MEASURING QUALITY OF LIFE IN SPANISH MUNICIPALITIES

Eduardo González Fidalgo Ana Cárcaba García Juan Ventura Victoria Jesús García García De conformidad con la base quinta de la convocatoria del Programa de Estímulo a la Investigación, este trabajo ha sido sometido a evaluación externa anónima de especialistas cualificados a fin de contrastar su nivel técnico.

ISSN: 1988-8767

La serie **DOCUMENTOS DE TRABAJO** incluye avances y resultados de investigaciones dentro de los programas de la Fundación de las Cajas de Ahorros.

Las opiniones son responsabilidad de los autores.

MEASURING QUALITY OF LIFE IN SPANISH MUNICIPALITIES

Eduardo González Fidalgo*

Ana Cárcaba García**

Juan Ventura Victoria*

Jesús García García**

Abstract

Measuring quality of life in municipalities entails two empirical challenges. First,

collecting a set of relevant indicators that can be compared across the municipalities in

the sample. Second, using an appropriate aggregating tool in order to construct a

synthetic index. This paper measures quality of life for the largest 237 Spanish

municipalities using 19 indicators using Value Efficiency Analysis (VEA) to derive the

comparative scores. VEA is a refinement of DEA (Data Envelopment Analysis) that

imposes some consistency in the weights of the indicators used to construct the

aggregate index. The indicators cover aspects related to consumption, social services,

housing, transport, environment, labour market, health, culture and leisure, education

and security. The results show that the Northern and Central regions in Spain attain the

highest levels of quality of life, while the Southern regions report low living conditions.

Education is the variable that requires the largest improvement in low performing

municipalities, followed health and culture facilities, pollution and crime. Population

density, growth and ageing seem to positively relate to quality of life.

Keywords: quality of life, welfare, municipalities, DEA, VEA

JEL classification: R00, O18, H75, C60

*Eduardo González Fidalgo, Dept. Business Administration, University of Oviedo, Av. Cristo s/n, 33006

Oviedo, Spain. E-mail: efidalgo@uniovi.es

* Dept. Business Administration, University of Oviedo

** Dept. Accounting, University of Oviedo

Acknowledgements: This research is part of the project SEJ2007-67001/ECON financed by the Research Agency of the Spanish Government.

1. **Introduction**

The local government or municipal level of the Administration in Spain is becoming increasingly relevant in the political debate of the last few years. Once the transfer of competences to the autonomous regions has been almost completed, the next challenge is to develop mechanisms that provide municipalities with the necessary resources to meet the most basic demands of the population. The living conditions of the municipality in which the citizen lives have an enormous impact on her personal quality of life and therefore should be a primary concern of public policies. A desirable goal of territorial cohesion policies is to achieve equity in living conditions throughout the length and breadth of the country. Unfortunately, as we show in this paper that goal is still far from being achieved.

On the empirical ground, measuring quality of life in municipalities entails two problems. First, a relevant set of indicators capable of approaching all the dimensions of quality of life must be identified. These dimensions are related to the economic, social, environmental and urban development of the municipality. In order to evaluate differences across municipalities, comparable data must be collected. Second, the indicators must be aggregated in a sensible manner to construct an index of quality of life that allows ranking municipalities and reporting overall improvement possibilities. The revision of the literature shows that several methodologies have been proposed and applied to different empirical settings. In this paper we rely on Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) and a recent extension called Value Efficiency Analysis (VEA) to aggregate the information and derive an index of municipal quality of life.

DEA is a non-parametric frontier analysis method that has been extensively used in analyzing the efficiency of production in firms and public organizations. In those contexts the variables used in the DEA analysis are inputs (factors that have a cost and should be kept to a minimum) and outputs (products that have a positive value and should be increased to their maximum). DEA consistently weights inputs and outputs to obtain a precise index of productive efficiency. The DEA setting can be adapted to the measurement of quality of life in municipalities by considering the indicators that imply drawbacks of living in a certain place as inputs (costly aspects that should be kept to a minimum) and the indicators that imply advantages as outputs (valuable factors that should be maximized). In using the DEA model to estimate an index of quality of life

we follow the pioneer work of Hashimoto and Ishikawa (1993) who applied this methodology to measure quality of life in Japan.

DEA is a reasonable method to aggregate the indicators of quality of life because it can easily handle multiple dimensions (inputs/outputs) without imposing much structure on the relationships between those dimensions. Other methodologies, hedonic pricing for instance, require the specification of functional forms on the relation between the indicators. However, DEA also has some important drawbacks that limit its empirical application. One of the most important limitations of DEA is its low discriminating power, especially when many dimensions are taken into account and the sample size is limited (Ali, 1994). In those cases, DEA results show a considerable number of Decision Making Units (DMUs) on the frontier, even though some of them would be considered as low performers with a more delicate inspection of the data. These DMUs obtain a score of 100% simply because they are not comparable to the rest of the sample in one or other dimension¹. In fact, the DEA score is a weighted index of inputs and outputs and each municipality has an extreme degree of flexibility to choose those weights. Each municipality is free to select its own weights and is compared with the achievement that other municipalities would attain with those particular weights. We believe that some flexibility is desirable to express differences in specific municipality features but not to the extent of allowing total disparity.

Some recent advances in the DEA methodology, namely VEA-Value Efficiency Analysis, are useful to handle the absolute weight flexibility problem, at the cost of increased analytical complexities. The objective of this paper is to obtain quality of life scores for all the municipalities in Spain with population over 25000 using VEA. We will compare municipal data that includes both indicators of advantages (education, health facilities, wealth, etc) and drawbacks (unemployment, delinquency, pollution, travel times, etc.) associated with living in each city. To avoid the limitations of DEA's extreme flexibility of weights we will rely on VEA. This refinement of DEA adds a constraint on how the weights can be chosen by the different municipalities in the sample. As a result, VEA significantly improves both the discriminating power of DEA and the consistency of the weights on which the evaluation is based upon. The empirical

-

¹ Using the lowest quantity of an input, for instance. This problem is also present and intensified in variants of DEA such as FDH.

application also examines how the population characteristics of the municipalities relate to the estimated scores of quality of life.

The paper is structured as follows. Section 2 briefly reviews the literature on the measurement of the quality of life of municipalities. Section 3 describes the VEA model as an extension of conventional DEA. Section 4 presents the data and Section 5 discusses the empirical results. Concluding remarks are provided in a final section.

2. The measurement of quality of life

At the individual level, quality of life or welfare comes from the consumption of a series of economic and social tangible goods (food, health attention, amenities, etc.) and also from intangible factors such as personal emotions or attitudes. While the economic evaluation of the intangible drivers of quality of life falls out of the scope of actual measurement techniques, aggregate quality of life indicators at varying territorial levels have been commonly derived from the observation of tangible drivers. These measures can be a critical input to policy decision making if they are oriented towards achieving the maximum possible level of aggregate welfare. For example, resources available at the national level can be distributed to regions in order to equate quality of life conditions across the territory. The European policies of territorial cohesion have pursued this goal for decades as an attempt to improve the global welfare of Europeans, regardless the place of residence.

Not surprisingly, social welfare has always been a central topic of study in Economic sciences. However, its measurement has traditionally limited to very aggregate and monetary based variables taken from national accounting like the gross national product. Quality of life is related to many dimensions of life some of which are difficult to measure and report in national accounts. In order to provide an appropriate representation of all those dimensions a growing body of literature, known as the social indicators approach, has evolved using a series of economic, environmental and social indicators without the need to assign them monetary values for aggregation. An indicator can be understood as a measurable variable that approaches another theoretical variable of interest. On the empirical ground, this approach has produced a great advance in the measurement of aggregate welfare, since it allows including relevant variables that are difficult or impossible to monetize. At the local level of analysis the

main problem with this approach is the poor development of statistical sources that collect comparable data across municipalities (Zarzosa, 1996; 2005).

The social indicators approach faces two important empirical challenges. First, a complete set of indicators for all the relevant underlining dimensions of quality of life must be listed and measured. Second, a sound aggregation methodology must be applied to raw indicators in order to obtain a reasonable index of quality of life. With respect to the indicators to be used, the lists vary widely across studies and the main reason is data availability². However, the underlying dimensions of welfare that most authors attempt to approach with available indicators can be outlined as:

- Consumption
- Social services
- Housing
- Transport
- Environment
- Labour market
- Health
- Education
- Culture and leisure
- Security

One or more indicators can be used to account for each of the underlying dimensions of quality of life. The indicators that we use in this paper are representative of the 10 dimensions outlined above. For example, we use the unemployment ratio to approach current conditions in the labour market. The socio-economic level of the population and the buying share are used as indicators of purchasing power that account for consumption. Housing is approached by the per capita size of the houses and their living conditions. What is important is to use indicators that can approach each dimension and that are comparable across the municipalities in the sample.

With respect to the second empirical problem, the aggregation methodology, several approaches have been proposed in the literature. The most relevant are the synthetic indicator of multidimensional distance (DP₂) proposed by Pena (1977), the

² Also, different studies deal with different territorial levels of analysis (nations, counties, regions).

hedonic price methods proposed by Rosen (1979) and Roback (1982) and the data envelopment analysis (DEA) approach suggested by Hashimoto and Ishikawa (1993)³.

The multidimensional distance synthetic indicator (DP₂) is a mathematical function of the partial indicators that summarizes in a reasonable manner the original information contained in the indicators set. Its computation is based on adding up the differences between the value of each indicator and the minimum of that indicator, which is referred as the distance. Distances are then weighted by the standard deviation of the indicator and a correction factor that accounts for the portion of original new information that each variable contains (and is not contained in former indicators). Examples of the use of this method to measure quality of life in Spanish municipalities are the studies of Sánchez and Rodríguez (2003) for Andalusia and Zarzosa (2005) for Valladolid. Both papers use similar sets of economic and social indicators. Other recent studies apply this index to measure the quality of life of European nations (Somarriba, 2008; Somarriba and Pena, 2009).

Perhaps the most widely used methodological approach to the measurement of quality of life is the estimation of hedonic prices. This methodology traces back to the early work of Rosen (1979) and Roback (1982) who established that, given an equilibrium on the land and labour markets, the value of regional amenities and other determinants of quality of life should be capitalized in wages and rents (Deller et al., 2001). Therefore, differences in wages and rents should arise from underlying differences in quality of life. Blomquist, Berger, and Hoehn (1988) used this technique to estimate a quality of life index based on climatic, environmental and urban variables for a sample of cities. More recently, Gabriel, Mattey, and Wascher (2003) developed the model to include not only the price of factors with a local market but also data on municipal facilities. However, models based on hedonic price estimation face a very important reliability weakness. The coefficients estimated for municipal facilities and other quality of life factors are very sensitive to the functional forms imposed on the relationship between the indicators and wages or rents.

Non parametric approaches to the aggregation problem avoid the need to impose precise functional forms. Hashimoto and Ishikawa (1993) proposed the use of Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) to evaluate quality of life in the 47 prefectures of Japan.

²

³ Some authors also point to factor analysis as a valid aggregating methodology (Somarriba and Pena, 2009).

Although, DEA was initially developed to measure efficiency in production, some non-standard uses of this technique have been proposed in the literature focusing on the properties of DEA as a powerful aggregating tool. The aggregation is done by comparison of the indicators of each unit to the best practices observed, that form a referent frontier. While the application of DEA to the measurement of quality of life is still scant, we can cite several studies that use this methodology in different settings (Hashimoto and Isikawa, 1993; Hashimoto and Kodama, 1997; Despotis, 2005a,b; Marshall and Shortle, 2005; Murias, Martínez, and Miguel, 2006; Somarriba and Pena, 2009).

We believe that the DEA methodology has important advantages over alternative aggregation methods. First, it uses information on the underlying determinants of quality of life. Second, it does not impose a functional form on the relationship between the variables and does not require any assumption on market equilibria. Third, final scores are obtained by comparison. The DP2 measure also makes comparisons but it takes the minimum value of each variable as the reference. DEA in contrast constructs a comparison frontier from the best municipalities observed in the sample, on the basis of a comparative assessment of the indicators. A fourth advantage of DEA is that it provides each municipality with information on the improvements that should be made on each indicator in order to reach the quality of life frontier. Furthermore it informs of the municipalities that act as frontier references for each low performing municipality in the sample. For these reasons in this paper we rely on the DEA methodology to compute scores of quality of life for Spanish municipalities.

3. Methods

To compute the VEA scores of quality of life we must first obtain the DEA frontier for the municipalities in the sample. The DEA frontier identifies the municipalities that would be considered as the best referents under certain (conservative) assumptions. DEA was developed to measure relative efficiency by comparison of data on inputs and outputs of productive units. In this paper we will use the same setting of comparison but the inputs will be the drawbacks associated with living in a city and the outputs would be the advantages⁴. Even though there are many variants of DEA

⁴ The DEA approach tries to reduce inputs to the minimum possible because they imply a cost in production. It also tries to increase outputs to the maximum because they have a positive value for the productive firm. In our setting city drawbacks imply a cost of living in the municipality and should be reduced to a minimum, while advantages imply a benefit for citizens and should be increased to the frontier maximum. Thus, the parallelism is clear and the applicability of DEA to our research setting is granted. Throughout the paper we will refer indistinctly to inputs-drawbacks and outputs-advantages.

programs, in this paper we follow the traditional specifications of Charnes et al. (1978) for the constant returns to scale frontier (CCR) and Banker et al. (1984) for the variable returns to scale frontier (BCC). The CCR DEA model with an output orientation requires solving the next mathematical program for each DMU i in the sample⁵:

$$\min \frac{\sum_{m=1}^{M} v_m x_{im}}{\sum_{s=1}^{S} u_s y_{is}}$$

$$s.a:$$

$$\sum_{m=1}^{M} v_m x_{jm}$$

$$\sum_{s=1}^{S} u_s y_{js}$$

$$u_s, v_m \ge 0 , \forall s, m$$

$$(1)$$

where x_{im} represents the consumption of input m by DMU i, y_{is} represents the production of output s by DMU i, v_m is the shadow price of input m, and u_s is the shadow price of output s. The program finds the set of shadow prices that minimizes the production cost of unit i with respect to the value of its outputs, conditioned to obtain ratios larger or equal to 1 for all the other DMUs in the sample. If DMU i is on the frontier optimal shadow prices will give the minimum possible value for the ratio, i.e. 1. Underperformers would only attain values greater that 1 for the objective function. Fractional program (1) involves some computational complexities. Thus, it is preferable to solve the following equivalent linear program:

$$\min \sum_{m=1}^{M} v_m x_{im}$$

$$s.a:$$

$$\sum_{s=1}^{S} u_s y_{is} = 1$$

$$\sum_{s=1}^{S} u_s y_{js} - \sum_{m=1}^{M} v_m x_{jm} \le 0 \quad , \quad \forall j$$

$$u_s, v_m \ge 0 \quad , \quad \forall s, m$$

$$(2)$$

-

⁵ We describe the dual DEA programs instead of the more usual primal specifications because we will use the weights of inputs and outputs in these dual programs to perform the VEA analysis. Anyway, the primal specification would, of course, reach exactly the same solutions and provide the same performance indicators.

