# THE ENVIRONMENT AS A DETERMINANT FACTOR OF THE PURCHASING AND SUPPLY STRATEGY: AN EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS

# DR. JAVIER GONZÁLEZ-BENITO MS DUILIO REIS DA ROCHA

FUNDACIÓN DE LAS CAJAS DE AHORROS DOCUMENTO DE TRABAJO Nº 408/2008 De conformidad con la base quinta de la convocatoria del Programa de Estímulo a la Investigación, este trabajo ha sido sometido a evaluación externa anónima de especialistas cualificados a fin de contrastar su nivel técnico.

ISSN: 1988-8767

La serie **DOCUMENTOS DE TRABAJO** incluye avances y resultados de investigaciones dentro de los programas de la Fundación de las Cajas de Ahorros.

Las opiniones son responsabilidad de los autores.

# The environment as a determinant factor of the purchasing and supply strategy: an empirical analysis

# Dr. Javier GONZÁLEZ-BENITO

Dpto. Administración y Economía de la Empresa
Universidad de Salamanca
Campus Miguel de Unamuno, Edificio FES
37007 Salamanca (Spain)
Tel: 34-23-294400 ext. 3002
Fax: 34-23-294715
javiergb@usal.es

#### Duilio Reis da ROCHA, MS

Centro de Ciências Administrativas Universidade de Fortaleza – UNIFOR Av. Washington Soares, 1321 60811-341 Fortaleza (Brasil) Tel: 55-85-32414407 cdrocha@unifor.br

#### Acknowledgements

This study was carried out within the framework of research project SEJ2007-63879/ECON, financed by the Dirección General de Investigación del Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia (State Office for Research of the Spanish Ministry of Education and Science) and by FEDER funds.

#### **Abstract**

The environment has been considered an essential variable to explain the strategic behavior of companies; however, few works have studied the role that environment plays in the formulation of strategies in the purchasing function. The objective of this work is to study the relationship between munificence, hostility, dynamism and complexity of the environment and the implementation of practices of evaluation, development, involvement and logistics integration of suppliers. Several hypotheses are formulated starting from different approaches and theories developed in the field of strategic management and operations management, and they are tested over a sample of 96 industrial companies with business activities in the northeast of Brazil. The results reveal a statistically significant relationship between the characteristics of the environment and the practices employed in the purchasing function, the hostility and the complexity of the environment being outstanding for their explanatory power.

# Keywords

Environment, purchasing and supply strategy, purchasing and supply practices, munificence, hostility, dynamism, complexity.

#### 1. Introduction

Although within the scope of strategic management the environment has been considered as a fundamental variable to explain the strategic behavior of companies (e.g. Miller and Friesen, 1983; Hambrick, 1983; Hrebiniak and Joyce, 1985), few works analyze the environment in the formulation and implementation of functional strategies (Ward et al., 1996).

In the last few years, the strategic importance of the purchasing function has significantly grown in industrial sectors (Gadde and Hakansson, 1994; Carter and Narasimhan, 1996). The identification of suppliers in the research of Porter (1980) as critical elements in the strategic analysis or in the success of the Japanese productive systems in the eighties has been noted as a driver of this larger recognition (Watts et al., 1992; Ellram and Carr, 1994). As a consequence, in the last two decades, many published works have addressed the identification of the determinants and consequences of the different options and strategic decisions used in the purchasing function. However, very few works have analyzed the determinant power of the environment in the formulation of the purchasing and supply strategy. Those works that have examined this role have centered on a single dimension characterizing the environment (e.g.

Paulraj and Chen, 2007) and have not attempted to discriminate those dimensions with a greater explanatory power.

The present work intends to contribute to the development of this field of research by jointly analyzing the relationship between four characteristic dimensions of the environment -munificence, hostility, dynamism and complexity- and the implementation of supplier development, evaluation, involvement and logistic integration practices. We have labeled these practices as 'advanced' because they represent strategic alternatives that break with traditional or competitive paradigms (Morris and Imrie, 1992; Lamming, 1993). Given the interest they have aroused and the competitive potential that has been attributed to them, it is especially interesting to identify in which contextual circumstances companies consider their implementation appropriate.

The remainder of this work is structured in 5 sections. In section 2, the main focus of the work is defined, conceptually developing the elements of the environment and the purchase and supply strategies to be considered in subsequent analysis. Section 3 provides a broader discussion of the different theories and approaches developed within the field of strategic management and in operations management literature, generating arguments that relate the environment with the purchasing and supply practices, and moving towards the stated hypotheses. Section 4 outlines the methodology used to test the hypotheses formulated and section 5 presents and discusses the research results. The paper closes with Section 6, which summarizes the main research conclusions.

#### 2. The main focus of the work

## **Environment and strategy**

The influence of the environment on the organizational operation has constituted one of the proposals of the contingency theory since its origin at the beginning of the 1960s (Burns and Stalker, 1961; Lawrence and Lorch, 1967; Thompson, 1967), although the initial research centered primarily on determining its effects on organizational structure rather than on competitive strategy. The relationship between strategy and organizational structure has also received critical attention as from the beginnings of strategic management as an academic discipline (Chandler, 1962; Rumelt, 1974). However, the relationship between the strategy and the environment of a company, denominated the 'third link' by Miller and Friesen (1983), has received attention from academics only after quite some time. Several research initiatives developed during the 1980s established the clear existence of important connections between the different dimensions characterizing the environment and the managerial strategy (e.g. Miller and Friesen, 1983; Hambrick, 1983; Hrebiniak and Joyce, 1985).

The inclusion of the environment as a fundamental element in the understanding of the formulation and the success of competitive strategy has also been boosted by the influence of the industrial economy in the development of strategic management as from the 1970s (Hoskisson et to the., 1999). The evolution of the paradigm structure-conduct-results (Bain, 1968; Mason, 1939), a fundamental pillar of the traditional industrial economy, and its application to the managerial gamut, has suggested the

existence of important links between the company strategy and the structure of its related industrial sector (Porter, 1981).

Until the 1990s, the works that studied the links between the environment and the company strategies had paid little attention to functional strategies. Having noted this limitation, several works studied the relationship between the environment and strategy in the scope of the production function (e.g. Ward et al., 1995, Ward et al. 1996; Ward and Duray, 2000), understanding that there should also be an alignment of the functional strategies with the environment surrounding the company. The influence of the environment on the configuration of the purchase and continuous supply strategies, however, has scarcely been explored. In recent years the purchasing function has received special interest in industrial sectors, no longer being considered a merely administrative component (Reck and Long, 1988; Spekman et al., 1992; Carter and Narasimhan, 1996; Trent and Monczka, 1998). However, little of the research has tackled the relationship between the characteristics of the environment and the strategic decisions taken to manage purchasing and supply activities. Existing research tends to focus only on one dimension of the environment and hence it is not possible to discriminate which aspects of the environment have the strongest explanatory power and which are less important.

#### Dimensions of the Environment

The competitive environment has been characterized in several ways and through multiple dimensions in strategic management (e.g. Dess and Beard, 1984; Miller and

Fritassem, 1983; Sharfman and Dean, 1991; Sutcliffe and Huber, 1998). In this work we focus on four of these dimensions:

- *Munificence*. This refers to the extent to which the environment presents competitive opportunities and favorable conditions for the company's growth;
- *Hostility*. This refers to the extent to which the actions and the behavior of present competitors in the environment influence and threaten the company;
- *Dynamism*. This refers to the degree of instability and turbulence of the environment.
- *Complexity*. This refers to the degree of heterogeneity or the diversity of factors, activities or situations faced by the company.

Although some authors have incorporated the concept of hostility within the munificence concept (Ward et al., 1995) and others have combined both concepts (Khandwalla, 1972; Sharfman and Dean, 1991), each idea represents different characteristics of the environment and other authors have considered them separately (eg. Sutcliffe and Huber, 1998). Both concepts tend, however, to be considered as indicators of the level of rivalry and competitiveness of the sector in which the company operates. On the other hand, dynamism and complexity are frequently associated with the uncertainty concept (Duncan, 1972; Dess and Beard, 1984) and, in this sense, their effects have often been analyzed jointly (Dess and Origem, 1987; Sia et al., 2004).

Some authors view the predominant strategic planning process in the production function (see Leong et al., 1990) in the scope of the purchasing and supply function (Watts et al., 1995; González-Benito, 2007). In this sense, researchers distinguish two levels or components of the purchasing strategy: the chosen competitive priorities and the practices and programs used to reach these priorities. The current work focuses on the second level because it actually encompasses the strategic decisions taken by the company regarding supply.

In spite of the variety of strategic alternatives and possible initiatives that can be developed, in the last few years several notable practices have marked a departure from the formulas of supply known as traditional or competitive (Morris and Imrie, 1992; Lamming, 1993):

- *Supplier evaluation* (e.g. Carr and Pearson, 1999; So et al., 1999). Its objective is to garner information about the reliability and the capacities of suppliers so that selection does not rely solely on price as a determinant;
- *Supplier development* (e.g. Humphreys et al., 2004). This argues that as the company depends on its suppliers, it is appropriate to help selected suppliers solve their problems and improve their production capacity;
- *Supplier involvement* (e.g. Petersen et al., 2005). The central idea in this concept is leveraging the knowledge and capacities of suppliers in helping in project or product development or in the solving of production problems;

- *Supplier logistics integration* (e.g. Stank et al., 2002). The objective of this practice is to have the physical flow of supplies better fit the needs of the company, as if it were just one more production stage within the organization.

These practices, here denoted as 'advanced', have developed a new paradigm based on cooperation between suppliers and the generation of mutual trust, hence providing important interrelationships and complementarities that allow them to be studied under wider conceptual lenses such as those of Supply Chain Management (e.g. Croom et al., 2000; Ho et al., 2002), or JIT purchasing (e.g. Waters-Fuller, 1995; González-Benito and Spring, 2000; Kaynak and Hartley, 2006).

## 3. Influence of the environment on supply practices: working hypotheses.

# Munificence and hostility

The munificence and hostility levels of the environment have been considered as intimately related to the abundance of resources (Sharfman and Dean, 1991) as well as to the rivalry and competitive intensity in that environment (Miller and Friesen, 1983). On the one hand, the reduced growth that characterizes munificent environments constitutes one of the structural factors that increase rivalry within an industrial sector (Porter, 1980). On the other hand, when resources are scarce and there are multiple candidates vying for them, an increase in aggressive and hostile behavior on the part of competitors is more likely, resulting in a threat to the company. The effect of munificence and hostility on the purchasing and supply strategy can, therefore, be

viewed as the effect that a shortage of resources and larger competitive intensity have on such a strategy.

An answer to the relationship between the rivalry and competition of an industrial sector and the behavior of the companies that compete in it can be found in the contributions of the industrial economy to strategic management, specifically in the model of competitive forces proposed by Porter (1980). Porter considers differentiation as an appropriate strategy to combat rivalry and competitive pressures in the sector, possibly authenticated by changes in products or in services. The company would end up in a monopolistic competition situation (Scherer and Ross, 1990). In other words, a company would opt for a market segment which would be more isolated from competitors' actions. It is reasonable to think, therefore, that the companies competing in low munificent or hostile environments have a greater interest in introducing changes that would allow them to differentiate and, therefore, reduce their vulnerability. Several researchers present this idea of change as a response to the threat represented by a shortage of resources and an increase in competitive intensity (ex. Yasai-Ardekani, 1989; Wiersema and Bantel, 1993). Companies that enjoy munificent or scarcely hostile environments, however, have fewer reasons to change their actions or modify their workflow and operations.

