# Energy poverty and the EU: Towards an integrated policy\*

Stefan Bouzarovski\*\*

#### Abstract

This paper explores the historical evolution and present content of a common EU energy poverty agenda. It identifies the principal institutional and political drivers of this process, as well as the ways in which it has been translated into formal legal and policy documents. Also discussed are the key actors involved in promoting energy poverty relevant policies, as well as recent trends in the development of a more coherent programme to address the difficulties faced by vulnerable groups. The paper argues that EU energy poverty policy has been limited by the subsidiarity principle, and as such has been largely shaped by instruments related to the single market, even if energy efficiency and social policy-related efforts have also played a role. In recent years, the EU has taken significant steps towards the formulation of a common energy poverty policy.

Keywords: Energy poverty, energy policy, European Union.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

This paper explores the present and past direction of travel in EU energy poverty policy, with energy poverty understood as a household's inability to secure socially-and materially-necessitated levels of energy services in the home (Bouzarovski and Thomson, 2018; Bouzarovski, Sỳkora and Matoušek, 2016; Bouzarovski and Petrova, 2015a). In Bouzarovski and Petrova (2015b), we argued that in decision-maker and academic circles alike, the concept of 'EU energy policy' is generally associated with measures to address residential and industrial consumption practices or trans-national security issues. There has been little recognition or knowledge of the fact that the EU is becoming increasingly involved in a new strategic effort situated at the intersection of

<sup>\*</sup> This paper is an adaptation of the third chapter within the open access book *Energy Poverty: (Dis)Assembling Europe's Infrastructural Divide*, available at https://www.palgrave.com/gb/book/9783319692982

<sup>\*\*</sup> University of Manchester.

household fuel use, affordability and residential energy efficiency. Given the significant overlap between notions of energy poverty and energy vulnerability the paper uses both terms in its review of relevant policy and literature, conceiving them as policies, events or characteristics that jeopardize the affordability or accessibility of energy at any level of the energy system. In mapping "EU-led policies" the paper examines explicit EU official documents and legislation on energy vulnerability and energy poverty, as well as related published papers that reference these concepts or have implications for the EU's approach. National policies are referenced only insofar as they have taken EU policy as a basis or have been altered to reflect EU initiatives.

On the basis of these parameters, the paper first gives a structural account of the major policy initiatives introduced since 1957, including their formal and informal content, any change over time, the driving actors and stakeholders, the relation to energy policy realities 'on the ground' and, where applicable, their perceived success. To do so, it examines primary and secondary EU law, as well as related research and public statements, and supplements these sources with a review of the academic literature. Data is drawn from systematic searches of the EU law and publications database (EUR-Lex), the EU Community Research and Development Information Service (CORDIS), the archives of the European Commission DG ENER website, the catalogue of the British Library, back issues of three high-ranking academic journals in the field (*Energy Policy*, the *International Journal of Justice and Sustainability* and *Environment and Planning*) and the Google Scholar search engine. Each of the search results is then methodically reviewed and any relevant sources within it added to the collection of resources.

Traditionally, there has been limited discussion of the systemic processes that lie behind the political acceptance of energy poverty at the European scale, especially in terms of the power actors, interests and relations that have driven the increasing prominence of this issue within EU regulation and debates (Bouzarovski and Petrova, 2015a). The academic literature that deals with EU policy on energy poverty contains little research or commentary on EU-level approaches to combat energy poverty, or the impact of EU energy policy frameworks upon social inequality. The few exceptions are Darby's study on the impact of EU

metering policy upon fuel poor households, Bouzarovski, Petrova and Sarlamanov (2012) critique of EU energy poverty policies, Bouzarovski and Petrova's (2015b) exploration of EU energy poverty work in the context of EU agenda-setting, Hiteva's (2013) examination of renewables policy and its implications for energy vulnerability, as well as Thomson, Snell and Liddell (2016) discussion of definitional issues surrounding energy poverty in the EU. Also of relevance is Dubois and Meier's (2016) work on how energy poverty patterns in Europe are of relevance for policy-making processes.

International level institutions and organizations active in energy policy have offered a number of assessments and analyses of the energy vulnerability challenge, taking a global perspective and tending to focus upon access to energy in developing countries and the global dimension of security of supply. The policy recommendations made are not generally specific to any given country or system and do not comment on the construction of EU policy in this area. Similarly, national level organizations have tended to analyse national level policy and prevalence, making reference to the EU only where specific laws and regulations are derived from Brussels, such as the Energy Efficiency Directive (EED). For a long time, EU-led policy research in the energy poverty domain was relatively absent – as reflected in the lack of attention devoted to the problem in work by the European Parliamentary Research Service, its internal Think Tank and the Commission's Joint Research Centre. As is argued in the text that follows, however, this situation has started to change in recent years.

The paper is chronologically structured, mapping the evolution of energy poverty policy over time, but draws out key thematic issues and explores these in more depth in the boxes at the end of each section. After walking through the foundations of the modern policy framework, the organization of the argument reflects the three main 'sources' of current energy poverty policy, identified as the Third Energy Package, the Energy Efficiency Directive and various social policy provisions (European Commission, 2013b). A final section provides an analysis of the governance structure that now characterizes energy poverty policy, before some short conclusions are offered. Firstly, however, I examine the broader context of EU energy policy and law, which frames energy poverty policy.

#### 2. THE CONTEXT: EU ENERGY POLICY

As we pointed out in Bouzarovski and Petrova (2015b), the lack of attention towards EU energy poverty policy has transpired despite the fact that the constituent dynamics of some of the political developments and institutional structures associated with adjacent programmatic sectors are well known. There is, thus, a sizeable body of research of the underlying principles and implementation challenges associated with EU policy in the environmental policy and security domains (McCormick, 2014).

A common EU energy policy did not exist in any coherent form until 2007. After initial integration under the founding treaties, EU energy policy became marginalized and irrelevant as the dominant energy mix shifted from coal- to oil-based in the early 1960s (Duffield and Birchfield, 2011). Whilst the EU had a solid legal mandate as concerned coal, it did not enjoy similar powers in relation to oil. Since Member States were not willing to update the relevant institutional mandates, the EU's role became limited – every decade from the 1950s to the 1990s saw attempts to create a common energy policy but to little avail (Duffield and Birchfield, 2011). Proposals on an energy paper in the Maastricht Treaty were dropped and a 1995 White Paper was met with indifference and opposition from Member States (Commission of the European Communities, 2005).