This program finds the shadow prices that minimize the cost of DMU i, but normalizing the output value to 1. If DMU i is on the best practice frontier it will obtain a cost equal to 1, while if it is below the frontier it will obtain a value greater than 1. In the last case the solution to the linear program must also identify at least another DMU within the sample that obtains the minimum cost of 1 with the shadow prices that are most favourable to DMU i. Program (2) is solved for every DMU in the sample, and each of them will obtain its most favourable set of shadow prices for inputs and outputs and the corresponding scores of quality of life. For an easier interpretation, it is common to use the inverse of the objective function in (2) as the performance score. Therefore, the score is bounded within the (0,1] interval and values lower than 1 reflect the distance to the best practice frontier.

Banker et al. (1984) relax the constant returns to scale assumption modifying linear program (2) to allow for variable returns to scale in the production technology:

$$\min \sum_{m=1}^{M} v_{m} x_{im} + e_{i}$$
s.a:
$$\sum_{s=1}^{S} u_{s} y_{is} = 1$$

$$\sum_{s=1}^{S} u_{s} y_{js} - \sum_{m=1}^{M} v_{m} x_{jm} - e_{i} \le 0 , \forall j$$

$$u_{s}, v_{m} \ge 0 , \forall s, m$$
(3)

where the intercept e_i is added to relax the CCR condition that forced the objective function to pass through the origin in (2). In program (3) that condition will only be satisfied if e^*_i =0. For values greater or smaller than 0 the reference in the frontier for the DMU will be located in a local zone with decreasing or increasing returns to scale, respectively. Most productive activities are subject to variable returns to scale and this is the reason why most empirical applications use the BCC program to measure technical efficiency of production. In our case we find no scale reasons that recommend applying the CCR or the BCC model to the measurement of quality of life of municipalities. However, all our indicators of drawbacks and advantages are ratios and this fact calls for a BCC specification of the DEA model (Hollingsworth and Smith,

2003). Thus, we consider that the BCC frontier is the most appropriate to evaluate quality of life in municipalities.

A distinctive feature of DEA is the absolute flexibility in the way the linear program can assign weights (shadow prices) for each particular DMU in the sample. Recall that the program is solved independently for each DMU and, then, shadow prices for inputs and outputs may be completely different from one DMU to another. The main argument to defend extreme weight flexibility in DEA is the convenience to obtain an evaluation of the performance of each DMU under its most favourable scenario. However, extreme flexibility may also be object of criticism because it often produces an extreme inconsistency in the values of the shadow prices across DMUs. To avoid this inconsistency the DEA literature has suggested some solutions to restrict the range of acceptable values for those weights (Thompson et al. 1986; Dyson and Thanassoulis, 1988; Allen et al. 1997; Roll et al. 1991; Wong and Besley, 1990; Pedraja et al. 1997; Sarrico and Dyson, 2004).

In turn, the problem of weights restriction methods is that they require making value judgements about the range of shadow prices that is considered appropriate. In order to facilitate the implementation of weight restrictions in practice Halme et al. (1999) proposed an alternative methodology under the name Value Efficiency Analysis (VEA). The objective of VEA is to restrict weights using a simple piece of additional information that must be supplied to the DEA program. The most notable difference between VEA and conventional methods of weights restriction is that instead of establishing appropriate ranges for shadow prices, an outside expert is asked to select one of the DEA-efficient DMUs as his Most Preferred Solution (MPS). Once the MPS is selected, the standard DEA program is supplemented with an additional constraint that forces the weights of the DMU under evaluation (i) to take the MPS (o) to the frontier. In other words, the new linear program requires that the optimal shadow prices selected by DMU i must also be good for the MPS. As this requirement is made for all the DMUs in the sample, the optimal sets of shadow prices of all the linear programs must be good for the MPS. Thus, the MPS forces a high degree of consistency in the sets of shadow prices across DMUs. An immediate effect of the VEA constraint is that DMUs that obtained a DEA score of 1 just because they had an extreme value in one input or output will only obtain a VEA score equal to 1 if they can resist the additional comparison with the MPS.

The BCC VEA program with an output orientation can be expressed as follows:

$$\min \sum_{m=1}^{M} v_{m} x_{im} + e_{i}$$
s.a:
$$\sum_{s=1}^{S} u_{s} y_{is} = 1$$

$$\sum_{s=1}^{S} u_{s} y_{js} - \sum_{m=1}^{M} v_{m} x_{jm} - e_{i} \le 0 \quad , \quad \forall j$$

$$\sum_{m=1}^{M} v_{m} x_{om} + e_{i} - \sum_{s=1}^{S} u_{s} y_{os} = 0$$

$$u_{s}, v_{m} \ge 0 \quad , \quad \forall s, m$$
(4)

Program (4) is identical to program (3) but the MPS constraint has been added. Thus, the MPS (o) must obtain a value of 1 with the shadow prices of DMU (i). Indirectly, this requirement restricts the range of shadow prices allowed to the range that makes the MPS (o) be part of the best practice frontier in all the linear programs⁶.

A controversial issue in VEA is how to select the MPS (Korhonen et al. 1998). Our empirical setting is designed to measure quality of life by comparing the drawbacks and advantages associated with living in the different municipalities of the sample. In this context, it would be difficult to find an expert that would provide the MPS. However, there are previous studies that evaluate the quality of life in the biggest Spanish cities using alternative methodologies. We will rely on their results to select a reasonable MPS for our sample.

4. Data

We are interested in measuring quality of life conditions in all the Spanish municipalities with population over 25000. Comparable municipal information is scant in Spain. The only database that contains comparable information for all the Spanish municipalities is the Census of Population and Housing (INE) which provides a very rich information to approach the drawbacks and advantages of living in different cities.

⁶ We used the software LINGO to solve the DEA and VEA programs of this research. While many packages are pre-programmed to solve DEA, we are not aware of anyone that can solve VEA. However, any mathematical programming software can be used to solve (4).

The most recent available data refers to 2001. Our final sample includes a total of 237 municipalities and is sufficiently large and representative to solve the DEA model proposed. We followed existing literature to choose the variables that could reasonably approach the relevant dimensions of quality of life in municipalities (Table 1).

Table 1. Variables used to approach quality of life in municipalities

Drawbacks (inputs)	Advantages (outputs)
Unemployment (UNEMP)	Socioeconomic condition (ASC)
Pollution (POLLUT)	Commercial market share (SHARE)
Lack of Parks (GREEN)	Cultural and sports facilities (CULT)
Lack of cleanliness (DIRT)	Health facilities (HEALTH)
Acoustic pollution (NOISE)	Education facilities (EDUC)
Delinquency/vandalism (CRIME)	Social care facilities (SOCIAL)
Bad communications (COM)	Average education level (AEL)
Time spent in journeys (TIME)	Post compulsory education (POST)
	University studies (UNIV)
	Avg. Net usable area (AREA)
	Living conditions (LIVCOND)

Data sources: Census of Population and Housing (Instituto Nacional de Estadística) Anuario Económico de España (La Caixa)

To approach the advantages of living in a municipality we use variables in 6 of the 10 categories listed in Section 2: Consumption, Social services, Housing, Education, Health, Culture and Leisure. Economic advantages of municipalities are measured with two variables. The Average Socio-economic Condition (ASC) is an index variable elaborated by INE that reflects the socio-economic status of the population, on the basis of the jobs declared by citizens⁷. The second variable is the Commercial Market Share (SHARE) of the municipality. This variable, taken from the Anuario Económico de España (La Caixa, 2001), is an index that measures the consumption capacity of a municipality in relation with the total consumption capacity of Spain⁸. It approaches purchasing power.

⁷ In the computation of this index, INE uses class marks that go from 0 (unemployed) to 3 (entrepreneur).

⁸ To compute this index, La Caixa takes into account the population, number of phones, automobiles, trucks and vans, banking offices and retail activities. In order to make this index comparable across municipalities we divided it by the population and multiplied by 25000.

Municipal facilities are approached with four variables⁹. Cultural and sports facilities (CULT) include theatres, cinemas, museums, art galleries, sports centres, etc. Health facilities (HEALTH) include hospitals and primary care centres. Education facilities (EDUC) include primary and secondary schools, colleges and nursery schools. Social care facilities (SOCIAL) encompass senior centres, social services, pensioner clubs, etc.

Education is approached with three variables. First, the Average Education Level (AEL) is an index variable computed by INE that indicates the average attainment of the population of the municipality¹⁰. To this variable we add the percentage of people that completed post-compulsory education (POST) and the percentage of the population with university studies (UNIV). Finally, housing advantages are accounted for with two variables, the Average Net Usable Area per capita (AREA) and an Index of Living Conditions (LIVCOND)¹¹.

With respect to the drawbacks of living in a municipality we use variables that approach the other 4 categories listed in Section 2: Labour Market, Environment, Security and Transport. Labour market drawbacks are approached by the Unemployment Rate (UNEMP). Environmental drawbacks are measured in four dimensions. First, POLLUT indicates the percentage of houses that notify problems of pollution and/or bad smells. Second, GREEN indicates the percentage of houses that notify scant green zones (gardens, parks) around. Third, DIRT measures the percentage of houses that report a poor cleanliness in surrounding streets. Fourth, NOISE measures the percentage of houses that complain from acoustic pollution.

The security of the municipality is approached by the percentage of houses that report problems of delinquency or vandalism (CRIME). Finally, transport problems are approached by two variables: the number of houses that report having bad communications (COM) and the average time employed in journeys to the school or job (TIME)¹².

-

⁹ To make the numbers comparable we divided the total number of facilities by the population and multiply by 25000. ¹⁰ For the computation of the index, INE uses class marks that go from 1 (illiterate) to 10 (PhD).

¹¹ This index, elaborated by INE, ranges from 0 to 100 and takes into account factors of the buildings as the age of construction, tumbledown status, hygienic conditions, running water, accessibility, heating, etc.

¹² The raw data distinguishes between these two destinations. Or variable is the arithmetic average of both. We also must indicate that INE does not compute an index associated with these variables. Instead the report includes the percentage of people on seven intervals that go from "less than 10 min" to "more than 90 min". We took mark classes in the mean of the intervals (90 for the last interval) and weighted each class mark by the percentage of population within the interval. The weighted sum can be interpreted as the average time employed to get to the school or job and is the variable used in this paper.

Table 2. Descriptive statistics of drawbacks and advantages

	Mean	SD		Min		Max
Drawbacks						
UNEMP	13.78	4.94	5.43	El Ejido	31.65	S. Lucar Barr.
POLLUT	21.32	9.68	6.65	Tres Cantos	72.80	Rivas Vaciam.
GREEN	39.95	13.40	8.21	Tres Cantos	75.02	Melilla
DIRT	33.53	10.51	9.88	Getxo	70.00	Cartagena
NOISE	34.21	8.47	11.22	Carballo	60.86	S.F. Henares
CRIME	23.84	10.50	5.11	Eibar	57.42	Sevilla
COM	14.09	7.75	3.27	Hellín	75.40	Boadilla Monte
TIME	22.14	5.61	11.32	Soria	39.59	Boadilla Monte
Advantages						
ASC	0.97	0.11	0.68	S. Lucar Barr.	1.27	Boadilla Monte
SHARE	60.13	6.71	47.56	Rivas Vaciam.	102.1	S.B. Tirajana
CULT	15.95	7.34	3.83	S.A. Rabanedo	49.0	Villena
HEALTH	29.51	23.39	6.63	Petrer	282.6	La Rinconada
EDUC	25.74	11.67	4.89	Galapagar	140.0	La Rinconada
SOCIAL	16.85	8.88	2.76	S.F. Henares	113.3	Aranjuez
AEL	2.81	0.22	2.27	El Ejido	3.48	Tres Cantos
POST	39.98	9.86	19.85	El Ejido	68.35	Tres Cantos
UNIV	13.50	6.99	4.31	Manacor	45.84	Las Rozas
AREA	31.22	3.87	20.45	Ceuta	52.12	Boadilla Monte
LIVCOND	64.35	4.86	47.88	Errentería	78.51	Tres Cantos

Table 2 shows some descriptive statistics of the variables used to approach the quality of life in Spanih municipalities. The table shows enormous differences between minimum and maximum values in almost all the variables considered. For instance, Las Rozas (Madrid) has 10.6 times more population with a university degree than Manacor (Balearic Islands). Or the green areas in Melilla are 9 times smaller than in Tres Cantos (Madrid). However, being best or worst in one or other dimension does not necessarily imply a very high or low quality of life. In many cases, a municipality excels in some dimensions and shows a poor performance in other. Table 2 evidence some of these cases. First, Boadilla del Monte (Madrid) for instance excels in socio-economic

condition and net usable area per capita but suffers from severe problems with communications which, in turn, imply time consuming journeys to job or school (4 times longer than living in Soria). Second, El Ejido (Andalusia) seems to be a nice place to find a job, as reflected by a very low unemployment rate (5.43). However, it shows very poor education attainments. This is why we need a technique capable of finding appropriate weights for the different dimensions that determine the overall quality of life. The VEA methodology explained in Section 3 allows setting reasonable weights for each dimension and constructing a meaningful aggregate indicator.

5. Results

The DEA model was run to obtain an initial best practice frontier. This is a necessary step to know which municipalities are located on the frontier and, thus, can be considered as appropriate candidates to be the MPS for the VEA analysis. Table 3 summarizes the DEA results for the 237 municipalities grouped by autonomous regions. The North and Central regions of Spain obtain scores of quality of life larger than the Southern regions. Navarre and La Rioja have all their 3 municipalities (over 25000 inhabitants) on the frontier. Aragon, Castile and Leon and Castile-La Mancha, the other large central regions of Spain, also show averages close to 1, with nearly half of the municipalities on the frontier. On the opposite case, Andalusia, Canary Islands and Murcia with only 12 out of 65 municipalities on the frontier show the poorest results with averages around 0.9. The other regions show mediocre results. Madrid and Asturias achieve mediocre averages with large standard deviations. In other words, some of the best and worst places to live in Spain may be found in Madrid and Asturias.

