

**SELECTING PORTFOLIOS GIVEN MULTIPLE  
EUROSTOXX-BASED UNCERTAINTY SCENARIOS:  
A STOCHASTIC GOAL PROGRAMMING APPROACH  
FROM FUZZY BETAS**

**ENRIQUE BALLESTERO  
BLANCA PÉREZ-GLADISH  
MAR ARENAS-PARRA  
AMELIA BILBAO-TEROL**

De conformidad con la base quinta de la convocatoria del Programa de Estímulo a la Investigación, este trabajo ha sido sometido a evaluación externa anónima de especialistas cualificados a fin de contrastar su nivel técnico.

ISBN: 84-89116-07-5

La serie **DOCUMENTOS DE TRABAJO** incluye avances y resultados de investigaciones dentro de los programas de la Fundación de las Cajas de Ahorros.  
Las opiniones son responsabilidad de los autores.

**SELECTING PORTFOLIOS GIVEN MULTIPLE EUROSTOXX-BASED  
UNCERTAINTY SCENARIOS: A STOCHASTIC GOAL PROGRAMMING  
APPROACH FROM FUZZY BETAS**

Enrique Ballesteros<sup>1</sup>, Blanca Pérez-Gladish<sup>2</sup>, Mar Arenas-Parra<sup>2</sup>, Amelia Bilbao-Terol<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Technical University of Valencia (Alcoy School)

<sup>2</sup>University of Oviedo

**Abstract**

We deal with the ‘satisficing’ choice of portfolios of funds for buy-and-hold strategies by considering fifteen goals defined from fifteen future (uncertain) states of the world. Each state is a scenario specified from historical values of the Eurostoxx market index. These values are a pair of potential events characterizing profitability and risk, in this case, the expected value and variance of returns to be received from the market index if the scenario under consideration was the true state. Potential returns on each fund are related to each scenario by using betas, which are elicited by fuzzy logic taking into account their various measures (weekly, monthly, etc.). For this purpose, triangular membership functions are used as an appropriate tool from which expected values (Heilpern, 1992) are computed. As an opportunity set of assets, we use a large set of funds. A frontier of portfolios is then derived by stochastic goal programming (SGP) as a recent uncertainty multiobjective model characterized by the following aspects: (a) it is built from Eu(R) principles in a framework of bounded rationality; (b) under some assumptions, it leads to efficient frontiers of portfolios; and (c) its moderate computational burden allows easy application to large scale problems. In SGP, the variability matrices of goals are aggregated by Arrow’s risk aversion coefficients. Concerning the case study, numerical tables are developed to highlight the computational process and results, including sensitivity analysis and comparisons. Our approach is new in the sense of combining SGP and fuzzy tools. It also seems relevant because: (i) the beta treatment by fuzzy measures improves the use of betas as they are affected by uncertainty; (ii) SGP appears more appropriate than deterministic goal programming for

problems involving uncertainty; (iii) the computational burden of SGP only reasonably exceeds the burden of deterministic goal programming approaches; and (iv) our approach can be extended to financial goals other than profitability and risk, which is also appealing in portfolio selection.

## 1. Introduction

In Modern Portfolio Theory, analysis and choice are usually undertaken from historical information on returns. Explicitly or implicitly, this information is assumed to be sufficient to predict (in comparative terms) the performance of funds in the near future. More precisely, if portfolio  $X$  has outperformed  $Y$  in the recent past, then the investor can sensibly expect that  $X$  will also outperform  $Y$  in the close future (Sharpe, 1997, p. 170). However, many investors are interested in portfolio selection models where future scenarios of market performance are considered (Ballesteros et al., 2007). This line of research will be addressed hereafter.

Therefore, our objective in this paper is portfolio choice when the investor faces multiple future scenarios of market uncertainty. These states of the world are related to potential values of the market index, so that the linkage relies on either historical information, or subjective predictions, or both. For this purpose, SGP (Ballesteros, 2001, 2005) will be used combined with fuzzy logic. A large-scale problem with real world information on 80 funds (opportunity set) will be developed as case study.

Our proposal is motivated by comparing SGP with:

(a) *Classic mean-variance (E-V) model.* If the number of goals/future scenarios is limited to only one, then both E-V and SGP statements coincide. Therefore, E-V is a special case of SGP with a single scenario defined as follows: “the future random returns on each stock are assumed to be governed by the same probability distribution that has governed the respective returns in the historical period of observation (i.e., the 4-5 year period immediately prior to the date of analysis).” As this E-V assumption seems to be quite strong, it should be mitigated by considering the E-V scenario as a particular potential state of the world together with other potential states. This is what SGP can do in fact.

(b) *Other classic methods in Modern Portfolio Theory.* These methods rely on the same E-V

assumption commented above, and therefore, comments in (a) can be extended to them. Moreover, the most sophisticated methods such as stochastic dominance (Copeland and Weston, 1988) and direct utility maximization (Kroll et al., 1984) are too cumbersome to apply.

(c) *Approaches that use either historical or subjective data.* As SGP can combine past data with beliefs, it proves in a sense superior to other approaches subjectively constructed or historically supported. Since historical information has “some predictive ability” (as Sharpe contends) and fuzzy logic provides flexibility, our proposal will likely work more effectively than its separate components.

(d) *Heuristic and metaheuristic techniques which do not ensure efficiency.* As SGP leads to efficient solutions, it proves superior to those techniques whose solutions are not always efficient.

(e) *Decision tables under uncertainty which do not use a consistent weighting.* Some decision rules under strict uncertainty such as Wald’s (1950) maximin and Hurwicz’s (1951) optimism-pessimism criteria are less appropriate for portfolio selection purposes due to the following shortcomings: (i) they only use a part of the available information; (ii) they evaluate the portfolios by a measurement system that changes from one portfolio to another, which involves inconsistency; and (iii) the portfolios at the top of the ranking are often dominated by other portfolios or by their convex combinations.

In sum, our proposal appears new and relevant, namely, an approach ensuring efficiency, addressing future scenarios, and combining both fuzzy and historical information.

Unrelated to the SGP approach, there is literature on GP given uncertainty that is partially rooted in chance constrained programming (CCP, Charnes and Cooper, 1959). On certain necessary conditions, some simple problems are solved by CCP, but the treatment of most problems by CCP turn out to be quite cumbersome for this method. There is another technique (expected value model, EVM) with a special version adapted to goal programming (EVM-GP), also named stochastic goal programming with recourse. This is based on minimizing the sum of a cost function and an extra cost function (recourse). However, EVM is often inappropriate (Liu, 2002, ch. 5, pp. 62, 75). The model to be used in this paper (SGP) aggregates variability

from multiple goals, taking into account the decision maker's preferences and risk aversion attitudes. Critical advantages of this model are soundness –as based on Eu(R) maximization– and easy application. References to this model are, e.g., Tozer and Stokes (2002), Bordley and Kirkwood (2004), Sahoo and Biswal (2005). If probability distributions are not explicitly known, they can be treated by fuzzy techniques (Ben Abdelaziz and Masri, 2005). In another recent paper dealing with GP under uncertainty (Aouni et al, 2005), satisfaction functions are introduced to explicitly integrate the decision preferences into the model.

Recent literature (papers in high impact journals from 2000) on multicriteria decision approaches to portfolio management and other techniques includes topics such as: (a) combining goal programming and fuzzy techniques (Arenas et al., 2001); (b) approximating the optimum portfolio between compromise-utility bounds on the efficient frontier (Ballesteros and Plà-Santamaria, 2003; 2004, 2005); (c) managing socially responsible investments (Hallerbach et al., 2004); (d) using multi-objective programming (Steuer et al., 2005); (e) deriving downside risk efficient frontiers (Ballesteros, 2005); and (f) using hybrid models, neural networks and algorithms (Huang et al., 2005; Huang et al., 2006; Lin et al., 2005).

## **2. Empirical information and future scenarios**

Herein, the opportunity set of assets is a large universe of mutual funds managed by a brokers' company on the Frankfurt market. Daily prices of these funds, 80 funds, have been provided by DWS Investment GMBH Dachfondsmanagement, Frankfurt (portfolio managers: Christian Schwehm and Arnulf Metzger), including time series over 4 years immediately prior to the date of analysis. From these prices, weekly and monthly returns are computed to obtain mean values, covariance matrices of returns, and beta coefficients.

In practice, the number of future scenarios ranges between 5 and 25; however, in theory, no such limitation is indeed required. This paper deals with 15 future scenarios of the Eurostoxx Market Index. These scenarios are objectively determined from historical information. Each is defined by a pair of potential events characterizing profitability and risk, in this case, the expected value and variance of returns to be received from the market index if the scenario under consideration

was the true state of the world. Tables with this information have been included in the appendix at the end of the paper.

### 3. Methodology

As noted, portfolio choice is here undertaken by SGP. In our statement, the  $j^{\text{th}}$  goal refers to the  $j^{\text{th}}$  future scenario, so that each goal pursues the achievement of a certain target relative to a state of the world. Like other models of risk, the objective function to be minimized is quadratic. More precisely, the weighted sum of deviations characterizing the GP achievement function (or objective function) is a weighted sum of portfolio variances. This is accomplished by aggregating a number  $n$  of covariance matrices corresponding to the  $n$  goals (scenarios). The aggregate matrix is called variability matrix. The minimization of this achievement function is subject to the goal constraints, the portfolio percentage constraint (saying that the sum of investment percentage is equal to 1) and the nonnegativity conditions. Once the targets are fixed, a solution is obtained, while the parameterization of targets leads to an efficient frontier of solutions.

**3.1 The model: A review.** The essential difference between deterministic GP and SGP is that goals in SGP contain random coefficients, their mean values and standard deviations being at least known. For simplicity, it is assumed that all the goals behave in such a way that “the more the better”. This does not imply a loss of generality because each “the more the worse” goal can be transformed into “the more the better” by suitable changes in the variables.

As noted above, SGP is rooted in Eu(R) maximization theory, which is narrowly related to Von Neumann’s and Morgenstern’s (1947) utility theory under uncertainty. This is a common feature to Markowitz’s (1952) mean-variance (E-V), which ensures efficient solutions under plausible conditions. The analytical statement of SGP is as follows.

$$Eu\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \xi_{ij} x_i\right) \rightarrow u(t_j) \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad (1)$$

where

$u$  and  $Eu$  are utility and expected utility, respectively.

$\xi_{ij}$  is random return on the  $i$ th asset related to the  $j$ th future scenario. Its probability distribution is obtained from historical series of returns.

$x_i$  is the  $i$ th weight of the portfolio, namely, the  $i$ th decision variable ( $0 \leq x_i \leq 1$ ).

$t_j$  is the investor's target for the  $j$ th goal.

Symbol → means that the target utility should be covered as much as possible by the expected utility of the goal.

As proven in the Ballester (2001, 2005), the deterministic equivalent of (1) is the following parametric quadratic programming model:

$$\min X V X^T \quad (2)$$

subject to

$$\sum_{i=1}^m E(\xi_{ij})x_i \geq t_j \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad (3)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m x_i = 1 \quad (4)$$

where,

$V$  is the variability matrix

$X$  is the row vector of decision variable, namely,  $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$

$X^T$  is the transposed vector of  $X$

$E(\xi_{ij})$  is the  $\xi_{ij}$  mean value.

Variability matrix  $V$  is the weighted sum of covariance matrices  $V_j$  relative to the  $j$ th goal, namely,

$$V = \sum_{j=1}^n w_j V_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j A_j V_j \quad (5)$$

where  $w_j$  are composite weights whose components have the following meaning:

$\alpha_j$  expresses the investor's preferences for the  $j$ th goal.

$A_j$  expresses Arrow's (1965, p. 94) absolute risk aversion coefficient for the  $j$ th goal. This

means that the investor fears a possible error affecting the  $j$ th goal, this error differing from

possible errors in other goals. These Arrow's coefficients will be estimated below (see subsection 3.3).

*3.2 Methodological insights.* Therefore, in SGP the achievement function is constructed not with linear deviations from the targets (as usual in deterministic weighted GP) but with quadratic deviations. This can be intuitively explained by noting that SGP uses the variance (namely, the square of the standard deviation) as an appropriate measure of risk concerning the random goals. Therefore, the portfolio variance should be minimized, which means minimizing the squared deviations from the mean value. Moreover, constraints should be imposed to ensure that each mean value is greater than (or equal to) the respective target. Because each random variable has been previously converted into "the more the better", the mean value plays an effective role of reward higher than (or equal to) the target set by the investor. Concerning the SGP weights to aggregate covariance matrices from goals (thus obtaining the variability matrix), notice that the GP decision maker (investor) is not only worried about preferences for the goals but also about risk from random goals. Accordingly, the weights attached to the random deviation measures are composite coefficients of preference weights and risk aversion estimates.