The advanced purchasing and supply practices can be viewed as the articulation of a differentiation strategy in the purchasing function. These practices represented, at the end of the 1980s and early 1990s, the surpassing of the traditional paradigm in purchasing and supply management. This traditional paradigm viewed purchasing management as a selection process based on prices. During this period, purchasing and

supply administration was considered, in most companies, an administrative activity whose main function was to obtain, at the best possible price, products that would fit a series of specifications totally controlled by the company (Ellram and Carr, 1994; Cavinato, 1999). The contribution of the purchasing department was limited to cost reduction. However, the development of advanced practices was parallel in time to the strategic recognition of the purchasing function and the dissemination of the idea that purchasing management can contribute to reaching other competitive objectives such as quality, flexibility or dependability, and thus support a differentiation strategy. The implementation of these practices could be considered, therefore, a natural reaction in the face of environmental hostility and/or low munificence that seeks to minimize through differentiation the threat these environmental conditions represent. We propose, therefore, the following hypotheses:

Hypothesis 1: The higher the munificence of the environment, the lower the implementation of advanced purchasing and supply practices in a company.

Hypothesis 2: The higher the hostility of the environment, the higher the implementation of advanced purchasing and supply practices in a company.

It is important to note that, in spite of the arguments, there is a certain controversy in the literature on the effect these adverse environments, hostile and/or low munificent, have on organizational behavior (Covin et al., 1999; Freel, 2005). Several works uphold a contradictory tact, arguing that, when resources are scarce, companies focus their energies on the reduction of costs, reducing the application of resources for innovation, and work toward more short-run objectives (Ward et al., 1995; Ward et al., 1996;

Zyglidopoulos, 1999). Therefore, testing these hypotheses will contribute directly to this existing debate.

# Dynamism and complexity

Dynamic environments are characterized by frequent changes in external variables that affect the activity of the company. This usually entails greater difficulty in forecasting the future and greater uncertainty surrounding relationships with suppliers. On the other hand, complex environments are characterized by a great number of external variables that must be taken into consideration. Due to the limitations of human rationality and, therefore, the impossibility of identifying and analyzing all possible business contingencies, complex environments also involve increased uncertainty in supplier relationships. Therefore, dynamic environments and complex environments coincide in their capacity to generate uncertainty. The effect of this uncertainty on the purchasing and supply strategy chosen by a company can be examined from three perspectives: transaction costs theory, resource dependence theory, and operations management literature.

First, the theory of transaction costs (Williamson, 1975) establishes that the greater the uncertainty, the higher the costs of searching for information and entering into suitable contractual negotiation with suppliers in order to cover all possible contingencies. It also increases the control costs that exist behind the signature of the contract, because of the impossibility of exempting this from possible opportunistic behavior. Thus, transaction cost theory concludes that the internalization of transactions becomes more

advantageous as uncertainty increases (Williamson, 1979), or, in other words, manufacturing internally is economically more advantageous than external purchasing.

Yet in subsequent works, trust is recognized as a factor capable of minimizing company vulnerability in the face of uncertainty and reducing transaction costs without the need for resulting vertical integration (Chiles and McMackin, 1996; Williamson, 1991). The establishment of a relational or cooperative structure with suppliers supported by such a trust can alleviate the costs of information search, negotiation and control derived from the uncertainty generated by dynamic or complex environments. Although establishing cooperative relationships with certain suppliers limits the possibility of selecting the suppliers with the least cost, in this type of relationship the advanced purchasing and supply practices mentioned above are usually implemented, and they have the potential of generating technological, design or logistics improvements that incrementally compensate for this limitation. According to these arguments, the establishment of cooperative relationships constitutes an intermediate alternative between traditional relationships and a vertical integration that increases the level of uncertainty under which market transactions are still appropriate. There would be, therefore, a positive correlation between the uncertainty level and the degree of cooperation with suppliers (Fink et al., 2006) and, therefore, between the uncertainty level and the degree of implementation of the advanced purchasing and supply practices which are usually built over this cooperative base.

Second, the theory of resource dependence, developed initially by Pfeffer and Salancik (1978), suggests that organizations, to accomplish their activities, need access to resources that are not directly controlled by them internally. In other words,

organizations are not self-sufficient and depend on other external agents, which could be suppliers, buyers or competitors, in determining their own behavior. Despite this lack of resource control, organizations try to transform and control their external dependencies in an attempt to guarantee their survival and maintain greater autonomy (Pfeffer, 1987). In this sense, previous research has studied different strategies which provide the capability to alter these relationships, such as coalitions among companies (Pfeffer 1972; Burt, 1980) or joint ventures (Pfeffer and Nowack, 1976). In environments of high uncertainty, the control of these dependencies becomes more difficult and companies have to make a greater effort to restructure their relationships and establish stronger connections (Ulrich and Barney, 1984). In this sense, Paulraj and Chen (2007) argue that the establishment of cooperative relationships and increased interaction with suppliers constitutes a mechanism allowing for greater control of supply dependence and should thus be more frequent in environments of high uncertainty. Under this perspective, the implementation of advanced supply practices can be seen as an additional mechanism for facing the presence of uncertainty in dynamic and complex environments.

Third, the literature on operations management suggests that companies can adapt to the uncertainty of the environment, often generated by environmental dynamism or complexity, by increasing the flexibility of their production systems (eg. Swamidass and Newell, 1987; Prater et al., 2001; Chang et al., 2002; Llorens et al. 2005; Martínez and Pérez, 2005). Advanced supply practices contribute to increasing flexibility, thus allowing a faster development of new products, a reduction in supply interruptions, and greater capacity for supply chain adaptation to new circumstances and scenarios (Narasimhan and Dá 1999a, 1999b, 2000). With this perspective, the implementation of

advanced practices would be in the interest of the company so that they could heighten flexibility to more adequately face dynamism and complexity in the environment. The model presented by Chen and Paulraj (2004) is consistent with this reasoning, proposing environmental uncertainty as an explanatory element of supply chain management.

The arguments developed from these three perspectives suggest that, in dynamic environments and in complex environments, companies will have more interest in implementing advanced supply practices based on cooperation and benefit sharing, because, in this way, they can better face the existing uncertainty. This then suggests the following hypotheses:

Hypothesis 3: The higher the dynamism of the environment, the higher the implementation of advanced purchasing and supply practices in a company.

Hypothesis 4: The higher the complexity of the environment, the higher the implementation of advanced purchasing and supply practices in a company.

## 4. Methodology

### Data

The population chosen to test the hypotheses was comprised of factories located in the Brazilian northeast, with 50 or more employees, in different industrial sectors: food and kindred products (SIC 20), apparel and other textile products (SIC 23), papers and allied

products (SIC 26), chemicals and allied products (SIC 28), and fabricated metal products (SIC 34). The starting information was obtained from the Industrial Guides that the National Confederation of the Industry (CNI) of Brazil makes available from their Federations.

As a first step, we contacted the purchasing manager of each company with the intention of sensitizing him/her about the importance of the study. As a consequence of these contacts, companies in operation for less than two years were excluded. In this process we also discovered that some industries, although located physically in the Northeast Area of Brazil, had their purchasing units in the head offices or in other areas. In these cases, we contacted the person responsible for purchasing in the area, asking that they provide information referring only to the investigated area. After this process the population study object was made up of 485 companies.

The data was collected by sending a postal questionnaire accompanied by a pre-paid envelope, to be answered by the person responsible for the purchasing department in all the companies. If companies so requested in the previous phone contact, the questionnaire was sent by electronic mail. In all the cases a cover letter was included explaining the objective of the study. In order to improve the answer rate, several calls were made to the companies that did not return the questionnaire after at least four weeks from the sending. This procedure, accomplished between January and August of 2007, allowed us to obtain a total of 108 answers. However, 12 questionnaires did not provide some important data, so the final total was 96 valid questionnaires, for an answer rate of 19.8%.

#### Measures

Dimensions of the environment. Purchasing managers were asked to rate on a 7 point Likert scale from 1 (not at all) to 7 (completely) the extent to which the statements included in Table 1 reflect the environment of their companies in recent years. The average of the first two items, referring to growth of demand and the existence of opportunities, and adapted from the scale used by Sutcliffe and Huber (1998), was used to measure the munificence of the environment. The following two, which refer to the intensity and depth of the threat represented by competitors' actions, were used to measure the hostility of the environment and they were adapted from the scale used by Miller and Friesen (1983). We also adapted from these authors the two items used to measure the dynamism of the environment, which focus on the frequency of change of customers' needs and competitors' strategies. The last three items, which refer to the diversity of demands, products and commercial strategies faced by the company, are used to measure the complexity of the environment and they were adapted from the scales used by Sutcliffe and Huber (1998) and Fuentes-Fuentes et al. (2004). All the items were subjected to a confirmatory factor analysis (see Table 1), which provided evidence of the validity and unidimensionality of the four dimensions considered.

Advanced practices in the purchasing function. Managers were asked to rate on a 7 point Likert scale from 1 (not at all) to 7 (completely) to what extent the statements in Table 2 describe the situation of their company. The first three items refer to the existence of systems of evaluation and assessment of suppliers. The following two refer to the existence of actions focused on the development of suppliers. The subsequent two

refer to the degree of involvement and participation of the suppliers in the design, development and improvement of products and processes. The last three refer to the level of logistics integration, this being understood as synchronization and mutual adaptation as regards the physical flow of supplies. The average of the items in each group was used then as a measure of the implantation of supplier evaluation, development, involvement and logistics integration practices, respectively. The results of a confirmatory factor analysis (see Table 2) provide evidence of the validity and unidimensionality of the constructs considered.

# **Analysis**

The different hypotheses suggested require us to study the explanatory capacity of the environmental dimensions in the implementation of advanced practices in the purchasing function. Multiple regression analysis, considering each of the advanced practices as dependent variable and the distinct dimensions of the environment as independent variables, constitutes the most appropriate analytic technique for testing them.

To distinguish the effect of the characteristics of the environment from other effects produced by other contextual circumstances, three control variables are considered in the studied models:

- *Size of the company*, measured by the number of employees, and used to control the effect of economies of scale and the often greater availability of resources in large companies.

- Seniority of the purchasing manager, measured by the number of years this person has occupied the position, and used to control both the possible effect of experience in the implementation of new practices and the possible effect of the resistance that senior managers could show to the implementation of new practices involving important cultural changes.
- Strategic integration of the purchasing function, measured through a scale adapted from Carr and Pearson (1999), Narasimhan and Das (2001) and Chen and Paulraj (2004) and shown in Table 3. It is used to control the effect that the company's strategic consideration of its purchasing function can have on the implementation of more advanced practices and the allocation of resources that this involves.