Table 3. Summary of DEA results grouped by autonomous regions

	n	Average	Min	Max	SD	Frontier (%)
Andalusia	45	0.899	0.767	1	0.065	8 (17.8)
Aragon	3	0.976	0.929	1	0.041	2 (66.7)
Asturias	6	0.928	0.859	1	0.052	1 (16.7)
Balearic Islands	4	0.987	0.948	1	0.026	2 (50)
Canary Islands	12	0.906	0.816	1	0.064	3 (25)
Cantabria	2	0.979	0.958	1	0.029	1 (50)
Castile and Leon	13	0.973	0.922	1	0.028	5 (38.5)
Castile-La Mancha	11	0.972	0.897	1	0.033	5 (45.5)
Catalonia	39	0.951	0.854	1	0.044	12 (30.8)
Valencia	29	0.954	0.864	1	0.049	10 (34.5)
Extremadura	6	0.950	0.910	1	0.039	2 (33.3)
Galicia	13	0.956	0.876	1	0.042	4 (30.8)
Madrid	26	0.939	0.808	1	0.055	9 (34.6)
Murcia	8	0.913	0.874	1	0.042	1 (12.5)
Navarre	2	1	-	-	-	2 (100)
Basque Country	15	0.967	0.881	1	0.043	7 (46.7)
La Rioja	1	1	-	-	-	1 (100)
Ceuta/Melilla	2	0.822	0.816	0.829	0.01	0 (0)
Total	237	0.940	0.767	1	0.057	75 (31.6)

Overall, the minimum score (0.767) is obtained by San Lucar de Barrameda, a municipality in Cádiz (Andalusia). Among the main drawbacks of living in this municipality we find the largest unemployment rate in the sample (31.65%) and lack of green zones (61.7%)¹³. It also has the lowest average socio-economic condition in the sample (0.68) and a very poor education attainment (AEL=2.31). To resist the comparison with the frontier this municipality should improve (at least) a 23%.

¹³ In the other dimensions is about the mean although far from the best performers.

A total of 75 municipalities in the sample obtain a DEA score equal to 1, which means they cannot make any (relative) improvement, given the data observed and the structure of the DEA program. Some of them belong to the frontier because they are excellent places to live in many or all the dimensions considered (e.g., Tres Cantos). In turn, other frontier municipalities do not excel in any dimension but have a good balance between drawbacks and advantages (e.g., Pamplona, Oviedo, Vitoria, San Sebastián). Still, some other municipalities reach the DEA frontier just because they excel in some dimension although they have mediocre results in other and therefore can be questioned as appropriate referents (e.g., El Ejido, Carballo, Boadilla del Monte)¹⁴.

There are two views about these last set of DEA-frontier municipalities. First, there can be certain specialization in the offers of municipalities as good or reasonable places to live and questioned frontier municipalities are simply the best possible referents to those that specialize in offering the same lures. The second view is that DEA is very flexible in evaluating municipalities with extreme data. These municipalities are allowed to assign unreasonable weights to drawbacks and/or advantages in the DEA program to reach the DEA frontier.

In our view, some of the results of the DEA analysis evidence the strong limitations of this technique in assigning reasonable weights. Some municipalities with very poor results are taken to the frontier simply because there is no other municipality that does better in some dimension of the quality of life setting. In other words, the flexibility of the weights allows some municipalities to put a very low value in those dimensions in which they perform poorly and a high value in those dimensions in which they perform better. El Ejido (Andalusia) is a perfect example of this. It achieves a DEA score equal to 1 giving a very high value (cost) to unemployment, since it is the municipality with the lowest unemployment in the sample. It would no matter if this country reduced its yet poor education attainment figures to half. It would still be on the DEA frontier just because it cannot be compared with any other municipality in terms of unemployment. Therefore, in this particular case, just one simple indicator completely determines the results of the DEA program. A close scrutiny of the data reveals that El Ejido is good in just one variable (unemployment), infamous in other

¹⁴ Boadilla del Monte is a municipality in Madrid that excels in many dimensions (education, socio-economic condition, housing, pollution). In change its citizens must incur costly hours driving to the schools or jobs and the level of facilities (health, cultural, etc) is relatively low.

variables (education, living conditions) and mediocre in the rest. Therefore it may not be considered as a good place to live and even less so a referent.

To increase the discriminating power of DEA and achieve a higher degree of congruence in the shadow prices assigned by the different municipalities in the DEA linear programs, we solved the VEA analyses using as MPS the city of Pamplona. We selected this city as the MPS on the basis of previous studies that approach the quality of life of Spanish municipalities using very different methodologies. OCU (2007)¹⁵ carried a survey to know the degree of satisfaction of citizens regarding the city where they lived. They only surveyed people in 17 of the largest Spanish cities, asking about 11 variables related with the quality of life (housing, culture, sports and amusement facilities, education, transport and communications, security, urban landscape, labour market, commercial activity, public administration and health attention). They also asked the citizens to weight the variables¹⁶. Pamplona obtained the best evaluation from its own citizens. Another study that highlights the virtues of Pamplona as a good referent and therefore candidate to be our MPS is Mercociudad elaborated by MERCO (2008). The methodology is based on a survey to 9000 citizens of the 78 cities with population over 100.000 in Spain but is complemented with the use of secondary sources of information and the criteria of experts. Their goal is not measuring the quality of life but rather the overall reputation of cities as attractors of tourists, businessman, cultural activity, etc. However, one of the rankings they elaborate refers to the 10 best cities to live in. Barcelona, Madrid, Valencia and Pamplona are the first four. Of these four only Pamplona is in our DEA frontier¹⁷.

Therefore, Pamplona is a nice place to live as reported by independent studies that rely on very different methodologies and also have a very good balance with respect to the drawbacks and advantages included in our quality of life framework. In all our 19 variables Pamplona stands much better than average, except for the variables that measure the number of facilities in which Pamplona is around the average.

¹⁵ OCU stands for Organización de Consumidores y Usuarios and is the largest consumers association in Spain.

¹⁶ Security was the main variable to account by citizens with an average weight of 18%, then labor market (15%), housing (13%) and health services (12%).

¹⁷ Therefore is the only one that can be used as MPS. Barcelona, Madrid and Valencia could not be considered as the MPS because the VEA program would not have a feasible solution because the city is not on the DEA frontier.

Pamplona excels in education attainment, communications and time to job or school, pollution and living conditions¹⁸.

Table 4. Summary of VEA results grouped by autonomous regions (MPS=Pamplona)

	n	Average	Min	Max	SD	Frontier (%)
Andalusia	45	0.889	0.767	1	0.063	4 (8.9)
Aragon	3	0.976	0.929	1	0.041	2 (66.7)
Asturias	6	0.924	0.857	1	0.051	1 (16.7)
Balearic Islands	4	0.967	0.933	1	0.033	1 (25)
Canary Islands	12	0.901	0.811	1	0.067	3 (25)
Cantabria	2	0.967	0.958	0.975	0.012	0 (0)
Castile and Leon	13	0.964	0.914	1	0.031	3 (23.1)
Castile-La Mancha	11	0.954	0.881	1	0.034	1 (9.1)
Catalonia	39	0.943	0.846	1	0.046	7 (17.9)
Valencia	29	0.938	0.856	1	0.044	2 (6.9)
Extremadura	6	0.950	0.910	1	0.040	2 (33.3)
Galicia	13	0.930	0.845	1	0.052	2 (15.4)
Madrid	26	0.920	0.782	1	0.059	6 (23.1)
Murcia	8	0.896	0.856	0.980	0.040	0 (0)
Navarre	2	1	-	-	-	2 (100)
Basque Country	15	0.957	0.881	1	0.044	5 (33.3)
La Rioja	1	1	-	-	-	1 (100)
Ceuta/Melilla	2	0.822	0.816	0.829	0.01	0 (0)
Total	237	0.928	0.767	1	0.057	42 (17.7)

The results of the VEA (Table 4) show a dramatic reduction in the number of municipalities that are ascribed to the quality of life frontier and a more moderate reduction in the average score of quality of life. Remember that now the linear programs search the weights that maximize the score of the municipality but those weights must

¹⁸ Other good candidates to be the MPS were Vitoria, Getxo and San Sebastian. However, we were not able to find the independent support of other studies as we did with Pamplona. We repeated the VEA analysis with these municipalities as MPS and found no important differences.

keep Pamplona on the frontier (i.e., the weights must be reasonable according to our reasonable MPS, Pamplona).

The number of frontier municipalities reduces from 75 (DEA) to 42 (VEA), a 44% reduction. This means that only 42 municipalities in the sample can fully justify their quality of life dimensions when using weights that are reasonable for Pamplona. To see how unreasonable some DEA results can be, the VEA score for El Ejido (Andalusia) is just 0.84, while it belonged to the DEA frontier. Carballo (Galicia) also falls from 1 to 0.85 and Boadilla del Monte (Madrid) abandons the frontier to fall to 0.98, penalized by its bad communications¹⁹. Analyzing the averages in the autonomous regions is noticeable the reductions in Valencia, Galicia and Madrid. These regions had 23 municipalities in the DEA frontier and only 10 in the VEA frontier.

The least VEA score is again obtained by San Lucar de Barrameda (Andalusia). The Central and North regions of Spain also obtain the largest indexes of VEA quality of life, although the scores in Castile-La Mancha suffered important reductions. Andalusia, Canary Islands, Murcia, and Ceuta/Melilla obtain the poorest scores and are closely followed by Madrid, Asturias, and Galicia. The standard deviation is very high in these regions while it remains moderate in the rest of Spain.

We have also computed the possibilities of improvement in all the 19 dimensions considered, taking into account the DEA frontier²⁰. We use the data of the output oriented model presented to compute improvement possibilities in the advantages of a municipality. The possibilities of reduction of the drawbacks are computed from the input oriented model results that were not reported in the previous tables. Table 5 shows the average reduction that should be achieved in drawbacks and the average improvement that should be achieved in advantages in order to take the 164 non-frontier municipalities of the sample to the quality of life DEA frontier. These

⁻

¹⁹ In the DEA program Boadilla del Monte assigned a weight 0 to communications and time to the job or school. Although it still is a good place to live it is no longer a referent (frontier) under the VEA formulation.

²⁰ We believe that using the VEA frontier for this purpose would be misleading since some reference points are in reality unattainable and are just taken to approximate the comparative value of the variables of a municipality.

results evidence that possibilities of improvement differ widely from one dimension to another²¹.

Table 5. Average % improvements required to reach the DEA quality of life frontier

	Mean	SD		Min		Max
Drawbacks						
UNEMP	37.23	17.23	1.47	Cornellá Llobr.	76.39	S. Lucar Barrameda
POLLUT	53.30	17.72	3.21	Torrevieja	89.77	Rivas Vaciamadrid
GREEN	37.74	14.88	1.47	Cornellá Llobr.	66.19	S. Coloma Gram.
DIRT	35.68	15.66	1.47	Cornellá Llobr.	65.84	Badajoz
NOISE	39.64	15.03	2.31	León	68.31	Xirivella
CRIME	50.04	18.82	1.70	Errentería	81.39	Torrevieja
COM	37.83	18.71	0.24	Manacor	78.70	Redondela
TIME	29.73	13.27	0.24	Manacor	64.04	Parla
Advantages						
ASC	17.54	12.05	0.41	Errenteria	57.46	S. Lucar Barrameda
SHARE	11.41	6.37	0.07	Manacor	30.33	S. Lucar Barrameda
CULT	59.70	62.35	0.41	Errenteria	406.7	Manacor
HEALTH	76.02	79.88	1.06	Barcelona	454.2	Telde
EDUC	59.26	53.27	1.15	Granada	314.3	Morón Frontera
SOCIAL	41.72	60.37	0.52	León	496.6	S. Fernando Henares
AEL	11.20	6.47	0.41	Errenteria	30.60	Palacios y Villafranca
POST	42.43	28.95	2.00	Toledo	143.2	Palacios y Villafranca
UNIV	129.16	98.24	2.02	Granada	603.1	Fuenlabrada
AREA	16.69	11.31	0.07	Manacor	60.19	Ceuta
LIVCOND	13.46	7.54	0.52	León	39.25	Melilla

On average, the percentage of population with a university degree is the variable that would need the greatest improvement with a 129,16%. There is also a great dispersion related to this variable. While Granada just requires a small improvement of 2.02%, Fuenlabrada (Madrid) would need to multiply by 6 the number of people with a university degree to reach the frontier. The number of facilities, especially those related to health assistance, education and culture/sports should also be considerably improved

²¹ Note that the DEA value reported before is equiproportional, and therefore is limited by the variable that admits the lower improvement. Table 5 adds to these equiproportional improvements the slacks that the linear program finds in all the quality of life dimensions.

(60% or more) in non-frontier municipalities in order to take them to the quality of life frontier. The commercial share, average education level and the living conditions of houses are the variables that would require the lowest improvements (about 10%).

With respect to the drawbacks travel times emerges as the dimension that needs the lowest average reduction to take municipalities to the frontier with a 29.7%. However, municipalities like Parla (Madrid) with a 64% reduction required suffer a more severe problem of transportation. Pollution is the drawback that admits the greatest reduction with an average of 53%, closely followed by crime and vandalism with a 50%, although the figure rises above 80% in municipalities like Torrevieja (Valencia) or Sevilla. Complete VEA results for the 237 municipalities are provided in an Appendix (Table A1)²².

Now that we have VEA quality of life scores for the entire sample, we are interested in testing whether there is a relationship between some population related variables of the municipality and the scores computed. For this purpose, we have compiled data on four variables from the INE databases: population, population density, population growth, and population aging. Conventional regression analysis is not an appropriate statistical tool to contrast the relationship between DEA or VEA scores and possible explanatory variables. The reason is that these scores are not normally distributed because they are bounded by one. One common solution is estimating a Tobit regression model with censoring at 0 and 1.

In the estimation, we allow for a quadratic relationship between quality of life and the total population of the municipality. We don't think that if a relationship exists it has to be monotonic and find no a priori reasoning to expect small or large municipalities to be better places to live. The density of the population may be positively related to quality of life. The reason is the existence of economies of agglomeration that may provide better living conditions in densely populated municipalities. Population growth should also be positively associated to the quality of life, since people will try to migrate to places with better living conditions. Aging may also be positively related with the quality of life, since older people (when they can

²² The Appendix also includes the DEA results in Table A2 to facilitate comparisons.

afford it) will migrate to places with more facilities and services. Table 6 shows the result of the Tobit estimation.

Table 6. Average % improvements required to reach the DEA quality of life frontier

	Coefficient	t	p	Sign. Level
Constant	0.358	7.08	0.000	***
Population	-0.00077	-1.15	0.249	-
Population ²	0.000008	0.50	0.613	-
Density	0.0753	2.61	0.009	***
Growth	0.0011	7.98	0.000	***
Aging	0.0147	11.14	0.000	***
Heterosc. Pop.	-0.0000031	-11.09	0.000	***

^{***} Significant at the 0.01 level

We find a U-shaped relationship between population and quality of life. However, the coefficients are not statistically significant. Therefore, we cannot affirm anything about the optimal size or scale of a municipality in terms of quality of life. In principle this result can be taken with optimism, since it indicates that the best quality of life can be achieved in all municipalities independently of the population level. The other three variables considered have significant coefficients in explaining the VEA scores. Population density shows a positive coefficient, which means that agglomeration has advantages in terms of quality of life. The municipalities with more population growth also report better scores of quality of life. This is an expected result since migrations should flow to the places in which life conditions are better. Finally, aging is also positively associated to quality of life. If purchasing power is positively associated with aging (and in our sample it certainly is) the quality of life of a municipality is a good that can be traded. Older people with purchasing power choose to migrate to those municipalities with better living conditions.