*3.3 Preferences and risk aversion coefficients.* As to preferences, they are assumed to be proportional to the probability of each scenario. To estimate the absolute risk aversion coefficient  $A_j$ , we assume the following quadratic utility function:

$$u = a + 2cR_j - cR_j^2 \quad (6)$$

where  $R_j$  is random return on the market index for the  $j$ th scenario, while parameter  $c$  is greater than zero. Utility (6) meets desirable properties such as: (i) it is an increasing function for  $R_j < 1$ ; and (ii) it is marginally decreasing, namely, its second derivative is less than zero. From Arrow's (1965, p. 94) definition, absolute risk aversion coefficient  $A_j$  is given by:

$$A_j = (-1)u'' / u' \quad (7)$$

where  $u'$  and  $u''$  are the first and second derivatives of utility  $u$ , respectively. From (6), equation (7) yields:

$$A_j(R_j) = (-1)(-2c) / (2c - 2cR_j) = 1 / (1 - R_j) \quad (8)$$

If equation (8) is specified for the mean value  $ER_j$ , we obtain:

$$A_j(E(R_j)) = 1/(1 - E(R_j)) \quad (9)$$

Thus, each risk aversion coefficient is straightforwardly estimated.

#### 4. Step-by-step process

In our statement, the computational process is developed as follows.

**First step.** *Betas.* For each fund  $i$  we have obtained 6 Betas. Each Beta for mutual fund  $i$  represents sensitivity of mutual fund's return to changes in the market index, Eurostoxx's return. We have considered three different periods (2, 3 and 4 years) and monthly and weekly returns for each fund,  $F_{it}$  and for the Eurostoxx,  $R_t$ . Therefore, six regression equations have been proposed from which the different Betas have been obtained:

$$\text{Four Years-Monthly Returns: } F_{it}^{4m} = \alpha_i^{4m} + \beta_i^{4m} R_t^{4m}, \quad i = 1, \dots, 80 \quad t = 1, \dots, 48 \quad (10)$$

$$\text{Four Years-Weekly Returns: } F_{it}^{4w} = \alpha_i^{4w} + \beta_i^{4w} R_t^{4w}, \quad i = 1, \dots, 80 \quad t = 1, \dots, 208 \quad (11)$$

$$\text{Three Years-Monthly Returns: } F_{it}^{3m} = \alpha_i^{3m} + \beta_i^{3m} R_t^{3m}, \quad i = 1, \dots, 80 \quad t = 1, \dots, 36 \quad (12)$$

$$\text{Three Years-Weekly Returns: } F_{it}^{3w} = \alpha_i^{3w} + \beta_i^{3w} R_t^{3w}, \quad i = 1, \dots, 80 \quad t = 1, \dots, 156 \quad (13)$$

$$\text{Two Years-Monthly Returns: } F_{it}^{2m} = \alpha_i^{2m} + \beta_i^{2m} R_t^{2m}, \quad i = 1, \dots, 80 \quad t = 1, \dots, 24 \quad (14)$$

$$\text{Two Years-Weekly Returns: } F_{it}^{2w} = \alpha_i^{2w} + \beta_i^{2w} R_t^{2w}, \quad i = 1, \dots, 80 \quad t = 1, \dots, 104 \quad (15)$$

Then, for each mutual fund  $i$  we have six different Betas:  $(\beta_i^{4m}, \beta_i^{4w}, \beta_i^{3m}, \beta_i^{3w}, \beta_i^{2m}, \beta_i^{2w})$ .

Using this information we have constructed a fuzzy triangular Beta for each fund and we have handled them through their expected value,  $EV(\tilde{\beta}_i)$ , (Heilper, 1992). Heilpern defines the expected value,  $EV$ , of a fuzzy triangular number  $\tilde{a} = (a^L, a^C, a^R)$  as follows:

$$EV(\tilde{a}) = \frac{a^L + 2a^C + a^R}{4} \quad (16)$$

As central value of the fuzzy number (value with the highest possibility of occurrence) we have

choose  $\beta_i^{2w}$ , that is, Beta from the two year regression (2002-2004) and weekly returns. Lateral values in the fuzzy Beta have been obtained in the following way:

*First.* Calculate the mean Beta among the six Betas available for each fund.

*Second.* Calculate the absolute difference between the highest Beta and the mean Beta for each fund,  $d_1$ .

*Third.* Calculate the absolute difference between the lowest Beta and the mean Beta for each fund,  $d_2$ .

Fuzzy triangular Betas for each fund are denoted as  $\tilde{\beta}_i = (\beta_i^L, \beta_i^C, \beta_i^R)$  where:

$$\beta_i^C = \beta_i^{2w}$$

$$\beta_i^L = \beta_i^{2w} - d_1$$

$$\beta_i^R = \beta_i^{2w} + d_2$$

**Second step.** *Future scenarios.* 15 future scenarios have been defined ( $j=1,2,\dots,15$ ) each of them representing a historical year of the Eurostoxx (from 1990 to 2004) in terms of its mean and variance, i.e.  $E(R_j)$  representing Eurostoxx returns' mean and  $\text{var}(R_j)$  representing Eurostoxx returns' variance both of them for each scenario  $j$ .

**Third step.** *Achievement (objective) function.* Minimize a quadratic function which is formally analogous to the classical one from the mean-variance model. The only difference is that the proposed matrix in this work is not the classical covariance matrix but a variability matrix,  $V$ , defined in section 3. We will assume the investor has the same preferences for all goals ( $\alpha_j = 1$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, 15$ ):

$$V = \sum_{j=1}^{15} A_j V_j \quad (17)$$

Where each coefficient  $A_j$  estimates risk aversion for scenario  $j$ . This risk aversion is calculated in the following way:

$$A_j = \frac{\left| E\left[\left(R_j\right)^2\right] - \left[E\left(R_j\right)\right]^2 \right|}{\left(1 - E\left(R_j\right)\right) \text{var}\left(R_j\right)} = \frac{1}{1 - E\left(R_j\right)} \quad (18)$$

Each matrix  $V_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, 15$ ) has 80 row and 80 columns. Its expression is the following:

$$V_j = \left[ EV\left(\tilde{\beta}_i\right)EV\left(\tilde{\beta}_k\right)\text{var}\left(R_j\right) \right] \quad i, k = 1, \dots, 80 \quad (19)$$

**Fourth step.** *Constraints.* 15 constraints of the type goal, one for each scenario, have been considered with the budget constraint (the sum of the weights of the portfolio equal to one). The expression of goal for scenario  $j$  is:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{80} E_j(F_i)x_i \geq t_j \quad (20)$$

Where  $E_j(F_i)$  is the expected value in scenario  $j$  of the mutual fund  $i$ 's return obtained from the regression equation particularized for the mean annual return of the Eurostoxx in scenario  $j$ , that is, particularized for  $E(R_j)$ ;  $x_i$  is the weight of fund  $i$  in the portfolio and  $t_j$  is the target assigned to goal in the  $j$ th scenario (see table in the appendix):

$$E_j(F_i) = \alpha^{2w_i} + EV\left(\tilde{\beta}_i\right)E\left(R_j\right) \quad (21)$$

Each target,  $t_j$ , is elicited by the decision maker, by ensuring that it is compatible with the left hand side of the constraint.

**Fifth.** *Solution by Matlab.* The problem, which is a quadratic problem similar to Markowitz's, has been solved using MATLAB but it could be also easily solved using LINGO which has a special model for Markowitz's problem:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Min} \sum_{j=1}^{15} X \left\{ A_j V_j \right\} X^t \\
\text{s.t. } & \sum_{i=1}^{80} E_i (F_i) X \geq h_j \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, 15 \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{80} x_i = 1 \\
& x_i \geq 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, 80
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Where  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_{80})$  represents the vector of weights in the portfolio.

The following table displays 16 sets of targets,  $h_j$ , fixed by the Decision Maker:

**Tabla 5.1 Aspiration levels  $h_j$ .**

<i>j</i>	Portf 1	Portf 2	Portf 3	Portf 4	Portf 5	Portf 6	Portf 7	Portf 8
<i>j</i>	$h_j$	$h_j$	$h_j$	$h_j$	$h_j$	$h_j$	$h_j$	$h_j$
1	-0.0043078	-0.003877	-0.0034893	-0.0031404	-0.0028263	-0.0018544	-0.00178	-0.0043078
2	0.0029574	0.0026617	0.0032531	0.0032531	0.0032531	0.0035785	0.0044	0.0032531
3	0.00080202	0.00072182	0.00088222	0.00088222	0.00088222	0.00097044	0.0025	0.00088222
4	0.0063142	0.0056828	0.0069456	0.0069456	0.0069456	0.0072929	0.00729	0.0069456
5	-0.0015548	-0.0013993	-0.0013993	-0.0013993	-0.0013993	-0.0013993	0.00057	-0.0015548
6	0.003062	0.0027558	0.003062	0.0033682	0.0033682	0.0033682	0.0045	0.0033682
7	0.0037374	0.0033637	0.0037374	0.0041111	0.0041111	0.0041111	0.005	0.0041111
8	0.0068163	0.0061347	0.0068163	0.0074979	0.0074979	0.0074979	0.0077	0.0074979
9	0.0070963	0.0063867	0.0070963	0.0070963	0.0078059	0.0078059	0.0079	0.0078059
10	0.0052304	0.0047074	0.0052304	0.0052304	0.0052304	0.0057534	0.0063	0.0057534
11	0.00072362	0.00065126	0.00072362	0.00072362	0.00072362	0.00079598	0.0025	0.00079598
12	-0.0033012	-0.0029711	-0.0029711	-0.0029711	-0.0029711	-0.0029711	-0.00092	-0.0033012
13	-0.0073322	-0.006599	-0.006599	-0.006599	-0.006599	-0.006599	-0.0044	-0.0073322
14	0.0026413	0.0023772	0.0026413	0.0026413	0.0026413	0.0029054	0.0041	0.0029054
15	0.0011719	0.0010547	0.0011719	0.0011719	0.0011719	0.0012891	0.0029	0.0012891

**Tabla 5.2 Aspiration levels  $h_j$ .**

<i>j</i>	Portf 9	Portf 10	Portf 11	Portf 12	Portf 13	Portf 14	Portf 15	Portf 16
<i>j</i>	$h_j$							
1	-0.0043078	-0.0043078	-0.0043078	-0.0043078	-0.0043078	-0.0043078	-0.0043078	-0.0043078
2	0.0044361	0.0029574	0.0029574	0.0029574	0.0029574	0.0029574	0.0029574	0.0029574
3	0.00080202	0.0027269	0.00080202	0.00080202	0.00080202	0.00080202	0.00080202	0.00080202
4	0.0063142	0.0063142	0.007577	0.0063142	0.0063142	0.0063142	0.0063142	0.0063142
5	-0.0015548	-0.0015548	-0.0015548	-0.0015548	-0.0015548	-0.0015548	-0.0015548	-0.0015548
6	0.003062	0.003062	0.003062	0.004593	0.003062	0.003062	0.003062	0.003062
7	0.0037374	0.0037374	0.0037374	0.0037374	0.0052324	0.0037374	0.0037374	0.0037374
8	0.0068163	0.0068163	0.0068163	0.0068163	0.0068163	0.0081796	0.0068163	0.0068163
9	0.0070963	0.0070963	0.0070963	0.0070963	0.0070963	0.0070963	0.0085156	0.0070963
10	0.0052304	0.0052304	0.0052304	0.0052304	0.0052304	0.0052304	0.0052304	0.0067995
11	0.00072362	0.00072362	0.00072362	0.00072362	0.00072362	0.00072362	0.00072362	0.00072362
12	-0.0033012	-0.0033012	-0.0033012	-0.0033012	-0.0033012	-0.0033012	-0.0033012	-0.0033012
13	-0.0073322	-0.0073322	-0.0073322	-0.0073322	-0.0073322	-0.0073322	-0.0073322	-0.0073322
14	0.0026413	0.0026413	0.0026413	0.0026413	0.0026413	0.0026413	0.0026413	0.0026413
15	0.0011719	0.0011719	0.0011719	0.0011719	0.0011719	0.0011719	0.0011719	0.0011719

## 5. Numerical results.

As explained in section 4, we have worked with both, weekly and monthly returns, considering three different periods: 2 years period (from 2003 to 2004), 3 years period (from 2002 to 2004) and three years period (from 2001 to 2004).

