To The Integration Of Peripheral Countries?.  
Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero y José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
- 245/2006 Intergenerational Health Mobility: An Empirical Approach Based On The Echp.  
Marta Pascual and David Cantarero
- 246/2006 Measurement and analysis of the Spanish Stock Exchange using the Lyapunov exponent with digital technology.  
Salvador Rojí Ferrari and Ana Gonzalez Marcos
- 247/2006 Testing For Structural Breaks In Variance With additive Outliers And Measurement Errors.  
Paulo M.M. Rodrigues and Antonio Rubia
- 248/2006 The Cost Of Market Power In Banking: Social Welfare Loss Vs. Cost Inefficiency.  
Joaquín Maudos and Juan Fernández de Guevara
- 249/2006 Elasticidades de largo plazo de la demanda de vivienda: evidencia para España (1885-2000).  
Desiderio Romero Jordán, José Félix Sanz Sanz y César Pérez López
- 250/2006 Regional Income Disparities in Europe: What role for location?.  
Jesús López-Rodríguez and J. Andrés Faña
- 251/2006 Funciones abreviadas de bienestar social: Una forma sencilla de simultaneizar la medición de la eficiencia y la equidad de las políticas de gasto público.  
Nuria Badenes Plá y Daniel Santín González
- 252/2006 "The momentum effect in the Spanish stock market: Omitted risk factors or investor behaviour?".  
Luis Muga and Rafael Santamaría
- 253/2006 Dinámica de precios en el mercado español de gasolina: un equilibrio de colusión tácita.  
Jordi Perdiguero García

- 254/2006 Desigualdad regional en España: renta permanente versus renta corriente.  
José M. Pastor, Empar Pons y Lorenzo Serrano
- 255/2006 Environmental implications of organic food preferences: an application of the impure public goods model.  
Ana Maria Aldanondo-Ochoa y Carmen Almansa-Sáez
- 256/2006 Family tax credits versus family allowances when labour supply matters: Evidence for Spain.  
José Felix Sanz-Sanz, Desiderio Romero-Jordán y Santiago Álvarez-García
- 257/2006 La internacionalización de la empresa manufacturera española: efectos del capital humano genérico y específico.  
José López Rodríguez
- 258/2006 Evaluación de las migraciones interregionales en España, 1996-2004.  
María Martínez Torres
- 259/2006 Efficiency and market power in Spanish banking.  
Rolf Färe, Shawna Grosskopf y Emili Tortosa-Ausina.
- 260/2006 Asimetrías en volatilidad, beta y contagios entre las empresas grandes y pequeñas cotizadas en la bolsa española.  
Helena Chuliá y Hipòlit Torró.
- 261/2006 Birth Replacement Ratios: New Measures of Period Population Replacement.  
José Antonio Ortega.
- 262/2006 Accidentes de tráfico, víctimas mortales y consumo de alcohol.  
José M<sup>a</sup> Arranz y Ana I. Gil.
- 263/2006 Análisis de la Presencia de la Mujer en los Consejos de Administración de las Mil Mayores Empresas Españolas.  
Ruth Mateos de Cabo, Lorenzo Escot Mangas y Ricardo Gimeno Nogués.
- 264/2006 Crisis y Reforma del Pacto de Estabilidad y Crecimiento. Las Limitaciones de la Política Económica en Europa.  
Ignacio Álvarez Peralta.
- 265/2006 Have Child Tax Allowances Affected Family Size? A Microdata Study For Spain (1996-2000).  
Jaime Vallés-Giménez y Anabel Zárate-Marco.
- 266/2006 Health Human Capital And The Shift From Foraging To Farming.  
Paolo Rungo.
- 267/2006 Financiación Autonómica y Política de la Competencia: El Mercado de Gasolina en Canarias.  
Juan Luis Jiménez y Jordi Perdiguero.
- 268/2006 El cumplimiento del Protocolo de Kyoto para los hogares españoles: el papel de la imposición sobre la energía.  
Desiderio Romero-Jordán y José Félix Sanz-Sanz.
- 269/2006 Banking competition, financial dependence and economic growth  
Joaquín Maudos y Juan Fernández de Guevara
- 270/2006 Efficiency, subsidies and environmental adaptation of animal farming under CAP  
Werner Kleinhanß, Carmen Murillo, Carlos San Juan y Stefan Sperlich

- 271/2006 Interest Groups, Incentives to Cooperation and Decision-Making Process in the European Union  
A. Garcia-Lorenzo y Jesús López-Rodríguez
- 272/2006 Riesgo asimétrico y estrategias de momentum en el mercado de valores español  
Luis Muga y Rafael Santamaría
- 273/2006 Valoración de capital-riesgo en proyectos de base tecnológica e innovadora a través de la teoría de opciones reales  
Gracia Rubio Martín
- 274/2006 Capital stock and unemployment: searching for the missing link  
Ana Rosa Martínez-Cañete, Elena Márquez de la Cruz, Alfonso Palacio-Vera and Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar
- 275/2006 Study of the influence of the voters' political culture on vote decision through the simulation of a political competition problem in Spain  
Sagrario Lantarón, Isabel Lillo, M<sup>a</sup> Dolores López and Javier Rodrigo
- 276/2006 Investment and growth in Europe during the Golden Age  
Antonio Cubel and M<sup>a</sup> Teresa Sanchis
- 277/2006 Efectos de vincular la pensión pública a la inversión en cantidad y calidad de hijos en un modelo de equilibrio general  
Robert Meneu Gaya
- 278/2006 El consumo y la valoración de activos  
Elena Márquez y Belén Nieto
- 279/2006 Economic growth and currency crisis: A real exchange rate entropic approach  
David Matesanz Gómez y Guillermo J. Ortega
- 280/2006 Three measures of returns to education: An illustration for the case of Spain  
María Arrazola y José de Hevia
- 281/2006 Composition of Firms versus Composition of Jobs  
Antoni Cunyat
- 282/2006 La vocación internacional de un holding tranviario belga: la Compagnie Mutuelle de Tramways, 1895-1918  
Alberte Martínez López
- 283/2006 Una visión panorámica de las entidades de crédito en España en la última década.  
Constantino García Ramos
- 284/2006 Foreign Capital and Business Strategies: a comparative analysis of urban transport in Madrid and Barcelona, 1871-1925  
Alberte Martínez López
- 285/2006 Los intereses belgas en la red ferroviaria catalana, 1890-1936  
Alberte Martínez López
- 286/2006 The Governance of Quality: The Case of the Agrifood Brand Names  
Marta Fernández Barcala, Manuel González-Díaz y Emmanuel Raynaud
- 287/2006 Modelling the role of health status in the transition out of malthusian equilibrium  
Paolo Rungo, Luis Currais and Berta Rivera
- 288/2006 Industrial Effects of Climate Change Policies through the EU Emissions Trading Scheme  
Xavier Labandeira and Miguel Rodríguez