As shown in Table 1, some dimensions of the environment show important correlations to each other. To avoid interpretation problems deriving from collinearity, we opted to study six regression models for each advanced practice as dependent variable. The first model includes the control variables exclusively as independent variables. The following four models incorporated, respectively, each of the dimensions of the environment. These models allow us to examine, in an independent way, the explanatory capacity of each dimension. In the sixth model all the dimensions of the environment were included, but their entry into the model was conditioned by a stepwise procedure. Thus, only those dimensions that are able to explain something about the implementation of an advanced practice which the other priorities cannot explain enter into the model. In other words, the procedure not only allows us to identify the dimensions of the environment that are capable of explaining a significant part of the implementation of advanced practices, but it also allows to identify which dimensions have a larger predictable power and to identify those for which this power is

not a consequence of the correlation maintained with other dimensions. Tables 4 and 5 present the results obtained from the estimation of these models.

#### 5. Results and discussion

The results of the estimation of the models 1, shown in Tables 4 and 5, is statistically significant in three of the four dependent variables. Moreover, each of the control variables introduced shows significant explanatory power (with a confidence level of at least 90%) over at least one of the dependent variables, which demonstrates that its inclusion in the analysis was correct. The size of the company appears as a positive factor in implementing practices of supplier evaluation, supplier development, and in a weaker way, supplier involvement. This can be explained because many of these practices require investments and efforts in the development of procedures that pay off more quickly in larger companies. The seniority of the person responsible for purchasing shows a negative and significant effect (p < .10) on the implementation of logistics integration practices. This result supports the idea that senior managers' resistance represents a barrier to the transformation of the logistics system and that their substitution is necessary in many companies wishing to make this transformation. The strategic integration of the purchasing function seems to have the largest explanatory power of the three control variables. This result shows that the implantation of advanced practices is all the more favored the higher the strategic recognition of the purchasing function inside the organization. This recognition seems to translate into a decision to implant more sophisticated practices in the purchasing management and it can reflect a larger capacity of the purchasing function to capture resources that allow it to develop new initiatives.

As regards model 2, the incorporation of environmental munificence as independent variable significantly increases (p < .10) the explanatory power of the model in the case of supplier development and logistics integration practices. The negative sign shown in both cases is consistent with the statement made in hypothesis 1, which is why we can consider it partially supported by our results. The hostility of the environment incorporated in model 3 turns out to be strongly significant (p<.01) for explaining the implementation of supplier development and involvement practices and, to a lesser degree (p<.10), the implementation of logistics integration practices. Therefore, hypothesis 2 is also partially supported by the analyzed data. The dynamism of the environment, considered in model 4, only significantly increases the explanatory power of the model in the case of practices of supplier development. The coefficient has a positive sign and offers partial support for hypothesis 3. Finally, the complexity of the environment considered in model 5 has a positive and highly significant (p <.01) effect on the implementation of evaluation practices and development of suppliers and, with a smaller significance level (p <.10), on the implementation of supplier involvement practices. The results obtained therefore also offer partial support for hypothesis 4. In general, it can be observed that all the dimensions of the environment exert a certain effect on the implementation of advanced practices in the sense announced by the hypotheses, but all the dimensions do not have a similar role in all the practices nor do they seem to have the same weight.

A transversal reading through models 2 to 5 shows that the implantation of supplier development practices is linked to all the dimensions of the environment, while the logistics integration practices are the ones more weakly predicted by the characteristics of the environment. Model 6 allows us to identify those dimensions with greater explanatory power. Regarding the evaluation of suppliers, this model does not contribute any additional information since only one dimension showed significant explanatory power in the individual analysis. As far as the implementation of supplier development practices is concerned, the complexity and the hostility of the environment enter into the model, which indicates that they are capable of explaining certain parts of the variation that cannot be better explained by the rest of the dimensions. As regards the involvement of suppliers and their logistics integration, hostility has great explanatory power. The hostility and the complexity of the environment stand out, therefore, for their explanatory power, while the munificence and dynamism, although related significantly with some practices in the sense foreseen, are not able to explain anything that the other dimensions are not able to explain.

Returning to the arguments used to expound the hypotheses, the results obtained allow us to make some appraisals. The argument developed to relate munificence and hostility with advanced purchasing and supply practices focused on considering that both dimensions reflect a shortage of resources and high competitiveness and that these two circumstances are equivalent. However, as Sharfman and Dean argue (1991), a situation of scarce resources is not necessarily associated with high competitiveness (the case of sectors with few competitors and high entry barriers), nor does a sector in growth, and with an abundance of resources and opportunity, lack competitiveness. Therefore, the effect of munificence -more closely linked to the shortage of resources- and the effect of

hostility – the expected consequence of greater rivalry and competitiveness- do not necessarily have to show similar effects to be present in the same circumstances. Although, as expected from the arguments used, munificence and hostility show a negative and significant correlation (see Table 1), they only share 26% of the variance. Brazil is a country that has been experiencing important growth in recent years, but it is also attracting numerous multinationals, which leads some companies to notice environmental munificence at the same time as environmental hostility. This reduces the negative correlation between the two variables and it explains that both characteristics of the environment show different effects. Thus hostility, which is what best reflects the level of competitiveness of the environment and the need to become different, is the one that shows greater explanatory power over the advanced practices of purchasing and supply.

The arguments developed to propose an effect of the dynamism and the complexity of the environment on purchasing and supply management were supported by the capacity of both characteristics to generate uncertainty. Since these two variables have different effects, their explanatory power does is not only based on this shared characteristic, but on other important aspects of each of these dimensions. In this sense, it is reasonable to think that companies subjected to a greater complexity have been dealing with many more variables (e.g. products, customers, strategies) and it is much more difficult to maintain thorough control of all of them. These companies may therefore be more inclined (1) to develop an evaluation system that will allow them to select the best suppliers and not to be so concerned about the daily control of supplies, (2) to foster the capabilities of their suppliers; and (3) to involve their suppliers in product and process design and development and problem solving in order to better confront the existing

complexity. Although dynamism generates uncertainty, it can also lead companies to show greater resistance to establishing links with suppliers to thus ensure the possibility of introducing drastic changes in its supply chain if the instability in the environment required it of them. This could explain why dynamism shows a smaller explanatory power than complexity.

#### 6. Conclusions

In this work we have approached the relationship between the characteristics of the environment surrounding a company and the strategic decisions adopted in its purchasing function. Specifically, we have focused on the relationship between the munificence, hostility, dynamism and complexity of the environment and the implementation of supplier development, evaluation, involvement and logistics integration practices. It was observed that the different dimensions of the environment have a significant explanatory power over the several practices developed in the purchasing function, and that this one is different from those that have other internal variables such as company size, seniority of purchasing managers or the level of strategic integration of the purchasing function The results, therefore, are consistent with the literature that attributes a fundamental role to the environment in explaining the behavior of companies (e.g. Miller and Friesen, 1983).

Two dimensions of the environment stand out for their influence on the development of advanced purchasing and supply practices: hostility and complexity. On the one hand, this leads us to think that companies which carry out their activity in very competitive

markets and with high rivalry levels view the implementation of advanced practices in the purchasing function as a way to protect against their competitors' hostility. On the other hand, it seems that the companies that work with and face a great diversity of products, customers or strategies are more prone to delegate and to seek support in their suppliers. Although the munificence and the dynamism of the environment are also important, they have a smaller relative weight. This seems to indicate, on the one hand, that companies do not respond to a shortage of resources and the scarcity of competitive opportunities with advanced practices of supply unless they are accompanied by greater competitiveness and rivalry in the markets. On the other hand, as transaction cost theory (Williamson, 1975) and the resource dependence perspective (Pfeffer and Salancik, 1978) suggest, the uncertainty of the environment seems to play an important role in the narrowing of relationships with suppliers, but the effect is smaller when this uncertainty is a consequence of dynamism than when it is a consequence of complexity. It is necessary, therefore, to distinguish the origin of the uncertainty in order to understand its effect on the behavior of the purchasing function

This work constitutes a first contribution to the study of the role carried out by the environment in the formulation and implementation of the purchasing and supply strategy, and as such it leaves open multiple alternatives for future investigation. It is important to delve further into the study of the relationship that the different defined dimensions of the environment have with other practices and strategic decisions of supply not considered in this work, as well as on other variables that characterize the behavior of the purchasing function It is also important that besides the direct relationship, other potential moderating effects should be studied, such as the capacity of the environment to influence both the relationship between the implementation of

certain practices and the achievement of better performance, both in the purchasing function and in the organization as a whole, and the relationship between these practices and other organizational variables. This would lead to conclusions about the purchasing and supply strategies with the greatest likelihood of leading to success in each competitive environment.

Table 1: Dimensions of the environment: Confirmatory Factor Analysis and Correlations<sup>1</sup>

		Average (S.D.)	F1 Munificence	F2 Hostility	F3 Dynamism	F4 Complexity
Demand for the prod decreasing ( INVERS	5.71(1.60)	0.47				
Investment and communifavorable (INVER	mercial opportunities have been SE SCALE)	5.27 (1.59)	1.15			
been very hostile (ve	rs' commercial actions have ry intense competition)	5.14 (1.46)		.82		
threatening our comp delivery, service, qua		3.80 (1.68)		.48		
Customers' desires and have frequently varied	nd preferences in our industry	4.04 (1.71)			.55	
	ommercial actions of our key en varying very frequently	3.73 (1.32)			.68	
Customers' desires and diverse and heteroge	nd preferences have been neous.	4.41 (1.59)				.62
It has been necessary products and product satisfy our customers	5.27 (1.67)				.79	
It has been necessary tactics/formulas to sa	5.27 (1.53)				.79	
Goodness of fit	Recommended Values <sup>2</sup>					
$\chi^2 = 22.79$	p>0.05	F1		259*	203*	020
(p=0.355) γ² / d.f.= 1.085	≤ 3 ≥ 0.90	F2			.339**	.164
GFI = 0.953	≥ 0.80	suoj F3				.515**
AGFI = 0.900	≥ 0.90	Correlations E3				.010
TLI = 0.984	≥ 0.90	Sorri				
CFI = 0.991	≤ 0.10	F4				
RMSEA = 0.030						
	** p < 0.01	* p < 0.05 + p	< 0.10			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Items measured on a 7 point Likert scale from 1 (does not at all describe the environment of the company) to 7 (it completely describes the environment of the company)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Recommended values based on Chau (1997)

Table 2: Advanced Purchasing and Supply Practices: Confirmatory Factor Analysis and Correlations<sup>1</sup>