The First Energy Package (consisting of directives 96/92/EC and 98/30/EC) was launched in 1997 and sought to harness the momentum of the Single Market and signalled the beginning of a renewed effort in the creation of a common energy policy, as well as a series of developments in energy-environmental and energy-external relations policy. Statements of coherent policy objectives began to be published in the mid-2000s, reaching a peak with the Second Energy Package (consisting of Directive 2003/54/EC, Directive 2003/55/EC, Regulation 1228/2003 and Regulation 1775/2005) in July 2007, and were supported by comparable efforts in the Council and the European Parliament (Duffield and Birchfield, 2011). The Energy Policy Communication was followed by commitment to an action plan by Member States and also formed the basis for the 2008 Energy and Climate Package. Finally, this proliferation of energy instruments and the imminent publication of the Third Energy Package

(consisting of Directive 2009/72/EC, Directive 2009/73/EC, Regulation 713/2009, Regulation 714/2009 and Regulation 715/2009) were captured by the inclusion of a dedicated title in the Lisbon Treaty in 2009.

Energy was at the centre of the founding treaties – the European Coal and Steel Community (ECSC) and Atomic Energy (Euratom) treaties formed a common policy based on supranational powers and a central authority. However, subsequent treaties did not develop an overarching legal basis for EU energy policy and when the ECSC Treaty expired in 2002, the Euratom Treaty, relating only to the nuclear energy sector, was the only remaining legal basis (Andoura, Hancher and van der Woude, 2010). Seeking to address this absence of mandate, the Lisbon Treaty contains for the first time a dedicated Title on energy which sets out the four main aims of the EU's policy. However, it does little to change the parameters of energy policy development, instead constituting 'a carefully crafted compromise between national sovereignty over natural resources and energy taxation on the one hand, and shared EU competence for other areas on the other' (Andoura, Hancher and van der Woude, 2010, p. 19).

The current provisions are embodied in Title XXI (Article 194) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the EU (TFEU). Two other overarching frameworks that have guided EU energy policy are the Europe 20-20-20 Strategy (and the Climate and Energy Package adopted within it) and the Energy Roadmap 2050. The 20-20-20 Strategy seeks a 20% reduction in EU greenhouse gas emissions from 1990 levels, an increase in the proportion of EU energy produced from renewable resources to 20% and a 20% improvement in the EU's energy efficiency. The Energy Roadmap also aims to reduce EU emissions by 80% by 2050 via a programme of decarbonization. In a communication produced by the European Commission in 2011, it was stated that "vulnerable consumers" are best protected from energy poverty through a full implementation by Member States of the existing EU energy legislation and use of innovative energy efficiency solutions' while emphasising that "the social aspects of energy pricing should be reflected in the energy policy of Member States" since "energy poverty is one of the sources of poverty in Europe" (European Commission, 2011). Subsequently, the European Parliament:

... welcomes the inclusion of the social dimension in the Energy Roadmap 2050; considers that, in this respect, special attention should be given to energy poverty and employment; insists, with regard to energy poverty, that energy should be affordable for all, and calls on the Commission and the Member States, and on local authorities and competent social bodies, to work together on tailored solutions to counter such issues as electricity and heat poverty, with a special emphasis on low-income, vulnerable households that are most affected by higher energy prices (European Parliament, 2013a).

#### 3. ENERGY AS A HUMAN RIGHT

Recently, a group of advocacy groups led by the European Anti Poverty Network and Greenpeace have argued that the eradication of energy poverty in Europe would hinge upon implementing 'a right to energy for all citizens' in all EU legislation. They have taken this to mean prohibiting disconnections, maintaining regulated prices for domestic consumers and allowing low income households to benefit from specific social tariffs. They have also argued that overcoming energy poverty:

... will require a holistic political approach, linking social and environmental policy. The economic crisis, ensuing austerity policies and the growing precariousness of the labour market remain the main drivers of the rise of poverty in Europe, however, it is crucial to recognize the role of energy policies in the rise of this issue ... Awareness is also increasing at national levels, as more and more public bodies, organisations and social movements deepen their understanding of the specificity of energy poverty. But awareness alone will not deliver: it is time for political action to fight energy poverty at the European level (European Anti-Poverty Network, 2017).

However, the right to energy remains a widely discussed issue in the academic literature, since the entity to which the right would be ascribed remains unclear: *inter alia*, the right to 'energy' itself can consist of legal supplier obligations, end-use services or the possibility of demand (Bouzarovski and Simcock, 2017; Bouzarovski and Thomson, 2018; Walker, 2015). Analogous debates of the 'right

to water' have also warned against the possibility of introducing a neoliberal lexicon to the debate (Bakker, 2007).

### 4. FOUNDATIONS OF EU ENERGY POVERTY POLICY, 1957-2007

Reflecting the broader evolution of energy policy, energy poverty policy as a coherent EU issue did not exist prior to 2007. A EUR-Lex search finds just six documents of secondary EU law mentioning "energy vulnerability" or "energy poverty" in the 50 years from 1957; these terms do not feature at all in the primary law of the period.

Neither the First Energy Package nor the Second Energy Package contained any reference to energy vulnerability or energy poverty. Early recognition of this phenomenon in the EU came in the form a series of policy documents on sustainable development and EU external assistance. The EU Energy Initiative for Poverty Reduction and Sustainable Development, launched in 2002 at the World Summit on Sustainable Development in Johannesburg, linked access to energy in developing countries to achievement of the Millennium Development. The link between poverty reduction and energy is further developed in EU cooperation with countries in the Global South, and later in the Global Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Fund.

It was not until 2006 that energy poverty was introduced as an EU issue by the European Commission. In a Communication on prospects for the internal markets in electricity and gas, the Commission pledged to review national approaches to energy poverty and to launch a 'major information and awareness raising campaign' in the run up to the full market opening in 2007, including the creation of an Energy Consumers' Charter (ECC). A report on economic and social cohesion identified energy poverty as a social issue and these themes were built into the Commission's Communication on an energy policy for Europe.

In its 2007 Communication, "An energy policy for Europe", the Commission identified sustainability, security of supply and competitiveness as the three main challenges facing EU energy policy (Commission of the European Communities, 2007). In proposing an ECC, the Commission prioritized an understanding

of energy as a public service that forms a central part of the modern energy policy framework. The European Council was quick to offer its support to the Commission's latest attempt to kick-start a common policy, agreeing an action plan for the next two years (Council of the European Union, 2007). The measures proposed were comprehensive, but do not include reference to energy poverty or vulnerable consumers. Nonetheless, the collective momentum provided by the Commission and the Council fed into the establishment of a dedicated title in the Lisbon Treaty, providing a basis for moves towards a coherent common energy policy.