6. Concluding remarks

There are two main empirical problems in the measurement of quality of life in municipalities. The first one has to do with the data. Choosing a representative set of variables that approaches the drawbacks and advantages associated with living in each

municipality is essential to obtain meaningful results. Unfortunately the selection of variables is strongly constrained by the availability of comparable data. There is very scant comparable information about living conditions in Spanish municipalities. The only sources of comparable information that can be used are the INE surveys on population and housing and La Caixa's *anuario económico*²³. The INE surveys are very rich in variables that can approach the quality of life conditions of municipalities. We have selected 19 variables (8 drawbacks and 11 advantages) that approach the most relevant dimensions of quality of life: Consumption, Social services, Housing, Transport, Environment, Labour market, Health, Education, Culture and leisure and Security.

The second empirical problem is how to synthesize the information contained in the raw variables collected to construct an aggregate index of quality of life that can be useful for citizens and decision makers. We contend that the DEA methodology provides an excellent procedure to aggregate information in a sensible manner. DEA constructs a quality of life frontier and weights the drawbacks and advantages in the manner that is most advantageous to the municipality under analysis. If the municipality is on the frontier it has arguments to claim that its quality of life conditions resist comparisons with all the other comparable municipalities. If it is below the frontier, then there is at least another municipality that offers better quality of life conditions to its citizens.

However, the empirical application of DEA also has some important problems that we have tried to overcome in this paper. It is well-known the deficit in the discriminating power of DEA when DMUs are free to select the weights of the variables in the linear programs as is best for such DMUs. There are three ways to improve the discriminating power of DEA. First, the simplest procedure is to reduce the number of input-output dimensions to be considered in the model specification. The cost of this approach is that information that may be relevant to discriminate is overlooked. Second, the sample size may be increased. Theoretically, this would be the best solution although, unfortunately, it may be not feasible (in practice) when the researcher is working with complete populations, as it is often the case. A third approach is to improve the discriminating power of the model by supplying some additional

²³ Caja España also provides on its webpage a municipal database, but most of the information is taken from the INE statistics.

information on how the discrimination must be done. Value Efficiency Analysis (VEA) was developed to easily incorporate a piece of qualitative information within the DEA specification. This information corresponds to the identification of a Most Preferred Solution (MPS) that acts as an ideal reference on the eyes of an expert. Our results show that VEA significantly increased the discriminating power of DEA and achieved more congruence in the weights of the variables used in the analysis.

The paper applied both DEA and VEA methodologies to quality of life data on a sample of 237 Spanish municipalities during the year 2001. The sample includes all the municipalities over 25000 inhabitants for which we were able to compile complete data²⁴. Our sample nearly comprises 61% of the Spanish population. The DEA scores show moderately high average levels of quality of life, with an average of 0.94. However, after the weights are forced to have some degree of consistency in the VEA analysis, the average decreases to 0.928. From 75 DEA frontier municipalities only 42 are also on the VEA frontier. In reality what is happening is that VEA allows a simple identification of the municipalities which DEA (high) score is based on unrealistic values for the shadow prices of the variables used in the analysis. These municipalities (El Ejido or Boadilla del Monte, for instance) benefit from the extreme flexibility of DEA but do not resist a further analysis on their activity data.

For example, one DMU may obtain a DEA score of 1 simply because it is the unit that reports the largest amount of an advantageous variable (an output) and, thus, assigns a very large weight to that variable. VEA does not allow this extreme flexibility. The behaviour must be globally acceptable. The MPS indicates what is considered as a globally acceptable behaviour. To select the MPS out of the DEA frontier, we relied on external surveys on the quality of life of the main Spanish cities. These studies point to Pamplona as the best municipality, in terms of quality of life, and it is also present on our DEA frontier. Forcing the weights of all municipalities to be consistent for Pamplona we increase the discriminating power on the frontier a 44%. Therefore it is shown that by simply incorporating a piece of additional information on the DEA program (a municipality that may be considered as an appropriate general referent, i.e. the MPS), VEA notably increases the discriminating power of the comparative analysis.

²⁴ Only one municipality with population over 25000 was excluded because data on journey times and universitary studies were not reported in the INE database. This municipality is La Vall d'Uixo (Castellón).

We try to contrast if there are structural municipal features that relate to the degree of quality of life achieved, as measured by the VEA scores. Our results show that while there is no significant relationship between quality of life and the population of the municipality, other variables related to population as population density, population growth and population ageing all have a positive association to quality of life scores. Municipalities that are more densely populated seem to enjoy benefits related with agglomeration economies that allow obtaining more advantages suffering fewer drawbacks than municipalities with low density. The growth of the population relates naturally to the quality of life since migration flows would tend to orient from places with poor living conditions to places were living conditions are better. The relationship between ageing and quality of life is perhaps more subtle. We notice that population ageing and economic status are closely correlated. Our hypothesis is that people that reach a high economic status as they grow older buy better living conditions migrating to municipalities near the VEA frontier.

References

Ali, A.I. 1994. Computational aspects of Data Envelopment Analysis. In *DEA: Theory, methodology and applications*, edited by A. Charnes, W.W. Cooper, A.Y. Lewin, and L.M. Seiford, 63-88. Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Allen, R., Athanassopoulos, R., Dyson, G., and E. Thanassoulis. 1997. Weights restrictions and value judgements in Data Envelopment Analysis: Evolution, development and future directions. *Annals of Operations Research* 73: 13-34.

Banker, R.D., Charnes, A., and W.W. Cooper. 1984. Some models for estimating technical and scale inefficiencies. *Management Science* 39: 1261-1264.

Blomquist, G.C., Berger, M.C., and J.P. Hoehn. 1988. New estimates of quality of life in urban areas. *American Economic Review* 78(1): 89-107.

Brockett, P.L., and B. Golany. 1996. Using rank statistics for determining programmatic efficiency differences in Data Envelopment Analysis. *Management Science* 42(3): 466-472.

Charnes, A., Cooper, W.W., and E. Rhodes. 1978. Measuring the efficiency of decision making units. *European Journal of Operational Research* 2: 429-44.

Deller, S.C., Tsai, S.T., Marcouiller, D., and D.B.K. English. 2001. The role of amenities and quality of life in rural economic growth. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 83(2): 352-365.

Despotis, D.K. 2005a. A reassessment of the Human Development Index via Data Envelopment Analysis. *The Journal of the Operational Research Society* 56: 969-980.

——. 2005b. Measuring Human Development via Data Envelopment Analysis: the case of Asia and the Pacific. *OMEGA* 33(5): 385-390.

Dyson, R.G., and E. Thanassoulis. 1988. Reducing weight flexibility in Data Envelopment Analysis. *Journal of Operational Research Society* 6: 563-576.

Gabriel, S.A., Mattey, J.P., and W.L. Wascher. 2003. Compensating differentials and evolution in the Quality-of-Life among U.S. states. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* 33(5): 619-649.

Halme, M., Joro, T., Korhonen, P., Salo, S., and J. Wallenius. 1999. A Value Efficiency approach to incorporating preference information in Data Envelopment Analysis. *Management Science* 45(1): 103-115.

Hashimoto, A., and H. Ishikawa. 1993. Using DEA to evaluate the state of society as measured by multiple social indicators. *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences* 27: 257-268.

Hashimoto, A., and M. Kodama. 1997. Has livability of Japan gotten better for 1956-1990? A DEA approach. *Social Indicators Research* 40: 359-373.

Hollingsworth, B., and P. Smith. 2003. Use of ratios in Data Envelopment Analysis. *Applied Economics Letters* 10: 733-735.

Korhonen, P., Siljamäki, A., and M. Soismaa. 1998. Practical aspects of Value Efficiency Analysis. Interim Report IR-98-42. International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis.

La Caixa. 2001. Anuario Económico de España.

Marshall, E., and J. Shortle. 2005. Using DEA and VEA to evaluate quality of life in the Mid-Atlantic states. *Agricultural and Resource Economics Review* 34/2: 185-203.

MERCO-Mercociudad. 2008. Monitor Empresarial de Reputación Corporativa. www.mercoinfo/ver/mercociudad/ranking-sectorial.

Murias, P., Martínez, F., and C. Miguel. 2006. An economic well-being index for the Spanish provinces. A Data Envelopment Analysis approach. *Social Indicators Research* 77(3): 395-417.

OCU-Organización de Consumidores y Usuarios. 2007. Encuesta sobre calidad de vida en las ciudades. *Compra Maestra* 317.

Pedraja, F., Salinas, J., and P. Smith. 1997. On the role of weight restrictions in Data Envelopment Analysis. *Journal of Productivity Analysis* 8: 215-230.

Pena, J.B. 1977. Problemas de la medición del bienestar y conceptos afines (Una aplicación al caso español). Madrid: Instituto Nacional de Estadística (INE).

Roback, J. 1982. Wages, rents, and the quality of life. *Journal of Political Economy* 90(6): 1257-1278.

Roll, Y., Cook, W.D., and B. Golany. 1991. Controlling factor weights in Data Envelopment Analysis. *IIE Transactions* 23: 2-9.

Rosen, S. 1979. Wage-based indexes of urban quality of life. In *Current Issues in Urban Economics*, edited by P. Mieszkowski and M. Straszheim. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.

Sánchez, M.A., and N. Rodríguez. 2003. El bienestar social en los municipios andaluces en 1999. *Revista Asturiana de Economía* 27: 99-119.

Sarrico, C.S., and R.G. Dyson. 2004. Restricting virtual weights in Data Envelopment Analysis. *European Journal of Operational Research* 159: 17-34.

Somarriba, N. 2008, Approach to the social and individual quality of life in the European Union. Doctoral dissertation, Universidad de Valladolid, Spain.

Somarriba, N., and B. Pena. 2009. Synthetic indicators of quality of life in Europe. *Social Indicators Research*, in press.

Thompson, R.G., Singleton, F., Thrall, R., and B. Smith. 1986. Comparative site evaluations for locating a high energy physics lab in Texas. *Interfaces* 16: 35-49.

Wong, Y-HB., and J.E. Beasley. 1990. Restricting weight flexibility in Data Envelopment Analysis. *Journal of Operational Research Society* 41: 829-835.

Zarzosa, P. 1996. *Aproximación a la medición del bienestar social*. Secretariado de Publicaciones e Intercambio Científico de la Universidad de Valladolid, Valladolid.

——. 2005. La calidad de vida en los municipios de Valladolid. Diputación Provincial de Valladolid.

Zhu, J. 2001. Multidimensional quality-of-life measure with an application to Fortune's best cities. *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences* 35: 263-284.

APPENDIX- COMPLETE RESUTLS OF QUALITY OF LIFE SCORES

Table A1. Complete VEA results (237 biggest Spanish municipalities order by population)

Municipality	Score	Rank	Municipality	Score	Rank
widincipanty	Score	Kank	Withhelpanty	Beore	Kank
Madrid	0.953	92	Tarragona	0.967	76
Barcelona	0.989	50	S. Coloma de Gramenet	0.847	215
Valencia	0.963	78	Jaén	0.929	122
Sevilla	0.906	148	Lleida	0.994	44
Zaragoza	0.929	123	Ourense	0.969	75
Málaga	0.884	184	Mataró	0.924	127
Murcia	0.917	134	Dos Hermanas	0.863	205
Las Palmas G.C.	0.888	178	Algeciras	0.842	218
Bilbao	0.971	73	Marbella	1.000	1
Palma de Mallorca	0.943	100	Torrejón de Ardoz	0.870	198
Valladolid	0.940	105	Barakaldo	0.881	191
Córdoba	0.906	149	Alcobendas	1.000	1
Alicante	0.913	138	Santiago de Compostela	1.000	1
Vigo	0.921	132	Reus	0.938	107
Gijón	0.921	98	Lugo	0.959	84
Granada	0.946	55	San Fernando	0.959	201
Hospitalet Llobregat	0.904	153	Telde	0.811	230
Coruña	0.904	66	Avilés	0.811	136
Vitoria	1.000	1	Cáceres	0.914	104
Badalona	0.850	214	Getxo	1.000	1 61
Oviedo	1.000	1	Cornellà de Llobregat	0.981	
Móstoles	0.875	195	Palencia	1.000	1
Elche	0.891	172	Parla	0.783	236
S. Cruz de Tenerife	0.931	120	Sant Boi de Llobregat	0.866	202
Cartagena	0.881	189	Ferrol	0.960	81
Pamplona	1.000	1	Coslada	0.877	194
Sabadell	0.932	118	Lorca	0.856	211
Jerez de la Frontera	0.840	221	Puerto de Santa María	0.883	186
Fuenlabrada	0.886	180	Talavera de la Reina	0.937	108
Santander	0.958	87	Pontevedra	0.932	119
San Sebastián	1.000	1	Girona	1.000	1
Alcalá de Henares	0.886	181	Ceuta	0.816	229
Terrassa	0.935	110	Toledo	0.980	62
Leganés	0.846	216	Guadalajara	0.949	96
Almería	0.896	165	Pozuelo de Alarcón	1.000	1
Burgos	1.000	1	Melilla	0.829	227
Salamanca	0.972	71	Torrent	0.856	210
Alcorcón	0.903	156	Zamora	0.959	83
Getafe	0.877	193	Manresa	0.972	70
Albacete	0.942	101	Las Rozas-Madrid	1.000	1
Castellón de la Plana	0.971	74	Ciudad Real	0.993	47
Huelva	0.911	140	Ponferrada	0.952	94
Badajoz	0.910	142	S. Sebastián de los Reyes	0.910	144
Cádiz	0.906	151	El Prat de Llobregat	0.901	160
Logroño	1.000	1	Rubí	0.910	141
León	0.994	45	Chiclana de la Frontera	0.810	231
S. Cristóbal Laguna	0.892	171	Sant Cugat del Vallès	1.000	1