		Average (S.D.)	F1 Supplier evaluation	F2 Supplier development	F3 Supplier invovement	F4 Logistics integration
We monitor and register the flaws of our suppliers	e deficiencies and	5.18 (1.74)	0.69	•		<b></b>
We demand quality certific our suppliers (e.g. ISO 900		4.41 (2.10)	0.78			
We evaluate in a continuou the capacities of our suppli processes for that)	as and systematic way ers (there are formal	4.32 (1.98)	0.89			
We regularly organize train (courses, conferences) to h improve their supply capac	elp our suppliers to eities	2.76 (1.73)		.67		
Our technical staff visits the important suppliers and he their problems	lps them to solve	3.71 (1.90)		1.06		
Our key suppliers collaboratesign and development of		4.80 (1.72)			.71	
Our key suppliers collabors of problems within product		4.77 (1.68)			.91	
Our logistics activities are of our key suppliers (e.g. s compatible equipments)	integrated with those	3.56 (1.96)				.84
Our key suppliers have beed delivery formats to our nees size, frequency)		4.68 (1.98)				.71
We coordinate logistics flo to optimize the use of vehic (shipment consolidation)		4.05 (1.84)				.49
Goodness of fit	Recommended Values <sup>2</sup>					
$\chi^2 = 54.94 \text{ (p=0.003)}$	p>0.05	F1		.555**	.381**	.568**
GFI = 0.896			2		.418**	.444**
AGFI = 0.803 TLI = 0.902 CFI = 0.937 RMSEA = 0.097	≥ 0.80 ≥ 0.90 ≥ 0.90 ≤ 0.10	Correlates F2				.451**
	** p < 0.	01 * p < 0.05	<sup>+</sup> p < 0.10			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Items measured on a 7 point Likert scale from 1 (Does not at all fit the situation of the company) to 7 (Completely fits the situation of the company)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Recommended values based on Chau (1997)

Table 3: Measure of strategic integration of the purchase function: Exploratory Factor Analysis  $^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$ 

	Average (S.D.)	Factor 1 Loadings
The purchasing department participates in the strategic planning process of the company	5.16 (1.69)	.741
The staff of the purchasing department has a good knowledge of the strategic objectives of the company	5.34 (1.45)	.848
The performance of the purchasing department is also measured in terms of its contribution to the strategic objectives of the company	5.03 (1.66)	.811
The training of the purchasing staff is guided by the needs derived from the strategic planning of the company	4.80 (1.65)	.797
The department of purchases formalizes a written long-term plan to develop and to support the general strategies of the company	3.76 (1.71)	.733
The plans of the purchasing department are regularly revised and adjusted to the variations in the company's strategic planning	4.43 (1.78)	.824

Explained variance: 62.95%; Cronbach's α: 0.880

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Items measured on a scale on a 7 point Likert scale from 1 (Doea not at all fit the situation of the company) to 7 (Completely fits the situation of the company)

Table 4: Regression of the advanced purchasing and supply practices on the dimensions of the environment: Supplier evaluation and supplier development

	Supplier evaluation							Supplier develoment					
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6	Model 1	Model 2	Model 31	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6	
Constant	.265	.361	337	050	-1.186	-1.186	180	.908	- 1.479	- 1.225	- 1.990*	2.883*	
Size	.457**	.460**	.442**	.451**	.474**	.474**	.405**	.434**	.371**	.384**	.426**	.396**	
Seniority manager	.061	.063	.078	.070	.094	.094	.134	.159	.171	.166	.175	.201+	
Strategic integration	.608**	.604**	.592**	.592**	.543**	.543**	.395**	.353**	.360**	.343**	.314**	.294*	
Munificence		017						190+					
Hostility			.151						.325**			.270*	
Dynamism				.098						.324**			
Complexity					.325**	.325**					.405**	.364**	
R <sup>2</sup>	.303	.303	.316	.308	.366	.366	.184	.209	.252	.246	.289	.334	
F	13.300**	9.875**	10.521**	10.114**	13.127**	13.127**	6.926**	6.023**	7.658**	7.408**	9.237**	9.036**	
ΔF (respect to model 1)		.024	1.826	.691	9.095**	9.095**		2.889+	8.225**	7.409** ′	13.376**	10.137**	

<sup>+</sup> p<0.10 \* p<0.05 \*\* p<0.01

Table 5: Regression of the advanced purchasing and supply practices on the dimensions of the environment: Supplier involvement and logistics integration

	Supplier involvement						Logistics Integration					
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6
Constant	3.359**	3.166**	1.449	2.955**	2.413	1.449	2.393**	3.439**	1.631+	2.219*	1.579+	1.631+
Size	.229+	.224+	.179	.221+	.240*	.179	.154	.181	.134	.151	.164	.134
Seniority managers	019	.015	.074	.032	.041	.074	231+	207+	209+	225+	212+	209+
Strategic integration	וחו	.158	.100	.131	.108	.100	.403**	.363**	.383**	.394**	.366**	.383**
Munificence		.034						182 <sup>+</sup>				
Hostility			478**			478**			.191+			.191+
Dynamism				.125						.054		
Complexity					.212+						.182	
R <sup>2</sup>	.048	.048	.203	.057	.078	.203	.145	.170	.170	.147	.168	.170
F	1.533	1.158	5.788**	1.384	1.922	5.788**	5.186**	4.665**	4.668**	3.906**	4.591**	4.668**
ΔF (respect to model 1)		.080	17.718**	.942	2.990+	17.718**		2.796+	2.809+	.199	2,543	2.809+

#### References

- Bain, J.S. (1968): *Industrial Organization*, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, Wiley, New York.
- Burns, T. and Stalker, G.M. (1961): *The Management of Innovation*, Tavistock, Londres.
- Burt, R.S. (1980): "Autonomy in a Social Topology", *American Journal of Sociology*, Vol. 85, p. 892-925.
- Carr, A.S. and Pearson, J.N. (1999): "Strategically managed buyer-supplier relationships and performance outcomes", *Journal of Operations Management*, Vol. 17, n.5, pp. 497-519.
- Carter, J.R. and Narasimhan, R. (1996): "Is Purchasing Really Strategic?", *International Journal of Purchasing and Materials Management*, Vol. 32, n.1, pp. 20-28.
- Cavinato, J.L. (1999): "Fitting purchasing to the five stages of strategic management", *European Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*, Vol. 5, n.2, pp. 75-83.
- Chandler, A. (1962): Strategy and Structure, MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass.
- Chang, S., Lin, N. and Sheu, C. (2002): "Aligning manufacturing flexibility with environmental uncertainty: evidence from high-technology component manufacturers in Taiwan", *International Journal of Production Research*, Vol. 40, n. 18, pp. 4765-4780.
- Chau, P.Y.K. (1997): "Reexamining a Model for Evaluating Information Center Success Using a Structural Equation Modeling Approach", *Decision Sciences*, vol. 28, n.2, pp. 309-334.
- Chen, I.J. and Paulraj, A. (2004): "Towards a theory of supply chain management: the constructs and measurements", *Journal of Operations Management*, Vol. 22, n.2, pp. 119-150.
- Chiles, T.H. and McMackin, J.F. (1996): "Integrating Variable Risk Preferences, Trust, and Transaction Cost Economics", *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 21, n. 1, pp. 73-99.
- Covin, J.G., Slevin, D.P. and Heeley, M.B. (1999): "Pioneers and followers: Competitive tactics, environment, and firm growth", *Journal of Business Venturing*, Vol. 15, n. 2, pp. 175-210.
- Croom, S.R., Romano, P. and Giannakis, M. (2000): "Supply chain management: an analytical framework for critical literature review", *European Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*, Vol. 6, n.1, pp. 67-83.
- Dess, G. G. and Origer, N. K. (1987): "Environment, structure, and consensus in strategy formulation: A conceptual integration", *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 12, n. 2, pp. 313-330.
- Dess, G. and Beard, D. (1984): "Dimensions of organizational task environments", *Administrative Science Quarterly*, Vol. 29, n.1, pp. 52-73.
- Duncan, R.B. (1972): "Characteristics of organizational environments and perceived environmental uncertainty", *Administrative Science Quarterly*, Vol. 17, n. 3, pp. 313-327.
- Ellram, L.M. and Carr, A.S. (1994): "Strategic purchasing: A history and review of the literature", *International Journal of Purchasing and Materials Management*, Vol. 30, n.2, pp. 10-18.
- Fink, R.C., Edelman, L.F. and Hatten, K.J. (2006): "Relational exchange strategies, performance, uncertainty and knowledge", *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, Vol. 14, n.2, pp. 139-153.

- Freel, M.S. (2005): "Perceived environmental uncertainty and innovation in small firms", *Small Business Economics*, Vol. 25, n.1, pp. 49-64.
- Fuentes-Fuentes, M.M., Albacete-Sáez, C.A. and Lloréns-Montes, F.J. (2004): "The impact of environmental characteristics on TQM principles and organizational performance", *Omega*, Vol. 21, n.6, pp. 425-442.
- Gadde, L.E. and Hakansson, H., 1994. The changing role of purchasing: reconsidering three strategic issues, European Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management 1 (1), 27-35.
- González-Benito, J. (2007): "A theory of purchasing's contribution to business performance", *Journal of Operations Management*, Vol. 25, n.4, pp. 901-917.
- González-Benito, J. and Spring, M. (2000): "JIT Purchasing in the Spanish Auto Components Industry: Implementation Patterns and Perceived Benefits", *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, Vol. 20, n. 9, pp.1038-1061.
- Hambrick, D.C. (1983): "Some tests of the effectiveness and functional attributes of Miles and Snow's strategic types", *Academy of Management Journal*, Vol. 26, n.1, pp. 5-26.
- Ho, D.C.K., Au, K.F. and Newton, E. (2002): "Empirical research on supply chain management: a critical review and recommendations", *International Journal of Production Research*, Vol. 40, n.17, pp. 4415-4430.
- Hoskisson, R.E., Hitt, M.A., Wan, W.P. y Yiu, D. (1999): "Theory and Research in Strategic Management: Swings of a Pendulum", *Journal of Management*, Vol. 25, n. 3, p. 417-456.
- Hrebiniak, L.G and Joyce, W.F. (1985): "Organizational adaptation: Strategic choice and environmental determinism", *Administrative Science Quarterly*, Vol. 15, n.3, pp. 336-349.
- Humphreys, P.K., Li, W.L. and Chan, L.Y. (2004) "The impact of supplier development on buyer-supplier performance", *Omega*, Vol. 32 n. 2, pp. 131-143.
- Kaynak, H. and Hartley, J.L. (2006): "Using replication research for just-in-time purchasing construct development", *Journal of Operations Management*, Vol. 24, n.6, pp. 868-892.
- Khandwalla, P.N. (1972): "Environment and its impact on the organization", *International Studies of Management and Organizations*, Vol. 2, n.3, pp. 297-313.
- Lamming, R. (1993): Beyond Partnership: Strategies for Innovation and Lean Supply, Prentice-Hall, Londres.
- Lawrence, P.R. and Lorsch, J.W. (1967): *Organization and Environment*, Harvard Business School, Boston.
- Leong, G.K., Snyder, D. and Ward, P.T. (1990): "Research in the Process and Content of Manufacturing Strategy", *Omega*, Vol. 18, n.2, pp. 109-122.
- Llorens, F.J., Molina, L.M. and Verdú, A.J. (2005): "Flexibility of manufacturing systems, strategic change, and performance", *International Journal of Production Economics*, Vol. 98, n. 3. pp. 273-289.
- Martínez Sánchez, A. and Pérez Pérez, M. (2005): "Supply chain flexibility and firm performance: A conceptual model an empirical study in the automotive industry", *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, Vol. 25, n.7, pp. 681-700.
- Mason, E.S. (1939): "Price and Production Policies of Large-Scale Enterprises", American Economic Review, Vol. 29, p. 61-74.
- Miller, D. and Friesen, P.H. (1983): "Strategy-making and environment: The third link", *Strategic Management Journal*, Vol. 4, n.3, pp. 221-235.