A helpful contribution towards greater public recognition of energy poverty was made by the European Fuel Poverty and Energy Efficiency project, which received European Commission funding under the Intelligent Energy for Europe programme between 2006 and 2009, and focused upon fuel poverty as an inability to adequately heat the home at an affordable cost (Intelligent Energy Europe, 2017). A partnership between agencies in the UK, France, Belgium, Italy and Spain sought to raise the profile of fuel poverty and examine the potential for a common European response. Its final report listed a range of causes and indicators and estimated that fuel poverty affects between 50 and 125 million people in Europe. The project's recommendations identified four core actions that should be undertaken at EU level – a common definition, a legislative framework, a consistent diagnosis and a fuel poverty special interest group.

As Saska Petrova and I (2015a) have previously pointed out, the European energy poverty sphere has also been influenced by the activities of the Council of Europe (CoE) in the domain of housing policy. This is reflected in a CoE report on the issue (Council of Europe, 2008) drafted by the Group of specialists on housing policies for social cohesion. The report aims to aid the improvement of housing access among vulnerable social groups in Europe and has resulted in a set of specific guidelines. These stipulate the key prerequisites for an effective housing policy in the energy while listing a range of potential policy tools. Such efforts have been further assisted by the increasing role of the European Court of Human Rights and case law under the Revised European Social Charter with its associated collective complaints mechanism. As stated in the CoE report,

it is the Revised European Social Charter which gave a special emphasis to the housing problems of vulnerable social groups, which were reinforced by the CoE's Revised Strategy for Social Cohesion (Council of Europe, 2008, p. 9).

# 5. THE THIRD ENERGY PACKAGE AND VULNERABLE CONSUMERS, 2009

The momentum behind the energy paper in the Lisbon Treaty was also a driving force in the drafting of the Third Energy Package (TEP), which brings energy poverty and vulnerability into mainstream EU energy policy and establishes it as a European issue. The TEP is comprised of two directives –2009/72/EC on the internal market for electricity and 2009/73/EC on the internal market for natural gas— and three regulations concerning cross-border exchanges in electricity, transmission networks for natural gas and establishing the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators. In both directives (Paragraph 53 and Article 3 in Directive 2009/72/EC and paragraph 50 and Article 3 in Directive 2009/73/EC) an identical paragraph in the preamble and article in the main text identifies energy poverty as a 'growing problem' and requires action from Member States in two fields. Firstly, they must adopt a definition of the "vulnerable consumer" and secondly, they should ensure adequate protection for vulnerable consumers:

Each Member State shall define the concept of vulnerable customers which may refer to energy poverty and, *inter alia*, to the prohibition of disconnection of gas to such customers in critical times (*Official Journal of the European Union*, 2009, p. 211/103).

In line with the requirement to define vulnerable consumers in the TEP, Member States and national regulators have constructed country-specific characterizations of vulnerable consumers for use in national systems. The Council of European Energy Regulators surveyed national regulators in 2012 and found that in 17 out of 26 countries the concept was included in energy laws or non-energy sector laws, but cautioned that claiming not to have a formal definition does not mean that a given country does not have any protective measures in place (Council of European Energy Regulators, 2012). The review found that formal

definitions range from seeking out specific individuals to encompassing whole groups, but those countries without an explicit definition generally have as many protective measures as those with. A similar review of the retail electricity market commissioned by SANCO found that in Member States with a definition of "energy poor" or "non-affordable energy income threshold", the main criteria used are: income thresholds, share of income required to meet adequate fuel requirements, and consumer characteristics such as age and illness (Directorate General for Health and Consumers, 2010). The share of the population falling within the official definition of energy poor, though only established for three Member States, was found to vary between 6.6 and 18% (ibid).

While there is still no European consensus on what constitutes energy poverty, a 2010 Commission working paper suggested that those in energy poverty could be defined as 'households that spend more than a pre-defined threshold share of their overall consumption expenditure on energy products', where the threshold equals 'double of the national average ratio number' (European Commission, 2010a, pp. 10–16). A 2016 a staff working document also carefully evaluates the EU's regulatory framework for electricity market design and consumer protection in the fields of electricity and gas, discussing existing Member State definitions of energy poverty and vulnerable consumers (European Commission, 2016).

A number of EU documents contain operational definitions which, whilst not specific to the energy sector, can form a basis upon which national definitions might be constructed. Directive 2005/29/EC on unfair practices in the internal market, for example, established a set of criteria that indicate how consumers might be vulnerable to unfair selling practices with regard to mental or physical infirmity, age and credulity. These general criteria covered a wide range of situations and were built upon in the European Parliament Resolution on strengthening the rights of vulnerable consumers, which examined extending the concept to "include consumers in a situation of vulnerability, meaning consumers who are placed in a state of temporary powerlessness resulting from a gap between their individual state and characteristics on the one hand, and their external environment on the other hand" (European Parliament, 2012, p. 6). Directive 2009/73/EC itself states:

Member States shall take appropriate measures to protect final customers, and shall, in particular, ensure that there are adequate safeguards to protect vulnerable customers (*Official Journal of the European Union*, 2009, p. 211/103).

In addition to the TEP, the second major source of energy poverty policy has been the EU's legislative framework on energy efficiency. This has evolved and developed alongside the general flux of energy policy but was most comprehensively captured in the 2012/27/EU Energy Efficiency Directive (EED). Historical energy efficiency policy in the EU has made little mention of energy vulnerability or fuel poverty, be it in relation to the energy performance of buildings, energyusing products or renewables; Directives 2002/91/EC, 2005/32/EC and 2006/32/EC establishing performance standards all lack provisions on energy vulnerability and poverty. In 2009, the directive on eco-design for energy-using products (Directive 2009/125/EC) was re-cast but still failed to mention energy vulnerability and poverty. This was only done in the third revision a year later, which notes the role of energy-efficient products in combatting energy poverty (see Directive 2010/31/EU). Finally, Commission guidance on the construction of national energy efficiency action plans has generally made little reference to energy poverty, even if recommending that action plans take into consideration the specificities of vulnerable consumers (European Commission, 2013a).