- 289/2006 Globalisation and the Composition of Government Spending: An analysis for OECD countries  
Norman Gemmell, Richard Kneller and Ismael Sanz
- 290/2006 La producción de energía eléctrica en España: Análisis económico de la actividad tras la liberalización del Sector Eléctrico  
Fernando Hernández Martínez
- 291/2006 Further considerations on the link between adjustment costs and the productivity of R&D investment: evidence for Spain  
Desiderio Romero-Jordán, José Félix Sanz-Sanz and Inmaculada Álvarez-Ayuso
- 292/2006 Una teoría sobre la contribución de la función de compras al rendimiento empresarial  
Javier González Benito
- 293/2006 Agility drivers, enablers and outcomes: empirical test of an integrated agile manufacturing model  
Daniel Vázquez-Bustelo, Lucía Avella and Esteban Fernández
- 294/2006 Testing the parametric vs the semiparametric generalized mixed effects models  
María José Lombardía and Stefan Sperlich
- 295/2006 Nonlinear dynamics in energy futures  
Mariano Matilla-García
- 296/2006 Estimating Spatial Models By Generalized Maximum Entropy Or How To Get Rid Of W  
Esteban Fernández Vázquez, Matías Mayor Fernández and Jorge Rodríguez-Valez
- 297/2006 Optimización fiscal en las transmisiones lucrativas: análisis metodológico  
Félix Domínguez Barrero
- 298/2006 La situación actual de la banca online en España  
Francisco José Climent Diranzo y Alexandre Momparler Pechuán
- 299/2006 Estrategia competitiva y rendimiento del negocio: el papel mediador de la estrategia y las capacidades productivas  
Javier González Benito y Isabel Suárez González
- 300/2006 A Parametric Model to Estimate Risk in a Fixed Income Portfolio  
Pilar Abad and Sonia Benito
- 301/2007 Análisis Empírico de las Preferencias Sociales Respecto del Gasto en Obra Social de las Cajas de Ahorros  
Alejandro Esteller-Moré, Jonathan Jorba Jiménez y Albert Solé-Ollé
- 302/2007 Assessing the enlargement and deepening of regional trading blocs: The European Union case  
Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero y José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
- 303/2007 ¿Es la Franquicia un Medio de Financiación?: Evidencia para el Caso Español  
Vanessa Solís Rodríguez y Manuel González Díaz
- 304/2007 On the Finite-Sample Biases in Nonparametric Testing for Variance Constancy  
Paulo M.M. Rodrigues and Antonio Rubia
- 305/2007 Spain is Different: Relative Wages 1989-98  
José Antonio Carrasco Gallego

- 306/2007 Poverty reduction and SAM multipliers: An evaluation of public policies in a regional framework  
Francisco Javier De Miguel-Vélez y Jesús Pérez-Mayo
- 307/2007 La Eficiencia en la Gestión del Riesgo de Crédito en las Cajas de Ahorro  
Marcelino Martínez Cabrera
- 308/2007 Optimal environmental policy in transport: unintended effects on consumers' generalized price  
M. Pilar Socorro and Ofelia Betancor
- 309/2007 Agricultural Productivity in the European Regions: Trends and Explanatory Factors  
Roberto Ezcurra, Belen Iraizoz, Pedro Pascual and Manuel Rapún
- 310/2007 Long-run Regional Population Divergence and Modern Economic Growth in Europe: a Case Study of Spain  
María Isabel Ayuda, Fernando Collantes and Vicente Pinilla
- 311/2007 Financial Information effects on the measurement of Commercial Banks' Efficiency  
Borja Amor, María T. Tascón and José L. Fanjul
- 312/2007 Neutralidad e incentivos de las inversiones financieras en el nuevo IRPF  
Félix Domínguez Barrero
- 313/2007 The Effects of Corporate Social Responsibility Perceptions on The Valuation of Common Stock  
Waymond Rodgers , Helen Choy and Andres Guiral-Contreras
- 314/2007 Country Creditor Rights, Information Sharing and Commercial Banks' Profitability Persistence across the world  
Borja Amor, María T. Tascón and José L. Fanjul
- 315/2007 ¿Es Relevante el Déficit Corriente en una Unión Monetaria? El Caso Español  
Javier Blanco González y Ignacio del Rosal Fernández
- 316/2007 The Impact of Credit Rating Announcements on Spanish Corporate Fixed Income Performance: Returns, Yields and Liquidity  
Pilar Abad, Antonio Díaz and M. Dolores Robles
- 317/2007 Indicadores de Lealtad al Establecimiento y Formato Comercial Basados en la Distribución del Presupuesto  
Cesar Augusto Bustos Reyes y Óscar González Benito
- 318/2007 Migrants and Market Potential in Spain over The XXth Century: A Test Of The New Economic Geography  
Daniel A. Tirado, Jordi Pons, Elisenda Paluzie and Javier Silvestre
- 319/2007 El Impacto del Coste de Oportunidad de la Actividad Emprendedora en la Intención de los Ciudadanos Europeos de Crear Empresas  
Luis Miguel Zapico Aldeano
- 320/2007 Los belgas y los ferrocarriles de vía estrecha en España, 1887-1936  
Alberte Martínez López
- 321/2007 Competición política bipartidista. Estudio geométrico del equilibrio en un caso ponderado  
Isabel Lillo, M<sup>a</sup> Dolores López y Javier Rodrigo
- 322/2007 Human resource management and environment management systems: an empirical study  
M<sup>a</sup> Concepción López Fernández, Ana M<sup>a</sup> Serrano Bedía and Gema García Piqueres