In order to obtain sensitivity of each asset to changes in the Eurostoxx index six simple linear regressions have been proposed (see equations (10)-(15)) from which we have obtained six different Beta values for each fund (see table 6):

**Table 6. Betas.**

Fund	4 years regression	3 years regression	2 years regression			
$i$	$\beta_i^{4m}$	$\beta_i^{4w}$	$\beta_i^{3m}$	$\beta_i^{3w}$	$\beta_i^{2m}$	$\beta_i^{2w}$
1	0,939	0,7507	0,9387	0,7388	0,869	0,6691
2	0,7978	0,8336	0,8111	0,8105	0,8396	0,8492
3	0,9361	0,771	0,9412	0,7168	0,8741	0,6165
4	0,771	0,6069	0,7384	0,5485	0,5908	0,3616
5	0,7647	0,7495	0,7573	0,7372	0,7554	0,7068
6	0,8685	0,7181	0,8646	0,7031	0,7608	0,6201
7	0,6431	0,6785	0,5814	0,6136	0,5612	0,5791
8	0,6531	0,6656	0,6253	0,6291	0,6724	0,6014
9	0,853	0,7355	0,8771	0,7336	0,9116	0,7024
10	0,8206	0,7462	0,8478	0,7392	0,9027	0,7025
11	0,9991	0,8383	1,0211	0,8169	1,1038	0,7794
12	0,9627	0,8257	1,0199	0,7688	1,1442	0,7526
13	0,8644	0,7387	0,88	0,6781	0,8345	0,6097
14	0,8319	0,7681	0,8263	0,6905	0,8095	0,6441
15	0,7807	0,7389	0,7874	0,6536	0,7259	0,5437
16	0,8613	0,799	0,8705	0,7296	0,8287	0,6693
17	0,7259	0,6131	0,7247	0,6116	0,7276	0,621
18	0,8114	0,8499	0,815	0,8116	0,8273	0,8272
19	0,823	0,6753	0,8379	0,6892	0,8353	0,7056
20	0,7685	0,6156	0,7651	0,5976	0,754	0,6463
21	0,773	0,7143	0,7746	0,672	0,7266	0,628
22	0,7819	0,7258	0,7885	0,6924	0,7457	0,6473
23	0,755	0,6779	0,7418	0,6658	0,7058	0,6661
24	1,0434	0,8927	1,0653	0,8262	1,0148	0,7264
25	0,8659	0,7784	0,8723	0,7127	0,8281	0,6371
26	0,9615	0,7902	0,9299	0,7852	0,9055	0,7012
27	0,7587	0,6558	0,7407	0,6374	0,6412	0,5734
28	0,7703	0,7519	0,7627	0,7395	0,7603	0,7074
29	0,8059	0,6768	0,7594	0,6556	0,68	0,6294
30	1,0018	0,8227	1,0478	0,8286	1,1517	0,8419
31	0,8873	0,8208	0,8917	0,7696	0,835	0,7502
32	0,8773	0,7672	0,9184	0,7563	0,9955	0,734
33	0,8021	0,7437	0,8354	0,7322	0,8631	0,6891
34	0,7764	0,7542	0,7668	0,7153	0,7475	0,6936
35	0,8333	0,7735	0,8599	0,7478	0,8848	0,7602
36	0,7736	0,7353	0,7752	0,708	0,7485	0,6238
37	0,8102	0,8324	0,8106	0,7877	0,7673	0,7584
38	0,8146	0,7569	0,7931	0,7235	0,7954	0,7051
39	0,7638	0,7453	0,7628	0,7393	0,7618	0,7096
40	0,7543	0,7819	0,7439	0,7125	0,7277	0,7158
41	0,8081	0,7503	0,8211	0,7143	0,8854	0,7262
42	0,8314	0,6826	0,8409	0,676	0,7562	0,6381
43	0,788	0,7137	0,7097	0,6666	0,6359	0,618
44	0,7521	0,7269	0,7523	0,7044	0,7507	0,6484

45	0,8088	0,7445	0,7779	0,6981	0,7746	0,6364
46	0,7945	0,7319	0,7833	0,6975	0,7778	0,6438
47	0,677	0,5881	0,6994	0,6009	0,7099	0,5507
48	0,7642	0,8503	0,7707	0,8294	0,749	0,8375
49	0,6832	0,5978	0,6542	0,5383	0,6653	0,5838
50	0,7531	0,768	0,7597	0,7359	0,7417	0,7663
51	0,7312	0,7521	0,7597	0,7306	0,722	0,7514
52	0,8381	0,8236	0,8466	0,8042	0,8061	0,8214
53	0,7644	0,847	0,762	0,8337	0,7411	0,8567
54	0,631	0,679	0,6332	0,6421	0,7002	0,7003
55	0,7489	0,7806	0,7602	0,7421	0,7022	0,7509
56	0,8141	0,8408	0,8124	0,8184	0,8235	0,8313
57	0,766	0,7739	0,7938	0,7208	0,8152	0,7262
58	0,819	0,7446	0,791	0,7141	0,8263	0,7308
59	0,6255	0,8156	0,511	0,7998	0,389	0,821
60	0,7758	0,827	0,7979	0,8127	0,7342	0,7956
61	0,8003	0,6693	0,8056	0,6316	0,8061	0,7042
62	0,7733	0,8111	0,7744	0,8083	0,7834	0,839
63	0,728	0,7062	0,7428	0,6448	0,7588	0,6976
64	0,8012	0,9001	0,7998	0,8776	0,7823	0,8658
65	0,767	0,7467	0,7672	0,7272	0,7903	0,6739
66	0,7869	0,7667	0,7641	0,6914	0,7884	0,6916
67	0,7731	0,8267	0,7707	0,8221	0,8685	0,9099
68	0,814	0,7775	0,8072	0,7195	0,8216	0,771
69	0,7585	0,7813	0,7779	0,7441	0,8038	0,7785
70	0,6229	0,6608	0,6892	0,678	0,7475	0,7331
71	0,811	0,7156	0,8133	0,6705	0,7259	0,6076
72	0,7791	0,8044	0,7796	0,7709	0,7886	0,8002
73	0,7811	0,824	0,7922	0,818	0,8088	0,8345
74	0,8893	0,7886	0,9336	0,7739	1,0412	0,8236
75	0,6863	0,7611	0,7032	0,7488	0,7061	0,7607
76	0,783	0,7217	0,7796	0,6699	0,7487	0,6629
77	0,7405	0,7026	0,7511	0,6823	0,7275	0,6079
78	0,7538	0,8585	0,7542	0,8438	0,7439	0,8579
79	0,7825	0,795	0,7791	0,7434	0,7757	0,7198
80	0,7526	0,6102	0,7807	0,6115	0,7153	0,5854

Following indications given in the first step of the step-by-step process (section 4) fuzzy triangular Betas have been obtained for each fund. We will handle fuzzy Betas by means of their expected value (Heilpern, 1992).

In table 7 fuzzy Betas and their expected value are displayed.

**Table 7. Fuzzy triangular Betas and Expected Value.**

Fund	$\beta_i^L$	$\beta_i^C$	$\beta_i^R$	$EV(\tilde{\beta}_i)$
1	0,72085	0,8693	0,99075	0,86255
2	0,821267	0,8471	0,872667	0,847033
3	0,642017	0,8348	0,966717	0,819583
4	0,261933	0,5032	0,671333	0,484917
5	0,80495	0,8433	0,86285	0,8386
6	0,673233	0,809	0,921633	0,803217
7	0,660317	0,7086	0,777617	0,713783
8	0,69125	0,731	0,76225	0,728875
9	0,7767	0,8765	0,9859	0,8789
10	0,778533	0,8692	0,978733	0,873917

11	0,848167	0,9952	1,172567	1,002783
12	0,820183	0,9799	1,211783	0,997942
13	0,615033	0,7729	0,885333	0,761542
14	0,681967	0,7996	0,869767	0,787733
15	0,498067	0,6594	0,741767	0,639658
16	0,720033	0,8438	0,921233	0,832217
17	0,67445	0,7335	0,79045	0,732975
18	0,855067	0,8674	0,893567	0,870858
19	0,74965	0,8354	0,91225	0,833175
20	0,726617	0,8202	0,897517	0,816133
21	0,69295	0,7797	0,83955	0,772975
22	0,717933	0,8009	0,859133	0,794717
23	0,752333	0,7886	0,841533	0,792767
24	0,740067	0,9418	1,078967	0,925658
25	0,654583	0,7999	0,889783	0,786042
26	0,721017	0,8654	0,981317	0,858283
27	0,653133	0,7476	0,838433	0,746692
28	0,803717	0,845	0,866617	0,840083
29	0,712517	0,7843	0,889017	0,792533
30	0,905617	1,032	1,234617	1,051058
31	0,810033	0,8856	0,951533	0,883192
32	0,81325	0,9207	1,07475	0,93235
33	0,7564	0,8449	0,9304	0,84415
34	0,7918	0,8405	0,8746	0,83685
35	0,862983	0,9251	0,999983	0,928292
36	0,6772	0,7808	0,8286	0,76685
37	0,810867	0,8469	0,884867	0,847383
38	0,783333	0,843	0,892833	0,840542
39	0,8099	0,8474	0,8641	0,8422
40	0,79125	0,8181	0,86065	0,822025
41	0,798867	0,8688	0,969967	0,876608
42	0,736167	0,8356	0,938967	0,836583
43	0,69045	0,7611	0,86045	0,768275
44	0,722133	0,7962	0,826033	0,785142
45	0,67975	0,7834	0,85215	0,774675
46	0,695767	0,7901	0,846467	0,780608
47	0,591233	0,6782	0,750433	0,674517
48	0,778817	0,83	0,880117	0,829733
49	0,604667	0,6868	0,749567	0,681958
50	0,747483	0,7657	0,779583	0,764617
51	0,751633	0,7708	0,789333	0,770642

52	0,799167	0,8183	0,841567	0,819333
53	0,777683	0,8374	0,893283	0,836442
54	0,6814	0,7147	0,7507	0,715375
55	0,712217	0,7575	0,790617	0,754458
56	0,834883	0,8459	0,863283	0,847492
57	0,777817	0,823	0,872217	0,824008
58	0,820433	0,8773	0,932633	0,876917
59	0,536083	0,8074	0,968083	0,779742
60	0,758667	0,815	0,851467	0,810033
61	0,664117	0,7687	0,838617	0,760033
62	0,83425	0,8592	0,89995	0,86315
63	0,730967	0,7992	0,844967	0,793583
64	0,7847	0,8402	0,9025	0,8419
65	0,746317	0,8178	0,862717	0,811158
66	0,723617	0,7804	0,820617	0,776258
67	0,8692	0,927	1,0084	0,9329
68	0,778967	0,8446	0,881067	0,837308
69	0,841583	0,8715	0,901283	0,871467
70	0,704617	0,7703	0,829217	0,768608
71	0,556917	0,6733	0,762617	0,666533
72	0,815367	0,8316	0,848867	0,831858
73	0,810533	0,8392	0,863933	0,838217
74	0,861367	0,9625	1,128667	0,978758
75	0,7242	0,7656	0,799	0,7636
76	0,688467	0,7532	0,808567	0,750858
77	0,659017	0,7531	0,802217	0,741858
78	0,783883	0,842	0,898483	0,841592
79	0,780583	0,8267	0,855783	0,822442
80	0,65655	0,7471	0,85185	0,75065

Above information has been used with information displayed in table 8 in order to construct our variability matrix defined in (17):

**Table 8. Eurostoxx's annual mean, variance and coefficients  $A_j$  for each scenario over the period (1990-2004).**

Scenario $j$	$E(R_j)$	$E[(R_j)^2]$	$[E(R_j)]^2$	$Var(R_j)$	$A_j$
1	-0.00431	0.00063566	1.85571E-05	0.000617	0.995710448
2	0.002957	0.000260605	8.7461E-06	0.000252	1.002967038

<b>3</b>	0.000802	0.000382616	6.43236E-07	0.000382	1.00080317
<b>4</b>	0.006314	0.000284924	3.98692E-05	0.000245	1.00635408
<b>5</b>	-0.00155	0.000355664	2.41734E-06	0.000353	0.99844845
<b>6</b>	0.003062	0.000211547	9.37609E-06	0.000202	1.003073964
<b>7</b>	0.003737	0.000207034	1.39684E-05	0.000193	1.003751027
<b>8</b>	0.006816	0.000829729	4.64626E-05	0.000783	1.006863194
<b>9</b>	0.007096	0.001398125	5.03569E-05	0.001348	1.007146854
<b>10</b>	0.00523	0.000809169	2.7357E-05	0.000782	1.005257601
<b>11</b>	0.000724	0.000749388	5.23626E-07	0.000749	1.000724134
<b>12</b>	-0.0033	0.00165229	1.08981E-05	0.001641	0.996709627
<b>13</b>	-0.00733	0.001594564	5.37607E-05	0.001541	0.992720914
<b>14</b>	0.002641	0.00098814	6.97668E-06	0.000981	1.002648284
<b>15</b>	0.001172	0.000286591	1.37344E-06	0.000285	1.00117303