The third main source of EU energy poverty policy has been social policy; though in itself this is a misnomer, since social policy is a largely national competence. Social policy measures to combat energy poverty typically take the form of financial assistance – social tariffs, lower tariffs of subsidies made available to vulnerable consumers, such as winter and cold weather payments for the elderly or disabled (European Commission, 2013b). A small but consistent thread of reference to the social dimension of energy policy exists throughout its evolution and, in the wake of the economic crisis and concerns about rising costs and vulnerable populations, this has taken on a new significance.

At the launch of the Europe 2020 Strategy in 2010, the European Commission noted that 'To lift people out of poverty will require access to energy since achieving the goal of eradicating extreme poverty by 2015 cannot be met unless substantial progress is made on improving access' (European Commission,

2010b, p. 17). This was the first statement linking energy to poverty eradication within the EU context, as opposed to external relations and development. It was soon followed by a number of similar policy statements. During the discussion of the 2050 Energy Roadmap, the Commission stated that 'As energy poverty is one of the sources of poverty in Europe, the social aspects of energy pricing should be reflected in the energy policy of Member States' (European Commission, 2011, p. 17), whilst the Parliament welcomed the inclusion of a social dimension and insisted that the issue of energy poverty be given special attention.

An important social policy statement on energy poverty was made by the European Economic and Social Committee (EESC), which highlighted the importance of links with other sectors, such as health, consumer rights and housing, urging Member States to do more to combat energy poverty and calling on the Commission to establish a European Energy Poverty Monitoring Centre to provide better research and facilitate the mainstreaming of energy poverty into other policy areas (European Economic and Social Committee, 2011). The EESC asserted that:

The European Union legislates on energy policy, has powers in this field and consequently has an impact, whether direct or indirect, on energy poverty in the Member States. The EU must, therefore, act and deliver policies within its sphere of competence (European Economic and Social Committee, 2011, pp. 44-56).

Drawing on the EESC's calls for an intersectoral approach to energy poverty, the European Parliament included a dedicated section on combatting energy poverty in its 2012 Resolution on social housing (European Parliament, 2013b). This called for energy efficiency standards to be incorporated into definitions of 'decent housing' and stated that access to energy should be considered a requirement in order for people to lead a "dignified life".

The post-2008 financial crisis and economic recession prompted Member States and the EU institutions to look closer at national expenditure. A 2014 report on energy prices and costs in Europe drew a link between the economic crisis, rising prices and energy poverty, noting that "the on-going financial and economic crisis

makes addressing energy poverty and/or vulnerability more important today, given that energy cost rises are hitting poor households harder" (European Commission, 2014a, p. 14). European Council conclusions have confirmed a similar focus, discussing high energy costs and affordable energy prices as priorities in the completion of the Internal Energy Market (IEM), also calling for 'sustained efforts to moderate the energy costs borne by energy end-users' (European Commission, 2014b, p. 1). Also, the Council of the EU, in its discussions on the rising cost of energy in Europe, has urged Member States to use cost-effectiveness and price contestability to protect both vulnerable consumers and competitiveness.

# 6. REVOLUTIONIZING EU ENERGY POVERTY POLICY: THE CLEAN ENERGY PACKAGE

In recent years, the European Commission has taken a further lead in advancing the EU energy poverty agenda. This has been primarily achieved via the co-ordination of a project aimed at investigating the policies and measures currently in place to protect 'vulnerable consumers' in the energy sector across the EU (Pye *et al.*, 2015). In addition to discussing the multiple meanings and understandings of vulnerability, this document emphasizes that:

... many measures are being implemented across Member States, focused both on vulnerable consumers and on energy poverty. However, these are distinct issues, and are targeted by different types of measures. Measures focused on vulnerable consumers offer protection within regulated markets, and facilitate access and participation. They are often short-term in nature, providing relief or ensuring on-going supply in the face of indebtedness. Energy poverty measures on the other hand are explicitly focused on lower income households, and seek to address longer term structural problems of building energy efficiency (Pye *et al.*, 2015, p. vii).

A further Commission-sponsored report (Rademaekers *et al.*, 2016) has dealt with the identification of ways to measure the problem, proposing four key indicators tested and computed for the Netherlands, Slovakia, Spain and Italy using currently available data. The Commission has also supported the

publication of an extensive analysis of the components of energy prices and costs in Europe, with an emphasis on household energy budgets among different income groups (Grave *et al.*, 2016). This document points to the inflationary character of taxies, levies and network charges on final energy prices in Europe, while underlining that the main impact of increasing retail prices is on low-income households:

In some countries, increasing average energy costs have been compensated by increasing average income, thus, mitigating price effects. However, low income households have high shares of residential energy expenditures and are affected most by changes in energy retail prices. A number of countries introduced policies to support households with low income to keep their standards of living, either through issuing allowances to cover costs for heating, or by reduced tariffs per unit of energy (Grave *et al.*, 2016, p. 6).

As a whole, this body of work has helped establish the state of the art in terms of policy knowledge and the measurement of energy poverty, while further affirming the importance of energy poverty as a decision-making concern across the European context. The three reports have identified the diversity of measures, drivers and circumstances that can be attributed to energy poverty, bringing out the difficulties associated with capturing the problem via a single indicator. They have shown a lack of consistency in the understanding and treatment of energy poverty at the level of Member States – with some counties treating the issue as a social policy challenge, while others developing a more comprehensive approach:

It would also be possible to further improve the data available from existing surveys. As mentioned in the report, while there have been efforts for harmonisation, differences remain in frequency, timing, content and structure of the Household Budget Surveys. A number of recommendations are available to improve datasets at the EU level to further enhance the measurement of energy poverty. One specific simple recommendation is to include a variable in the SILC survey that refers to the total energy spending. If this were the case, it would be possible to calculate all energy poverty metrics from this survey (Rademaekers *et al.*, 2016, p. 98).

The "Clean Energy for all Europeans" package has allowed for the materialization of these analytical documents into policy stipulations and legal regulation. The Package is made up of eight legislative proposals targeting a variety of sectors: energy efficiency, renewable energy, electricity market redesign, governance rules for the Energy Union, energy security and eco-design. The proposals are currently being discussed within the European Council and European Parliament with a view to being adopted in 2018. Their departure point is a strong declarative commitment to energy efficiency, 'fair treatment' of consumers, and global leadership in energy transitions.