- Morris, J. and Imrie, R. (1992): *Transforming Buyer-Supplier Relations*, MacMillan, Hampshire.
- Narasimhan, R. and Das, A. (1999a): "Manufacturing agility and supply chain management practices", *Production and Inventory Management Journal*, Vol. 40, n.1, pp. 4-10.
- Narasimhan, R. and Das, A. (1999b): "An empirical investigation of the contribution of strategic sourcing to manufacturing flexibilities and performance", *Decision Sciences*, Vol. 30, n.3, pp. 683-718.
- Narasimhan, R. and Das, A. (2000): "An empirical examination of sourcing's role in developing manufacturing flexibilities", *International Journal of Production Research*, Vol. 38, n.4, pp. 875-893.
- Narasimhan, R. and Das, A. (2001): "The impact of purchasing integration and practices on manufacturing performance", *Journal of Operations Management*, Vol. 19, n.5, pp. 593-609.
- Paulraj, A. and Chen, I.J. (2007): "Environmental uncertainty and strategic supply management: A resource dependence perspective and performance implications", *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, Vol. 43, n.3, pp. 29-42.
- Petersen, K.J., Handfield, R.B. and Ragatz, G.L. (2005) "Supplier integration into new product development: coordinating product, process and supply chain design", *Journal of Operations Management*, vol. 23 No 3/4, pp. 371-388.
- Pfeffer, J. (1972): "Merger as a Response to Organizational Independence", *Adminstrative Science Quarterly*, Vol. 17, p. 382-394.
- Pfeffer, J. and Nowak, P. (1976): "Joint Ventures and Interorganizational Interdependence", *Administrative Science Quarterly*, Vol. 21, p. 398-418.
- Pfeffer, J. and Salancik, G.R. (1978): *The External Control of Organizations*, Harper and Row, NY.
- Porter, M.E. (1980): Competitive Strategy, Free Press, Nueva York.
- Porter, M.E. (1981): "The Contributions of Industrial Organization to Strategic Management", *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 6, n.4, p. 609-620.
- Prater, E., Biehl, M. and Smith, M. (2001): "International supply chain agility: tradeoffs between flexibility and uncertainty", *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, Vol. 21, n. 5/6, pp. 823-839.
- Reck, R.F. and Long, B.G. (1988): "Purchasing: A Competitive Weapon", *International Journal of Purchasing and Materials Management*, Vol. 24, n.3, pp. 2-8.
- Rumelt, R.P. (1974): *Strategy, structure, and economic performance*, Harvard Graduate School of Business Administration, Cambridge, Mass.
- Scherer, F.M. and Ross, D. (1990): *Industrial Market Structure and Economic Performance*, Houghton Mifflin Company, Boston, Massachusetts.
- Sharfman, M.P. and Dean, J.W. (1991): "Conceptualizing and measuring the organizational environment: A multidimensional approach", *Journal of Management*, Vol. 17, n.4, pp. 681-700.
- Sia, C., Teo, H., Tan, B.C.Y. and Wei, K. (2004): "Effects of environmental uncertainty on organizational intention to adopt distributed work arrangements", *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, Vol. 51, n.3, pp. 253-267.
- Spekman, R.E., Kamauff, J.W. and Salmond, D.J. (1992): "At last purchasing is becoming strategic", *Long Range Planning*, Vol. 27, n. 2, pp. 76-84.
- Stank, T.P., Keller, S.B. and Closs, D.J. (2002) "Performance benefits of supply chain logistical integration", *Transportation Journal*, Vol. 41 No 2/3, pp. 32-46.

- Sutcliffe, K.M. and Huber, G.P. (1998): "Firm and industry as determinant of executive perceptions of the environment", *Strategic Management Journal*, Vol. 19, n.8, pp. 793-807.
- Swamidass, P.M. and Newell, W.T. (1987): "Manufacturing strategy, environmental uncertainty and performance: a path analytic model", *Management Science*, Vol. 33, n. 4, pp. 509-524.
- Tan, K., Kannan, V.R., Handfield, R.B. and Ghosh, S. (1999): "Supply Chain management: An Empirical Study of its Impact on Performance", *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, Vol. 19, n.10, pp. 1034-1052.
- Thompson, J. (1967): Organizations in Action, McGraw-Hill, NY.
- Trent, R.J. and Monczka, R.M. (1998): "Purchasing and supply management: Trends and changes throughout the 1990s", *International Journal of Purchasing and Materials Management*, Vol. 34, n.4, pp. 2-11.
- Ulrich, D. and Barney, J.B. (1984): "Perspectives in organizations: Resource dependence, efficiency and population", *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 9, n.3, pp. 471-481.
- Ward, P.T. and Duray, R. (2000): "Manufacturing Strategy in Context: Environment, Competitive Strategy and Manufacturing Strategy", *Journal of Operations Management*, Vol. 18, n.2, pp. 123-138.
- Ward, P.T., Bickford, D.J. and Leong, G.K. (1996): "Configurations of Manufacturing Strategy, Business Strategy, Environment and Structure", *Journal of Management*, Vol. 22, n. 4, pp. 597-626.
- Ward, P.T., Duray, R., Leong, G.K. and Sum, C. (1995): "Business environment, operations strategy, and performance: An empirical study of Singapore manufacturers", *Journal of Operations Management*, Vol. 13, n. 2, pp. 99-115.
- Ward, P.T., Duray, R., Leong, G.K. and Sum, C. (1995): "Business environment, operations strategy, and performance: An empirical study of Singapore manufacturers", *Journal of Operations Management*, Vol. 13, n. 2, pp. 99-115.
- Waters-Fuller, N. (1995): "Just-in-Time Purchasing and Supply: A Review of the Literature", *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, Vol. 15, n. 9, pp. 220-236.
- Watts, C.A., Kim, K.Y. and Hahn, C.K. (1995): "Linking purchasing to corporate competitive strategy", *International Journal of Purchasing and Materials Management*, Vol. 31, n. 2, pp. 3-8.
- Wiersema, M.F. and Bantel, K.A. (1993): "Top management team turnover as an adaptation mechanism: The role of the environment", Strategic Management Journal, Vol. 14, n.7, pp. 485-504.
- Williamson, O.E. (1975): *Markets and Hierarchies. Analysis and Antitrust Implications*, The Free Press, Nueva York.
- Williamson, O.E. (1979): "Transaction-Cost Economics: The Governance of Contractual Relations", *Journal of Law and Economics*, Vol. 22, n.2, pp. 233-261.
- Yasai-Ardekani, M. (1989): "Effects of environmental scarcity and munificence on the relationship of contest to organizational structure", *Academy of Management Journal*, Vol. 32, n.1, pp. 131-156.
- Zyglidopoulos, S. (1999): "Initial environmental conditions and technologic change", *Journal of Management Studies*, Vol. 36, n. 2, pp. 241-263.

## FUNDACIÓN DE LAS CAJAS DE AHORROS

## **DOCUMENTOS DE TRABAJO**

## Últimos números publicados

159/2000	Participación privada en la construcción y explotación de carreteras de peaje Ginés de Rus, Manuel Romero y Lourdes Trujillo
160/2000	Errores y posibles soluciones en la aplicación del <i>Value at Risk</i> Mariano González Sánchez
161/2000	Tax neutrality on saving assets. The spahish case before and after the tax reform Cristina Ruza y de Paz-Curbera
162/2000	Private rates of return to human capital in Spain: new evidence F. Barceinas, J. Oliver-Alonso, J.L. Raymond y J.L. Roig-Sabaté
163/2000	El control interno del riesgo. Una propuesta de sistema de límites riesgo neutral Mariano González Sánchez
164/2001	La evolución de las políticas de gasto de las Administraciones Públicas en los años 90 Alfonso Utrilla de la Hoz y Carmen Pérez Esparrells
165/2001	Bank cost efficiency and output specification Emili Tortosa-Ausina
166/2001	Recent trends in Spanish income distribution: A robust picture of falling income inequality Josep Oliver-Alonso, Xavier Ramos y José Luis Raymond-Bara
167/2001	Efectos redistributivos y sobre el bienestar social del tratamiento de las cargas familiares en el nuevo IRPF Nuria Badenes Plá, Julio López Laborda, Jorge Onrubia Fernández
168/2001	The Effects of Bank Debt on Financial Structure of Small and Medium Firms in some European Countries Mónica Melle-Hernández
169/2001	La política de cohesión de la UE ampliada: la perspectiva de España Ismael Sanz Labrador
170/2002	Riesgo de liquidez de Mercado Mariano González Sánchez
171/2002	Los costes de administración para el afiliado en los sistemas de pensiones basados en cuentas de capitalización individual: medida y comparación internacional.  José Enrique Devesa Carpio, Rosa Rodríguez Barrera, Carlos Vidal Meliá
172/2002	La encuesta continua de presupuestos familiares (1985-1996): descripción, representatividad y propuestas de metodología para la explotación de la información de los ingresos y el gasto. Llorenc Pou, Joaquín Alegre
173/2002	Modelos paramétricos y no paramétricos en problemas de concesión de tarjetas de credito. Rosa Puertas, María Bonilla, Ignacio Olmeda

174/2002	Mercado único, comercio intra-industrial y costes de ajuste en las manufacturas españolas. José Vicente Blanes Cristóbal
175/2003	La Administración tributaria en España. Un análisis de la gestión a través de los ingresos y de los gastos. Juan de Dios Jiménez Aguilera, Pedro Enrique Barrilao González
176/2003	The Falling Share of Cash Payments in Spain. Santiago Carbó Valverde, Rafael López del Paso, David B. Humphrey Publicado en "Moneda y Crédito" nº 217, pags. 167-189.
177/2003	Effects of ATMs and Electronic Payments on Banking Costs: The Spanish Case. Santiago Carbó Valverde, Rafael López del Paso, David B. Humphrey
178/2003	Factors explaining the interest margin in the banking sectors of the European Union. Joaquín Maudos y Juan Fernández Guevara
179/2003	Los planes de stock options para directivos y consejeros y su valoración por el mercado de valores en España. Mónica Melle Hernández
180/2003	Ownership and Performance in Europe and US Banking – A comparison of Commercial, Cooperative & Savings Banks. Yener Altunbas, Santiago Carbó y Phil Molyneux
181/2003	The Euro effect on the integration of the European stock markets. Mónica Melle Hernández
182/2004	In search of complementarity in the innovation strategy: international R&D and external knowledge acquisition. Bruno Cassiman, Reinhilde Veugelers
183/2004	Fijación de precios en el sector público: una aplicación para el servicio municipal de suministro de agua.  Mª Ángeles García Valiñas
184/2004	Estimación de la economía sumergida es España: un modelo estructural de variables latentes. Ángel Alañón Pardo, Miguel Gómez de Antonio
185/2004	Causas políticas y consecuencias sociales de la corrupción. Joan Oriol Prats Cabrera
186/2004	Loan bankers' decisions and sensitivity to the audit report using the belief revision model. Andrés Guiral Contreras and José A. Gonzalo Angulo
187/2004	El modelo de Black, Derman y Toy en la práctica. Aplicación al mercado español. Marta Tolentino García-Abadillo y Antonio Díaz Pérez
188/2004	Does market competition make banks perform well?.  Mónica Melle
189/2004	Efficiency differences among banks: external, technical, internal, and managerial Santiago Carbó Valverde, David B. Humphrey y Rafael López del Paso