Once the quadratic objective function has been defined and the parameters of the goals determined (see details in the appendix) we have solved problem (22) obtaining the following results:

**Table 9.1 Obtained solutions**

<i>i</i>	<b>Portfolio 1</b>	<b>Portfolio 2</b>	<b>Portfolio 3</b>	<b>Portfolio 4</b>	<b>Portfolio 5</b>	<b>Portfolio 6</b>
<b>Fund 4</b>	0.2558	0.7130	0	0	0	0
<b>Fund 12</b>	0	0	0.0465	0.1937	0.2630	0.3930
<b>Fund 61</b>	0.7442	0.2870	0.9535	0.8063	0.7370	0.6070

**Table 9.2 Obtained solutions**

<i>i</i>	<b>Portfolio 7</b>	<b>Portfolio 8</b>	<b>Portfolio 9</b>	<b>Portfolio 10</b>	<b>Portfolio 11</b>	<b>Portfolio 12</b>
<b>Fund 4</b>	0	0	0	0.2558	0	0
<b>Fund 12</b>	0.3901	0.2630	0.4342	0	0.6765	0.7254
<b>Fund 61</b>	0.6099	0.7370	0.5658	0.7442	0.3235	0.2746

**Table 9.3 Obtained solutions**

<i>i</i>	<b>Portfolio 13</b>	<b>Portfolio 14</b>	<b>Portfolio 15</b>	<b>Portfolio 16</b>
<b>Fund 12</b>	0.7498	0.8014	0.8602	0.9730
<b>Fund 61</b>	0.2502	0.1986	0.1398	0.0270

As it can be observed we have obtained sixteen different portfolios corresponding to different targets sets elicited by the Decision Maker (see tables 5.1 and 5.2).

In the following tables results corresponding to variability in each obtained portfolio are displayed. We have also included the reached targets for each solution.

**Table 10. Results.**

Portfolio	$V$
<b>1</b>	0.0049802
<b>2</b>	0.0033823
<b>3</b>	0.0061836
<b>4</b>	0.0067536
<b>5</b>	0.0070308
<b>6</b>	0.0075656
<b>7</b>	0.0075534
<b>8</b>	0.0070308
<b>9</b>	0.0077389
<b>10</b>	0.0049802
<b>11</b>	0.0087998
<b>12</b>	0.0090221
<b>13</b>	0.0091339
<b>14</b>	0.009373
<b>15</b>	0.0096493
<b>16</b>	0.01019

**Table 11.1 Reached targets.**

	Port 1	Port 2	Port 3	Port 4	Port 5	Port 6	Port 7	Port 8
$j$	$h_j^*$	$h_j^*$	$h_j^*$	$h_j^*$	$h_j^*$	$h_j^*$	$h_j^*$	$h_j^*$
1	-0.000768	-0.439220	-0.001245	-0.0014694	-0.0015751	-0.0017734	-0.001769	-0.0015751
2	0.0042419	0.0040528	0.0043572	0.0043871	0.0044013	0.0044277	0.0044271	0.0044013
3	0.0027554	0.0028374	0.0026952	0.0026497	0.0026282	0.002588	0.0025889	0.0026282
4	0.006557	0.0059457	0.0069456	0.0070931	0.0071626	0.0072929	0.00729	0.0071626
5	0.0011301	0.0015085	0.00087786	0.00074981	0.00068952	0.00057642	0.00057894	0.00068952
6	0.0043141	0.0041118	0.0044379	0.0044715	0.0044873	0.0045171	0.0045164	0.0044873
7	0.0047799	0.0044927	0.0049587	0.005016	0.0050429	0.0050935	0.0050924	0.0050429
8	0.0069033	0.0062288	0.0073328	0.0074979	0.0075757	0.0077215	0.0077182	0.0075757
9	0.0070963	0.0063867	0.0075487	0.0077236	0.0078059	0.0079604	0.007957	0.0078059
10	0.0058095	0.0053345	0.0061099	0.0062195	0.0062711	0.0063678	0.0063657	0.0062711
11	0.0027014	0.0027932	0.0026347	0.0025865	0.0025637	0.0025211	0.0025221	0.0025637
12	-0.743810	0.00052367	-0.0004688	-0.000658	-0.0007471	-0.0009142	-0.0009105	-0.0007471
13	-0.002854	-0.0017493	-0.0035771	-0.0039075	-0.004063	-0.0043548	-0.0043483	-0.004063
14	0.0040239	0.0038746	0.0041135	0.0041324	0.0041413	0.004158	0.0041576	0.0041413
15	0.0030106	0.003046	0.0029804	0.0029479	0.0029325	0.0029038	0.0029044	0.0029325

**Table 11.2 Reached targets.**

	Port 9	Port 10	Port 11	Port 12	Port 13	Port 14	Port 15	Port 16
$j$	$h_j^*$	$h_j^*$	$h_j^*$	$h_j^*$	$h_j^*$	$h_j^*$	$h_j^*$	$h_j^*$
1	-0.0018361	-0.000768	-0.002205	-0.0022802	-0.0023174	-0.0023961	-0.0024858	-0.002657
2	0.0044361	0.0042419	0.0044854	0.0044954	0.0045004	0.0045109	0.0045228	0.0045458
3	0.0025753	0.0027554	0.0025004	0.0024853	0.0024777	0.0024618	0.0024436	0.0024087
4	0.0073341	0.006557	0.007577	0.007626	0.0076504	0.0077022	0.0077611	0.0078741
5	0.00054062	0.0011301	0.0003298	0.00028728	0.00026609	0.00022118	0.00017001	0.719150
6	0.0045265	0.0043141	0.0045818	0.004593	0.0045986	0.0046104	0.0046238	0.0046496
7	0.0051095	0.0047799	0.0052039	0.0052229	0.0052324	0.0052524	0.0052753	0.0053192
8	0.0077677	0.0069033	0.0080395	0.0080943	0.0081217	0.0081796	0.0082455	0.008372
9	0.0080093	0.0070963	0.0082973	0.0083554	0.0083843	0.0084457	0.0085156	0.0086496
10	0.0063985	0.0058095	0.0065789	0.0066152	0.0066334	0.0066718	0.0067156	0.0067995
11	0.0025076	0.0027014	0.0024282	0.0024122	0.0024042	0.0023872	0.002368	0.002331
12	-0.0009671	-0.743811	-0.001278	-0.0013415	-0.0013728	-0.0014392	-0.0015148	-0.001659
13	-0.004447	-0.002854	-0.004991	-0.0051008	-0.0051555	-0.0052713	-0.0054034	-0.005656
14	0.0041633	0.0040239	0.0041944	0.0042006	0.0042038	0.0042104	0.004218	0.0042324
15	0.0028947	0.0030106	0.0028411	0.0028303	0.0028249	0.0028135	0.0028004	0.0027755

## **6. Conclusions.**

As a contribution to theory, the method in this paper has proven capable of conciliating two opposite philosophies in investment management, namely, the use of historical price information to construct efficient portfolios of securities and the use of conjectures on prices/returns for the same purpose. It is worth recalling that in Modern Portfolio literature, the first philosophy is associated with consistently-built models, while the second philosophy (suggestive and popular enough) is rather associated with heuristic approaches. Our method is advantageous in the sense of combining both perspectives. Indeed, we have considered multiple future scenarios (uncertain states of the world defined by observations of the market index historical positions) instead of considering a single scenario of simulated returns computed from time series over the recent past. This means a significant advance. In fact, each scenario can be then treated as an SGP goal, a sound treatment since SGP stems from Arrow-Pratt Eu(R) theory under uncertainty, namely, SGP is rooted in the classic paradigm. Linkages between assets and scenarios are beta linkages, but uncertainty involving betas has been treated by fuzzy logic, which is another critical contribution of the paper. In sum, the proposed method allows us to relax Sharpe's principle of historical information ("if fund X outperforms fund Y in the past, then fund X will also outperform fund Y in the future") since new elements in the model (the future scenarios) play their role together with the historical performance of each fund.

As a contribution to practice, a large scale numerical problem has been solved by Matlab without significant computational burden. This proves that portfolio selection by SGP is easy for practitioners. Application can be carried out by parametric quadratic optimization either using a general format or more easily by using the special E-V format often available in software programs. This is because the SGP computational structure is like the E-V structure, although both models are analytically different.

Future research can be conducted to strengthen the use of fuzzy betas versus historical betas.

## References

- Aouni, B., Ben Abdelaziz, F. and Martel, J.M. (2005). Decision-Maker's Preferences Modeling in the Stochastic Goal Programming. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 162, 610-61.
- Arenas, M., Bilbao, A., and Rodríguez, M.V. (2001): A fuzzy goal programming approach to portfolio selection. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 133, 287-297.
- Arrow, K.J. (1965). *Aspects of the theory of risk-bearing*. Academic Bookstore, Helsinki.
- Ballesteros, E. (2001): Stochastic goal programming: A mean-variance approach. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 131, 476-481.
- Ballesteros, E. (2005): Using stochastic goal programming: Some applications to management and a case of industrial production. *Information Systems and Operational Research Journal*, 43(2), 63-77.
- Ballesteros, E. (2005): Mean-semivariance efficient frontier: A downside risk model for portfolio selection. *Applied Mathematical Finance*, 12(1), 1-15.
- Ballesteros, E., Günther, M., Plà-Santamaria, D. and Stummer, C. (2007). Portfolio Selection under Strict Uncertainty: A Multi-criteria Methodology and its Application to the Frankfurt and Vienna Stock Exchanges. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 181(3), 1476-1487.
- Ballesteros, E. and Plà-Santamaria, D. (2003): Portfolio selection on the Madrid Exchange: A compromise programming model. *International Transactions in Operational Research*, 10(1), 33-51.
- Ballesteros, E. and Plà-Santamaria, D. (2004): Selecting portfolios for mutual funds. *OMEGA*, 32, 385-394.
- Ballesteros, E. and Plà-Santamaria, D. (2005): Grading the performance of market indicators with utility benchmarks selected from Footsie: A 2000 case study. *Applied Economics*, 37, 2147-2160.

Ben Abdelaziz, F. and Masri H. (2005). Stochastic Programming with Fuzzy Linear Partial Information on Probability Distribution. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 162(3), 619-629.

Bordley, R.F. and Kirkwood, C.W. (2004). Multiattribute preference analysis with performance targets. *Operations Research*, 52 (6), 823-835.

Charnes, A. and Cooper, W.W. (1959): Chance-constrained programming. *Management Science*, 6, 73-79.

Copeland, T.E. and Weston, J.F. (1988): *Financial Theory and Corporate Policy*. Addison-Wesley, Reading (MA).

Hallerbach, W., Ning, H., Soppe, A. and Spronk, J. (2004): A framework for managing a portfolio of socially responsible investments. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 153(2), 517-529.

Heilpern, S. (1992): The expected value of a fuzzy number. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 47, 81-86.

Huang, J.J., Ong, C.S. and Tzeng, G.H. (2005): A Novel Hybrid Model for Portfolio Selection. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 169(2), 1195-1210.

Huang, J.J., Tzeng, G.H. Ong, C.S. (2006): A Novel Algorithm for Uncertain Portfolio Selection. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 173(1), 350-35.

Hurwicz, L. (1951): Optimality criteria for decision making under ignorance. Cowles Commission Discussion Paper, Statistics No. 370. (mimeographed).

Kroll, Y., Markowitz, H.M. and Levy, H. (1984): Mean-Variance Versus Direct Utility Maximization. *Journal of Finance*, 39(1), 47-61.

Lin, C.M., Huang, J.J., Gen, M. and Tzeng, G.H. (2006): Recurrent neural network for dynamic portfolio selection. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 175(2), 1139-1146.

Liu, B. (2002): *Theory and Practice of Uncertain Programming*. Physica-Verlag, New York.

Markowitz, H.M. (1952). Portfolio selection. *Journal of Finance*, 7, 77-91.

Sahoo, N.P. and Biswal, M.P. (2005). Computation of some stochastic linear programming problems with Cauchy and extreme value distributions. *International Journal of Computer Mathematics*, 82(6), 685-698.