Table A1. Complete VEA results (municipalities order by population)-continue

Municipality	Score	Rank	Municipality	Score	Rank
Sanlúcar Barrameda	0.767	237	Villarreal	0.949	97
Gandia	0.978	64	Arona	1.000	1
La Línea Concepción	0.818	228	Aranjuez	1.000	1
Alcoy	0.956	88	Mislata	0.893	168
El Ejido	0.840	220	Antequera	0.961	80
Linares	0.893	169	Sant Feliu de Llobregat	0.929	124
Alcalá de Guadaira	0.842	219	Gavà	0.906	150
Vélez-Málaga	0.840	222	Alzira	0.946	99
Viladecans	0.895	166	San Vicente del Raspeig	0.903	157
Irun	0.961	79	Errenteria	0.954	91
Sagunto	0.933	117	La Orotava	0.839	223
Torrelavega	0.975	65	Lucena	0.866	200
Orihuela	0.886	182	Tres Cantos	1.000	1
Segovia	0.959	82	Écija	0.836	225
Vilanova i la Geltrú	0.934	114	Plasencia	0.923	129
Cerdanyola Vallès	0.935	111	Andújar	0.921	133
Granollers	0.991	48	San Fernando de Henares	0.890	174
Benidorm	0.989	51	Calvià	1.000	1
Elda	0.895	167	Miranda de Ebro	0.921	131
Motril	0.906	152	Mairena del Aljarafe	0.898	164
Portugalete	0.902	158	Puerto Real	0.860	207
Torrevieja	0.975	67	Rivas-Vaciamadrid	0.883	188
Majadahonda	0.993	46	Burjassot	0.934	113
Mérida	0.927	125	Colmenar Viejo	0.906	147
Roquetas de Mar	0.859	208	Soria	1.000	1
Ávila	0.988	52	Eivissa	0.991	49
Fuengirola	0.974	68	Benalmádena	0.926	126
Puertollano	0.881	190	S. Bartolomé de Tirajana	1.000	1
Siero	0.941	103	Ronda	0.950	95
Mieres	0.857	209	Alcantarilla	0.871	197
S. Lucía de Tirajana	0.864	204	Vilagarcía de Arousa	0.889	176
Mollet del Vallès	0.911	139	Realejos (Los)	0.830	226
Santurtzi	0.888	177	Arganda del Rey	0.884	183
Collado Villalba	0.904	155	Dénia Dénia	0.956	89
Paterna Paterna	0.881	192	Valdemoro	0.904	154
Molina de Segura	0.910	143	Figueres	1.000	1
Castelldefels	0.910	128	Igualada	1.000	1
Cuenca	0.923	86	Los Palacios-Villafranca	0.801	232
Huesca	1.000	1	Cieza	0.890	175
Mijas	0.964	77	Úbeda	0.890	102
Langreo	0.883	187	Vic	1.000	102
Utrera	0.883	233	Ontinyent	0.987	53
Esplugues Llobregat	0.799	233 72	Villena	0.987	33 85
Basauri	0.981 0.884	60 18 5	Arucas	0.868	199 170
Arrecife Torremolinos		185	Narón	0.892	170
	1.000	162	Sant Adrià de Besòs	0.916	135
Estepona	0.900	162	Sestao	0.910	145

Table A1. Complete VEA results (municipalities order by population)-continue

Municipality	Score	Rank	Municipality	Score	Rank
Don Benito	1.000	1	Alaquàs	1.000	1
Pinto	1.000	1	Morón de la Frontera	0.790	234
Manacor	0.934	115	Hellín	1.000	1
Vilafranca Penedès	0.998	43	Almendralejo	1.000	1
Teruel	1.000	1	Boadilla del Monte	0.985	57
Yecla	0.980	63	Oleiros	1.000	1
Blanes	0.954	90	Burriana	0.952	93
Tomelloso	0.934	112	Xirivella	0.872	196
Ripollet	0.888	179	Puerto de la Cruz	1.000	1
Petrer	0.986	54	Barberà del Vallès	1.000	1
Aranda de Duero	0.933	116	Alcázar de San Juan	0.936	109
Tudela	1.000	1	Premià de Mar	0.902	159
Galdakao	0.922	130	Valdepeñas	0.981	59
La Rinconada	1.000	1	Ribeira	0.891	173
Redondela	0.845	217	San Andrés del Rabanedo	0.914	137
Tortosa	0.973	69	Carmona	0.838	224
Sant Joan Despí	0.939	106	Xàtiva	1.000	1
Leioa	1.000	1	Manises	0.861	206
Montcada i Reixac	0.901	161	Galapagar	0.909	146
Eibar	0.984	58	Sueca	0.986	56
Carballo	0.854	212	Quart de Poblet	0.929	121
Olot	1.000	1	Rincón de la Victoria	0.899	163
Puente Genil	0.853	213	Rota	1.000	1
Arcos de la Frontera	0.787	235	Durango	1.000	1
Águilas	0.865	203			

Table A2. Complete DEA results (237 biggest Spanish municipalities order by population)

Municipality	Score	Rank	Municipality	Score	Rank
Madrid	0.956224	109	Tarragona	0.967344	95
Barcelona	0.989443	80	S. Coloma de Gramenet	0.854631	219
Valencia	0.963446	98	Jaén	0.929074	139
Sevilla	0.906108	166	Lleida	1	1
Zaragoza	0.928733	140	Ourense	0.969657	94
Málaga	0.884265	197	Mataró	0.943409	123
Murcia	0.917212	153	Dos Hermanas	0.862843	214
Las Palmas G.C.	0.888131	192	Algeciras	0.842156	223
Bilbao	0.971449	93	Marbella	1	1
Palma de Mallorca	0.948329	117	Torrejón de Ardoz	0.889454	191
Valladolid	0.940089	128	Barakaldo	0.881287	202
Córdoba	0.905933	168	Alcobendas	1	1
Alicante	0.912883	158	Santiago de Compostela	1	1
Vigo	0.920806	149	Reus	0.952139	114
Gijón	0.954405	112	Lugo	0.958945	103
Granada	0.988588	82	San Fernando	0.867804	209
Hospitalet Llobregat	0.905544	169	Telde	0.815823	231
Coruña	0.975179	90	Avilés	0.913787	156
Vitoria	1	1	Cáceres	0.941278	126
Badalona	0.86072	215	Getxo	1	1
Oviedo	1	1	Cornellà de Llobregat	0.988983	81
Móstoles	0.934417	133	Palencia	1	1
Elche	0.920725	150	Parla	0.807764	234
S. Cruz de Tenerife	0.931355	137	Sant Boi de Llobregat	0.868515	206
Cartagena	0.881414	201	Ferrol	0.960221	101
Pamplona	1	1	Coslada	0.893045	186
Sabadell	0.95296	113	Lorca	0.884517	195
Jerez de la Frontera	0.839751	225	Puerto de Santa María	0.883572	198
Fuenlabrada	0.839731	119	Talavera de la Reina	0.883372	118
Santander	0.94714	105	Pontevedra	0.948220	136
San Sebastián	0.936099	103	Girona	0.93200	130
Alcalá de Henares	0.887842	193	Ceuta	0.815673	232
Terrassa	0.887842	131	Toledo	0.813073	86
Leganés	0.859958	216	Guadalajara	0.94935	116
Almería	0.896257	181	Pozuelo de Alarcón	0.94933	110
	0.890237	1	Melilla	0.829194	228
Burgos Salamanca	0.972466	91	Torrent	0.829194	228
Alcorcón Getafe	0.904511	171 199	Zamora Manresa	0.959558	102
	0.883214			1	1
Albacete	0.956046	110	Las Rozas-Madrid Ciudad Real	1 1	1
Castellón de la Plana	0.971553	92		_	1
Huelva	0.911958	161	Ponferrada	0.962033	99
Badajoz	0.910271	162	S. Sebastián de los Reyes	0.91775	152
Cádiz	0.906866	164	El Prat de Llobregat	0.901034	174
Logroño	1	1	Rubí	0.9184	151
León	0.994772	78	Chiclana de la Frontera	0.809929	233
S. Cristóbal Laguna	0.891588	187	Sant Cugat del Vallès	1	1

Table A2. Complete DEA results (municipalities order by population)-continue

Municipality	Score	Rank	Municipality	Score	Rank
Sanlúcar Barrameda	0.767232	237	Villarreal	1	1
Gandia	1	1	Arona	1	1
La Línea Concepción	0.818695	230	Aranjuez	1	1
Alcoy	0.981014	85	Mislata	0.9068	165
El Ejido	1	1	Antequera	1	1
Linares	0.897557	179	Sant Feliu de Llobregat	0.945667	120
Alcalá de Guadaira	0.841948	224	Gavà	0.906013	167
Vélez-Málaga	0.839669	226	Alzira	0.976624	87
Viladecans	0.910126	163	San Vicente del Raspeig	0.921735	147
Irun	0.965633	97	Errenteria	0.995846	77
Sagunto	0.939377	129	La Orotava	0.842851	222
Torrelavega	1	1	Lucena	0.867991	208
Orihuela	0.893613	185	Tres Cantos	1	1
Segovia	0.960509	100	Écija	0.836529	227
Vilanova i la Geltrú	0.951048	115	Plasencia	0.923328	144
Cerdanyola Vallès	0.935967	130	Andújar	0.927727	142
Granollers	1	1	San Fernando de Henares	0.895745	182
Benidorm	0.990531	79	Calvià	1	1
Elda	0.899892	175	Miranda de Ebro	0.921883	146
Motril	0.921177	148	Mairena del Aljarafe	0.898141	178
Portugalete	0.902299	173	Puerto Real	0.864558	212
Torrevieja	0.987321	83	Rivas-Vaciamadrid	0.941433	125
Majadahonda	1	1	Burjassot	0.976051	88
Mérida	0.927471	143	Colmenar Viejo	0.922129	145
Roquetas de Mar	0.858914	217	Soria	1	1
Ávila	1	1	Eivissa	1	1
Fuengirola	0.975724	89	Benalmádena	0.932955	135
Puertollano	0.896889	180	S. Bartolomé de Tirajana	1	133
Siero	0.95635	108	Ronda	1	1
Mieres	0.93033	218	Alcantarilla	0.874346	204
S. Lucía de Tirajana	0.895291	184	Vilagarcía de Arousa	0.889855	189
Mollet del Vallès	0.893291	155	Realejos (Los)	0.845312	221
Santurtzi	0.899257	177	Arganda del Rey	0.890094	188
Santurtzi Collado Villalba	0.899237	157	Dénia	0.890094	1
Paterna			Valdemoro	0.966995	96
	0.884838 0.94294	194 124	Figueres		90 1
Molina de Segura Castelldefels			2	1	1
	0.940349	127	Igualada Los Palacios-Villafranca	_	229
Cuenca	0.958781	104		0.820988	
Huesca	1	1	Cieza	0.912304	160
Mijas	1	1	Úbeda V:	0.955312	111
Langreo	0.8831	200	Vic	1	1
Utrera	0.804129	235	Ontinyent	1	1
Esplugues Llobregat	1	1	Villena	1	1
Basauri	1	1	Arucas	0.868507	207
Arrecife	0.899585	176	Narón	0.944998	121
Torremolinos	1	1	Sant Adrià de Besòs	0.934577	132
Estepona	0.904121	172	Sestao	0.957561	106

Table A2. Complete DEA results (municipalities order by population)-continue

Municipality	Score	Rank	Municipality	Score	Rank
Don Benito	1	1	Alaquàs	1	1
Pinto	1	1	Morón de la Frontera	0.790413	236
Manacor	0.999253	76	Hellín	1	1
Vilafranca Penedès	1	1	Almendralejo	1	1
Teruel	1	1	Boadilla del Monte	1	1
Yecla	1	1	Oleiros	1	1
Blanes	0.957444	107	Burriana	1	1
Tomelloso	1	1	Xirivella	0.871602	205
Ripollet	0.89566	183	Puerto de la Cruz	1	1
Petrer	1	1	Barberà del Vallès	1	1
Aranda de Duero	0.93322	134	Alcázar de San Juan	1	1
Tudela	1	1	Premià de Mar	0.916898	154
Galdakao	0.927754	141	Valdepeñas	1	1
La Rinconada	1	1	Ribeira	1	1
Redondela	0.875943	203	San Andrés del Rabanedo	1	1
Tortosa	0.984364	84	Carmona	0.849585	220
Sant Joan Despí	0.944508	122	Xàtiva	1	1
Leioa	1	1	Manises	0.863811	213
Montcada i Reixac	0.912386	159	Galapagar	1	1
Eibar	1	1	Sueca	1	1
Carballo	1	1	Quart de Poblet	0.929436	138
Olot	1	1	Rincón de la Victoria	0.905007	170
Puente Genil	0.865142	211	Rota	1	1
Arcos de la Frontera	0.884399	196	Durango	1	1
Águilas	0.889491	190	-		

455/2009	Does playing several competitions influence a team's league performance? Evidence from Spanish professional football Andrés J. Picazo-Tadeo & Francisco González-Gómez
456/2009	Does accessibility affect retail prices and competition? An empirical application Juan Luis Jiménez and Jordi Perdiguero
457/2009	Cash conversion cycle in smes Sonia Baños-Caballero, Pedro J. García-Teruel and Pedro Martínez-Solano
458/2009	Un estudio sobre el perfil de hogares endeudados y sobreendeudados: el caso de los hogares vascos Alazne Mujika Alberdi, Iñaki García Arrizabalaga y Juan José Gibaja Martíns
459/2009	Imposing monotonicity on outputs in parametric distance function estimations: with an application to the spanish educational production Sergio Perelman and Daniel Santin
460/2009	Key issues when using tax data for concentration analysis: an application to the Spanish wealth tax José Ma Durán-Cabré and Alejandro Esteller-Moré
461/2009	¿Se está rompiendo el mercado español? una aplicación del enfoque de feldstein –horioka Saúl De Vicente Queijeiro, José Luis Pérez Rivero y María Rosalía Vicente Cuervo
462/2009	Financial condition, cost efficiency and the quality of local public services Manuel A. Muñiz & José L. Zafra
463/2009	Including non-cognitive outputs in a multidimensional evaluation of education production: an international comparison Marián García Valiñas & Manuel Antonio Muñiz Pérez
464/2009	A political look into budget deficits. The role of minority governments and oppositions Albert Falcó-Gimeno & Ignacio Jurado
465/2009	La simulación del cuadro de mando integral. una herramienta de aprendizaje en la materia de contabilidad de gestión Elena Urquía Grande, Clara Isabel Muñoz Colomina y Elisa Isabel Cano Montero
466/2009	Análisis histórico de la importancia de la industria de la desalinización en España Borja Montaño Sanz
467/2009	The dynamics of trade and innovation: a joint approach Silviano Esteve-Pérez & Diego Rodríguez
468/2009	Measuring international reference-cycles Sonia de Lucas Santos, Inmaculada Álvarez Ayuso & Mª Jesús Delgado Rodríguez
469/2009	Measuring quality of life in Spanish municipalities Eduardo González Fidalgo, Ana Cárcaba García, Juan Ventura Victoria & Jesús García García

FUNDACIÓN DE LAS CAJAS DE AHORROS

DOCUMENTOS DE TRABAJO

Últimos números publicados

159/2000	Participación privada en la construcción y explotación de carreteras de peaje Ginés de Rus, Manuel Romero y Lourdes Trujillo
160/2000	Errores y posibles soluciones en la aplicación del <i>Value at Risk</i> Mariano González Sánchez
161/2000	Tax neutrality on saving assets. The spahish case before and after the tax reform Cristina Ruza y de Paz-Curbera
162/2000	Private rates of return to human capital in Spain: new evidence F. Barceinas, J. Oliver-Alonso, J.L. Raymond y J.L. Roig-Sabaté
163/2000	El control interno del riesgo. Una propuesta de sistema de límites riesgo neutral Mariano González Sánchez
164/2001	La evolución de las políticas de gasto de las Administraciones Públicas en los años 90 Alfonso Utrilla de la Hoz y Carmen Pérez Esparrells
165/2001	Bank cost efficiency and output specification Emili Tortosa-Ausina
166/2001	Recent trends in Spanish income distribution: A robust picture of falling income inequality Josep Oliver-Alonso, Xavier Ramos y José Luis Raymond-Bara
167/2001	Efectos redistributivos y sobre el bienestar social del tratamiento de las cargas familiares en el nuevo IRPF Nuria Badenes Plá, Julio López Laborda, Jorge Onrubia Fernández
168/2001	The Effects of Bank Debt on Financial Structure of Small and Medium Firms in some European Countries Mónica Melle-Hernández
169/2001	La política de cohesión de la UE ampliada: la perspectiva de España Ismael Sanz Labrador
170/2002	Riesgo de liquidez de Mercado Mariano González Sánchez
171/2002	Los costes de administración para el afiliado en los sistemas de pensiones basados en cuentas de capitalización individual: medida y comparación internacional. José Enrique Devesa Carpio, Rosa Rodríguez Barrera, Carlos Vidal Meliá
172/2002	La encuesta continua de presupuestos familiares (1985-1996): descripción, representatividad y propuestas de metodología para la explotación de la información de los ingresos y el gasto. Llorenc Pou, Joaquín Alegre
173/2002	Modelos paramétricos y no paramétricos en problemas de concesión de tarjetas de credito. Rosa Puertas, María Bonilla, Ignacio Olmeda