190/2004	Una aproximación al análisis de los costes de la esquizofrenia en españa: los modelos jerárquicos bayesianos F. J. Vázquez-Polo, M. A. Negrín, J. M. Cavasés, E. Sánchez y grupo RIRAG
191/2004	Environmental proactivity and business performance: an empirical analysis Javier González-Benito y Óscar González-Benito
192/2004	Economic risk to beneficiaries in notional defined contribution accounts (NDCs) Carlos Vidal-Meliá, Inmaculada Domínguez-Fabian y José Enrique Devesa-Carpio
193/2004	Sources of efficiency gains in port reform: non parametric malmquist decomposition tfp in- dex for Mexico Antonio Estache, Beatriz Tovar de la Fé y Lourdes Trujillo
194/2004	Persistencia de resultados en los fondos de inversión españoles Alfredo Ciriaco Fernández y Rafael Santamaría Aquilué
195/2005	El modelo de revisión de creencias como aproximación psicológica a la formación del juicio del auditor sobre la gestión continuada Andrés Guiral Contreras y Francisco Esteso Sánchez
196/2005	La nueva financiación sanitaria en España: descentralización y prospectiva David Cantarero Prieto
197/2005	A cointegration analysis of the Long-Run supply response of Spanish agriculture to the common agricultural policy José A. Mendez, Ricardo Mora y Carlos San Juan
198/2005	¿Refleja la estructura temporal de los tipos de interés del mercado español preferencia por la liquidez?  Magdalena Massot Perelló y Juan M. Nave
199/2005	Análisis de impacto de los Fondos Estructurales Europeos recibidos por una economía regional: Un enfoque a través de Matrices de Contabilidad Social M. Carmen Lima y M. Alejandro Cardenete
200/2005	Does the development of non-cash payments affect monetary policy transmission? Santiago Carbó Valverde y Rafael López del Paso
201/2005	Firm and time varying technical and allocative efficiency: an application for port cargo handling firms Ana Rodríguez-Álvarez, Beatriz Tovar de la Fe y Lourdes Trujillo
202/2005	Contractual complexity in strategic alliances Jeffrey J. Reuer y Africa Ariño
203/2005	Factores determinantes de la evolución del empleo en las empresas adquiridas por opa Nuria Alcalde Fradejas y Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar
204/2005	Nonlinear Forecasting in Economics: a comparison between Comprehension Approach versus Learning Approach. An Application to Spanish Time Series Elena Olmedo, Juan M. Valderas, Ricardo Gimeno and Lorenzo Escot

205/2005	Precio de la tierra con presión urbana: un modelo para España Esther Decimavilla, Carlos San Juan y Stefan Sperlich
206/2005	Interregional migration in Spain: a semiparametric analysis Adolfo Maza y José Villaverde
207/2005	Productivity growth in European banking Carmen Murillo-Melchor, José Manuel Pastor y Emili Tortosa-Ausina
208/2005	Explaining Bank Cost Efficiency in Europe: Environmental and Productivity Influences. Santiago Carbó Valverde, David B. Humphrey y Rafael López del Paso
209/2005	La elasticidad de sustitución intertemporal con preferencias no separables intratemporalmente: los casos de Alemania, España y Francia. Elena Márquez de la Cruz, Ana R. Martínez Cañete y Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar
210/2005	Contribución de los efectos tamaño, book-to-market y momentum a la valoración de activos: el caso español. Begoña Font-Belaire y Alfredo Juan Grau-Grau
211/2005	Permanent income, convergence and inequality among countries José M. Pastor and Lorenzo Serrano
212/2005	The Latin Model of Welfare: Do 'Insertion Contracts' Reduce Long-Term Dependence? Luis Ayala and Magdalena Rodríguez
213/2005	The effect of geographic expansion on the productivity of Spanish savings banks Manuel Illueca, José M. Pastor and Emili Tortosa-Ausina
214/2005	Dynamic network interconnection under consumer switching costs Ángel Luis López Rodríguez
215/2005	La influencia del entorno socioeconómico en la realización de estudios universitarios: una aproximación al caso español en la década de los noventa Marta Rahona López
216/2005	The valuation of spanish ipos: efficiency analysis Susana Álvarez Otero
217/2005	On the generation of a regular multi-input multi-output technology using parametric output distance functions Sergio Perelman and Daniel Santin
218/2005	La gobernanza de los procesos parlamentarios: la organización industrial del congreso de los di- putados en España Gonzalo Caballero Miguez
219/2005	Determinants of bank market structure: Efficiency and political economy variables Francisco González
220/2005	Agresividad de las órdenes introducidas en el mercado español: estrategias, determinantes y medidas de performance David Abad Díaz

221/2005	Tendencia post-anuncio de resultados contables: evidencia para el mercado español Carlos Forner Rodríguez, Joaquín Marhuenda Fructuoso y Sonia Sanabria García
222/2005	Human capital accumulation and geography: empirical evidence in the European Union Jesús López-Rodríguez, J. Andrés Faíña y Jose Lopez Rodríguez
223/2005	Auditors' Forecasting in Going Concern Decisions: Framing, Confidence and Information Processing Waymond Rodgers and Andrés Guiral
224/2005	The effect of Structural Fund spending on the Galician region: an assessment of the 1994-1999 and 2000-2006 Galician CSFs José Ramón Cancelo de la Torre, J. Andrés Faíña and Jesús López-Rodríguez
225/2005	The effects of ownership structure and board composition on the audit committee activity: Spanish evidence Carlos Fernández Méndez and Rubén Arrondo García
226/2005	Cross-country determinants of bank income smoothing by managing loan loss provisions Ana Rosa Fonseca and Francisco González
227/2005	Incumplimiento fiscal en el irpf (1993-2000): un análisis de sus factores determinantes Alejandro Estellér Moré
228/2005	Region versus Industry effects: volatility transmission Pilar Soriano Felipe and Francisco J. Climent Diranzo
229/2005	Concurrent Engineering: The Moderating Effect Of Uncertainty On New Product Development Success Daniel Vázquez-Bustelo and Sandra Valle
230/2005	On zero lower bound traps: a framework for the analysis of monetary policy in the 'age' of central banks Alfonso Palacio-Vera
231/2005	Reconciling Sustainability and Discounting in Cost Benefit Analysis: a methodological proposal M. Carmen Almansa Sáez and Javier Calatrava Requena
232/2005	Can The Excess Of Liquidity Affect The Effectiveness Of The European Monetary Policy? Santiago Carbó Valverde and Rafael López del Paso
233/2005	Inheritance Taxes In The Eu Fiscal Systems: The Present Situation And Future Perspectives. Miguel Angel Barberán Lahuerta
234/2006	Bank Ownership And Informativeness Of Earnings. Víctor M. González
235/2006	Developing A Predictive Method: A Comparative Study Of The Partial Least Squares Vs Maximum Likelihood Techniques. Waymond Rodgers, Paul Pavlou and Andres Guiral.
236/2006	Using Compromise Programming for Macroeconomic Policy Making in a General Equilibrium Framework: Theory and Application to the Spanish Economy. Francisco J. André, M. Alejandro Cardenete y Carlos Romero.

237/2006	Bank Market Power And Sme Financing Constraints. Santiago Carbó-Valverde, Francisco Rodríguez-Fernández y Gregory F. Udell.
238/2006	Trade Effects Of Monetary Agreements: Evidence For Oecd Countries. Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero y José Antonio Martínez-Serrano.
239/2006	The Quality Of Institutions: A Genetic Programming Approach. Marcos Álvarez-Díaz y Gonzalo Caballero Miguez.
240/2006	La interacción entre el éxito competitivo y las condiciones del mercado doméstico como determinantes de la decisión de exportación en las Pymes. Francisco García Pérez.
241/2006	Una estimación de la depreciación del capital humano por sectores, por ocupación y en el tiempo. Inés P. Murillo.
242/2006	Consumption And Leisure Externalities, Economic Growth And Equilibrium Efficiency. Manuel A. Gómez.
243/2006	Measuring efficiency in education: an analysis of different approaches for incorporating non-discretionary inputs.  Jose Manuel Cordero-Ferrera, Francisco Pedraja-Chaparro y Javier Salinas-Jiménez
244/2006	Did The European Exchange-Rate Mechanism Contribute To The Integration Of Peripheral Countries?. Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero y José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
245/2006	Intergenerational Health Mobility: An Empirical Approach Based On The Echp. Marta Pascual and David Cantarero
246/2006	Measurement and analysis of the Spanish Stock Exchange using the Lyapunov exponent with digital technology. Salvador Rojí Ferrari and Ana Gonzalez Marcos
247/2006	Testing For Structural Breaks In Variance Withadditive Outliers And Measurement Errors. Paulo M.M. Rodrigues and Antonio Rubia
248/2006	The Cost Of Market Power In Banking: Social Welfare Loss Vs. Cost Inefficiency. Joaquín Maudos and Juan Fernández de Guevara
249/2006	Elasticidades de largo plazo de la demanda de vivienda: evidencia para España (1885-2000). Desiderio Romero Jordán, José Félix Sanz Sanz y César Pérez López
250/2006	Regional Income Disparities in Europe: What role for location?. Jesús López-Rodríguez and J. Andrés Faíña
251/2006	Funciones abreviadas de bienestar social: Una forma sencilla de simultanear la medición de la eficiencia y la equidad de las políticas de gasto público. Nuria Badenes Plá y Daniel Santín González
252/2006	"The momentum effect in the Spanish stock market: Omitted risk factors or investor behaviour?". Luis Muga and Rafael Santamaría
253/2006	Dinámica de precios en el mercado español de gasolina: un equilibrio de colusión tácita. Jordi Perdiguero García