- 323/2007 Wood and industrialization. evidence and hypotheses from the case of Spain, 1860-1935.  
Iñaki Iriarte-Goñi and María Isabel Ayuda Bosque
- 324/2007 New evidence on long-run monetary neutrality.  
J. Cunado, L.A. Gil-Alana and F. Perez de Gracia
- 325/2007 Monetary policy and structural changes in the volatility of us interest rates.  
Juncal Cuñado, Javier Gomez Biscarri and Fernando Perez de Gracia
- 326/2007 The productivity effects of intrafirm diffusion.  
Lucio Fuentelsaz, Jaime Gómez and Sergio Palomas
- 327/2007 Unemployment duration, layoffs and competing risks.  
J.M. Arranz, C. García-Serrano and L. Toharia
- 328/2007 El grado de cobertura del gasto público en España respecto a la UE-15  
Nuria Rueda, Begoña Barruso, Carmen Calderón y M<sup>a</sup> del Mar Herrador
- 329/2007 The Impact of Direct Subsidies in Spain before and after the CAP'92 Reform  
Carmen Murillo, Carlos San Juan and Stefan Sperlich
- 330/2007 Determinants of post-privatisation performance of Spanish divested firms  
Laura Cabeza García and Silvia Gómez Ansón
- 331/2007 ¿Por qué deciden diversificar las empresas españolas? Razones oportunistas versus razones económicas  
Almudena Martínez Campillo
- 332/2007 Dynamical Hierarchical Tree in Currency Markets  
Juan Gabriel Brida, David Matesanz Gómez and Wiston Adrián Risso
- 333/2007 Los determinantes sociodemográficos del gasto sanitario. Análisis con microdatos individuales  
Ana María Angulo, Ramón Barberán, Pilar Egea y Jesús Mur
- 334/2007 Why do companies go private? The Spanish case  
Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar
- 335/2007 The use of gis to study transport for disabled people  
Verónica Cañal Fernández
- 336/2007 The long run consequences of M&A: An empirical application  
Cristina Bernad, Lucio Fuentelsaz and Jaime Gómez
- 337/2007 Las clasificaciones de materias en economía: principios para el desarrollo de una nueva clasificación  
Valentín Edo Hernández
- 338/2007 Reforming Taxes and Improving Health: A Revenue-Neutral Tax Reform to Eliminate Medical and Pharmaceutical VAT  
Santiago Álvarez-García, Carlos Pestana Barros y Juan Prieto-Rodríguez
- 339/2007 Impacts of an iron and steel plant on residential property values  
Celia Bilbao-Terol
- 340/2007 Firm size and capital structure: Evidence using dynamic panel data  
Victor M. González and Francisco González

- 341/2007 ¿Cómo organizar una cadena hotelera? La elección de la forma de gobierno  
Marta Fernández Barcala y Manuel González Díaz
- 342/2007 Análisis de los efectos de la decisión de diversificar: un contraste del marco teórico “Agencia-  
Stewardship”  
Almudena Martínez Campillo y Roberto Fernández Gago
- 343/2007 Selecting portfolios given multiple eurostoxx-based uncertainty scenarios: a stochastic goal pro-  
gramming approach from fuzzy betas  
Enrique Ballester, Blanca Pérez-Gladish, Mar Arenas-Parra and Amelia Bilbao-Terol
- 344/2007 “El bienestar de los inmigrantes y los factores implicados en la decisión de emigrar”  
Anastasia Hernández Alemán y Carmelo J. León
- 345/2007 Governance Decisions in the R&D Process: An Integrative Framework Based on TCT and Know-  
ledge View of The Firm.  
Andrea Martínez-Noya and Esteban García-Canal
- 346/2007 Diferencias salariales entre empresas públicas y privadas. El caso español  
Begoña Cueto y Nuria Sánchez- Sánchez
- 347/2007 Effects of Fiscal Treatments of Second Home Ownership on Renting Supply  
Celia Bilbao Terol and Juan Prieto Rodríguez
- 348/2007 Auditors’ ethical dilemmas in the going concern evaluation  
Andres Guiral, Waymond Rodgers, Emiliano Ruiz and Jose A. Gonzalo
- 349/2007 Convergencia en capital humano en España. Un análisis regional para el periodo 1970-2004  
Susana Morales Sequera y Carmen Pérez Esparrells
- 350/2007 Socially responsible investment: mutual funds portfolio selection using fuzzy multiobjective pro-  
gramming  
Blanca M<sup>a</sup> Pérez-Gladish, Mar Arenas-Parra , Amelia Bilbao-Terol and M<sup>a</sup> Victoria Rodríguez-  
Uría
- 351/2007 Persistencia del resultado contable y sus componentes: implicaciones de la medida de ajustes por  
devengo  
Raúl Iñiguez Sánchez y Francisco Poveda Fuentes
- 352/2007 Wage Inequality and Globalisation: What can we Learn from the Past? A General Equilibrium  
Approach  
Concha Betrán, Javier Ferri and Maria A. Pons
- 353/2007 Eficacia de los incentivos fiscales a la inversión en I+D en España en los años noventa  
Desiderio Romero Jordán y José Félix Sanz Sanz
- 354/2007 Convergencia regional en renta y bienestar en España  
Robert Meneu Gaya
- 355/2007 Tributación ambiental: Estado de la Cuestión y Experiencia en España  
Ana Carrera Poncela
- 356/2007 Salient features of dependence in daily us stock market indices  
Luis A. Gil-Alana, Juncal Cuñado and Fernando Pérez de Gracia
- 357/2007 La educación superior: ¿un gasto o una inversión rentable para el sector público?  
Inés P. Murillo y Francisco Pedraja