Sharpe, W.F. (1997): The Sharpe Ratio. In: P. L. Bernstein and F. J. Fabozzi (eds.): *The Best of The Journal of Portfolio Management*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, pp. 169-178.

Steuer, R., Qi, Y. and Hirschberger, M. (2005): Multiple objectives in portfolio selection. *Journal of Financial Decision Making*, 1(1), 5-20.

Tozer, P.R. and Stokes, J.R. (2002). Producer breeding objectives and optimal sire selection. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 85 (12): 3518-3525.

Von Neumann, J. and Morgenstern, O. (1947). *Theory of Games and Economic Behavior*. Princeton University Press, Princeton.

Wald, A. (1950): *Statistical decision functions*. John Wiley, New York.

## Appendix

**Table 1. Mutual Funds.**

<i>i</i>	<i>Mutual Fund (ISIN)</i>						
1	AT00092588	21	DE0009756957	41	DE0009779751	61	LU009842692
2	BE0126161612	22	DE0009757310	42	DE0009780569	62	LU0053334230
3	DE0008485111	23	DE0009757468	43	DE0009781799	63	LU0053685029
4	DE0008485343	24	DE0009757609	44	DE0009786152	64	LU0062625115
5	DE0008486234	25	DE0009757849	45	DE0009786186	65	LU0058891119
6	DE0008488024	26	DE0009761312	46	DE0009786194	66	LU0062647606
7	DE0008490210	27	DE0009765404	47	DE0009786202	67	LU0066549592
8	DE0008490319	28	DE0009765511	48	IE0003859786	68	LU0068894848
9	DE0008490822	29	DE0009766261	49	IE0005060581	69	LU0070215420
10	DE0008490848	30	DE0009766865	50	IE0005388354	70	LU0073375403
11	DE0008493370	31	DE0009769679	51	IE0008365730	71	LU0081952268
12	DE0009750018	32	DE0009769729	52	IE0008367116	72	LU0073234501
13	DE0009750216	33	DE0009769992	53	IE0008470928	73	LU0082087510
14	DE0009750232	34	DE0009770255	54	IE0008505517	74	LU0082769356
15	DE0009750554	35	DE0009770289	55	LU0006391097	75	LU0085424579
16	DE0009750562	36	DE0009772582	56	LU0010012721	76	LU0086349049
17	DE0009751826	37	DE0009772996	57	LU0011846440	77	LU0088122097
18	DE0009752220	38	DE0009775643	58	LU0011889846	78	LU0090145607
19	DE0009753707	39	DE0009778506	59	LU0048669088	79	LU0090717413
20	DE0009754333	40	DE0009779546	60	LU0049810905	80	LU0090968255

**Table 2. Scenario definition based on the mean,  $E(R_j)$ , and variance,  $Var(R_j)$ , of the returns of the market index Eurostoxx,  $R_j$ .**

Scenario <i>j</i>	$E(R_j)$	$Var(R_j)$
<b>1</b>	-0.00431	0.000617
<b>2</b>	0.002957	0.000252
<b>3</b>	0.000802	0.000382
<b>4</b>	0.006314	0.000245
<b>5</b>	-0.00155	0.000353
<b>6</b>	0.003062	0.000202
<b>7</b>	0.003737	0.000193
<b>8</b>	0.006816	0.000783
<b>9</b>	0.007096	0.001348
<b>10</b>	0.00523	0.000782
<b>11</b>	0.000724	0.000749
<b>12</b>	-0.0033	0.001641
<b>13</b>	-0.00733	0.001541
<b>14</b>	0.002641	0.000981
<b>15</b>	0.001172	0.000285

**Table 3.** Expected returns for each scenario  $E_j(F_i)$  obtained from equation  $Ej(F_i) = \alpha_i^{2w} + EV(\tilde{\beta}_i)E(R_j)$ .

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
1	-0,0033	0,00295	0,00109	0,00585	-0,00094	0,00304	0,00362	0,00628	0,00652	0,00491	0,00102	-0,00245	-0,00592	0,00268	0,00141
2	-0,0030	0,00310	0,00128	0,00595	-0,00072	0,00319	0,00377	0,00637	0,00661	0,00503	0,00121	-0,00220	-0,00561	0,00284	0,00159
3	-0,0021	0,00382	0,00206	0,00658	0,00013	0,00391	0,00446	0,00699	0,00722	0,00569	0,00199	-0,00131	-0,00461	0,00356	0,00236
4	0,0004	0,00393	0,00289	0,00556	0,00175	0,00398	0,00431	0,00581	0,00594	0,00504	0,00285	0,00090	-0,00106	0,00378	0,00307
5	-0,0038	0,00228	0,00047	0,00510	-0,00150	0,00237	0,00293	0,00552	0,00575	0,00419	0,00041	-0,00297	-0,00635	0,00202	0,00078
6	-0,0032	0,00268	0,00094	0,00537	-0,00095	0,00276	0,00330	0,00578	0,00600	0,00450	0,00088	-0,00235	-0,00559	0,00242	0,00124
7	-0,0039	0,00131	-0,00023	0,00371	-0,00191	0,00139	0,00187	0,00407	0,00427	0,00293	-0,00028	-0,00316	-0,00603	0,00109	0,00004
8	-0,0039	0,00136	-0,00022	0,00380	-0,00193	0,00143	0,00192	0,00417	0,00437	0,00301	-0,00027	-0,00321	-0,00614	0,00113	0,00005
9	-0,0030	0,00340	0,00150	0,00635	-0,00057	0,00349	0,00408	0,00679	0,00704	0,00540	0,00144	-0,00210	-0,00564	0,00312	0,00183
10	-0,0034	0,00298	0,00110	0,00592	-0,00096	0,00308	0,00367	0,00636	0,00660	0,00497	0,00103	-0,00248	-0,00601	0,00271	0,00142
11	-0,0034	0,00387	0,00170	0,00723	-0,00066	0,00397	0,00465	0,00774	0,00802	0,00614	0,00163	-0,00241	-0,00645	0,00355	0,00208
12	-0,0027	0,00455	0,00240	0,00790	0,00005	0,00466	0,00533	0,00840	0,00868	0,00682	0,00232	-0,00169	-0,00572	0,00424	0,00277
13	-0,0024	0,00315	0,00151	0,00571	-0,00028	0,00323	0,00375	0,00609	0,00630	0,00488	0,00145	-0,00161	-0,00468	0,00291	0,00179
14	-0,0030	0,00273	0,00103	0,00537	-0,00082	0,00281	0,00334	0,00577	0,00599	0,00452	0,00097	-0,00220	-0,00538	0,00248	0,00132
15	-0,0010	0,00369	0,00231	0,00584	0,00081	0,00376	0,00419	0,00616	0,00634	0,00515	0,00226	-0,00031	-0,00289	0,00349	0,00255
16	-0,0034	0,00266	0,00087	0,00545	-0,00109	0,00275	0,00331	0,00587	0,00611	0,00455	0,00080	-0,00255	-0,00590	0,00240	0,00118
17	-0,0027	0,00267	0,00109	0,00513	-0,00064	0,00274	0,00324	0,00550	0,00570	0,00433	0,00103	-0,00192	-0,00487	0,00244	0,00136
18	-0,0045	0,00188	0,00000	0,00480	-0,00205	0,00197	0,00255	0,00524	0,00548	0,00385	-0,00007	-0,00357	-0,00709	0,00160	0,00032
19	-0,0027	0,00336	0,00157	0,00616	-0,00040	0,00345	0,00401	0,00658	0,00681	0,00526	0,00150	-0,00185	-0,00521	0,00310	0,00188
20	-0,0017	0,00421	0,00245	0,00695	0,00053	0,00430	0,00485	0,00736	0,00759	0,00607	0,00239	-0,00089	-0,00418	0,00396	0,00276

21	-0,0032	0,00239	0,00072	0,00498	-0,00110	0,00247	0,00299	0,00537	0,00559	0,00414	0,00066	-0,00245	-0,00557	0,00214	0,00101
22	-0,0034	0,00238	0,00067	0,00505	-0,00121	0,00246	0,00300	0,00545	0,00567	0,00419	0,00061	-0,00259	-0,00580	0,00213	0,00096
23	-0,0035	0,00225	0,00055	0,00492	-0,00132	0,00234	0,00287	0,00531	0,00554	0,00406	0,00048	-0,00271	-0,00590	0,00200	0,00084
24	-0,0031	0,00364	0,00164	0,00674	-0,00054	0,00373	0,00436	0,00721	0,00747	0,00574	0,00157	-0,00216	-0,00589	0,00334	0,00198
25	-0,0029	0,00282	0,00113	0,00546	-0,00072	0,00291	0,00344	0,00586	0,00608	0,00461	0,00107	-0,00209	-0,00526	0,00258	0,00142
26	-0,0036	0,00259	0,00074	0,00547	-0,00128	0,00268	0,00326	0,00590	0,00614	0,00454	0,00067	-0,00278	-0,00624	0,00232	0,00106
27	-0,0033	0,00212	0,00051	0,00462	-0,00125	0,00220	0,00270	0,00500	0,00521	0,00382	0,00045	-0,00255	-0,00556	0,00188	0,00079
28	-0,0037	0,00238	0,00057	0,00520	-0,00141	0,00247	0,00304	0,00563	0,00586	0,00429	0,00051	-0,00287	-0,00626	0,00212	0,00088
29	-0,0032	0,00254	0,00084	0,00520	-0,00103	0,00263	0,00316	0,00560	0,00582	0,00435	0,00077	-0,00242	-0,00561	0,00229	0,00113
30	-0,0034	0,00421	0,00194	0,00774	-0,00053	0,00432	0,00503	0,00826	0,00856	0,00660	0,00186	-0,00237	-0,00661	0,00388	0,00233
31	-0,0032	0,00321	0,00131	0,00618	-0,00077	0,00330	0,00390	0,00662	0,00687	0,00522	0,00124	-0,00232	-0,00588	0,00293	0,00164
32	-0,0031	0,00366	0,00165	0,00679	-0,00055	0,00375	0,00438	0,00726	0,00752	0,00578	0,00157	-0,00218	-0,00594	0,00336	0,00199
33	-0,0027	0,00340	0,00158	0,00623	-0,00041	0,00348	0,00405	0,00665	0,00689	0,00532	0,00151	-0,00189	-0,00529	0,00313	0,00189
34	-0,0037	0,00241	0,00061	0,00522	-0,00136	0,00250	0,00307	0,00564	0,00588	0,00432	0,00055	-0,00282	-0,00620	0,00215	0,00092
35	-0,0041	0,00269	0,00068	0,00580	-0,00150	0,00278	0,00341	0,00627	0,00653	0,00480	0,00061	-0,00312	-0,00687	0,00239	0,00103
36	-0,0026	0,00297	0,00132	0,00554	-0,00049	0,00305	0,00357	0,00593	0,00614	0,00471	0,00125	-0,00183	-0,00492	0,00273	0,00160
37	-0,0039	0,00231	0,00048	0,00515	-0,00152	0,00239	0,00297	0,00558	0,00581	0,00423	0,00041	-0,00300	-0,00641	0,00204	0,00079
38	-0,0029	0,00319	0,00137	0,00601	-0,00061	0,00327	0,00384	0,00643	0,00666	0,00510	0,00131	-0,00207	-0,00546	0,00292	0,00169
39	-0,0038	0,00229	0,00048	0,00512	-0,00151	0,00238	0,00295	0,00554	0,00578	0,00421	0,00041	-0,00298	-0,00638	0,00202	0,00079
40	-0,0035	0,00250	0,00073	0,00526	-0,00121	0,00259	0,00314	0,00567	0,00590	0,00437	0,00066	-0,00264	-0,00596	0,00224	0,00103
41	-0,0029	0,00349	0,00160	0,00644	-0,00046	0,00358	0,00418	0,00688	0,00712	0,00549	0,00153	-0,00199	-0,00553	0,00322	0,00193
42	-0,0036	0,00248	0,00067	0,00529	-0,00130	0,00256	0,00313	0,00571	0,00594	0,00438	0,00061	-0,00276	-0,00613	0,00221	0,00098
43	-0,0034	0,00217	0,00052	0,00475	-0,00129	0,00225	0,00277	0,00514	0,00535	0,00392	0,00046	-0,00264	-0,00573	0,00193	0,00080