174/2002	Mercado único, comercio intra-industrial y costes de ajuste en las manufacturas españolas. José Vicente Blanes Cristóbal
175/2003	La Administración tributaria en España. Un análisis de la gestión a través de los ingresos y de los gastos. Juan de Dios Jiménez Aguilera, Pedro Enrique Barrilao González
176/2003	The Falling Share of Cash Payments in Spain. Santiago Carbó Valverde, Rafael López del Paso, David B. Humphrey Publicado en "Moneda y Crédito" nº 217, pags. 167-189.
177/2003	Effects of ATMs and Electronic Payments on Banking Costs: The Spanish Case. Santiago Carbó Valverde, Rafael López del Paso, David B. Humphrey
178/2003	Factors explaining the interest margin in the banking sectors of the European Union. Joaquín Maudos y Juan Fernández Guevara
179/2003	Los planes de stock options para directivos y consejeros y su valoración por el mercado de valores en España. Mónica Melle Hernández
180/2003	Ownership and Performance in Europe and US Banking – A comparison of Commercial, Cooperative & Savings Banks. Yener Altunbas, Santiago Carbó y Phil Molyneux
181/2003	The Euro effect on the integration of the European stock markets. Mónica Melle Hernández
182/2004	In search of complementarity in the innovation strategy: international R&D and external knowledge acquisition. Bruno Cassiman, Reinhilde Veugelers
183/2004	Fijación de precios en el sector público: una aplicación para el servicio municipal de suministro de agua. Mª Ángeles García Valiñas
184/2004	Estimación de la economía sumergida es España: un modelo estructural de variables latentes. Ángel Alañón Pardo, Miguel Gómez de Antonio
185/2004	Causas políticas y consecuencias sociales de la corrupción. Joan Oriol Prats Cabrera
186/2004	Loan bankers' decisions and sensitivity to the audit report using the belief revision model. Andrés Guiral Contreras and José A. Gonzalo Angulo
187/2004	El modelo de Black, Derman y Toy en la práctica. Aplicación al mercado español. Marta Tolentino García-Abadillo y Antonio Díaz Pérez
188/2004	Does market competition make banks perform well?. Mónica Melle
189/2004	Efficiency differences among banks: external, technical, internal, and managerial Santiago Carbó Valverde, David B. Humphrey y Rafael López del Paso

190/2004	Una aproximación al análisis de los costes de la esquizofrenia en españa: los modelos jerárquicos bayesianos F. J. Vázquez-Polo, M. A. Negrín, J. M. Cavasés, E. Sánchez y grupo RIRAG
191/2004	Environmental proactivity and business performance: an empirical analysis Javier González-Benito y Óscar González-Benito
192/2004	Economic risk to beneficiaries in notional defined contribution accounts (NDCs) Carlos Vidal-Meliá, Inmaculada Domínguez-Fabian y José Enrique Devesa-Carpio
193/2004	Sources of efficiency gains in port reform: non parametric malmquist decomposition tfp in- dex for Mexico Antonio Estache, Beatriz Tovar de la Fé y Lourdes Trujillo
194/2004	Persistencia de resultados en los fondos de inversión españoles Alfredo Ciriaco Fernández y Rafael Santamaría Aquilué
195/2005	El modelo de revisión de creencias como aproximación psicológica a la formación del juicio del auditor sobre la gestión continuada Andrés Guiral Contreras y Francisco Esteso Sánchez
196/2005	La nueva financiación sanitaria en España: descentralización y prospectiva David Cantarero Prieto
197/2005	A cointegration analysis of the Long-Run supply response of Spanish agriculture to the common agricultural policy José A. Mendez, Ricardo Mora y Carlos San Juan
198/2005	¿Refleja la estructura temporal de los tipos de interés del mercado español preferencia por la li- quidez? Magdalena Massot Perelló y Juan M. Nave
199/2005	Análisis de impacto de los Fondos Estructurales Europeos recibidos por una economía regional: Un enfoque a través de Matrices de Contabilidad Social M. Carmen Lima y M. Alejandro Cardenete
200/2005	Does the development of non-cash payments affect monetary policy transmission? Santiago Carbó Valverde y Rafael López del Paso
201/2005	Firm and time varying technical and allocative efficiency: an application for port cargo handling firms Ana Rodríguez-Álvarez, Beatriz Tovar de la Fe y Lourdes Trujillo
202/2005	Contractual complexity in strategic alliances Jeffrey J. Reuer y Africa Ariño
203/2005	Factores determinantes de la evolución del empleo en las empresas adquiridas por opa Nuria Alcalde Fradejas y Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar
204/2005	Nonlinear Forecasting in Economics: a comparison between Comprehension Approach versus Learning Approach. An Application to Spanish Time Series Elena Olmedo, Juan M. Valderas, Ricardo Gimeno and Lorenzo Escot

205/2005	Precio de la tierra con presión urbana: un modelo para España Esther Decimavilla, Carlos San Juan y Stefan Sperlich
206/2005	Interregional migration in Spain: a semiparametric analysis Adolfo Maza y José Villaverde
207/2005	Productivity growth in European banking Carmen Murillo-Melchor, José Manuel Pastor y Emili Tortosa-Ausina
208/2005	Explaining Bank Cost Efficiency in Europe: Environmental and Productivity Influences. Santiago Carbó Valverde, David B. Humphrey y Rafael López del Paso
209/2005	La elasticidad de sustitución intertemporal con preferencias no separables intratemporalmente: los casos de Alemania, España y Francia. Elena Márquez de la Cruz, Ana R. Martínez Cañete y Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar
210/2005	Contribución de los efectos tamaño, book-to-market y momentum a la valoración de activos: el caso español. Begoña Font-Belaire y Alfredo Juan Grau-Grau
211/2005	Permanent income, convergence and inequality among countries José M. Pastor and Lorenzo Serrano
212/2005	The Latin Model of Welfare: Do 'Insertion Contracts' Reduce Long-Term Dependence? Luis Ayala and Magdalena Rodríguez
213/2005	The effect of geographic expansion on the productivity of Spanish savings banks Manuel Illueca, José M. Pastor and Emili Tortosa-Ausina
214/2005	Dynamic network interconnection under consumer switching costs Ángel Luis López Rodríguez
215/2005	La influencia del entorno socioeconómico en la realización de estudios universitarios: una aproximación al caso español en la década de los noventa Marta Rahona López
216/2005	The valuation of spanish ipos: efficiency analysis Susana Álvarez Otero
217/2005	On the generation of a regular multi-input multi-output technology using parametric output distance functions Sergio Perelman and Daniel Santin
218/2005	La gobernanza de los procesos parlamentarios: la organización industrial del congreso de los di- putados en España Gonzalo Caballero Miguez
219/2005	Determinants of bank market structure: Efficiency and political economy variables Francisco González
220/2005	Agresividad de las órdenes introducidas en el mercado español: estrategias, determinantes y medidas de performance David Abad Díaz

221/2005	Tendencia post-anuncio de resultados contables: evidencia para el mercado español Carlos Forner Rodríguez, Joaquín Marhuenda Fructuoso y Sonia Sanabria García
222/2005	Human capital accumulation and geography: empirical evidence in the European Union Jesús López-Rodríguez, J. Andrés Faíña y Jose Lopez Rodríguez
223/2005	Auditors' Forecasting in Going Concern Decisions: Framing, Confidence and Information Processing Waymond Rodgers and Andrés Guiral
224/2005	The effect of Structural Fund spending on the Galician region: an assessment of the 1994-1999 and 2000-2006 Galician CSFs José Ramón Cancelo de la Torre, J. Andrés Faíña and Jesús López-Rodríguez
225/2005	The effects of ownership structure and board composition on the audit committee activity: Spanish evidence Carlos Fernández Méndez and Rubén Arrondo García
226/2005	Cross-country determinants of bank income smoothing by managing loan loss provisions Ana Rosa Fonseca and Francisco González
227/2005	Incumplimiento fiscal en el irpf (1993-2000): un análisis de sus factores determinantes Alejandro Estellér Moré
228/2005	Region versus Industry effects: volatility transmission Pilar Soriano Felipe and Francisco J. Climent Diranzo
229/2005	Concurrent Engineering: The Moderating Effect Of Uncertainty On New Product Development Success Daniel Vázquez-Bustelo and Sandra Valle
230/2005	On zero lower bound traps: a framework for the analysis of monetary policy in the 'age' of central banks Alfonso Palacio-Vera
231/2005	Reconciling Sustainability and Discounting in Cost Benefit Analysis: a methodological proposal M. Carmen Almansa Sáez and Javier Calatrava Requena
232/2005	Can The Excess Of Liquidity Affect The Effectiveness Of The European Monetary Policy? Santiago Carbó Valverde and Rafael López del Paso
233/2005	Inheritance Taxes In The Eu Fiscal Systems: The Present Situation And Future Perspectives. Miguel Angel Barberán Lahuerta
234/2006	Bank Ownership And Informativeness Of Earnings. Víctor M. González
235/2006	Developing A Predictive Method: A Comparative Study Of The Partial Least Squares Vs Maximum Likelihood Techniques. Waymond Rodgers, Paul Pavlou and Andres Guiral.
236/2006	Using Compromise Programming for Macroeconomic Policy Making in a General Equilibrium Framework: Theory and Application to the Spanish Economy. Francisco J. André, M. Alejandro Cardenete y Carlos Romero.

237/2006	Bank Market Power And Sme Financing Constraints. Santiago Carbó-Valverde, Francisco Rodríguez-Fernández y Gregory F. Udell.
238/2006	Trade Effects Of Monetary Agreements: Evidence For Oecd Countries. Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero y José Antonio Martínez-Serrano.
239/2006	The Quality Of Institutions: A Genetic Programming Approach. Marcos Álvarez-Díaz y Gonzalo Caballero Miguez.
240/2006	La interacción entre el éxito competitivo y las condiciones del mercado doméstico como determinantes de la decisión de exportación en las Pymes. Francisco García Pérez.
241/2006	Una estimación de la depreciación del capital humano por sectores, por ocupación y en el tiempo. Inés P. Murillo.
242/2006	Consumption And Leisure Externalities, Economic Growth And Equilibrium Efficiency. Manuel A. Gómez.
243/2006	Measuring efficiency in education: an analysis of different approaches for incorporating non-discretionary inputs. Jose Manuel Cordero-Ferrera, Francisco Pedraja-Chaparro y Javier Salinas-Jiménez
244/2006	Did The European Exchange-Rate Mechanism Contribute To The Integration Of Peripheral Countries?. Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero y José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
245/2006	Intergenerational Health Mobility: An Empirical Approach Based On The Echp. Marta Pascual and David Cantarero
246/2006	Measurement and analysis of the Spanish Stock Exchange using the Lyapunov exponent with digital technology. Salvador Rojí Ferrari and Ana Gonzalez Marcos
247/2006	Testing For Structural Breaks In Variance Withadditive Outliers And Measurement Errors. Paulo M.M. Rodrigues and Antonio Rubia
248/2006	The Cost Of Market Power In Banking: Social Welfare Loss Vs. Cost Inefficiency. Joaquín Maudos and Juan Fernández de Guevara
249/2006	Elasticidades de largo plazo de la demanda de vivienda: evidencia para España (1885-2000). Desiderio Romero Jordán, José Félix Sanz Sanz y César Pérez López
250/2006	Regional Income Disparities in Europe: What role for location?. Jesús López-Rodríguez and J. Andrés Faíña
251/2006	Funciones abreviadas de bienestar social: Una forma sencilla de simultanear la medición de la eficiencia y la equidad de las políticas de gasto público. Nuria Badenes Plá y Daniel Santín González
252/2006	"The momentum effect in the Spanish stock market: Omitted risk factors or investor behaviour?". Luis Muga and Rafael Santamaría
253/2006	Dinámica de precios en el mercado español de gasolina: un equilibrio de colusión tácita. Jordi Perdiguero García

254/2006	Desigualdad regional en España: renta permanente versus renta corriente. José M.Pastor, Empar Pons y Lorenzo Serrano
255/2006	Environmental implications of organic food preferences: an application of the impure public goods model. Ana Maria Aldanondo-Ochoa y Carmen Almansa-Sáez
256/2006	Family tax credits versus family allowances when labour supply matters: Evidence for Spain. José Felix Sanz-Sanz, Desiderio Romero-Jordán y Santiago Álvarez-García
257/2006	La internacionalización de la empresa manufacturera española: efectos del capital humano genérico y específico. José López Rodríguez
258/2006	Evaluación de las migraciones interregionales en España, 1996-2004. María Martínez Torres
259/2006	Efficiency and market power in Spanish banking. Rolf Färe, Shawna Grosskopf y Emili Tortosa-Ausina.
260/2006	Asimetrías en volatilidad, beta y contagios entre las empresas grandes y pequeñas cotizadas en la bolsa española. Helena Chuliá y Hipòlit Torró.
261/2006	Birth Replacement Ratios: New Measures of Period Population Replacement. José Antonio Ortega.
262/2006	Accidentes de tráfico, víctimas mortales y consumo de alcohol. José Mª Arranz y Ana I. Gil.
263/2006	Análisis de la Presencia de la Mujer en los Consejos de Administración de las Mil Mayores Empresas Españolas. Ruth Mateos de Cabo, Lorenzo Escot Mangas y Ricardo Gimeno Nogués.
264/2006	Crisis y Reforma del Pacto de Estabilidad y Crecimiento. Las Limitaciones de la Política Económica en Europa. Ignacio Álvarez Peralta.
265/2006	Have Child Tax Allowances Affected Family Size? A Microdata Study For Spain (1996-2000). Jaime Vallés-Giménez y Anabel Zárate-Marco.
266/2006	Health Human Capital And The Shift From Foraging To Farming. Paolo Rungo.
267/2006	Financiación Autonómica y Política de la Competencia: El Mercado de Gasolina en Canarias. Juan Luis Jiménez y Jordi Perdiguero.
268/2006	El cumplimiento del Protocolo de Kyoto para los hogares españoles: el papel de la imposición sobre la energía. Desiderio Romero-Jordán y José Félix Sanz-Sanz.
269/2006	Banking competition, financial dependence and economic growth Joaquín Maudos y Juan Fernández de Guevara
270/2006	Efficiency, subsidies and environmental adaptation of animal farming under CAP Werner Kleinhanß, Carmen Murillo, Carlos San Juan y Stefan Sperlich