A number of the Clean Energy Package proposals explicitly mention energy poverty. For example, the draft of the newly-'recast' Electricity Directive (European Commission, 2017b) maintains the Article 28 Directive 2009/72/EC provision on common rules for the internal market in electricity, which requires Member States to 'define the concept of vulnerable customers which may refer to energy poverty and, *inter alia*, to the prohibition of disconnection of electricity to such customers in critical times'. In Article 5 of the recast Directive, the European Commission also obliges Member States to ensure protection of energy-poor or vulnerable customers "in a targeted manner by other means than public interventions in the price-setting for the supply of electricity". The same draft Directive also provides a definition of energy poverty:

Energy poor households are unable to afford these energy services due to a combination of low income, high energy expenditure and poor energy efficiency of their homes (recital 40).

The new Electricity Directive proposes that Member States should define a set of criteria to measure energy poverty, while being obliged to monitor the number of households in energy poverty so as to provide targeted support. In Article 29, it is suggested that Member States "shall report on the evolution of energy poverty and measures taken to prevent it to the Commission every two years as part of their Integrated National Energy and Climate Progress Reports".

Also of importance are amendments to the 2012 Energy Efficiency directive. One of these builds on a key provision stating that Member States 'may include requirements with a social aim in the saving obligations they impose by requiring a share of energy efficiency measures to be implemented as a priority in households affected by energy poverty or in social housing' (European Commission, 2012, pp. 315-316). In the amendments, the provision is modified by replacing "may" with "shall". The new Energy Efficiency Directive also introduces a new requirement whereby 'in designing alternative policy measures to achieve energy savings, Member States shall take into account the effect on households affected by energy poverty' (European Commission, 2017c, p. 20). Also, the draft Energy Performance of Buildings Directive states that:

This proposal could contribute to taking out from energy poverty between 515 000 and 3.2 million households in the EU (from a total of 23.3 million households living in energy poverty — Eurostat) (European Commission, 2017a, p. 3).

The preamble to same draft Directive states that the social impacts of energy building improvements will also be felt in the energy poverty domain, while stipulating that the European Commission will require Member States to contribute to the alleviation of energy poverty through their long-term renovation strategy (Article 2).

Last but not least, Article 21 in the Governance of the Energy Union Regulation affirms that the Member State–level Integrated National Energy and Climate Progress Reports will include information on the implementation of 'national objectives with regards to energy poverty, including the number of households in energy poverty' (European Commission, 2017d, p. 38). The provision of alternative measures for energy savings –such as those relevant to social housing–integrated within the energy efficiency directive are also mentioned.

The adoption of all legislative proposals in the Clean Energy Package in December 2018 has ensured a high level of energy poverty integration in nearly all aspects of future EY energy policy. It remains to be seen, however, to what extent these will translate into specific measures and commitments at the level of all EU member states.

Aside from the pioneering role of the European Commission in moving this agenda forward, recent activities in other institutions, notably the European Parliament have also played a role. This involves both the increased frequency and extent of parliamentary debates as well engagement of specific parliamentary committees: on Industry, Research and Energy; Employment and Social Affairs; and Women's Rights and Gender Equality. Widely cited across policy and scientific circles has been a dedicated European energy poverty handbook (Csiba, 2016) and video (https://youtu.be/0tZ9-9hmSOw) published by the Greens/ European Free Alliance group; while the Socialists and Democrats group adopted a manifesto to "fight energy poverty" in the context of the Energy Union (S&D Manifesto, 2017).

Beyond formal political institutions, there is now an ever-expanding polity of European-level think tanks and industrial lobby groups who have published position papers or analytical work on energy poverty. Notable examples include a policy brief issued by the Union of the Electricity Industry highlighting, *inter alia*, that "Any new policy initiative at EU level should be subject to a distributional impact assessment to make sure that energy customers –especially the most vulnerable ones— will not bear disproportionate risks and unintended consequences" (Eurelectric, 2017, p. 6). The eminent Jacques Delors institute has also commented on energy poverty (Pellerin-Carlin *et al.*, 2017) arguing that Europe needs "a social pact for the energy transition" as well as a 'European action plan to eradicate energy poverty'. The Social Platform has also expressed an interest in the issue (Bouzarovski, 2014), as has the European Policy Centre (Dhéret and Giuli, 2017).

### 7. ENERGY POVERTY GOVERNANCE: A HYBRID MODEL

The evolution of EU energy poverty policy has been directed, for a significant part, by the evolution of the EU's governance system and legal mandates. As a result, once can observe the development of a hybrid model of governance in energy poverty policy, supplementing hard law in strong-mandate areas with soft law in those areas where Member States retain autonomy.

The central threads of EU energy poverty policy have been the IEM and the protection of vulnerable consumers. This is not coincidental, but rather reflects the competence assigned to the EU as a supranational body and in energy policy. Though energy poverty is understood as a social problem and the role of social policy in addressing its causes is widely acknowledged, the Commission continues to legislate and project within the bounds of its constitutional asymmetry, elevating the consumer and the proper functioning of the IEM as the focus of policy. Though the changing economic environment has elevated the need to protect vulnerable members of society, social, health and welfare policy remain largely national responsibilities, leaving the EU to tackle issues such as energy poverty from one side – legislating on the internal market and consumer protection and whilst leaving social policy remedies to the Member States.

To get around this imbalance, the EU supplements this well-established internal market and consumer legislation with a vast body of soft law. In 2007, the Commission established the Citizens' Energy Forum (CEF), a platform designed to implement and enforce consumer rights in the energy market, bringing together national consumer organizations, industry, national regulators and government authorities. Initially working on issues such as smart meters, user-friendly billing and switching suppliers, the CEF established a new working group in 2011, commencing activities in early 2012, to examine the policy framework for the protection of vulnerable consumers. This Vulnerable Consumers Working Group (VCWG), established by DG Energy in close collaboration with DG Health and Consumers (SANCO) has had a number of aims and objectives, including: to review factors that impact consumers' energy poverty; to assess the drivers of vulnerability; to develop key characteristics of vulnerable consumers and what differentiates them; to consider energy policy and non-energy instruments that can address vulnerability.

The VCWG's activities have included collecting and assessing illustrative existing practices, reviewing data and generating recommendations for action. For the most part, Member States have supported this emphasis upon voluntary collaboration. The Council has endorsed the use of benchmarking and exchange of best practice as appropriate mechanisms for coordination, commonly calling on the Commission to presents "reviews" or "reports" rather than legislative

solutions. Crucially, Member States agreed in 2015 to the systematic monitoring of key indicators for an "affordable, safe, competitive, secure and sustainable energy system" (European Council, 2015). This presents a potential foundation for on-going monitoring of the core factors affecting energy poverty from within the energy sector, to complement current data sources related to income, social housing provision and 'at risk of poverty or social exclusion' status.