254/2006	Desigualdad regional en España: renta permanente versus renta corriente. José M.Pastor, Empar Pons y Lorenzo Serrano
255/2006	Environmental implications of organic food preferences: an application of the impure public goods model.  Ana Maria Aldanondo-Ochoa y Carmen Almansa-Sáez
256/2006	Family tax credits versus family allowances when labour supply matters: Evidence for Spain. José Felix Sanz-Sanz, Desiderio Romero-Jordán y Santiago Álvarez-García
257/2006	La internacionalización de la empresa manufacturera española: efectos del capital humano genérico y específico. José López Rodríguez
258/2006	Evaluación de las migraciones interregionales en España, 1996-2004. María Martínez Torres
259/2006	Efficiency and market power in Spanish banking. Rolf Färe, Shawna Grosskopf y Emili Tortosa-Ausina.
260/2006	Asimetrías en volatilidad, beta y contagios entre las empresas grandes y pequeñas cotizadas en la bolsa española. Helena Chuliá y Hipòlit Torró.
261/2006	Birth Replacement Ratios: New Measures of Period Population Replacement. José Antonio Ortega.
262/2006	Accidentes de tráfico, víctimas mortales y consumo de alcohol. José Mª Arranz y Ana I. Gil.
263/2006	Análisis de la Presencia de la Mujer en los Consejos de Administración de las Mil Mayores Empresas Españolas. Ruth Mateos de Cabo, Lorenzo Escot Mangas y Ricardo Gimeno Nogués.
264/2006	Crisis y Reforma del Pacto de Estabilidad y Crecimiento. Las Limitaciones de la Política Económica en Europa. Ignacio Álvarez Peralta.
265/2006	Have Child Tax Allowances Affected Family Size? A Microdata Study For Spain (1996-2000). Jaime Vallés-Giménez y Anabel Zárate-Marco.
266/2006	Health Human Capital And The Shift From Foraging To Farming. Paolo Rungo.
267/2006	Financiación Autonómica y Política de la Competencia: El Mercado de Gasolina en Canarias. Juan Luis Jiménez y Jordi Perdiguero.
268/2006	El cumplimiento del Protocolo de Kyoto para los hogares españoles: el papel de la imposición sobre la energía. Desiderio Romero-Jordán y José Félix Sanz-Sanz.
269/2006	Banking competition, financial dependence and economic growth Joaquín Maudos y Juan Fernández de Guevara
270/2006	Efficiency, subsidies and environmental adaptation of animal farming under CAP Werner Kleinhanß, Carmen Murillo, Carlos San Juan y Stefan Sperlich

271/2006	Interest Groups, Incentives to Cooperation and Decision-Making Process in the European Union A. Garcia-Lorenzo y Jesús López-Rodríguez
272/2006	Riesgo asimétrico y estrategias de momentum en el mercado de valores español Luis Muga y Rafael Santamaría
273/2006	Valoración de capital-riesgo en proyectos de base tecnológica e innovadora a través de la teoría de opciones reales Gracia Rubio Martín
274/2006	Capital stock and unemployment: searching for the missing link Ana Rosa Martínez-Cañete, Elena Márquez de la Cruz, Alfonso Palacio-Vera and Inés Pérez- Soba Aguilar
275/2006	Study of the influence of the voters' political culture on vote decision through the simulation of a political competition problem in Spain Sagrario Lantarón, Isabel Lillo, Mª Dolores López and Javier Rodrigo
276/2006	Investment and growth in Europe during the Golden Age Antonio Cubel and M <sup>a</sup> Teresa Sanchis
277/2006	Efectos de vincular la pensión pública a la inversión en cantidad y calidad de hijos en un modelo de equilibrio general Robert Meneu Gaya
278/2006	El consumo y la valoración de activos Elena Márquez y Belén Nieto
279/2006	Economic growth and currency crisis: A real exchange rate entropic approach David Matesanz Gómez y Guillermo J. Ortega
280/2006	Three measures of returns to education: An illustration for the case of Spain María Arrazola y José de Hevia
281/2006	Composition of Firms versus Composition of Jobs Antoni Cunyat
282/2006	La vocación internacional de un holding tranviario belga: la Compagnie Mutuelle de Tramways, 1895-1918 Alberte Martínez López
283/2006	Una visión panorámica de las entidades de crédito en España en la última década. Constantino García Ramos
284/2006	Foreign Capital and Business Strategies: a comparative analysis of urban transport in Madrid and Barcelona, 1871-1925 Alberte Martínez López
285/2006	Los intereses belgas en la red ferroviaria catalana, 1890-1936 Alberte Martínez López
286/2006	The Governance of Quality: The Case of the Agrifood Brand Names Marta Fernández Barcala, Manuel González-Díaz y Emmanuel Raynaud
287/2006	Modelling the role of health status in the transition out of malthusian equilibrium Paolo Rungo, Luis Currais and Berta Rivera
288/2006	Industrial Effects of Climate Change Policies through the EU Emissions Trading Scheme Xavier Labandeira and Miguel Rodríguez

289/2006	Globalisation and the Composition of Government Spending: An analysis for OECD countries Norman Gemmell, Richard Kneller and Ismael Sanz
290/2006	La producción de energía eléctrica en España: Análisis económico de la actividad tras la liberalización del Sector Eléctrico Fernando Hernández Martínez
291/2006	Further considerations on the link between adjustment costs and the productivity of R&D investment: evidence for Spain Desiderio Romero-Jordán, José Félix Sanz-Sanz and Inmaculada Álvarez-Ayuso
292/2006	Una teoría sobre la contribución de la función de compras al rendimiento empresarial Javier González Benito
293/2006	Agility drivers, enablers and outcomes: empirical test of an integrated agile manufacturing model Daniel Vázquez-Bustelo, Lucía Avella and Esteban Fernández
294/2006	Testing the parametric vs the semiparametric generalized mixed effects models María José Lombardía and Stefan Sperlich
295/2006	Nonlinear dynamics in energy futures Mariano Matilla-García
296/2006	Estimating Spatial Models By Generalized Maximum Entropy Or How To Get Rid Of W Esteban Fernández Vázquez, Matías Mayor Fernández and Jorge Rodriguez-Valez
297/2006	Optimización fiscal en las transmisiones lucrativas: análisis metodológico Félix Domínguez Barrero
298/2006	La situación actual de la banca online en España Francisco José Climent Diranzo y Alexandre Momparler Pechuán
299/2006	Estrategia competitiva y rendimiento del negocio: el papel mediador de la estrategia y las capacidades productivas Javier González Benito y Isabel Suárez González
300/2006	A Parametric Model to Estimate Risk in a Fixed Income Portfolio Pilar Abad and Sonia Benito
301/2007	Análisis Empírico de las Preferencias Sociales Respecto del Gasto en Obra Social de las Cajas de Ahorros Alejandro Esteller-Moré, Jonathan Jorba Jiménez y Albert Solé-Ollé
302/2007	Assessing the enlargement and deepening of regional trading blocs: The European Union case Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero y José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
303/2007	¿Es la Franquicia un Medio de Financiación?: Evidencia para el Caso Español Vanesa Solís Rodríguez y Manuel González Díaz
304/2007	On the Finite-Sample Biases in Nonparametric Testing for Variance Constancy Paulo M.M. Rodrigues and Antonio Rubia
305/2007	Spain is Different: Relative Wages 1989-98 José Antonio Carrasco Gallego

306/2007	Poverty reduction and SAM multipliers: An evaluation of public policies in a regional framework Francisco Javier De Miguel-Vélez y Jesús Pérez-Mayo
307/2007	La Eficiencia en la Gestión del Riesgo de Crédito en las Cajas de Ahorro Marcelino Martínez Cabrera
308/2007	Optimal environmental policy in transport: unintended effects on consumers' generalized price M. Pilar Socorro and Ofelia Betancor
309/2007	Agricultural Productivity in the European Regions: Trends and Explanatory Factors Roberto Ezcurra, Belen Iráizoz, Pedro Pascual and Manuel Rapún
310/2007	Long-run Regional Population Divergence and Modern Economic Growth in Europe: a Case Study of Spain María Isabel Ayuda, Fernando Collantes and Vicente Pinilla
311/2007	Financial Information effects on the measurement of Commercial Banks' Efficiency Borja Amor, María T. Tascón and José L. Fanjul
312/2007	Neutralidad e incentivos de las inversiones financieras en el nuevo IRPF Félix Domínguez Barrero
313/2007	The Effects of Corporate Social Responsibility Perceptions on The Valuation of Common Stock Waymond Rodgers , Helen Choy and Andres Guiral-Contreras
314/2007	Country Creditor Rights, Information Sharing and Commercial Banks' Profitability Persistence across the world Borja Amor, María T. Tascón and José L. Fanjul
315/2007	¿Es Relevante el Déficit Corriente en una Unión Monetaria? El Caso Español Javier Blanco González y Ignacio del Rosal Fernández
316/2007	The Impact of Credit Rating Announcements on Spanish Corporate Fixed Income Performance: Returns, Yields and Liquidity Pilar Abad, Antonio Díaz and M. Dolores Robles
317/2007	Indicadores de Lealtad al Establecimiento y Formato Comercial Basados en la Distribución del Presupuesto Cesar Augusto Bustos Reyes y Óscar González Benito
318/2007	Migrants and Market Potential in Spain over The XXth Century: A Test Of The New Economic Geography Daniel A. Tirado, Jordi Pons, Elisenda Paluzie and Javier Silvestre
319/2007	El Impacto del Coste de Oportunidad de la Actividad Emprendedora en la Intención de los Ciudadanos Europeos de Crear Empresas Luis Miguel Zapico Aldeano
320/2007	Los belgas y los ferrocarriles de vía estrecha en España, 1887-1936 Alberte Martínez López
321/2007	Competición política bipartidista. Estudio geométrico del equilibrio en un caso ponderado Isabel Lillo, Mª Dolores López y Javier Rodrigo
322/2007	Human resource management and environment management systems: an empirical study Ma Concepción López Fernández, Ana Ma Serrano Bedia and Gema García Piqueres

323/2007	Wood and industrialization. evidence and hypotheses from the case of Spain, 1860-1935. Iñaki Iriarte-Goñi and María Isabel Ayuda Bosque
324/2007	New evidence on long-run monetary neutrality.  J. Cunado, L.A. Gil-Alana and F. Perez de Gracia
325/2007	Monetary policy and structural changes in the volatility of us interest rates. Juncal Cuñado, Javier Gomez Biscarri and Fernando Perez de Gracia
326/2007	The productivity effects of intrafirm diffusion. Lucio Fuentelsaz, Jaime Gómez and Sergio Palomas
327/2007	Unemployment duration, layoffs and competing risks.  J.M. Arranz, C. García-Serrano and L. Toharia
328/2007	El grado de cobertura del gasto público en España respecto a la UE-15 Nuria Rueda, Begoña Barruso, Carmen Calderón y Mª del Mar Herrador
329/2007	The Impact of Direct Subsidies in Spain before and after the CAP'92 Reform Carmen Murillo, Carlos San Juan and Stefan Sperlich
330/2007	Determinants of post-privatisation performance of Spanish divested firms Laura Cabeza García and Silvia Gómez Ansón
331/2007	¿Por qué deciden diversificar las empresas españolas? Razones oportunistas versus razones económicas Almudena Martínez Campillo
332/2007	Dynamical Hierarchical Tree in Currency Markets Juan Gabriel Brida, David Matesanz Gómez and Wiston Adrián Risso
333/2007	Los determinantes sociodemográficos del gasto sanitario. Análisis con microdatos individuales Ana María Angulo, Ramón Barberán, Pilar Egea y Jesús Mur
334/2007	Why do companies go private? The Spanish case Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar
335/2007	The use of gis to study transport for disabled people Verónica Cañal Fernández
336/2007	The long run consequences of M&A: An empirical application Cristina Bernad, Lucio Fuentelsaz and Jaime Gómez
337/2007	Las clasificaciones de materias en economía: principios para el desarrollo de una nueva clasificación Valentín Edo Hernández
338/2007	Reforming Taxes and Improving Health: A Revenue-Neutral Tax Reform to Eliminate Medical and Pharmaceutical VAT Santiago Álvarez-García, Carlos Pestana Barros y Juan Prieto-Rodriguez
339/2007	Impacts of an iron and steel plant on residential property values Celia Bilbao-Terol
340/2007	Firm size and capital structure: Evidence using dynamic panel data Víctor M. González and Francisco González