271/2006	Interest Groups, Incentives to Cooperation and Decision-Making Process in the European Union A. Garcia-Lorenzo y Jesús López-Rodríguez
272/2006	Riesgo asimétrico y estrategias de momentum en el mercado de valores español Luis Muga y Rafael Santamaría
273/2006	Valoración de capital-riesgo en proyectos de base tecnológica e innovadora a través de la teoría de opciones reales Gracia Rubio Martín
274/2006	Capital stock and unemployment: searching for the missing link Ana Rosa Martínez-Cañete, Elena Márquez de la Cruz, Alfonso Palacio-Vera and Inés Pérez- Soba Aguilar
275/2006	Study of the influence of the voters' political culture on vote decision through the simulation of a political competition problem in Spain Sagrario Lantarón, Isabel Lillo, Ma Dolores López and Javier Rodrigo
276/2006	Investment and growth in Europe during the Golden Age Antonio Cubel and M ^a Teresa Sanchis
277/2006	Efectos de vincular la pensión pública a la inversión en cantidad y calidad de hijos en un modelo de equilibrio general Robert Meneu Gaya
278/2006	El consumo y la valoración de activos Elena Márquez y Belén Nieto
279/2006	Economic growth and currency crisis: A real exchange rate entropic approach David Matesanz Gómez y Guillermo J. Ortega
280/2006	Three measures of returns to education: An illustration for the case of Spain María Arrazola y José de Hevia
281/2006	Composition of Firms versus Composition of Jobs Antoni Cunyat
282/2006	La vocación internacional de un holding tranviario belga: la Compagnie Mutuelle de Tramways, 1895-1918 Alberte Martínez López
283/2006	Una visión panorámica de las entidades de crédito en España en la última década. Constantino García Ramos
284/2006	Foreign Capital and Business Strategies: a comparative analysis of urban transport in Madrid and Barcelona, 1871-1925 Alberte Martínez López
285/2006	Los intereses belgas en la red ferroviaria catalana, 1890-1936 Alberte Martínez López
286/2006	The Governance of Quality: The Case of the Agrifood Brand Names Marta Fernández Barcala, Manuel González-Díaz y Emmanuel Raynaud
287/2006	Modelling the role of health status in the transition out of malthusian equilibrium Paolo Rungo, Luis Currais and Berta Rivera
288/2006	Industrial Effects of Climate Change Policies through the EU Emissions Trading Scheme Xavier Labandeira and Miguel Rodríguez

289/2006	Globalisation and the Composition of Government Spending: An analysis for OECD countries Norman Gemmell, Richard Kneller and Ismael Sanz
290/2006	La producción de energía eléctrica en España: Análisis económico de la actividad tras la liberalización del Sector Eléctrico Fernando Hernández Martínez
291/2006	Further considerations on the link between adjustment costs and the productivity of R&D investment: evidence for Spain Desiderio Romero-Jordán, José Félix Sanz-Sanz and Inmaculada Álvarez-Ayuso
292/2006	Una teoría sobre la contribución de la función de compras al rendimiento empresarial Javier González Benito
293/2006	Agility drivers, enablers and outcomes: empirical test of an integrated agile manufacturing model Daniel Vázquez-Bustelo, Lucía Avella and Esteban Fernández
294/2006	Testing the parametric vs the semiparametric generalized mixed effects models María José Lombardía and Stefan Sperlich
295/2006	Nonlinear dynamics in energy futures Mariano Matilla-García
296/2006	Estimating Spatial Models By Generalized Maximum Entropy Or How To Get Rid Of W Esteban Fernández Vázquez, Matías Mayor Fernández and Jorge Rodriguez-Valez
297/2006	Optimización fiscal en las transmisiones lucrativas: análisis metodológico Félix Domínguez Barrero
298/2006	La situación actual de la banca online en España Francisco José Climent Diranzo y Alexandre Momparler Pechuán
299/2006	Estrategia competitiva y rendimiento del negocio: el papel mediador de la estrategia y las capacidades productivas Javier González Benito y Isabel Suárez González
300/2006	A Parametric Model to Estimate Risk in a Fixed Income Portfolio Pilar Abad and Sonia Benito
301/2007	Análisis Empírico de las Preferencias Sociales Respecto del Gasto en Obra Social de las Cajas de Ahorros Alejandro Esteller-Moré, Jonathan Jorba Jiménez y Albert Solé-Ollé
302/2007	Assessing the enlargement and deepening of regional trading blocs: The European Union case Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero y José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
303/2007	¿Es la Franquicia un Medio de Financiación?: Evidencia para el Caso Español Vanesa Solís Rodríguez y Manuel González Díaz
304/2007	On the Finite-Sample Biases in Nonparametric Testing for Variance Constancy Paulo M.M. Rodrigues and Antonio Rubia
305/2007	Spain is Different: Relative Wages 1989-98 José Antonio Carrasco Gallego

306/2007	Poverty reduction and SAM multipliers: An evaluation of public policies in a regional framework Francisco Javier De Miguel-Vélez y Jesús Pérez-Mayo
307/2007	La Eficiencia en la Gestión del Riesgo de Crédito en las Cajas de Ahorro Marcelino Martínez Cabrera
308/2007	Optimal environmental policy in transport: unintended effects on consumers' generalized price M. Pilar Socorro and Ofelia Betancor
309/2007	Agricultural Productivity in the European Regions: Trends and Explanatory Factors Roberto Ezcurra, Belen Iráizoz, Pedro Pascual and Manuel Rapún
310/2007	Long-run Regional Population Divergence and Modern Economic Growth in Europe: a Case Study of Spain María Isabel Ayuda, Fernando Collantes and Vicente Pinilla
311/2007	Financial Information effects on the measurement of Commercial Banks' Efficiency Borja Amor, María T. Tascón and José L. Fanjul
312/2007	Neutralidad e incentivos de las inversiones financieras en el nuevo IRPF Félix Domínguez Barrero
313/2007	The Effects of Corporate Social Responsibility Perceptions on The Valuation of Common Stock Waymond Rodgers , Helen Choy and Andres Guiral-Contreras
314/2007	Country Creditor Rights, Information Sharing and Commercial Banks' Profitability Persistence across the world Borja Amor, María T. Tascón and José L. Fanjul
315/2007	¿Es Relevante el Déficit Corriente en una Unión Monetaria? El Caso Español Javier Blanco González y Ignacio del Rosal Fernández
316/2007	The Impact of Credit Rating Announcements on Spanish Corporate Fixed Income Performance: Returns, Yields and Liquidity Pilar Abad, Antonio Díaz and M. Dolores Robles
317/2007	Indicadores de Lealtad al Establecimiento y Formato Comercial Basados en la Distribución del Presupuesto Cesar Augusto Bustos Reyes y Óscar González Benito
318/2007	Migrants and Market Potential in Spain over The XXth Century: A Test Of The New Economic Geography Daniel A. Tirado, Jordi Pons, Elisenda Paluzie and Javier Silvestre
319/2007	El Impacto del Coste de Oportunidad de la Actividad Emprendedora en la Intención de los Ciudadanos Europeos de Crear Empresas Luis Miguel Zapico Aldeano
320/2007	Los belgas y los ferrocarriles de vía estrecha en España, 1887-1936 Alberte Martínez López
321/2007	Competición política bipartidista. Estudio geométrico del equilibrio en un caso ponderado Isabel Lillo, Mª Dolores López y Javier Rodrigo
322/2007	Human resource management and environment management systems: an empirical study Ma Concepción López Fernández, Ana Ma Serrano Bedia and Gema García Piqueres

323/2007	Wood and industrialization. evidence and hypotheses from the case of Spain, 1860-1935. Iñaki Iriarte-Goñi and María Isabel Ayuda Bosque
324/2007	New evidence on long-run monetary neutrality. J. Cunado, L.A. Gil-Alana and F. Perez de Gracia
325/2007	Monetary policy and structural changes in the volatility of us interest rates. Juncal Cuñado, Javier Gomez Biscarri and Fernando Perez de Gracia
326/2007	The productivity effects of intrafirm diffusion. Lucio Fuentelsaz, Jaime Gómez and Sergio Palomas
327/2007	Unemployment duration, layoffs and competing risks. J.M. Arranz, C. García-Serrano and L. Toharia
328/2007	El grado de cobertura del gasto público en España respecto a la UE-15 Nuria Rueda, Begoña Barruso, Carmen Calderón y Mª del Mar Herrador
329/2007	The Impact of Direct Subsidies in Spain before and after the CAP'92 Reform Carmen Murillo, Carlos San Juan and Stefan Sperlich
330/2007	Determinants of post-privatisation performance of Spanish divested firms Laura Cabeza García and Silvia Gómez Ansón
331/2007	¿Por qué deciden diversificar las empresas españolas? Razones oportunistas versus razones económicas Almudena Martínez Campillo
332/2007	Dynamical Hierarchical Tree in Currency Markets Juan Gabriel Brida, David Matesanz Gómez and Wiston Adrián Risso
333/2007	Los determinantes sociodemográficos del gasto sanitario. Análisis con microdatos individuales Ana María Angulo, Ramón Barberán, Pilar Egea y Jesús Mur
334/2007	Why do companies go private? The Spanish case Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar
335/2007	The use of gis to study transport for disabled people Verónica Cañal Fernández
336/2007	The long run consequences of M&A: An empirical application Cristina Bernad, Lucio Fuentelsaz and Jaime Gómez
337/2007	Las clasificaciones de materias en economía: principios para el desarrollo de una nueva clasificación Valentín Edo Hernández
338/2007	Reforming Taxes and Improving Health: A Revenue-Neutral Tax Reform to Eliminate Medical and Pharmaceutical VAT Santiago Álvarez-García, Carlos Pestana Barros y Juan Prieto-Rodriguez
339/2007	Impacts of an iron and steel plant on residential property values Celia Bilbao-Terol
340/2007	Firm size and capital structure: Evidence using dynamic panel data Víctor M. González and Francisco González

341/2007	¿Cómo organizar una cadena hotelera? La elección de la forma de gobierno Marta Fernández Barcala y Manuel González Díaz
342/2007	Análisis de los efectos de la decisión de diversificar: un contraste del marco teórico "Agencia- Stewardship" Almudena Martínez Campillo y Roberto Fernández Gago
343/2007	Selecting portfolios given multiple eurostoxx-based uncertainty scenarios: a stochastic goal programming approach from fuzzy betas Enrique Ballestero, Blanca Pérez-Gladish, Mar Arenas-Parra and Amelia Bilbao-Terol
344/2007	"El bienestar de los inmigrantes y los factores implicados en la decisión de emigrar" Anastasia Hernández Alemán y Carmelo J. León
345/2007	Governance Decisions in the R&D Process: An Integrative Framework Based on TCT and Knowledge View of The Firm. Andrea Martínez-Noya and Esteban García-Canal
346/2007	Diferencias salariales entre empresas públicas y privadas. El caso español Begoña Cueto y Nuria Sánchez- Sánchez
347/2007	Effects of Fiscal Treatments of Second Home Ownership on Renting Supply Celia Bilbao Terol and Juan Prieto Rodríguez
348/2007	Auditors' ethical dilemmas in the going concern evaluation Andres Guiral, Waymond Rodgers, Emiliano Ruiz and Jose A. Gonzalo
349/2007	Convergencia en capital humano en España. Un análisis regional para el periodo 1970-2004 Susana Morales Sequera y Carmen Pérez Esparrells
350/2007	Socially responsible investment: mutual funds portfolio selection using fuzzy multiobjective programming Blanca Ma Pérez-Gladish, Mar Arenas-Parra , Amelia Bilbao-Terol and Ma Victoria Rodríguez-Uría
351/2007	Persistencia del resultado contable y sus componentes: implicaciones de la medida de ajustes por devengo Raúl Iñiguez Sánchez y Francisco Poveda Fuentes
352/2007	Wage Inequality and Globalisation: What can we Learn from the Past? A General Equilibrium Approach Concha Betrán, Javier Ferri and Maria A. Pons
353/2007	Eficacia de los incentivos fiscales a la inversión en I+D en España en los años noventa Desiderio Romero Jordán y José Félix Sanz Sanz
354/2007	Convergencia regional en renta y bienestar en España Robert Meneu Gaya
355/2007	Tributación ambiental: Estado de la Cuestión y Experiencia en España Ana Carrera Poncela
356/2007	Salient features of dependence in daily us stock market indices Luis A. Gil-Alana, Juncal Cuñado and Fernando Pérez de Gracia
357/2007	La educación superior: ¿un gasto o una inversión rentable para el sector público? Inés P. Murillo y Francisco Pedraja

358/2007	Effects of a reduction of working hours on a model with job creation and job destruction Emilio Domínguez, Miren Ullibarri y Idoya Zabaleta
359/2007	Stock split size, signaling and earnings management: Evidence from the Spanish market José Yagüe, J. Carlos Gómez-Sala and Francisco Poveda-Fuentes
360/2007	Modelización de las expectativas y estrategias de inversión en mercados de derivados Begoña Font-Belaire
361/2008	Trade in capital goods during the golden age, 1953-1973 M ^a Teresa Sanchis and Antonio Cubel
362/2008	El capital económico por riesgo operacional: una aplicación del modelo de distribución de pérdidas Enrique José Jiménez Rodríguez y José Manuel Feria Domínguez
363/2008	The drivers of effectiveness in competition policy Joan-Ramon Borrell and Juan-Luis Jiménez
364/2008	Corporate governance structure and board of directors remuneration policies: evidence from Spain Carlos Fernández Méndez, Rubén Arrondo García and Enrique Fernández Rodríguez
365/2008	Beyond the disciplinary role of governance: how boards and donors add value to Spanish foundations Pablo De Andrés Alonso, Valentín Azofra Palenzuela y M. Elena Romero Merino
366/2008	Complejidad y perfeccionamiento contractual para la contención del oportunismo en los acuerdos de franquicia Vanesa Solís Rodríguez y Manuel González Díaz
367/2008	Inestabilidad y convergencia entre las regiones europeas Jesús Mur, Fernando López y Ana Angulo
368/2008	Análisis espacial del cierre de explotaciones agrarias Ana Aldanondo Ochoa, Carmen Almansa Sáez y Valero Casanovas Oliva
369/2008	Cross-Country Efficiency Comparison between Italian and Spanish Public Universities in the period 2000-2005 Tommaso Agasisti and Carmen Pérez Esparrells
370/2008	El desarrollo de la sociedad de la información en España: un análisis por comunidades autónomas María Concepción García Jiménez y José Luis Gómez Barroso
371/2008	El medioambiente y los objetivos de fabricación: un análisis de los modelos estratégicos para su consecución Lucía Avella Camarero, Esteban Fernández Sánchez y Daniel Vázquez-Bustelo
372/2008	Influence of bank concentration and institutions on capital structure: New international evidence Víctor M. González and Francisco González
373/2008	Generalización del concepto de equilibrio en juegos de competición política Mª Dolores López González y Javier Rodrigo Hitos
374/2008	Smooth Transition from Fixed Effects to Mixed Effects Models in Multi-level regression Models María José Lombardía and Stefan Sperlich