44	-0,0036	0,00212	0,00043	0,00476	-0,00142	0,00220	0,00273	0,00515	0,00537	0,00391	0,00037	-0,00279	-0,00596	0,00187	0,00072
45	-0,0036	0,00199	0,00032	0,00459	-0,00150	0,00207	0,00260	0,00498	0,00520	0,00375	0,00026	-0,00286	-0,00598	0,00175	0,00061
46	-0,0038	0,00191	0,00023	0,00453	-0,00161	0,00199	0,00252	0,00492	0,00514	0,00368	0,00016	-0,00298	-0,00612	0,00166	0,00051
47	-0,0026	0,00229	0,00084	0,00456	-0,00075	0,00237	0,00282	0,00490	0,00509	0,00383	0,00079	-0,00193	-0,00465	0,00208	0,00109
48	-0,0034	0,00265	0,00087	0,00544	-0,00109	0,00274	0,00330	0,00586	0,00609	0,00454	0,00080	-0,00254	-0,00588	0,00239	0,00117
49	-0,0023	0,00262	0,00115	0,00491	-0,00046	0,00269	0,00315	0,00525	0,00544	0,00417	0,00109	-0,00165	-0,00440	0,00240	0,00140
50	-0,0030	0,00256	0,00091	0,00513	-0,00089	0,00264	0,00316	0,00551	0,00573	0,00430	0,00085	-0,00222	-0,00531	0,00232	0,00120
51	-0,0024	0,00318	0,00152	0,00577	-0,00030	0,00326	0,00378	0,00615	0,00637	0,00493	0,00146	-0,00164	-0,00475	0,00294	0,00180
52	-0,0025	0,00342	0,00166	0,00617	-0,00027	0,00351	0,00406	0,00658	0,00681	0,00529	0,00159	-0,00170	-0,00501	0,00316	0,00196
53	-0,0035	0,00257	0,00077	0,00538	-0,00120	0,00266	0,00323	0,00580	0,00604	0,00447	0,00071	-0,00266	-0,00603	0,00231	0,00108
54	-0,0021	0,00312	0,00157	0,00552	-0,00011	0,00319	0,00367	0,00588	0,00608	0,00474	0,00152	-0,00136	-0,00425	0,00289	0,00184
55	-0,0024	0,00313	0,00151	0,00566	-0,00027	0,00321	0,00372	0,00604	0,00625	0,00485	0,00145	-0,00159	-0,00463	0,00289	0,00178
56	-0,0036	0,00261	0,00078	0,00545	-0,00122	0,00270	0,00327	0,00588	0,00611	0,00453	0,00071	-0,00270	-0,00611	0,00234	0,00109
57	-0,0031	0,00284	0,00106	0,00560	-0,00088	0,00292	0,00348	0,00602	0,00625	0,00471	0,00100	-0,00232	-0,00564	0,00258	0,00137
58	-0,0028	0,00359	0,00170	0,00654	-0,00036	0,00369	0,00428	0,00698	0,00722	0,00559	0,00163	-0,00189	-0,00543	0,00332	0,00203
59	-0,0039	0,00181	0,00013	0,00442	-0,00171	0,00189	0,00241	0,00481	0,00503	0,00358	0,00006	-0,00307	-0,00622	0,00156	0,00041
60	-0,0035	0,00238	0,00063	0,00509	-0,00128	0,00246	0,00301	0,00550	0,00573	0,00422	0,00057	-0,00269	-0,00596	0,00212	0,00093
61	-0,0012	0,00435	0,00271	0,00690	0,00092	0,00443	0,00494	0,00728	0,00749	0,00608	0,00265	-0,00041	-0,00347	0,00411	0,00299
62	-0,0041	0,00215	0,00029	0,00505	-0,00174	0,00224	0,00283	0,00548	0,00573	0,00411	0,00022	-0,00325	-0,00673	0,00188	0,00061
63	-0,0023	0,00345	0,00174	0,00611	-0,00013	0,00353	0,00407	0,00651	0,00673	0,00525	0,00167	-0,00152	-0,00472	0,00320	0,00203
64	-0,0037	0,00239	0,00058	0,00522	-0,00141	0,00248	0,00305	0,00564	0,00587	0,00430	0,00051	-0,00288	-0,00627	0,00212	0,00089
65	-0,0038	0,00210	0,00035	0,00482	-0,00156	0,00218	0,00273	0,00523	0,00546	0,00394	0,00029	-0,00298	-0,00625	0,00184	0,00065
66	-0,0028	0,00280	0,00112	0,00540	-0,00071	0,00288	0,00340	0,00579	0,00601	0,00456	0,00106	-0,00206	-0,00519	0,00255	0,00141

67	-0,0039	0,00286	0,00085	0,00599	-0,00135	0,00296	0,00359	0,00646	0,00672	0,00498	0,00078	-0,00298	-0,00674	0,00256	0,00119
68	-0,0032	0,00288	0,00107	0,00569	-0,00090	0,00296	0,00353	0,00611	0,00634	0,00478	0,00101	-0,00236	-0,00574	0,00261	0,00138
69	-0,0040	0,00238	0,00050	0,00530	-0,00155	0,00247	0,00306	0,00574	0,00598	0,00436	0,00043	-0,00308	-0,00659	0,00210	0,00082
70	-0,0031	0,00247	0,00082	0,00505	-0,00100	0,00255	0,00307	0,00544	0,00565	0,00422	0,00076	-0,00234	-0,00544	0,00223	0,00110
71	-0,0016	0,00327	0,00183	0,00551	0,00026	0,00334	0,00379	0,00584	0,00603	0,00479	0,00178	-0,00090	-0,00359	0,00306	0,00208
72	-0,0040	0,00206	0,00027	0,00485	-0,00169	0,00215	0,00271	0,00527	0,00550	0,00395	0,00020	-0,00315	-0,00650	0,00180	0,00057
73	-0,0031	0,00298	0,00117	0,00579	-0,00080	0,00307	0,00363	0,00621	0,00645	0,00488	0,00111	-0,00227	-0,00565	0,00271	0,00148
74	-0,0035	0,00359	0,00148	0,00688	-0,00082	0,00370	0,00436	0,00737	0,00765	0,00582	0,00141	-0,00253	-0,00648	0,00329	0,00185
75	-0,0030	0,00256	0,00091	0,00512	-0,00089	0,00264	0,00315	0,00550	0,00572	0,00429	0,00085	-0,00222	-0,00530	0,00232	0,00119
76	-0,0028	0,00262	0,00100	0,00514	-0,00077	0,00270	0,00321	0,00552	0,00573	0,00433	0,00094	-0,00208	-0,00511	0,00238	0,00128
77	-0,0031	0,00228	0,00068	0,00477	-0,00106	0,00236	0,00286	0,00515	0,00535	0,00397	0,00063	-0,00236	-0,00535	0,00205	0,00096
78	-0,0037	0,00239	0,00057	0,00521	-0,00141	0,00248	0,00305	0,00564	0,00587	0,00430	0,00051	-0,00288	-0,00627	0,00212	0,00089
79	-0,0035	0,00243	0,00065	0,00519	-0,00128	0,00251	0,00307	0,00560	0,00583	0,00430	0,00059	-0,00272	-0,00604	0,00217	0,00096
80	-0,0025	0,00292	0,00130	0,00544	-0,00047	0,00300	0,00351	0,00582	0,00603	0,00463	0,00124	-0,00178	-0,00480	0,00268	0,00158

**Table 4.**  $\alpha_i^{2w}$  in equation  $Ej(F_i) = \alpha_i^{2w} + EV(\tilde{\beta}_i)E(R_j)$ .

Fund	$\alpha_i^{2w}$	Fund	$\alpha_i^{2w}$	Fund	$\alpha_i^{2w}$	Fund	$\alpha_i^{2w}$
1	0,0004	21	1,00E-04	41	0,0009	61	0,0021
2	0,0006	22	3,00E-05	42	3,00E-06	62	-0,0004
3	0,0014	23	-9,00E-05	43	-0,0001	63	0,0011
4	0,0025	24	0,0009	44	-0,0002	64	-0,0001
5	-0,0002	25	0,0005	45	-0,0003	65	-0,0003
6	0,0003	26	5,00E-05	46	-0,0004	66	0,0005
7	-0,0008	27	-9,00E-05	47	0,0003	67	1,00E-04
8	-0,0008	28	-0,0001	48	0,0002	68	0,0004
9	0,0008	29	0,0002	49	0,0006	69	-0,0002
10	0,0004	30	0,0011	50	0,0003	70	0,0002
11	0,0009	31	0,0006	51	0,0009	71	0,0013
12	0,0016	32	0,0009	52	0,001	72	-0,0004
13	0,0009	33	0,0009	53	0,0001	73	0,0005
14	0,0004	34	-6,00E-05	54	0,001	74	0,0007
15	0,0018	35	-6,00E-05	55	0,0009	75	0,0003
16	0,0002	36	0,0007	56	0,0001	76	0,0004
17	0,0005	37	-0,0002	57	0,0004	77	9,00E-05
18	-0,0007	38	0,0007	58	0,001	78	-0,0001
19	0,0009	39	-0,0002	59	-0,0005	79	-6,00E-06
20	0,0018	40	7,00E-05	60	-2,00E-05	80	0,0007

# FUNDACIÓN DE LAS CAJAS DE AHORROS

---

## DOCUMENTOS DE TRABAJO

### Últimos números publicados

- 159/2000 Participación privada en la construcción y explotación de carreteras de peaje  
Ginés de Rus, Manuel Romero y Lourdes Trujillo
- 160/2000 Errores y posibles soluciones en la aplicación del *Value at Risk*  
Mariano González Sánchez
- 161/2000 Tax neutrality on saving assets. The spahish case before and after the tax reform  
Cristina Ruza y de Paz-Curbra
- 162/2000 Private rates of return to human capital in Spain: new evidence  
F. Barceinas, J. Oliver-Alonso, J.L. Raymond y J.L. Roig-Sabaté
- 163/2000 El control interno del riesgo. Una propuesta de sistema de límites  
riesgo neutral  
Mariano González Sánchez
- 164/2001 La evolución de las políticas de gasto de las Administraciones Pùblicas en los años 90  
Alfonso Utrilla de la Hoz y Carmen Pérez Esparrells
- 165/2001 Bank cost efficiency and output specification  
Emili Tortosa-Ausina
- 166/2001 Recent trends in Spanish income distribution: A robust picture of falling income inequality  
Josep Oliver-Alonso, Xavier Ramos y José Luis Raymond-Bara
- 167/2001 Efectos redistributivos y sobre el bienestar social del tratamiento de las cargas familiares en  
el nuevo IRPF  
Nuria Badenes Plá, Julio López Laborda, Jorge Onrubia Fernández
- 168/2001 The Effects of Bank Debt on Financial Structure of Small and Medium Firms in some European Countries  
Mónica Melle-Hernández
- 169/2001 La política de cohesión de la UE ampliada: la perspectiva de España  
Ismael Sanz Labrador
- 170/2002 Riesgo de liquidez de Mercado  
Mariano González Sánchez
- 171/2002 Los costes de administración para el afiliado en los sistemas de pensiones basados en cuentas  
de capitalización individual: medida y comparación internacional.  
José Enrique Devesa Carpio, Rosa Rodríguez Barrera, Carlos Vidal Meliá
- 172/2002 La encuesta continua de presupuestos familiares (1985-1996): descripción, representatividad  
y propuestas de metodología para la explotación de la información de los ingresos y el gasto.  
Llorenç Pou, Joaquín Alegre
- 173/2002 Modelos paramétricos y no paramétricos en problemas de concesión de tarjetas de credito.  
Rosa Puertas, María Bonilla, Ignacio Olmeda

- 174/2002 Mercado único, comercio intra-industrial y costes de ajuste en las manufacturas españolas.  
José Vicente Blanes Cristóbal
- 175/2003 La Administración tributaria en España. Un análisis de la gestión a través de los ingresos y de los gastos.  
Juan de Dios Jiménez Aguilera, Pedro Enrique Barrilao González
- 176/2003 The Falling Share of Cash Payments in Spain.  
Santiago Carbó Valverde, Rafael López del Paso, David B. Humphrey  
Publicado en "Moneda y Crédito" nº 217, pags. 167-189.
- 177/2003 Effects of ATMs and Electronic Payments on Banking Costs: The Spanish Case.  
Santiago Carbó Valverde, Rafael López del Paso, David B. Humphrey
- 178/2003 Factors explaining the interest margin in the banking sectors of the European Union.  
Joaquín Maudos y Juan Fernández Guevara
- 179/2003 Los planes de stock options para directivos y consejeros y su valoración por el mercado de valores en España.  
Mónica Melle Hernández
- 180/2003 Ownership and Performance in Europe and US Banking – A comparison of Commercial, Co-operative & Savings Banks.  
Yener Altunbas, Santiago Carbó y Phil Molyneux
- 181/2003 The Euro effect on the integration of the European stock markets.  
Mónica Melle Hernández
- 182/2004 In search of complementarity in the innovation strategy: international R&D and external knowledge acquisition.  
Bruno Cassiman, Reinhilde Veugelers
- 183/2004 Fijación de precios en el sector público: una aplicación para el servicio municipal de suministro de agua.  
Mª Ángeles García Valiñas
- 184/2004 Estimación de la economía sumergida en España: un modelo estructural de variables latentes.  
Ángel Alañón Pardo, Miguel Gómez de Antonio
- 185/2004 Causas políticas y consecuencias sociales de la corrupción.  
Joan Oriol Prats Cabrera
- 186/2004 Loan bankers' decisions and sensitivity to the audit report using the belief revision model.  
Andrés Guiral Contreras and José A. Gonzalo Angulo
- 187/2004 El modelo de Black, Derman y Toy en la práctica. Aplicación al mercado español.  
Marta Tolentino García-Abadillo y Antonio Díaz Pérez
- 188/2004 Does market competition make banks perform well?.  
Mónica Melle
- 189/2004 Efficiency differences among banks: external, technical, internal, and managerial  
Santiago Carbó Valverde, David B. Humphrey y Rafael López del Paso