- 358/2007 Effects of a reduction of working hours on a model with job creation and job destruction  
Emilio Domínguez, Miren Ullibarri y Idoya Zabaleta
- 359/2007 Stock split size, signaling and earnings management: Evidence from the Spanish market  
José Yagüe, J. Carlos Gómez-Sala and Francisco Poveda-Fuentes
- 360/2007 Modelización de las expectativas y estrategias de inversión en mercados de derivados  
Begoña Font-Belaire
- 361/2008 Trade in capital goods during the golden age, 1953-1973  
M<sup>a</sup> Teresa Sanchis and Antonio Cubel
- 362/2008 El capital económico por riesgo operacional: una aplicación del modelo de distribución de pérdidas  
Enrique José Jiménez Rodríguez y José Manuel Fera Domínguez
- 363/2008 The drivers of effectiveness in competition policy  
Joan-Ramon Borrell and Juan-Luis Jiménez
- 364/2008 Corporate governance structure and board of directors remuneration policies: evidence from Spain  
Carlos Fernández Méndez, Rubén Arrondo García and Enrique Fernández Rodríguez
- 365/2008 Beyond the disciplinary role of governance: how boards and donors add value to Spanish foundations  
Pablo De Andrés Alonso, Valentín Azofra Palenzuela y M. Elena Romero Merino
- 366/2008 Complejidad y perfeccionamiento contractual para la contención del oportunismo en los acuerdos de franquicia  
Vanessa Solís Rodríguez y Manuel González Díaz
- 367/2008 Inestabilidad y convergencia entre las regiones europeas  
Jesús Mur, Fernando López y Ana Angulo
- 368/2008 Análisis espacial del cierre de explotaciones agrarias  
Ana Aldanondo Ochoa, Carmen Almansa Sáez y Valero Casanovas Oliva
- 369/2008 Cross-Country Efficiency Comparison between Italian and Spanish Public Universities in the period 2000-2005  
Tommaso Agasisti and Carmen Pérez Esparrells
- 370/2008 El desarrollo de la sociedad de la información en España: un análisis por comunidades autónomas  
María Concepción García Jiménez y José Luis Gómez Barroso
- 371/2008 El medioambiente y los objetivos de fabricación: un análisis de los modelos estratégicos para su consecución  
Lucía Avella Camarero, Esteban Fernández Sánchez y Daniel Vázquez-Bustelo
- 372/2008 Influence of bank concentration and institutions on capital structure: New international evidence  
Víctor M. González and Francisco González
- 373/2008 Generalización del concepto de equilibrio en juegos de competición política  
M<sup>a</sup> Dolores López González y Javier Rodrigo Hitos
- 374/2008 Smooth Transition from Fixed Effects to Mixed Effects Models in Multi-level regression Models  
María José Lombardía and Stefan Sperlich



- 375/2008 A Revenue-Neutral Tax Reform to Increase Demand for Public Transport Services  
Carlos Pestana Barros and Juan Prieto-Rodríguez
- 376/2008 Measurement of intra-distribution dynamics: An application of different approaches to the European regions  
Adolfo Maza, María Hierro and José Villaverde
- 377/2008 Migración interna de extranjeros y ¿nueva fase en la convergencia?  
María Hierro y Adolfo Maza
- 378/2008 Efectos de la Reforma del Sector Eléctrico: Modelización Teórica y Experiencia Internacional  
Ciro Eduardo Bazán Navarro
- 379/2008 A Non-Parametric Independence Test Using Permutation Entropy  
Mariano Matilla-García and Manuel Ruiz Marín
- 380/2008 Testing for the General Fractional Unit Root Hypothesis in the Time Domain  
Uwe Hassler, Paulo M.M. Rodrigues and Antonio Rubia
- 381/2008 Multivariate gram-charlier densities  
Esther B. Del Brio, Trino-Manuel Níguez and Javier Perote
- 382/2008 Analyzing Semiparametrically the Trends in the Gender Pay Gap - The Example of Spain  
Ignacio Moral-Arce, Stefan Sperlich, Ana I. Fernández-Saínz and Maria J. Roca
- 383/2008 A Cost-Benefit Analysis of a Two-Sided Card Market  
Santiago Carbó Valverde, David B. Humphrey, José Manuel Liñares Zegarra and Francisco Rodríguez Fernández
- 384/2008 A Fuzzy Bicriteria Approach for Journal Deselection in a Hospital Library  
M. L. López-Avello, M. V. Rodríguez-Uría, B. Pérez-Gladish, A. Bilbao-Terol, M. Arenas-Parra
- 385/2008 Valoración de las grandes corporaciones farmacéuticas, a través del análisis de sus principales intangibles, con el método de opciones reales  
Gracia Rubio Martín y Prosper Lamothe Fernández
- 386/2008 El marketing interno como impulsor de las habilidades comerciales de las pyme españolas: efectos en los resultados empresariales  
M<sup>a</sup> Leticia Santos Vijande, M<sup>a</sup> José Sanzo Pérez, Nuria García Rodríguez y Juan A. Trespalacios Gutiérrez
- 387/2008 Understanding Warrants Pricing: A case study of the financial market in Spain  
David Abad y Belén Nieto
- 388/2008 Aglomeración espacial, Potencial de Mercado y Geografía Económica: Una revisión de la literatura  
Jesús López-Rodríguez y J. Andrés Faíña
- 389/2008 An empirical assessment of the impact of switching costs and first mover advantages on firm performance  
Jaime Gómez, Juan Pablo Maícas
- 390/2008 Tender offers in Spain: testing the wave  
Ana R. Martínez-Cañete y Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar

- 391/2008 La integración del mercado español a finales del siglo XIX: los precios del trigo entre 1891 y 1905  
Mariano Matilla García, Pedro Pérez Pascual y Basilio Sanz Carnero
- 392/2008 Cuando el tamaño importa: estudio sobre la influencia de los sujetos políticos en la balanza de bienes y servicios  
Alfonso Echazarra de Gregorio
- 393/2008 Una visión cooperativa de las medidas ante el posible daño ambiental de la desalación  
Borja Montaña Sanz
- 394/2008 Efectos externos del endeudamiento sobre la calificación crediticia de las Comunidades Autónomas  
Andrés Leal Marcos y Julio López Laborda
- 395/2008 Technical efficiency and productivity changes in Spanish airports: A parametric distance functions approach  
Beatriz Tovar & Roberto Rendeiro Martín-Cejas
- 396/2008 Network analysis of exchange data: Interdependence drives crisis contagion  
David Matesanz Gómez & Guillermo J. Ortega
- 397/2008 Explaining the performance of Spanish privatised firms: a panel data approach  
Laura Cabeza Garcia and Silvia Gomez Anson
- 398/2008 Technological capabilities and the decision to outsource R&D services  
Andrea Martínez-Noya and Esteban García-Canal
- 399/2008 Hybrid Risk Adjustment for Pharmaceutical Benefits  
Manuel García-Goñi, Pere Ibern & José María Inoriza
- 400/2008 The Team Consensus–Performance Relationship and the Moderating Role of Team Diversity  
José Henrique Dieguez, Javier González-Benito and Jesús Galende
- 401/2008 The institutional determinants of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions: A computational modelling approach using Artificial Neural Networks and Genetic Programming  
Marcos Álvarez-Díaz , Gonzalo Caballero Miguez and Mario Soliño
- 402/2008 Alternative Approaches to Include Exogenous Variables in DEA Measures: A Comparison Using Monte Carlo  
José Manuel Cordero-Ferrera, Francisco Pedraja-Chaparro and Daniel Santín-González
- 403/2008 Efecto diferencial del capital humano en el crecimiento económico andaluz entre 1985 y 2004: comparación con el resto de España  
M<sup>a</sup> del Pópulo Pablo-Romero Gil-Delgado y M<sup>a</sup> de la Palma Gómez-Calero Valdés
- 404/2008 Análisis de fusiones, variaciones conjeturales y la falacia del estimador en diferencias  
Juan Luis Jiménez y Jordi Perdiguero
- 405/2008 Política fiscal en la ue: ¿basta con los estabilizadores automáticos?  
Jorge Uxó González y M<sup>a</sup> Jesús Arroyo Fernández
- 406/2008 Papel de la orientación emprendedora y la orientación al mercado en el éxito de las empresas  
Óscar González-Benito, Javier González-Benito y Pablo A. Muñoz-Gallego
- 407/2008 La presión fiscal por impuesto sobre sociedades en la unión europea  
Elena Fernández Rodríguez, Antonio Martínez Arias y Santiago Álvarez García

- 408/2008 The environment as a determinant factor of the purchasing and supply strategy: an empirical analysis  
Dr. Javier González-Benito y MS Duilio Reis da Rocha
- 409/2008 Cooperation for innovation: the impact on innovatory effort  
Gloria Sánchez González and Liliana Herrera
- 410/2008 Spanish post-earnings announcement drift and behavioral finance models  
Carlos Forner and Sonia Sanabria
- 411/2008 Decision taking with external pressure: evidence on football manager dismissals in argentina and their consequences  
Ramón Flores, David Forrest and Juan de Dios Tena
- 412/2008 Comercio agrario latinoamericano, 1963-2000: aplicación de la ecuación gravitacional para flujos desagregados de comercio  
Raúl Serrano y Vicente Pinilla
- 413/2008 Voter heuristics in Spain: a descriptive approach elector decision  
José Luís Sáez Lozano and Antonio M. Jaime Castillo
- 414/2008 Análisis del efecto área de salud de residencia sobre la utilización y acceso a los servicios sanitarios en la Comunidad Autónoma Canaria  
Ignacio Abásolo Alessón, Lidia García Pérez, Raquel Aguiar Ibáñez y Asier Amador Robayna
- 415/2008 Impact on competitive balance from allowing foreign players in a sports league: an analytical model and an empirical test  
Ramón Flores, David Forrest & Juan de Dios Tena
- 416/2008 Organizational innovation and productivity growth: Assessing the impact of outsourcing on firm performance  
Alberto López
- 417/2008 Value Efficiency Analysis of Health Systems  
Eduardo González, Ana Cárcaba & Juan Ventura
- 418/2008 Equidad en la utilización de servicios sanitarios públicos por comunidades autónomas en España: un análisis multinivel  
Ignacio Abásolo, Jaime Pinilla, Miguel Negrín, Raquel Aguiar y Lidia García
- 419/2008 Piedras en el camino hacia Bolonia: efectos de la implantación del EEES sobre los resultados académicos  
Carmen Florido, Juan Luis Jiménez e Isabel Santana
- 420/2008 The welfare effects of the allocation of airlines to different terminals  
M. Pilar Socorro and Ofelia Betancor
- 421/2008 How bank capital buffers vary across countries. The influence of cost of deposits, market power and bank regulation  
Ana Rosa Fonseca and Francisco González
- 422/2008 Analysing health limitations in Spain: an empirical approach based on the European Community household panel  
Marta Pascual and David Cantarero