375/2008	A Revenue-Neutral Tax Reform to Increase Demand for Public Transport Services Carlos Pestana Barros and Juan Prieto-Rodriguez
376/2008	Measurement of intra-distribution dynamics: An application of different approaches to the European regions Adolfo Maza, María Hierro and José Villaverde
377/2008	Migración interna de extranjeros y ¿nueva fase en la convergencia? María Hierro y Adolfo Maza
378/2008	Efectos de la Reforma del Sector Eléctrico: Modelización Teórica y Experiencia Internacional Ciro Eduardo Bazán Navarro
379/2008	A Non-Parametric Independence Test Using Permutation Entropy Mariano Matilla-García and Manuel Ruiz Marín
380/2008	Testing for the General Fractional Unit Root Hypothesis in the Time Domain Uwe Hassler, Paulo M.M. Rodrigues and Antonio Rubia
381/2008	Multivariate gram-charlier densities Esther B. Del Brio, Trino-Manuel Ñíguez and Javier Perote
382/2008	Analyzing Semiparametrically the Trends in the Gender Pay Gap - The Example of Spain Ignacio Moral-Arce, Stefan Sperlich, Ana I. Fernández-Saínz and Maria J. Roca
383/2008	A Cost-Benefit Analysis of a Two-Sided Card Market Santiago Carbó Valverde, David B. Humphrey, José Manuel Liñares Zegarra and Francisco Rod- riguez Fernandez
384/2008	A Fuzzy Bicriteria Approach for Journal Deselection in a Hospital Library M. L. López-Avello, M. V. Rodríguez-Uría, B. Pérez-Gladish, A. Bilbao-Terol, M. Arenas-Parra
385/2008	Valoración de las grandes corporaciones farmaceúticas, a través del análisis de sus principales intangibles, con el método de opciones reales Gracia Rubio Martín y Prosper Lamothe Fernández
386/2008	El marketing interno como impulsor de las habilidades comerciales de las pyme españolas: efectos en los resultados empresariales Mª Leticia Santos Vijande, Mª José Sanzo Pérez, Nuria García Rodríguez y Juan A. Trespalacios Gutiérrez
387/2008	Understanding Warrants Pricing: A case study of the financial market in Spain David Abad y Belén Nieto
388/2008	Aglomeración espacial, Potencial de Mercado y Geografía Económica: Una revisión de la literatura Jesús López-Rodríguez y J. Andrés Faíña
389/2008	An empirical assessment of the impact of switching costs and first mover advantages on firm performance Jaime Gómez, Juan Pablo Maícas
390/2008	Tender offers in Spain: testing the wave Ana R. Martínez-Cañete y Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar

391/2008	La integración del mercado español a finales del siglo XIX: los precios del trigo entre 1891 y 1905 Mariano Matilla García, Pedro Pérez Pascual y Basilio Sanz Carnero
392/2008	Cuando el tamaño importa: estudio sobre la influencia de los sujetos políticos en la balanza de bienes y servicios Alfonso Echazarra de Gregorio
393/2008	Una visión cooperativa de las medidas ante el posible daño ambiental de la desalación Borja Montaño Sanz
394/2008	Efectos externos del endeudamiento sobre la calificación crediticia de las Comunidades Autónomas Andrés Leal Marcos y Julio López Laborda
395/2008	Technical efficiency and productivity changes in Spanish airports: A parametric distance functions approach Beatriz Tovar & Roberto Rendeiro Martín-Cejas
396/2008	Network analysis of exchange data: Interdependence drives crisis contagion David Matesanz Gómez & Guillermo J. Ortega
397/2008	Explaining the performance of Spanish privatised firms: a panel data approach Laura Cabeza Garcia and Silvia Gomez Anson
398/2008	Technological capabilities and the decision to outsource R&D services Andrea Martínez-Noya and Esteban García-Canal
399/2008	Hybrid Risk Adjustment for Pharmaceutical Benefits Manuel García-Goñi, Pere Ibern & José María Inoriza
400/2008	The Team Consensus–Performance Relationship and the Moderating Role of Team Diversity José Henrique Dieguez, Javier González-Benito and Jesús Galende
401/2008	The institutional determinants of CO_2 emissions: A computational modelling approach using Artificial Neural Networks and Genetic Programming Marcos Álvarez-Díaz , Gonzalo Caballero Miguez and Mario Soliño
402/2008	Alternative Approaches to Include Exogenous Variables in DEA Measures: A Comparison Using Monte Carlo José Manuel Cordero-Ferrera, Francisco Pedraja-Chaparro and Daniel Santín-González
403/2008	Efecto diferencial del capital humano en el crecimiento económico andaluz entre 1985 y 2004: comparación con el resto de España Mª del Pópulo Pablo-Romero Gil-Delgado y Mª de la Palma Gómez-Calero Valdés
404/2008	Análisis de fusiones, variaciones conjeturales y la falacia del estimador en diferencias Juan Luis Jiménez y Jordi Perdiguero
405/2008	Política fiscal en la uem: ¿basta con los estabilizadores automáticos? Jorge Uxó González y Mª Jesús Arroyo Fernández
406/2008	Papel de la orientación emprendedora y la orientación al mercado en el éxito de las empresas Óscar González-Benito, Javier González-Benito y Pablo A. Muñoz-Gallego
407/2008	La presión fiscal por impuesto sobre sociedades en la unión europea Elena Fernández Rodríguez, Antonio Martínez Arias y Santiago Álvarez García

408/2008	The environment as a determinant factor of the purchasing and supply strategy: an empirical analysis Dr. Javier González-Benito y MS Duilio Reis da Rocha
409/2008	Cooperation for innovation: the impact on innovatory effort Gloria Sánchez González and Liliana Herrera
410/2008	Spanish post-earnings announcement drift and behavioral finance models Carlos Forner and Sonia Sanabria
411/2008	Decision taking with external pressure: evidence on football manager dismissals in argentina and their consequences Ramón Flores, David Forrest and Juan de Dios Tena
412/2008	Comercio agrario latinoamericano, 1963-2000: aplicación de la ecuación gravitacional para flujos desagregados de comercio Raúl Serrano y Vicente Pinilla
413/2008	Voter heuristics in Spain: a descriptive approach elector decision José Luís Sáez Lozano and Antonio M. Jaime Castillo
414/2008	Análisis del efecto área de salud de residencia sobre la utilización y acceso a los servicios sanitarios en la Comunidad Autónoma Canaria Ignacio Abásolo Alessón, Lidia García Pérez, Raquel Aguiar Ibáñez y Asier Amador Robayna
415/2008	Impact on competitive balance from allowing foreign players in a sports league: an analytical model and an empirical test Ramón Flores, David Forrest & Juan de Dios Tena
416/2008	Organizational innovation and productivity growth: Assessing the impact of outsourcing on firm performance Alberto López
417/2008	Value Efficiency Analysis of Health Systems Eduardo González, Ana Cárcaba & Juan Ventura
418/2008	Equidad en la utilización de servicios sanitarios públicos por comunidades autónomas en España: un análisis multinivel Ignacio Abásolo, Jaime Pinilla, Miguel Negrín, Raquel Aguiar y Lidia García
419/2008	Piedras en el camino hacia Bolonia: efectos de la implantación del EEES sobre los resultados académicos Carmen Florido, Juan Luis Jiménez e Isabel Santana
420/2008	The welfare effects of the allocation of airlines to different terminals M. Pilar Socorro and Ofelia Betancor
421/2008	How bank capital buffers vary across countries. The influence of cost of deposits, market power and bank regulation Ana Rosa Fonseca and Francisco González
422/2008	Analysing health limitations in spain: an empirical approach based on the european community household panel Marta Pascual and David Cantarero

423/2008	Regional productivity variation and the impact of public capital stock: an analysis with spatial interaction, with reference to Spain Miguel Gómez-Antonio and Bernard Fingleton
424/2008	Average effect of training programs on the time needed to find a job. The case of the training schools program in the south of Spain (Seville, 1997-1999). José Manuel Cansino Muñoz-Repiso and Antonio Sánchez Braza
425/2008	Medición de la eficiencia y cambio en la productividad de las empresas distribuidoras de electricidad en Perú después de las reformas Raúl Pérez-Reyes y Beatriz Tovar
426/2008	Acercando posturas sobre el descuento ambiental: sondeo Delphi a expertos en el ámbito internacional Carmen Almansa Sáez y José Miguel Martínez Paz
427/2008	Determinants of abnormal liquidity after rating actions in the Corporate Debt Market Pilar Abad, Antonio Díaz and M. Dolores Robles
428/2008	Export led-growth and balance of payments constrained. New formalization applied to Cuban commercial regimes since 1960 David Matesanz Gómez, Guadalupe Fugarolas Álvarez-Ude and Isis Mañalich Gálvez
429/2008	La deuda implícita y el desequilibrio financiero-actuarial de un sistema de pensiones. El caso del régimen general de la seguridad social en España José Enrique Devesa Carpio y Mar Devesa Carpio
430/2008	Efectos de la descentralización fiscal sobre el precio de los carburantes en España Desiderio Romero Jordán, Marta Jorge García-Inés y Santiago Álvarez García
431/2008	Euro, firm size and export behavior Silviano Esteve-Pérez, Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero and José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
432/2008	Does social spending increase support for free trade in advanced democracies? Ismael Sanz, Ferran Martínez i Coma and Federico Steinberg
433/2008	Potencial de Mercado y Estructura Espacial de Salarios: El Caso de Colombia Jesús López-Rodríguez y Maria Cecilia Acevedo
434/2008	Persistence in Some Energy Futures Markets Juncal Cunado, Luis A. Gil-Alana and Fernando Pérez de Gracia
435/2008	La inserción financiera externa de la economía francesa: inversores institucionales y nueva gestión empresarial Ignacio Álvarez Peralta
436/2008	¿Flexibilidad o rigidez salarial en España?: un análisis a escala regional Ignacio Moral Arce y Adolfo Maza Fernández
437/2009	Intangible relationship-specific investments and the performance of r&d outsourcing agreements Andrea Martínez-Noya, Esteban García-Canal & Mauro F. Guillén
438/2009	Friendly or Controlling Boards? Pablo de Andrés Alonso & Juan Antonio Rodríguez Sanz

439/2009	La sociedad Trenor y Cía. (1838-1926): un modelo de negocio industrial en la España del siglo XIX Amparo Ruiz Llopis
440/2009	Continental bias in trade Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero & José Antonio Martínez Serrano
441/2009	Determining operational capital at risk: an empirical application to the retail banking Enrique José Jiménez-Rodríguez, José Manuel Feria-Domínguez & José Luis Martín-Marín
442/2009	Costes de mitigación y escenarios post-kyoto en España: un análisis de equilibro general para España Mikel González Ruiz de Eguino
443/2009	Las revistas españolas de economía en las bibliotecas universitarias: ranking, valoración del indicador y del sistema Valentín Edo Hernández
444/2009	Convergencia económica en España y coordinación de políticas económicas. un estudio basado en la estructura productiva de las CC.AA. Ana Cristina Mingorance Arnáiz
445/2009	Instrumentos de mercado para reducir emisiones de co2: un análisis de equilibrio general para España Mikel González Ruiz de Eguino
446/2009	El comercio intra e inter-regional del sector Turismo en España Carlos Llano y Tamara de la Mata
447/2009	Efectos del incremento del precio del petróleo en la economía española: Análisis de cointegración y de la política monetaria mediante reglas de Taylor Fernando Hernández Martínez
448/2009	Bologna Process and Expenditure on Higher Education: A Convergence Analysis of the EU-15 T. Agasisti, C. Pérez Esparrells, G. Catalano & S. Morales
449/2009	Global Economy Dynamics? Panel Data Approach to Spillover Effects Gregory Daco, Fernando Hernández Martínez & Li-Wu Hsu
450/2009	Pricing levered warrants with dilution using observable variables Isabel Abínzano & Javier F. Navas
451/2009	Information technologies and financial prformance: The effect of technology diffusion among competitors Lucio Fuentelsaz, Jaime Gómez & Sergio Palomas
452/2009	A Detailed Comparison of Value at Risk in International Stock Exchanges Pilar Abad & Sonia Benito
453/2009	Understanding offshoring: has Spain been an offshoring location in the nineties? Belén González-Díaz & Rosario Gandoy
454/2009	Outsourcing decision, product innovation and the spatial dimension: Evidence from the Spanish footwear industry José Antonio Belso-Martínez

455/2009	Does playing several competitions influence a team's league performance? Evidence from Spanish professional football Andrés J. Picazo-Tadeo & Francisco González-Gómez
456/2009	Does accessibility affect retail prices and competition? An empirical application Juan Luis Jiménez and Jordi Perdiguero
457/2009	Cash conversion cycle in smes Sonia Baños-Caballero, Pedro J. García-Teruel and Pedro Martínez-Solano
458/2009	Un estudio sobre el perfil de hogares endeudados y sobreendeudados: el caso de los hogares vascos Alazne Mujika Alberdi, Iñaki García Arrizabalaga y Juan José Gibaja Martíns
459/2009	Imposing monotonicity on outputs in parametric distance function estimations: with an application to the spanish educational production Sergio Perelman and Daniel Santin
460/2009	Key issues when using tax data for concentration analysis: an application to the Spanish wealth tax José Ma Durán-Cabré and Alejandro Esteller-Moré
461/2009	¿Se está rompiendo el mercado español? Una aplicación del enfoque de feldstein –horioka Saúl De Vicente Queijeiro, José Luis Pérez Rivero y María Rosalía Vicente Cuervo
462/2009	Financial condition, cost efficiency and the quality of local public services Manuel A. Muñiz & José L. Zafra
463/2009	Including non-cognitive outputs in a multidimensional evaluation of education production: an international comparison Marián García Valiñas & Manuel Antonio Muñiz Pérez
464/2009	A political look into budget deficits. The role of minority governments and oppositions Albert Falcó-Gimeno & Ignacio Jurado
465/2009	La simulación del cuadro de mando integral. Una herramienta de aprendizaje en la materia de contabilidad de gestión Elena Urquía Grande, Clara Isabel Muñoz Colomina y Elisa Isabel Cano Montero
466/2009	Análisis histórico de la importancia de la industria de la desalinización en España Borja Montaño Sanz
467/2009	The dynamics of trade and innovation: a joint approach Silviano Esteve-Pérez & Diego Rodríguez
468/2009	Measuring international reference-cycles Sonia de Lucas Santos, Inmaculada Álvarez Ayuso & Mª Jesús Delgado Rodríguez
469/2009	Measuring quality of life in Spanish municipalities Eduardo González Fidalgo, Ana Cárcaba García, Juan Ventura Victoria & Jesús García García