- 190/2004 Una aproximación al análisis de los costes de la esquizofrenia en España: los modelos jerárquicos bayesianos  
F. J. Vázquez-Polo, M. A. Negrín, J. M. Cavasés, E. Sánchez y grupo RIRAG
- 191/2004 Environmental proactivity and business performance: an empirical analysis  
Javier González-Benito y Óscar González-Benito
- 192/2004 Economic risk to beneficiaries in notional defined contribution accounts (NDCs)  
Carlos Vidal-Meliá, Inmaculada Domínguez-Fabian y José Enrique Devesa-Carpio
- 193/2004 Sources of efficiency gains in port reform: non parametric malmquist decomposition tfp index for Mexico  
Antonio Estache, Beatriz Tovar de la Fé y Lourdes Trujillo
- 194/2004 Persistencia de resultados en los fondos de inversión españoles  
Alfredo Ciriaco Fernández y Rafael Santamaría Aquilué
- 195/2005 El modelo de revisión de creencias como aproximación psicológica a la formación del juicio del auditor sobre la gestión continuada  
Andrés Guiral Contreras y Francisco Esteso Sánchez
- 196/2005 La nueva financiación sanitaria en España: descentralización y prospectiva  
David Cantarero Prieto
- 197/2005 A cointegration analysis of the Long-Run supply response of Spanish agriculture to the common agricultural policy  
José A. Méndez, Ricardo Mora y Carlos San Juan
- 198/2005 ¿Refleja la estructura temporal de los tipos de interés del mercado español preferencia por la liquidez?  
Magdalena Massot Perelló y Juan M. Nave
- 199/2005 Análisis de impacto de los Fondos Estructurales Europeos recibidos por una economía regional: Un enfoque a través de Matrices de Contabilidad Social  
M. Carmen Lima y M. Alejandro Cardenete
- 200/2005 Does the development of non-cash payments affect monetary policy transmission?  
Santiago Carbó Valverde y Rafael López del Paso
- 201/2005 Firm and time varying technical and allocative efficiency: an application for port cargo handling firms  
Ana Rodríguez-Álvarez, Beatriz Tovar de la Fe y Lourdes Trujillo
- 202/2005 Contractual complexity in strategic alliances  
Jeffrey J. Reuer y Africa Ariño
- 203/2005 Factores determinantes de la evolución del empleo en las empresas adquiridas por opa  
Nuria Alcalde Frajedas y Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar
- 204/2005 Nonlinear Forecasting in Economics: a comparison between Comprehension Approach versus Learning Approach. An Application to Spanish Time Series  
Elena Olmedo, Juan M. Valderas, Ricardo Gimeno and Lorenzo Escot

- 205/2005 Precio de la tierra con presión urbana: un modelo para España  
Esther Decimavilla, Carlos San Juan y Stefan Sperlich
- 206/2005 Interregional migration in Spain: a semiparametric analysis  
Adolfo Maza y José Villaverde
- 207/2005 Productivity growth in European banking  
Carmen Murillo-Melchor, José Manuel Pastor y Emili Tortosa-Ausina
- 208/2005 Explaining Bank Cost Efficiency in Europe: Environmental and Productivity Influences.  
Santiago Carbó Valverde, David B. Humphrey y Rafael López del Paso
- 209/2005 La elasticidad de sustitución intertemporal con preferencias no separables intratemporalmente: los casos de Alemania, España y Francia.  
Elena Márquez de la Cruz, Ana R. Martínez Cañete y Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar
- 210/2005 Contribución de los efectos tamaño, book-to-market y momentum a la valoración de activos: el caso español.  
Begoña Font-Belaire y Alfredo Juan Grau-Grau
- 211/2005 Permanent income, convergence and inequality among countries  
José M. Pastor and Lorenzo Serrano
- 212/2005 The Latin Model of Welfare: Do 'Insertion Contracts' Reduce Long-Term Dependence?  
Luis Ayala and Magdalena Rodríguez
- 213/2005 The effect of geographic expansion on the productivity of Spanish savings banks  
Manuel Illueca, José M. Pastor and Emili Tortosa-Ausina
- 214/2005 Dynamic network interconnection under consumer switching costs  
Ángel Luis López Rodríguez
- 215/2005 La influencia del entorno socioeconómico en la realización de estudios universitarios: una aproximación al caso español en la década de los noventa  
Marta Rahona López
- 216/2005 The valuation of spanish ipos: efficiency analysis  
Susana Álvarez Otero
- 217/2005 On the generation of a regular multi-input multi-output technology using parametric output distance functions  
Sergio Perelman and Daniel Santin
- 218/2005 La gobernanza de los procesos parlamentarios: la organización industrial del congreso de los diputados en España  
Gonzalo Caballero Miguez
- 219/2005 Determinants of bank market structure: Efficiency and political economy variables  
Francisco González
- 220/2005 Agresividad de las órdenes introducidas en el mercado español: estrategias, determinantes y medidas de performance  
David Abad Díaz

- 221/2005 Tendencia post-anuncio de resultados contables: evidencia para el mercado español  
Carlos Forner Rodríguez, Joaquín Marhuenda Fructuoso y Sonia Sanabria García
- 222/2005 Human capital accumulation and geography: empirical evidence in the European Union  
Jesús López-Rodríguez, J. Andrés Faíña y Jose Lopez Rodríguez
- 223/2005 Auditors' Forecasting in Going Concern Decisions: Framing, Confidence and Information Processing  
Waymond Rodgers and Andrés Guiral
- 224/2005 The effect of Structural Fund spending on the Galician region: an assessment of the 1994-1999 and 2000-2006 Galician CSFs  
José Ramón Cancelo de la Torre, J. Andrés Faíña and Jesús López-Rodríguez
- 225/2005 The effects of ownership structure and board composition on the audit committee activity: Spanish evidence  
Carlos Fernández Méndez and Rubén Arrondo García
- 226/2005 Cross-country determinants of bank income smoothing by managing loan loss provisions  
Ana Rosa Fonseca and Francisco González
- 227/2005 Incumplimiento fiscal en el irpf (1993-2000): un análisis de sus factores determinantes  
Alejandro Estellér Moré
- 228/2005 Region versus Industry effects: volatility transmission  
Pilar Soriano Felipe and Francisco J. Climent Diranzo
- 229/2005 Concurrent Engineering: The Moderating Effect Of Uncertainty On New Product Development Success  
Daniel Vázquez-Bustelo and Sandra Valle
- 230/2005 On zero lower bound traps: a framework for the analysis of monetary policy in the 'age' of central banks  
Alfonso Palacio-Vera
- 231/2005 Reconciling Sustainability and Discounting in Cost Benefit Analysis: a methodological proposal  
M. Carmen Almansa Sáez and Javier Calatrava Requena
- 232/2005 Can The Excess Of Liquidity Affect The Effectiveness Of The European Monetary Policy?  
Santiago Carbó Valverde and Rafael López del Paso
- 233/2005 Inheritance Taxes In The Eu Fiscal Systems: The Present Situation And Future Perspectives.  
Miguel Angel Barberán Lahuerta
- 234/2006 Bank Ownership And Informativeness Of Earnings.  
Víctor M. González
- 235/2006 Developing A Predictive Method: A Comparative Study Of The Partial Least Squares Vs Maximum Likelihood Techniques.  
Waymond Rodgers, Paul Pavlou and Andres Guiral.
- 236/2006 Using Compromise Programming for Macroeconomic Policy Making in a General Equilibrium Framework: Theory and Application to the Spanish Economy.  
Francisco J. André, M. Alejandro Cardenete y Carlos Romero.

- 237/2006 Bank Market Power And Sme Financing Constraints.  
Santiago Carbó-Valverde, Francisco Rodríguez-Fernández y Gregory F. Udell.
- 238/2006 Trade Effects Of Monetary Agreements: Evidence For Oecd Countries.  
Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero y José Antonio Martínez-Serrano.
- 239/2006 The Quality Of Institutions: A Genetic Programming Approach.  
Marcos Álvarez-Díaz y Gonzalo Caballero Miguez.
- 240/2006 La interacción entre el éxito competitivo y las condiciones del mercado doméstico como determinantes de la decisión de exportación en las Pymes.  
Francisco García Pérez.
- 241/2006 Una estimación de la depreciación del capital humano por sectores, por ocupación y en el tiempo.  
Inés P. Murillo.
- 242/2006 Consumption And Leisure Externalities, Economic Growth And Equilibrium Efficiency.  
Manuel A. Gómez.
- 243/2006 Measuring efficiency in education: an analysis of different approaches for incorporating non-discretionary inputs.  
Jose Manuel Cordero-Ferrera, Francisco Pedraja-Chaparro y Javier Salinas-Jiménez
- 244/2006 Did The European Exchange-Rate Mechanism Contribute To The Integration Of Peripheral Countries?.  
Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero y José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
- 245/2006 Intergenerational Health Mobility: An Empirical Approach Based On The Echp.  
Marta Pascual and David Cantarero
- 246/2006 Measurement and analysis of the Spanish Stock Exchange using the Lyapunov exponent with digital technology.  
Salvador Rojí Ferrari and Ana Gonzalez Marcos
- 247/2006 Testing For Structural Breaks In Variance Withadditive Outliers And Measurement Errors.  
Paulo M.M. Rodrigues and Antonio Rubia
- 248/2006 The Cost Of Market Power In Banking: Social Welfare Loss Vs. Cost Inefficiency.  
Joaquín Maudos and Juan Fernández de Guevara
- 249/2006 Elasticidades de largo plazo de la demanda de vivienda: evidencia para España (1885-2000).  
Desiderio Romero Jordán, José Félix Sanz Sanz y César Pérez López
- 250/2006 Regional Income Disparities in Europe: What role for location?.  
Jesús López-Rodríguez and J. Andrés Faíña
- 251/2006 Funciones abreviadas de bienestar social: Una forma sencilla de simultanear la medición de la eficiencia y la equidad de las políticas de gasto público.  
Nuria Badenes Plá y Daniel Santín González
- 252/2006 “The momentum effect in the Spanish stock market: Omitted risk factors or investor behaviour?”.  
Luis Muga and Rafael Santamaría
- 253/2006 Dinámica de precios en el mercado español de gasolina: un equilibrio de colusión tácita.  
Jordi Perdiguero García