341/2007	¿Cómo organizar una cadena hotelera? La elección de la forma de gobierno Marta Fernández Barcala y Manuel González Díaz
342/2007	Análisis de los efectos de la decisión de diversificar: un contraste del marco teórico "Agencia- Stewardship" Almudena Martínez Campillo y Roberto Fernández Gago
343/2007	Selecting portfolios given multiple eurostoxx-based uncertainty scenarios: a stochastic goal programming approach from fuzzy betas Enrique Ballestero, Blanca Pérez-Gladish, Mar Arenas-Parra and Amelia Bilbao-Terol
344/2007	"El bienestar de los inmigrantes y los factores implicados en la decisión de emigrar" Anastasia Hernández Alemán y Carmelo J. León
345/2007	Governance Decisions in the R&D Process: An Integrative Framework Based on TCT and Knowledge View of The Firm.  Andrea Martínez-Noya and Esteban García-Canal
346/2007	Diferencias salariales entre empresas públicas y privadas. El caso español Begoña Cueto y Nuria Sánchez- Sánchez
347/2007	Effects of Fiscal Treatments of Second Home Ownership on Renting Supply Celia Bilbao Terol and Juan Prieto Rodríguez
348/2007	Auditors' ethical dilemmas in the going concern evaluation Andres Guiral, Waymond Rodgers, Emiliano Ruiz and Jose A. Gonzalo
349/2007	Convergencia en capital humano en España. Un análisis regional para el periodo 1970-2004 Susana Morales Sequera y Carmen Pérez Esparrells
350/2007	Socially responsible investment: mutual funds portfolio selection using fuzzy multiobjective programming Blanca Mª Pérez-Gladish, Mar Arenas-Parra , Amelia Bilbao-Terol and Mª Victoria Rodríguez-Uría
351/2007	Persistencia del resultado contable y sus componentes: implicaciones de la medida de ajustes por devengo Raúl Iñiguez Sánchez y Francisco Poveda Fuentes
352/2007	Wage Inequality and Globalisation: What can we Learn from the Past? A General Equilibrium Approach Concha Betrán, Javier Ferri and Maria A. Pons
353/2007	Eficacia de los incentivos fiscales a la inversión en I+D en España en los años noventa Desiderio Romero Jordán y José Félix Sanz Sanz
354/2007	Convergencia regional en renta y bienestar en España Robert Meneu Gaya
355/2007	Tributación ambiental: Estado de la Cuestión y Experiencia en España Ana Carrera Poncela
356/2007	Salient features of dependence in daily us stock market indices Luis A. Gil-Alana, Juncal Cuñado and Fernando Pérez de Gracia
357/2007	La educación superior: ¿un gasto o una inversión rentable para el sector público? Inés P. Murillo y Francisco Pedraja

358/2007	Effects of a reduction of working hours on a model with job creation and job destruction Emilio Domínguez, Miren Ullibarri y Idoya Zabaleta
359/2007	Stock split size, signaling and earnings management: Evidence from the Spanish market José Yagüe, J. Carlos Gómez-Sala and Francisco Poveda-Fuentes
360/2007	Modelización de las expectativas y estrategias de inversión en mercados de derivados Begoña Font-Belaire
361/2008	Trade in capital goods during the golden age, 1953-1973 M <sup>a</sup> Teresa Sanchis and Antonio Cubel
362/2008	El capital económico por riesgo operacional: una aplicación del modelo de distribución de pérdidas Enrique José Jiménez Rodríguez y José Manuel Feria Domínguez
363/2008	The drivers of effectiveness in competition policy Joan-Ramon Borrell and Juan-Luis Jiménez
364/2008	Corporate governance structure and board of directors remuneration policies: evidence from Spain Carlos Fernández Méndez, Rubén Arrondo García and Enrique Fernández Rodríguez
	Carlos Fernandez Wiendez, Ruben Arrondo Galcia and Emilque Fernandez Rodriguez
365/2008	Beyond the disciplinary role of governance: how boards and donors add value to Spanish foundations Pablo De Andrés Alonso, Valentín Azofra Palenzuela y M. Elena Romero Merino
366/2008	Complejidad y perfeccionamiento contractual para la contención del oportunismo en los acuerdos de franquicia Vanesa Solís Rodríguez y Manuel González Díaz
367/2008	Inestabilidad y convergencia entre las regiones europeas Jesús Mur, Fernando López y Ana Angulo
368/2008	Análisis espacial del cierre de explotaciones agrarias Ana Aldanondo Ochoa, Carmen Almansa Sáez y Valero Casanovas Oliva
369/2008	Cross-Country Efficiency Comparison between Italian and Spanish Public Universities in the period 2000-2005 Tommaso Agasisti and Carmen Pérez Esparrells
370/2008	El desarrollo de la sociedad de la información en España: un análisis por comunidades autónomas María Concepción García Jiménez y José Luis Gómez Barroso
371/2008	El medioambiente y los objetivos de fabricación: un análisis de los modelos estratégicos para su consecución Lucía Avella Camarero, Esteban Fernández Sánchez y Daniel Vázquez-Bustelo
372/2008	Influence of bank concentration and institutions on capital structure: New international evidence Víctor M. González and Francisco González
373/2008	Generalización del concepto de equilibrio en juegos de competición política Mª Dolores López González y Javier Rodrigo Hitos
374/2008	Smooth Transition from Fixed Effects to Mixed Effects Models in Multi-level regression Models María José Lombardía and Stefan Sperlich

375/2008	A Revenue-Neutral Tax Reform to Increase Demand for Public Transport Services Carlos Pestana Barros and Juan Prieto-Rodriguez
376/2008	Measurement of intra-distribution dynamics: An application of different approaches to the European regions Adolfo Maza, María Hierro and José Villaverde
377/2008	Migración interna de extranjeros y ¿nueva fase en la convergencia? María Hierro y Adolfo Maza
378/2008	Efectos de la Reforma del Sector Eléctrico: Modelización Teórica y Experiencia Internacional Ciro Eduardo Bazán Navarro
379/2008	A Non-Parametric Independence Test Using Permutation Entropy Mariano Matilla-García and Manuel Ruiz Marín
380/2008	Testing for the General Fractional Unit Root Hypothesis in the Time Domain Uwe Hassler, Paulo M.M. Rodrigues and Antonio Rubia
381/2008	Multivariate gram-charlier densities Esther B. Del Brio, Trino-Manuel Ñíguez and Javier Perote
382/2008	Analyzing Semiparametrically the Trends in the Gender Pay Gap - The Example of Spain Ignacio Moral-Arce, Stefan Sperlich, Ana I. Fernández-Saínz and Maria J. Roca
383/2008	A Cost-Benefit Analysis of a Two-Sided Card Market Santiago Carbó Valverde, David B. Humphrey, José Manuel Liñares Zegarra and Francisco Rod- riguez Fernandez
384/2008	A Fuzzy Bicriteria Approach for Journal Deselection in a Hospital Library M. L. López-Avello, M. V. Rodríguez-Uría, B. Pérez-Gladish, A. Bilbao-Terol, M. Arenas-Parra
385/2008	Valoración de las grandes corporaciones farmaceúticas, a través del análisis de sus principales intangibles, con el método de opciones reales Gracia Rubio Martín y Prosper Lamothe Fernández
386/2008	El marketing interno como impulsor de las habilidades comerciales de las pyme españolas: efectos en los resultados empresariales Mª Leticia Santos Vijande, Mª José Sanzo Pérez, Nuria García Rodríguez y Juan A. Trespalacios Gutiérrez
387/2008	Understanding Warrants Pricing: A case study of the financial market in Spain David Abad y Belén Nieto
388/2008	Aglomeración espacial, Potencial de Mercado y Geografía Económica: Una revisión de la literatura Jesús López-Rodríguez y J. Andrés Faíña
389/2008	An empirical assessment of the impact of switching costs and first mover advantages on firm performance Jaime Gómez, Juan Pablo Maícas
390/2008	Tender offers in Spain: testing the wave Ana R. Martínez-Cañete y Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar

391/2008	La integración del mercado español a finales del siglo XIX: los precios del trigo entre 1891 y 1905 Mariano Matilla García, Pedro Pérez Pascual y Basilio Sanz Carnero
392/2008	Cuando el tamaño importa: estudio sobre la influencia de los sujetos políticos en la balanza de bienes y servicios Alfonso Echazarra de Gregorio
393/2008	Una visión cooperativa de las medidas ante el posible daño ambiental de la desalación Borja Montaño Sanz
394/2008	Efectos externos del endeudamiento sobre la calificación crediticia de las Comunidades Autónomas Andrés Leal Marcos y Julio López Laborda
395/2008	Technical efficiency and productivity changes in Spanish airports: A parametric distance functions approach Beatriz Tovar & Roberto Rendeiro Martín-Cejas
396/2008	Network analysis of exchange data: Interdependence drives crisis contagion David Matesanz Gómez & Guillermo J. Ortega
397/2008	Explaining the performance of Spanish privatised firms: a panel data approach Laura Cabeza Garcia and Silvia Gomez Anson
398/2008	Technological capabilities and the decision to outsource R&D services Andrea Martínez-Noya and Esteban García-Canal
399/2008	Hybrid Risk Adjustment for Pharmaceutical Benefits Manuel García-Goñi, Pere Ibern & José María Inoriza
400/2008	The Team Consensus–Performance Relationship and the Moderating Role of Team Diversity José Henrique Dieguez, Javier González-Benito and Jesús Galende
401/2008	The institutional determinants of $CO_2$ emissions: A computational modelling approach using Artificial Neural Networks and Genetic Programming Marcos Álvarez-Díaz , Gonzalo Caballero Miguez and Mario Soliño
402/2008	Alternative Approaches to Include Exogenous Variables in DEA Measures: A Comparison Using Monte Carlo José Manuel Cordero-Ferrera, Francisco Pedraja-Chaparro and Daniel Santín-González
403/2008	Efecto diferencial del capital humano en el crecimiento económico andaluz entre 1985 y 2004: comparación con el resto de España Mª del Pópulo Pablo-Romero Gil-Delgado y Mª de la Palma Gómez-Calero Valdés
404/2008	Análisis de fusiones, variaciones conjeturales y la falacia del estimador en diferencias Juan Luis Jiménez y Jordi Perdiguero
405/2008	Política fiscal en la uem: ¿basta con los estabilizadores automáticos? Jorge Uxó González y Mª Jesús Arroyo Fernández
406/2008	Papel de la orientación emprendedora y la orientación al mercado en el éxito de las empresas Óscar González-Benito, Javier González-Benito y Pablo A. Muñoz-Gallego
407/2008	La presión fiscal por impuesto sobre sociedades en la unión europea Elena Fernández Rodríguez, Antonio Martínez Arias y Santiago Álvarez García

408/2008 The environment as a determinant factor of the purchasing and supply strategy: an empirical analysis

Dr. Javier González-Benito y MS Duilio Reis da Rocha