- 423/2008 Regional productivity variation and the impact of public capital stock: an analysis with spatial interaction, with reference to Spain  
Miguel Gómez-Antonio and Bernard Fingleton
- 424/2008 Average effect of training programs on the time needed to find a job. The case of the training schools program in the south of Spain (Seville, 1997-1999).  
José Manuel Cansino Muñoz-Repiso and Antonio Sánchez Braza
- 425/2008 Medición de la eficiencia y cambio en la productividad de las empresas distribuidoras de electricidad en Perú después de las reformas  
Raúl Pérez-Reyes y Beatriz Tovar
- 426/2008 Acercando posturas sobre el descuento ambiental: sondeo Delphi a expertos en el ámbito internacional  
Carmen Almansa Sáez y José Miguel Martínez Paz
- 427/2008 Determinants of abnormal liquidity after rating actions in the Corporate Debt Market  
Pilar Abad, Antonio Díaz and M. Dolores Robles
- 428/2008 Export led-growth and balance of payments constrained. New formalization applied to Cuban commercial regimes since 1960  
David Matesanz Gómez, Guadalupe Fugarolas Álvarez-Ude and Isis Mañalich Gálvez
- 429/2008 La deuda implícita y el desequilibrio financiero-actuarial de un sistema de pensiones. El caso del régimen general de la seguridad social en España  
José Enrique Devesa Carpio y Mar Devesa Carpio
- 430/2008 Efectos de la descentralización fiscal sobre el precio de los carburantes en España  
Desiderio Romero Jordán, Marta Jorge García-Inés y Santiago Álvarez García
- 431/2008 Euro, firm size and export behavior  
Silviano Esteve-Pérez, Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero and José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
- 432/2008 Does social spending increase support for free trade in advanced democracies?  
Ismael Sanz, Ferran Martínez i Coma and Federico Steinberg
- 433/2008 Potencial de Mercado y Estructura Espacial de Salarios: El Caso de Colombia  
Jesús López-Rodríguez y Maria Cecilia Acevedo
- 434/2008 Persistence in Some Energy Futures Markets  
Juncal Cunado, Luis A. Gil-Alana and Fernando Pérez de Gracia
- 435/2008 La inserción financiera externa de la economía francesa: inversores institucionales y nueva gestión empresarial  
Ignacio Álvarez Peralta
- 436/2008 ¿Flexibilidad o rigidez salarial en España?: un análisis a escala regional  
Ignacio Moral Arce y Adolfo Maza Fernández
- 437/2009 Intangible relationship-specific investments and the performance of r&d outsourcing agreements  
Andrea Martínez-Noya, Esteban García-Canal & Mauro F. Guillén
- 438/2009 Friendly or Controlling Boards?  
Pablo de Andrés Alonso & Juan Antonio Rodríguez Sanz

- 439/2009 La sociedad Trenor y Cía. (1838-1926): un modelo de negocio industrial en la España del siglo XIX  
Amparo Ruiz Llopis
- 440/2009 Continental bias in trade  
Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero & José Antonio Martínez Serrano
- 441/2009 Determining operational capital at risk: an empirical application to the retail banking  
Enrique José Jiménez-Rodríguez, José Manuel Fera-Domínguez & José Luis Martín-Marín
- 442/2009 Costes de mitigación y escenarios post-kyoto en España: un análisis de equilibrio general para España  
Mikel González Ruiz de Eguino
- 443/2009 Las revistas españolas de economía en las bibliotecas universitarias: ranking, valoración del indicador y del sistema  
Valentín Edo Hernández
- 444/2009 Convergencia económica en España y coordinación de políticas económicas. un estudio basado en la estructura productiva de las CC.AA.  
Ana Cristina Mingorance Arnáiz
- 445/2009 Instrumentos de mercado para reducir emisiones de co2: un análisis de equilibrio general para España  
Mikel González Ruiz de Eguino
- 446/2009 El comercio intra e inter-regional del sector Turismo en España  
Carlos Llano y Tamara de la Mata
- 447/2009 Efectos del incremento del precio del petróleo en la economía española: Análisis de cointegración y de la política monetaria mediante reglas de Taylor  
Fernando Hernández Martínez
- 448/2009 Bologna Process and Expenditure on Higher Education: A Convergence Analysis of the EU-15  
T. Agasisti, C. Pérez Esparrells, G. Catalano & S. Morales
- 449/2009 Global Economy Dynamics? Panel Data Approach to Spillover Effects  
Gregory Daco, Fernando Hernández Martínez & Li-Wu Hsu
- 450/2009 Pricing levered warrants with dilution using observable variables  
Isabel Abinzano & Javier F. Navas
- 451/2009 Information technologies and financial performance: The effect of technology diffusion among competitors  
Lucio Fuentelsaz, Jaime Gómez & Sergio Palomas
- 452/2009 A Detailed Comparison of Value at Risk in International Stock Exchanges  
Pilar Abad & Sonia Benito
- 453/2009 Understanding offshoring: has Spain been an offshoring location in the nineties?  
Belén González-Díaz & Rosario Gandoy
- 454/2009 Outsourcing decision, product innovation and the spatial dimension: Evidence from the Spanish footwear industry  
José Antonio Belso-Martínez

- 455/2009 Does playing several competitions influence a team's league performance? Evidence from Spanish professional football  
Andrés J. Picazo-Tadeo & Francisco González-Gómez
- 456/2009 Does accessibility affect retail prices and competition? An empirical application  
Juan Luis Jiménez and Jordi Perdiguero
- 457/2009 Cash conversion cycle in smes  
Sonia Baños-Caballero, Pedro J. García-Teruel and Pedro Martínez-Solano
- 458/2009 Un estudio sobre el perfil de hogares endeudados y sobreendeudados: el caso de los hogares vascos  
Alazne Mujika Alberdi, Iñaki García Arrizabalaga y Juan José Gibaja Martíns
- 459/2009 Imposing monotonicity on outputs in parametric distance function estimations: with an application to the spanish educational production  
Sergio Perelman and Daniel Santin
- 460/2009 Key issues when using tax data for concentration analysis: an application to the Spanish wealth tax  
José M<sup>a</sup> Durán-Cabré and Alejandro Esteller-Moré
- 461/2009 ¿Se está rompiendo el mercado español? Una aplicación del enfoque de feldstein –horioka  
Saúl De Vicente Queijeiro, José Luis Pérez Rivero y María Rosalía Vicente Cuervo
- 462/2009 Financial condition, cost efficiency and the quality of local public services  
Manuel A. Muñiz & José L. Zafra
- 463/2009 Including non-cognitive outputs in a multidimensional evaluation of education production: an international comparison  
Marián García Valiñas & Manuel Antonio Muñiz Pérez
- 464/2009 A political look into budget deficits. The role of minority governments and oppositions  
Albert Falcó-Gimeno & Ignacio Jurado
- 465/2009 La simulación del cuadro de mando integral. Una herramienta de aprendizaje en la materia de contabilidad de gestión  
Elena Urquía Grande, Clara Isabel Muñoz Colomina y Elisa Isabel Cano Montero
- 466/2009 Análisis histórico de la importancia de la industria de la desalinización en España  
Borja Montaña Sanz
- 467/2009 The dynamics of trade and innovation: a joint approach  
Silviano Esteve-Pérez & Diego Rodríguez
- 468/2009 Measuring international reference-cycles  
Sonia de Lucas Santos, Inmaculada Álvarez Ayuso & M<sup>a</sup> Jesús Delgado Rodríguez
- 469/2009 Measuring quality of life in Spanish municipalities  
Eduardo González Fidalgo, Ana Cárcaba García, Juan Ventura Victoria & Jesús García García
- 470/2009 ¿Cómo se valoran las acciones españolas: en el mercado de capitales doméstico o en el europeo?  
Begoña Font Belaire y Alfredo Juan Grau Grau
- 471/2009 Patterns of e-commerce adoption and intensity. evidence for the european union-27  
María Rosalía Vicente & Ana Jesús López