### 8. TRENDS AND DEVELOPMENTS IN EU ENERGY POVERTY POLICY

Energy poverty policy in the EU has evolved along broadly the same path as energy policy – virtually non-existent until the mid-2000s it has become a consistent component of EU policy despite the shared competences that dictate its governance. Its primary source remains the IEM and the EU's considerable body of policy on consumer protection in the common market. Though promising indications were seen in the EED, these have not translated into a solid second source of policy, largely because of the inherent conflict with climate change and environmental objectives, particularly in the short term. More recently, the relevance of social policy has been acknowledged but the subsidiarity principle and the absence of a social policy mandate prevent the EU from developing comprehensive policy on this basis. This said, an early focus upon vulnerable consumers has shifted somewhat, since the onset of the economic recession, to the social dimension of energy policy and the need to combat energy poverty with a coordinated, cross-sector approach.

Evaluating the success of specific policies on energy poverty is difficult, since data is lacking and many policy instruments are non-binding and flexible, meaning that Member States may choose to implement them in a number of different ways. The TEP, which required that Member States adopt a definition of vulnerable consumers and take measures to ensure that they are protected, suffered initial difficulties in implementation, resulting in the opening of a number of infringement proceedings. However its provisions relating to energy poverty are generally considered to have been well transposed – for the most part, Member States already had implicit notions of vulnerable consumers in national

law and almost all have some form of measure to protect those who qualify. As such, the TEP might be deemed a "success" in that it has raised the profile of energy poverty as an EU issue and performed a key role in laying the foundation for common discussion. Energy efficiency legislation is hard to evaluate from an energy poverty perspective because of the non-binding language in which it is couched; although this is now being gradually changed by the provisions of the Clean Energy Package. EU-level policy statements on the use of social policy instruments to combat energy poverty are vague, non-binding and, as yet, are not consolidated into a coherent policy objective.

More broadly, the "success" of EU energy poverty initiatives might be judged by asking to what extent they have become a genuine EU policy. From this perspective, though fragmented in its approach, the EU has been somewhat successful in establishing energy poverty as a European issue and laying the foundations for a coherent policy in this area. The TEP made important steps in mainstreaming energy poverty into energy policy, though some lags have been experienced in energy efficiency, for example. Since this initial introduction, almost all subsequent policy on the IEM has made reference to energy poverty as a component and policy objective, though with varying specificity and force. Furthermore, repeated emphasis of the link to other sectors, such as health, consumers and housing, has resulted in a widely recognised need for a coordinated approach in tackling energy poverty. European level platforms have been welcomed by Member States and stakeholders and have produced valuable reviews of existing practice and recommendations for further action, as well as highlighting the necessity and benefit of a common EU approach. As such, and in spite of its imbalanced competence in the relevant areas, the EU has succeeded in taking the first steps to making energy poverty a European policy issue.

# 9. ACTORS AND STAKEHOLDERS IN EU ENERGY POVERTY POLICY

The driving actor in pushing an EU energy poverty policy forward has been the European Commission. Following numerous failed attempts to create a common energy policy framework prior to 2007, the Commission has ensured that energy

poverty forms an important strand of the now wide-ranging EU action in energy. As in all areas of EU policy, the Commission acts as the primary agenda-setter in energy policy, initiating and drafting legislation but restrained in its financial and administrative resources, as well as its legal mandate (Birchfield, 2011). The individual directorates general (DGs) are also important for expanding and developing energy poverty policy and have been relatively effective in framing and defining it as a problem which should be dealt with by the DGs for environment, health, consumers and, to some extent, foreign relations, in addition to DG Energy. Implementation of the various instruments and legislation has also been supported by DG Competition, which has stepped in when Member States have not fully transposed IEM rules or implemented other energy regulations. Support with enforcement has also been received from the Court of Justice in its role as adjudicator on infringement proceedings brought against national governments.

Whilst the Commission has carefully balanced its energy policy goals with its constitutional asymmetry and need to achieve sufficient member state support, other EU institutions have been outspoken about the necessity of a coherent EU energy poverty policy. Both the European Parliament and the EESC have made bold statements about the social dimension of energy poverty, the pan-European nature of the problem, the lack of sufficient action on the part of Member States and the need for the EU to exercise its indirect influence in spite of its competence weakness. This impetus for greater EU involvement is supported by the research and resources gathered by the various platforms and stakeholder groups at EU level. Bodies such as the CEF and the VCWG have been instrumental in making available the kind of background research on existing practice and areas for improvement called for in early energy poverty policy statements, thus paving the way for further action; and energy poverty has received frequent attention at high level fora such as EU Sustainable Energy Week.

This driving support and impetus for action is weathered by the somewhat more passive role of Member States. Reluctant to cede any more responsibility in the energy sector than is necessary for the functioning of the internal market, the various configurations of the Council have been careful to moderate the momentum of Commission activity, preferring in most cases to use soft law mechanisms and non-binding measures to pursue common objectives, rather than legislative

solutions. Consequently, energy poverty policy has an "emergent and precarious nature", lacking an institutional centre and limited by its dependence upon the consumer protection agenda, which prevents it from tackling the structural conditions which cause energy and fuel poverty (Bouzarovski, Petrova and Sarlamanov, 2012).

Historically, an EU-wide definition of energy poverty or vulnerable consumers has been deliberately avoided by the institutions because of the difficult in designing a concept which fits with all existing national understandings. Whilst the decision to refrain from adopting a common definition aimed to encourage member state action by maximising flexibility, this is identified in much of the literature as the fundamental cause of the insufficient measures taken to date and the primary barrier to more coherent EU action (Thomson, Snell and Liddell, 2016). Different countries also require different policy mixes and measures to address energy poverty. An expert workshop held in Brussels in 2010 raised concerns that policies led by the EU showed insufficient consideration for the lack of institutional capacity to deal with energy poverty at multiple levels of governance (Bouzarovski, Petrova, and Sarlamanov, 2012); similar issues have been discussed at subsequent events. In light of the vague and impractical nature of policy recommendations made at EU level, the group concluded that very little direct action has therefore been taken at the different levels, and is unlikely to be taken unless a common definition and an established evidence base can be provided.