- 254/2006 Desigualdad regional en España: renta permanente versus renta corriente.  
José M.Pastor, Empar Pons y Lorenzo Serrano
- 255/2006 Environmental implications of organic food preferences: an application of the impure public goods model.  
Ana María Aldanondo-Ochoa y Carmen Almansa-Sáez
- 256/2006 Family tax credits versus family allowances when labour supply matters: Evidence for Spain.  
José Félix Sanz-Sanz, Desiderio Romero-Jordán y Santiago Álvarez-García
- 257/2006 La internacionalización de la empresa manufacturera española: efectos del capital humano genérico y específico.  
José López Rodríguez
- 258/2006 Evaluación de las migraciones interregionales en España, 1996-2004.  
María Martínez Torres
- 259/2006 Efficiency and market power in Spanish banking.  
Rolf Färe, Shawna Grosskopf y Emili Tortosa-Ausina.
- 260/2006 Asimetrías en volatilidad, beta y contagios entre las empresas grandes y pequeñas cotizadas en la bolsa española.  
Helena Chuliá y Hipòlit Torró.
- 261/2006 Birth Replacement Ratios: New Measures of Period Population Replacement.  
José Antonio Ortega.
- 262/2006 Accidentes de tráfico, víctimas mortales y consumo de alcohol.  
José Mª Arranz y Ana I. Gil.
- 263/2006 Análisis de la Presencia de la Mujer en los Consejos de Administración de las Mil Mayores Empresas Españolas.  
Ruth Mateos de Cabo, Lorenzo Escot Mangas y Ricardo Gimeno Nogués.
- 264/2006 Crisis y Reforma del Pacto de Estabilidad y Crecimiento. Las Limitaciones de la Política Económica en Europa.  
Ignacio Álvarez Peralta.
- 265/2006 Have Child Tax Allowances Affected Family Size? A Microdata Study For Spain (1996-2000).  
Jaime Vallés-Giménez y Anabel Zárate-Marco.
- 266/2006 Health Human Capital And The Shift From Foraging To Farming.  
Paolo Rungo.
- 267/2006 Financiación Autonómica y Política de la Competencia: El Mercado de Gasolina en Canarias.  
Juan Luis Jiménez y Jordi Perdigueró.
- 268/2006 El cumplimiento del Protocolo de Kyoto para los hogares españoles: el papel de la imposición sobre la energía.  
Desiderio Romero-Jordán y José Félix Sanz-Sanz.
- 269/2006 Banking competition, financial dependence and economic growth  
Joaquín Maudos y Juan Fernández de Guevara
- 270/2006 Efficiency, subsidies and environmental adaptation of animal farming under CAP  
Werner Kleinhans, Carmen Murillo, Carlos San Juan y Stefan Sperlich

- 271/2006 Interest Groups, Incentives to Cooperation and Decision-Making Process in the European Union  
A. García-Lorenzo y Jesús López-Rodríguez
- 272/2006 Riesgo asimétrico y estrategias de momentum en el mercado de valores español  
Luis Muga y Rafael Santamaría
- 273/2006 Valoración de capital riesgo en proyectos de base tecnológica e innovadora a través de la teoría de opciones reales  
Gracia Rubio Martín
- 274/2006 Capital stock and unemployment: searching for the missing link  
Ana Rosa Martínez-Cañete, Elena Márquez de la Cruz, Alfonso Palacio-Vera and Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar
- 275/2006 Study of the influence of the voters' political culture on vote decision through the simulation of a political competition problem in Spain  
Sagrario Lantarón, Isabel Lillo, Mª Dolores López and Javier Rodrigo
- 276/2006 Investment and growth in Europe during the Golden Age  
Antonio Cubel and Mª Teresa Sanchis
- 277/2006 Efectos de vincular la pensión pública a la inversión en cantidad y calidad de hijos en un modelo de equilibrio general  
Robert Meneu Gaya
- 278/2006 El consumo y la valoración de activos  
Elena Márquez y Belén Nieto
- 279/2006 Economic growth and currency crisis: A real exchange rate entropic approach  
David Matesanz Gómez y Guillermo J. Ortega
- 280/2006 Three measures of returns to education: An illustration for the case of Spain  
María Arrazola y José de Hevia
- 281/2006 Composition of Firms versus Composition of Jobs  
Antoni Cunyat
- 282/2006 La vocación internacional de un holding tranviario belga: la Compagnie Mutuelle de Tramways, 1895-1918  
Alberte Martínez López
- 283/2006 Una visión panorámica de las entidades de crédito en España en la última década.  
Constantino García Ramos
- 284/2006 Foreign Capital and Business Strategies: a comparative analysis of urban transport in Madrid and Barcelona, 1871-1925  
Alberte Martínez López
- 285/2006 Los intereses belgas en la red ferroviaria catalana, 1890-1936  
Alberte Martínez López
- 286/2006 The Governance of Quality: The Case of the Agrifood Brand Names  
Marta Fernández Barcala, Manuel González-Díaz y Emmanuel Raynaud
- 287/2006 Modelling the role of health status in the transition out of malthusian equilibrium  
Paolo Rungo, Luis Currais and Berta Rivera
- 288/2006 Industrial Effects of Climate Change Policies through the EU Emissions Trading Scheme  
Xavier Labandeira and Miguel Rodríguez

- 289/2006 Globalisation and the Composition of Government Spending: An analysis for OECD countries  
Norman Gemmell, Richard Kneller and Ismael Sanz
- 290/2006 La producción de energía eléctrica en España: Análisis económico de la actividad tras la liberalización del Sector Eléctrico  
Fernando Hernández Martínez
- 291/2006 Further considerations on the link between adjustment costs and the productivity of R&D investment: evidence for Spain  
Desiderio Romero-Jordán, José Félix Sanz-Sanz and Inmaculada Álvarez-Ayuso
- 292/2006 Una teoría sobre la contribución de la función de compras al rendimiento empresarial  
Javier González Benito
- 293/2006 Agility drivers, enablers and outcomes: empirical test of an integrated agile manufacturing model  
Daniel Vázquez-Bustelo, Lucía Avella and Esteban Fernández
- 294/2006 Testing the parametric vs the semiparametric generalized mixed effects models  
María José Lombardía and Stefan Sperlich
- 295/2006 Nonlinear dynamics in energy futures  
Mariano Matilla-García
- 296/2006 Estimating Spatial Models By Generalized Maximum Entropy Or How To Get Rid Of W  
Esteban Fernández Vázquez, Matías Mayor Fernández and Jorge Rodríguez-Valez
- 297/2006 Optimización fiscal en las transmisiones lucrativas: análisis metodológico  
Félix Domínguez Barrero
- 298/2006 La situación actual de la banca online en España  
Francisco José Climent Diranzo y Alexandre Momparler Pechuán
- 299/2006 Estrategia competitiva y rendimiento del negocio: el papel mediador de la estrategia y las capacidades productivas  
Javier González Benito y Isabel Suárez González
- 300/2006 A Parametric Model to Estimate Risk in a Fixed Income Portfolio  
Pilar Abad and Sonia Benito
- 301/2007 Análisis Empírico de las Preferencias Sociales Respecto del Gasto en Obra Social de las Cajas de Ahorros  
Alejandro Esteller-Moré, Jonathan Jorba Jiménez y Albert Solé-Ollé
- 302/2007 Assessing the enlargement and deepening of regional trading blocs: The European Union case  
Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero y José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
- 303/2007 ¿Es la Franquicia un Medio de Financiación?: Evidencia para el Caso Español  
Vanesa Solís Rodríguez y Manuel González Díaz
- 304/2007 On the Finite-Sample Biases in Nonparametric Testing for Variance Constancy  
Paulo M.M. Rodrigues and Antonio Rubia
- 305/2007 Spain is Different: Relative Wages 1989-98  
José Antonio Carrasco Gallego

- 306/2007 Poverty reduction and SAM multipliers: An evaluation of public policies in a regional framework  
Francisco Javier De Miguel-Vélez y Jesús Pérez-Mayo
- 307/2007 La Eficiencia en la Gestión del Riesgo de Crédito en las Cajas de Ahorro  
Marcelino Martínez Cabrera
- 308/2007 Optimal environmental policy in transport: unintended effects on consumers' generalized price  
M. Pilar Socorro and Ofelia Betancor
- 309/2007 Agricultural Productivity in the European Regions: Trends and Explanatory Factors  
Roberto Ezcurra, Belen Iráizoz, Pedro Pascual and Manuel Rapún
- 310/2007 Long-run Regional Population Divergence and Modern Economic Growth in Europe: a Case Study of Spain  
María Isabel Ayuda, Fernando Collantes and Vicente Pinilla
- 311/2007 Financial Information effects on the measurement of Commercial Banks' Efficiency  
Borja Amor, María T. Tascón and José L. Fanjul
- 312/2007 Neutralidad e incentivos de las inversiones financieras en el nuevo IRPF  
Félix Domínguez Barrero
- 313/2007 The Effects of Corporate Social Responsibility Perceptions on The Valuation of Common Stock  
Waymond Rodgers , Helen Choy and Andres Guiral-Contreras
- 314/2007 Country Creditor Rights, Information Sharing and Commercial Banks' Profitability Persistence across the world  
Borja Amor, María T. Tascón and José L. Fanjul
- 315/2007 ¿Es Relevante el Déficit Corriente en una Unión Monetaria? El Caso Español  
Javier Blanco González y Ignacio del Rosal Fernández
- 316/2007 The Impact of Credit Rating Announcements on Spanish Corporate Fixed Income Performance: Returns, Yields and Liquidity  
Pilar Abad, Antonio Díaz and M. Dolores Robles
- 317/2007 Indicadores de Lealtad al Establecimiento y Formato Comercial Basados en la Distribución del Presupuesto  
Cesar Augusto Bustos Reyes y Óscar González Benito
- 318/2007 Migrants and Market Potential in Spain over The XXth Century: A Test Of The New Economic Geography  
Daniel A. Tirado, Jordi Pons, Elisenda Paluzie and Javier Silvestre
- 319/2007 El Impacto del Coste de Oportunidad de la Actividad Emprendedora en la Intención de los Ciudadanos Europeos de Crear Empresas  
Luis Miguel Zapico Aldeano
- 320/2007 Los belgas y los ferrocarriles de vía estrecha en España, 1887-1936  
Alberte Martínez López
- 321/2007 Competición política bipartidista. Estudio geométrico del equilibrio en un caso ponderado  
Isabel Lillo, Mª Dolores López y Javier Rodrigo
- 322/2007 Human resource management and environment management systems: an empirical study  
Mª Concepción López Fernández, Ana Mª Serrano Bedia and Gema García Piqueres

- 323/2007 Wood and industrialization. evidence and hypotheses from the case of Spain, 1860-1935.  
Iñaki Iriarte-Goñi and María Isabel Ayuda Bosque
- 324/2007 New evidence on long-run monetary neutrality.  
J. Cunado, L.A. Gil-Alana and F. Perez de Gracia
- 325/2007 Monetary policy and structural changes in the volatility of us interest rates.  
Juncal Cuñado, Javier Gomez Biscarri and Fernando Perez de Gracia
- 326/2007 The productivity effects of intrafirm diffusion.  
Lucio Fuentelsaz, Jaime Gómez and Sergio Palomas
- 327/2007 Unemployment duration, layoffs and competing risks.  
J.M. Arranz, C. García-Serrano and L. Toharia
- 328/2007 El grado de cobertura del gasto público en España respecto a la UE-15  
Nuria Rueda, Begoña Barruso, Carmen Calderón y Mª del Mar Herrador
- 329/2007 The Impact of Direct Subsidies in Spain before and after the CAP'92 Reform  
Carmen Murillo, Carlos San Juan and Stefan Sperlich
- 330/2007 Determinants of post-privatisation performance of Spanish divested firms  
Laura Cabeza García and Silvia Gómez Ansón
- 331/2007 ¿Por qué deciden diversificar las empresas españolas? Razones oportunistas versus razones económicas  
Almudena Martínez Campillo
- 332/2007 Dynamical Hierarchical Tree in Currency Markets  
Juan Gabriel Brida, David Matesanz Gómez and Wiston Adrián Risso
- 333/2007 Los determinantes sociodemográficos del gasto sanitario. Análisis con microdatos individuales  
Ana María Angulo, Ramón Barberán, Pilar Egea y Jesús Mur
- 334/2007 Why do companies go private? The Spanish case  
Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar
- 335/2007 The use of gis to study transport for disabled people  
Verónica Cañal Fernández
- 336/2007 The long run consequences of M&A: An empirical application  
Cristina Bernad, Lucio Fuentelsaz and Jaime Gómez
- 337/2007 Las clasificaciones de materias en economía: principios para el desarrollo de una nueva clasificación  
Valentín Edo Hernández
- 338/2007 Reforming Taxes and Improving Health: A Revenue-Neutral Tax Reform to Eliminate Medical and Pharmaceutical VAT  
Santiago Álvarez-García, Carlos Pestana Barros y Juan Prieto-Rodríguez
- 339/2007 Impacts of an iron and steel plant on residential property values  
Celia Bilbao-Terol
- 340/2007 Firm size and capital structure: Evidence using dynamic panel data  
Víctor M. González and Francisco González

- 341/2007 ¿Cómo organizar una cadena hotelera? La elección de la forma de gobierno  
Marta Fernández Barcala y Manuel González Díaz
- 342/2007 Análisis de los efectos de la decisión de diversificar: un contraste del marco teórico “Agencia-Stewardship”  
Almudena Martínez Campillo y Roberto Fernández Gago
- 343/2007 Selecting portfolios given multiple eurostoxx-based uncertainty scenarios: a stochastic goal programming approach from fuzzy betas  
Enrique Ballesteros, Blanca Pérez-Gladish, Mar Arenas-Parra and Amelia Bilbao-Terol