- 472/2009 On measuring the effect of demand uncertainty on costs: an application to port terminals  
Ana Rodríguez-Álvarez, Beatriz Tovar & Alan Wall
- 473/2009 Order of market entry, market and technological evolution and firm competitive performance  
Jaime Gomez, Gianvito Lanzolla & Juan Pablo Maicas
- 474/2009 La Unión Económica y Monetaria Europea en el proceso exportador de Castilla y León (1993-2007): un análisis de datos de panel  
Almudena Martínez Campillo y M<sup>a</sup> del Pilar Sierra Fernández
- 475/2009 Do process innovations boost SMEs productivity growth?  
Juan A. Mañez, María E. Rochina Barrachina, Amparo Sanchis Llopis & Juan A. Sanchis Llopis
- 476/2009 Incertidumbre externa y elección del modo de entrada en el marco de la inversión directa en el exterior  
Cristina López Duarte y Marta M<sup>a</sup> Vidal Suárez
- 477/2009 Testing for structural breaks in factor loadings: an application to international business cycle  
José Luis Cendejas Bueno, Sonia de Lucas Santos, Inmaculada Álvarez Ayuso & M<sup>a</sup> Jesús Delgado Rodríguez
- 478/2009 ¿Esconde la rigidez de precios la existencia de colusión? El caso del mercado de carburantes en las Islas Canarias  
Juan Luis Jiménez y Jordi Perdiguero
- 479/2009 The poni test with structural breaks  
Antonio Aznar & María-Isabel Ayuda
- 480/2009 Accuracy and reliability of Spanish regional accounts (CRE-95)  
Verónica Cañal Fernández
- 481/2009 Estimating regional variations of R&D effects on productivity growth by entropy econometrics  
Esteban Fernández-Vázquez y Fernando Rubiera-Morollón
- 482/2009 Why do local governments privatize the provision of water services? Empirical evidence from Spain  
Francisco González-Gómez, Andrés J. Picazo-Tadeo & Jorge Guardiola
- 483/2009 Assessing the regional digital divide across the European Union-27  
María Rosalía Vicente & Ana Jesús López
- 484/2009 Measuring educational efficiency and its determinants in Spain with parametric distance functions  
José Manuel Cordero Ferrera, Eva Crespo Cebada & Daniel Santín González
- 485/2009 Spatial analysis of public employment services in the Spanish provinces  
Patricia Suárez Cano & Matías Mayor Fernández
- 486/2009 Trade effects of continental and intercontinental preferential trade agreements  
Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero & José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
- 487/2009 Testing the accuracy of DEA for measuring efficiency in education under endogeneity  
Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero & José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
- 488/2009 Measuring efficiency in primary health care: the effect of exogenous variables on results  
José Manuel Cordero Ferrera, Eva Crespo Cebada & Luis R. Murillo Zamorano

- 489/2009 Capital structure determinants in growth firms accessing venture funding  
Marina Balboa, José Martí & Álvaro Tresierra
- 490/2009 Determinants of debt maturity structure across firm size  
Victor M. González
- 491/2009 Análisis del efecto de la aplicación de las NIIF en la valoración de las salidas a bolsa  
Susana Álvarez Otero y Eduardo Rodríguez Enríquez
- 492/2009 An analysis of urban size and territorial location effects on employment probabilities: the spanish case  
Ana Viñuela-Jiménez, Fernando Rubiera-Morollón & Begoña Cueto
- 493/2010 Determinantes de la estructura de los consejos de administración en España  
Isabel Acero Fraile y Nuria Alcalde Fradejas
- 494/2010 Performance and completeness in repeated inter-firm relationships: the case of franchising  
Vanessa Solis-Rodriguez & Manuel Gonzalez-Diaz
- 495/2010 A Revenue-Based Frontier Measure of Banking Competition  
Santiago Carbó, David Humphrey & Francisco Rodríguez
- 496/2010 Categorical segregation in social networks  
Antoni Rubí-Barceló
- 497/2010 Beneficios ambientales no comerciales de la directiva marco del agua en condiciones de escasez: análisis económico para el Guadalquivir  
Julia Martin-Ortega, Giacomo Giannoccaro y Julio Berbel Vecino
- 498/2010 Monetary integration and risk diversification in eu-15 sovereign debt markets  
Juncal Cuñado & Marta Gómez-Puig
- 499/2010 The Marshall Plan and the Spanish autarky: A welfare loss analysis  
José Antonio Carrasco Gallego
- 500/2010 The role of learning in firm R&D persistence  
Juan A. Mañez, María E. Rochina-Barrachina, Amparo Sanchis-Llopis & Juan A. Sanchis-Llopis
- 501/2010 Is venture capital more than just money?  
Marina Balboa, José Martí & Nina Zieling
- 502/2010 On the effects of supply strategy on business performance: do the relationships among generic competitive objectives matter?  
Javier González-Benito
- 503/2010 Corporate cash holding and firm value  
Cristina Martínez-Sola, Pedro J. García-Teruel & Pedro Martínez-Solano
- 504/2010 El impuesto de flujos de caja de sociedades: una propuesta de base imponible y su aproximación contable en España  
Lourdes Jerez Barroso y Joaquín Teixeira Quirós
- 505/2010 The effect of technological, commercial and human resources on the use of new technology  
Jaime Gómez & Pilar Vargas