# 10. INSTEAD OF A CONCLUSION: THE FUTURE OF EU ENERGY POVERTY POLICY

The evolution of EU energy poverty to date, as tempered by the imbalance of relevant competences and disparate policy tools available, indicates that future policy development is likely to proceed along the established path of supplementing peripheral hard law with soft law instruments. But an often overlooked avenue for the development of energy poverty policy is via the EU's health agenda. Health actors have consistently taken an interest in energy poverty and the link between lack of access to energy and poor mental and physical health in people of all ages is well established (Heffner and Campbell, 2011). The EU's public

health mandate is relatively strong and recent research, commissioned in light of the economic recession and social crisis, has highlighted the relevance of energy poverty in Europe's recovery and return to growth. The health community has also been instrumental in forging the link between energy poverty and housing quality, supported by a coalition of stakeholders concerned with the quality of the housing stock and the implementation of the EED.

Technology and climate change are two other strategic directions likely to affect the direction of EU energy poverty policy in the coming years. Smart metering –a growing priority of EU energy policy– holds the potential to combat energy poverty in some instances, but its pros and cons for energy poor users requires careful consideration (Darby, 2012). Low carbon urban and regional development policies more generally also hold significant energy poverty reduction opportunities, especially if justice contingencies are taken into account. There are also important intersections between climate change policy and energy poverty policy – not only in terms of mitigation efforts but also in relation to the impacts of global warming on the need for additional energy services in the home, such as space cooling. These complex policy loci are at odds with the relatively unidirectional nature of EU energy poverty initiatives to date: in Bouzarovski and Petrova (2015b), we noted that agenda-shaping in the EU poverty domain has been mainly driven from above, and has been highly contingent on attempts to "define" and "identify" the problem. It remains to be seen whether the significant -and relatively fast- development of a distinctive EU agenda on energy poverty will be accompanied by a range of ground-breaking steps to capture the more systemic implications of the problem.

#### **REFERENCES**

Andoura, S., Hancher, L. and van der Woude, M. (2010). *Towards a European Energy Community: A policy proposal*. Brussels: Notre Europe.

BAKKER, K. (2007). The 'commons' versus the 'commodity': Alter-globalization, anti-privatization and the human right to water in the global South. *Antipode*, *39*, pp. 430–455.

BIRCHFIELD, V. (2011). The role of EU institutions in energy policy formation. In *Toward a common European Union energy policy* (pp. 235–262). Palgrave Macmillan.

BOUZAROVSKI, S. (2014). Social Justice and Climate Change: Addressing Energy Poverty at the European Scale. Brussels: Spring Alliance.

— Energy Poverty: (Dis)Assembling Europe's Infrastructural Divide. London: Palgrave Macmillan, http://www.oapen.org/search?identifier=644146

BOUZAROVSKI, S. and PETROVA, S. (2015a). A global perspective on domestic energy deprivation: Overcoming the energy poverty–fuel poverty binary. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 10, pp. 31–40.

— (2015b). The EU Energy Poverty and Vulnerability Agenda: An Emergent Domain of Transnational Action. In J. Tosun, S. Biesenbender, and K. Schulze, *Energy Policy Making in the EU* (pp 129–144). London: Springer, http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-1-4471-6645-0\_7.

BOUZAROVSKI, S., PETROVA, S. and SARLAMANOV, R. (2012). Energy poverty policies in the EU: A critical perspective. *Energy Policy*, 49, pp. 76–82.

BOUZAROVSKI, S. and SIMCOCK, N. (2017). Spatializing energy justice. *Energy Policy*, 107, pp. 640–648.

BOUZAROVSKI, S., SYKORA, L. and MATOUŠEK, R. (2016). Locked-in post-socialism: rolling path dependencies in Liberec's district heating system. *Eurasian Geography and Economics*, 57, pp. 4–5.

BOUZAROVSKI, S. and THOMSON, H. (2018). Energy Vulnerability in the Grain of the City: Toward Neighborhood Typologies of Material Deprivation. *Annals of the American Association of Geographers, 108*, pp. 695–717.

COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES. (2005). Staff Working Document 'Annex to the Communication from the Commission "Annual report 2005 on the

European Community's development policy and the implementation of external assistance in 2004". Brussels: Commission of the European Communities.

— (2007). Communication 'An energy policy for Europe'. Brussels: Commission of the European Communities, http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:52007DC0001&from=EN

COUNCIL OF EUROPE. (2008). Housing Policy and Vulnerable Social Groups. Strasbourg: Council of Europe.

Council of European Energy Regulators. (2012). Status review of customer and retail market provisions from the 3rd package as of 1 January 2012. Brussels: Council of European Energy Regulators.

COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION. (2007). *Presidency Conclusions*. Brussels: Council of the European Union.

CSIBA, K. (Ed). (2016). Energy Poverty Handbook. Brussels: European Parliament.

Darby, S. J. (2012). Metering: EU policy and implications for fuel poor households. *Energy Policy, 49*, pp. 98–106.

Dhéret, C. and Giuli, M. (2017). *The Long Journey to End Energy Poverty in Europe*. Brussels: European Policy Centre.

DIRECTORATE GENERAL FOR HEALTH AND CONSUMERS. (2010). *The Functioning of Retail Electricity Markets for Consumers in the European Union*. Brussels: ECME Consortium, http://ec.europa.eu/consumers/archive/consumer\_research/market\_studies/docs/retail\_electricity\_full\_study\_en.pdf

Dubois, U. and Meier, H. (2016). Energy affordability and energy inequality in Europe: Implications for policymaking. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 18, pp. 21–35.

DUFFIELD, J. and BIRCHFIELD, V. (2011). Introduction: the recent upheaval in EU energy policy. In J. Duffield and V. Birchfield (Eds.), *Toward a Common European Union Energy Policy* (pp 1–12). New York: Palgrave Macmillan.

EURELECTRIC. (2017). Energy Poverty. A Eurelectric Position Paper. Brussels: Union of the Electricity Industry - Eurelectric.

EUROPEAN ANTI-POVERTY NETWORK. (2017). *Right to Energy for All Europeans*. (Retrieved 1<sup>st</sup> September 2017, http://www.eapn.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/06/EAPN-2017-letter-to-MEPs-Right-to-Energy-Coalition-1225.pdf).

European Commission. (2010a). Commission Staff Working Paper: An Energy Policy for Consumers. Brussels: European Commission.

- (2010b). Communication 'Energy 2020 A Strategy for Competitive, Sustainable and Secure Energy'. Brussels: European Commission.
- (2011). Communication from the European Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. Energy Roadmap 2050. Brussels: European Commission, http://eurlex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=COM:2011:0885:FIN:EN:PDF
- (2012). Directive 2012/27/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 October 2012 on energy efficiency, amending Directives 2009/125/EC and 2010/30/EU and repealing Directives 2004/8/EC and 2006/32/EC Text with EEA relevance. Brussels: European Commission. (Retrieved 1st September 2017, http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=celex:32012L0027).
- (2013a). Staff Working Document 'Guidance for National Energy Efficiency Action Plans'. Brussels: European Commission.
- (2013b). Vulnerable Consumer Working Group Guidance Document on Vulnerable Consumers, November 2013. Brussels: European Commission, Vulnerable Consumer Working Group.
- (2014a). Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. Energy Prices and Costs in Europe. Brussels: European Commission.

- (2014b). Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. Green Action Plans for SMEs. Brussels: European Commission.
- (2016). Commission Staff Working Document "Evaluation Report Covering the Evaluation of the EU's Regulatory Framework for Electricity Market Design and Consumer Protection in the Fields of Electricity and Gas". Brussels: European Commission.
- (2017a). Proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council amending Directive 2010/31/EU on the energy performance of buildings. Brussels: European Commission. (Retrieved 1st September 2017, http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:52016PC0765).
- (2017b). Proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on common rules for the internal market in electricity (recast). Brussels: European Commission. (Retrieved 1st September 2017, http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A52016PC0864R%2801%29).
- (2017c). Proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on energy efficiency and repealing Directives 2004/8/EC and 2006/32/EC. Brussels: European Commission. (Retrieved 1st September 2017, http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/en/ALL/?uri=CELEX:52011PC0370).
- (2017d). Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament on the Governance of the Energy Union, amending Directive 94/22/EC, Directive 98/70/EC, Directive 2009/31/EC, Regulation (EC) No 663/2009, Regulation (EC) No 715/2009, Directive 2009/73/EC, Council Directive 2009/119/EC, Directive 2010/31/EU, Directive 2012/27/EU, Directive 2013/30/EU and Council Directive (EU) 2015/652 and repealing Regulation (EU) No 525/2013. Brussels: European Commission. (Retrieved 1st September 2017, http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=COM:2016:759:REV1).

European Council. (2015). Council conclusions on the governance system of the Energy Union. (Retrieved 1st September 2017, http://www.consilium.europa.eu/en/press/press-releases/2015/11/26-conclusions-energy-union-governance/).

EUROPEAN ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL COMMITTEE. (2011). Opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee on "Energy Poverty in the Context of Liberalisation and the Economic Crisis" (exploratory opinion). Brussels: European Economic and Social Committee.

EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT. (2012). Resolution 'Strengthening the rights of vulnerable consumers', http://www.europarl.europa.eu/sides/getDoc.do?pubRef=-//EP//NONSGML+TA+P7-TA-2012-0209+0+DOC+PDF+V0//EN

— (2013a). European Parliament resolution of 14 March 2013 on the Energy roadmap 2050, a future with energy. (Retrieved 1st September 2017, http://www.europarl.europa.eu/sides/getDoc.do?pubRef=-//EP//TEXT+TA+P7-TA-2013-0088+0+DOC+XML+V0//EN).

— (2013b). *Report on Social Housing in the European Union*. (Retrieved 1<sup>st</sup> September 2017, http://www.europarl.europa.eu/sides/getDoc.do?pubRef=-//EP//NONSGML+REPORT+A7-2013-0155+0+DOC+PDF+V0//EN).

Grave, K., Breitschopf, B., Ordonez, J., Wachsmuth, J., Boeve, S., Smith, M., Schubert, T., Friedrichsen, N., Herbst, A., Eckartz, K., Pudlik, M., Bons, M. and Schleich, J. (2016). *Prices and Costs of EU Energy.* Utrecht: Ecofys Netherlands.

HEFFNER, G. and CAMPBELL, N. (2011). Evaluating the Co-Benefits of Low-Income Energy-Efficiency Programmes. Paris: International Energy Agency.

HITEVA, R. P. (2013). Fuel poverty and vulnerability in the EU low-carbon transition: the case of renewable electricity, *Local Environment*, 18, pp. 487–505.

INTELLIGENT ENERGY EUROPE. (2017). European fuel Poverty and Energy Efficiency (EPEE). (Retrieved 1<sup>st</sup> September 2017, https://ec.europa.eu/energy/intelligent/projects/en/projects/epee).

McCormick, J. (2014). Understanding the European Union: A Concise Introduction. London: Palgrave Macmillan.

Official Journal of the European Union. (2009). Directive 2009/73/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 July 2009 concerning common rules for the internal market in natural gas and repealing Directive 2003/55/EC (Text with EEA relevance). (Retrieved 1st September 2017, http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/ALL/?uri=CELEX:32009L0073).

Pellerin-Carlin, J., Vinois, J-A., Rubio, E. and Fernandes, S. (2017). Making the Energy Transition a European Success: Tackling the Democratic, Innovation, Financing and Social Challenges of the Energy Union. Paris: Jacques Delors Institute.

Pye, S. Baffert, C. Brajković, J. Grgurev, I. Miglio, D. R. and Deane, P. (2015). Energy Poverty and Vulnerable Consumers in the Energy Sector Across the EU: Analysis of Policies and Measures. London: Insight\_E.

RADEMAEKERS, K., YEARWOOD, J., FERREIRA, A., PYE, S., HAMILTON, I., AGNOLUCCI, P., GROVER, D., KARÁSEK, J. and Anisimova, N. (2016), *Selecting Indicators to Measure Energy Poverty.* Brussels: European Commission, DG Energy.

S&D Manifesto. (2017). Fighting Energy Poverty - S&D Manifesto. (Retrieved 1<sup>st</sup> September 2017, http://www.socialistsanddemocrats.eu/publications/fighting-energy-poverty-sd-manifesto).

Thomson, H., Snell, C. and Liddell, C. (2016). Fuel poverty in the European Union: a concept in need of definition? *People Place and Policy*, *10*, pp. 5–24.

Walker, G. (2015). The right to energy: Meaning, specification and the politics of definition. *L'Europe en Formation*, 2015/4, pp. 26–38.