- 506/2010 ¿Cómo ha afectado la fiscalidad a la rentabilidad de la inversión en vivienda en España?  
Un análisis para el periodo 1996 y 2007  
Jorge Onrubia Fernández y María del Carmen Rodado Ruiz
- 507/2010 Modelización de flujos en el análisis input-output a partir de la teoría de redes  
Ana Salomé García Muñiz
- 508/2010 Export-led-growth hypothesis revisited. a balance of payments approach for Argentina, Brazil, Chile and Mexico  
David Matesanz Gómez & Guadalupe Fugarolas Álvarez-Ude
- 509/2010 Realised hedge ratio properties, performance and implications for risk management: evidence from the spanish ibex 35 spot and futures markets  
David G McMillan & Raquel Quiroga García
- 510/2010 Do we sack the manager... or is it better not to? Evidence from Spanish professional football  
Francisco González-Gómez, Andrés J. Picazo-Tadeo & Miguel Á. García-Rubio
- 511/2010 Have Spanish port sector reforms during the last two decades been successful? A cost frontier approach  
Ana Rodríguez-Álvarez & Beatriz Tovar
- 512/2010 Size & Regional Distribution of Financial Behavior Patterns in Spain  
Juan Antonio Maroto Acín, Pablo García Estévez & Salvador Roji Ferrari
- 513/2010 The impact of public reforms on the productivity of the Spanish ports: a parametric distance function approach  
Ramón Núñez-Sánchez & Pablo Coto-Millán
- 514/2010 Trade policy versus institutional trade barriers: an application using “good old” ols  
Laura Márquez-Ramos, Inmaculada Martínez-Zarzoso & Celestino Suárez-Burguet
- 515/2010 The “Double Market” approach in venture capital and private equity activity: the case of Europe  
Marina Balboa & José Martí
- 516/2010 International accounting differences and earnings smoothing in the banking industry  
Marina Balboa, Germán López-Espinosa & Antonio Rubia
- 517/2010 Convergence in car prices among European countries  
Simón Sosvilla-Rivero & Salvador Gil-Pareja
- 518/2010 Effects of process and product-oriented innovations on employee downsizing  
José David Vicente-Lorente & José Ángel Zúñiga-Vicente
- 519/2010 Inequality, the politics of redistribution and the tax-mix  
Jenny De Freitas
- 520/2010 Efectos del desajuste educativo sobre el rendimiento privado de la educación: un análisis para el caso español (1995-2006)  
Inés P. Murillo, Marta Rahona y M<sup>a</sup> del Mar Salinas
- 521/2010 Structural breaks and real convergence in opec countries  
Juncal Cuñado
- 522/2010 Human Capital, Geographical location and Policy Implications: The case of Romania  
Jesús López-Rodríguez, Andres Faiña y Bolea Cosmin-Gabriel

- 523/2010 Organizational unlearning context fostering learning for customer capital through time: lessons from SMEs in the telecommunications industry  
Anthony K. P. Wensley, Antonio Leal-Millán, Gabriel Cepeda-Carrión & Juan Gabriel Cegarra-Navarro
- 524/2010 The governance threshold in international trade flows  
Marta Felis-Rota
- 525/2010 The intensive and extensive margins of trade decomposing exports growth differences across Spanish regions  
Asier Minondo Uribe-Etxeberria & Francisco Requena Silvente
- 526/2010 Why do firms locate r&d outsourcing agreements offshore? the role of ownership, location, and externalization advantages  
Andrea Martínez-Noya, Esteban García-Canal & Mauro f. Guillén
- 527/2010 Corporate Taxation and the Productivity and Investment Performance of Heterogeneous Firms: Evidence from OECD Firm-Level Data  
Norman Gemmell, Richard Kneller, Ismael Sanz & José Félix Sanz-Sanz
- 528/2010 Modelling Personal Income Taxation in Spain: Revenue Elasticities and Regional Comparisons  
John Creedy & José Félix Sanz-Sanz
- 529/2010 Mind the Remoteness!. Income disparities across Japanese Prefectures  
Jesús López-Rodríguez, Daisuke Nakamura
- 530/2010 El nuevo sistema de financiación autonómica: descripción, estimación empírica y evaluación  
Antoni Zabalza y Julio López Laborda
- 531/2010 Markups, bargaining power and offshoring: an empirical assessment  
Lourdes Moreno & Diego Rodríguez
- 532/2010 The snp-dcc model: a new methodology for risk management and forecasting  
Esther B. Del Brio, Trino-Manuel Níguez & Javier Perote
- 533/2010 El uso del cuadro de mando integral y del presupuesto en la gestión estratégica de los hospitales públicos  
David Naranjo Gil
- 534/2010 Análisis de la efectividad de las prácticas de trabajo de alta implicación en las fábricas españolas  
Daniel Vázquez-Bustelo y Lucía Avella Camarero
- 535/2010 Energía, innovación y transporte: la electrificación de los tranvías en España, 1896-1935  
Alberte Martínez López
- 536/2010 La ciudad como negocio: gas y empresa en una región española, Galicia 1850-1936  
Alberte Martínez López y Jesús Mirás Araujo
- 537/2010 To anticipate or not to anticipate? A comparative analysis of opportunistic early elections and incumbents' economic performance  
Pedro Riera Sagrera
- 538/2010 The impact of oil shocks on the Spanish economy  
Ana Gómez-Loscos, Antonio Montañés & María Dolores Gadea

539/2010

The efficiency of public and publicly-subsidized high schools in Spain. evidence from pisa-2006  
María Jesús Mancebón, Jorge Calero, Álvaro Choi & Domingo P. Ximénez-de-Embún